Effect of film thickness and biaxial strain on the Curie temperature of EuO
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The effects of film thickness and epitaxial strain on the magnetic properties of commensurate EuO thin films grown on single crystalline (001) yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ) and (110) LuAlO3 substrates are presented. Magnetic measurements show a reduction in the Curie temperature ($T_C$) for EuO/YSZ films thinner than ~10 nm. Additionally, the EuO/LuAlO3 films exhibit a systematically lower $T_C$ than the corresponding EuO/YSZ films. This further reduction in $T_C$ is attributed to the effect of biaxial tensile strain arising from lattice mismatch: 0.0% for EuO/YSZ and +1.0% for EuO/LuAlO3.

Europium oxide (EuO) has a rocksalt structure ($a = 5.144\,\text{Å}$) with Eu$^{3+}$ cations whose half-filled 4f orbital is responsible for a large ferromagnetic response below its Curie temperature ($T_C$) of 69 K. This pronounced ferromagnetism induces a metal-to-insulator transition spanning up to 13 orders of magnitude in resistivity and spin-polarization of 96%, as a result of conduction band splitting by 0.6 eV. This makes EuO exceptional and of interest for spintronic applications. The bulk low $T_C$ restricts the utilization of EuO in device applications, so overcoming this limitation is one of the key challenges yet to be addressed. Theoretical predictions indicate that the $T_C$ can be manipulated by injecting electrons into the system or by straining the crystal. The added electrons enhance the $T_C$ by filling the spin-polarized conduction band, thus adding to the magnetic exchange energy of the system. In fact, doping with 3$^+$ cations like lanthanum, gadolinium, or oxygen vacancies is a common technique for injecting electrons, increasing the $T_C$ up to a maximum reported value of 200 K. The strain-induced $T_C$ manipulation is driven by altering the distance between the magnetic 4f electrons relative to the bulk spacing. Increasing this distance leads to a reduced $T_C$, while reducing this distance causes an enhanced $T_C$. In thin films biaxial strain can be achieved via commensurate, epitaxial growth to a well-chosen substrate with a specific lattice mismatch.

In this letter we contrast the dependence of the magnetic properties on thickness in a series of strain-free epitaxial EuO films with that of +1% biaxially strained epitaxial EuO films to determine the effect of strain on $T_C$. The unstrained films were grown on (001) 9.5 mol % yttria-stabilized cubic zirconia (YSZ). YSZ is nearly lattice-matched to EuO with a lattice constant of 5.140 Å. The epitaxial orientation relationship is cubic-on-cube with (001) EuO || (001) YSZ and [100] EuO || [100] YSZ. For comparison, strained EuO films were grown on (110) LuAlO3. LuAlO3 is an orthorhombic perovskite similar to YAlO3, and the (110) surface has a rectangular surface net with in-plane lattice constants of 7.379 Å along the [110] direction and 7.300 Å along the [001] direction. The expected epitaxial orientation relationship is (001) EuO || (110) LuAlO3 with [110] EuO || [001] LuAlO3 and [110] EuO || [110] LuAlO3, with a linear lattice mismatch of +0.4% and +1.5% along the EuO [110] and [110] directions, respectively.

All films were grown in a Veeco Gen10 molecular-beam epitaxy chamber with a chamber background pressure of ~2 × 10$^{-9}$ Torr. The EuO films on YSZ were grown at a substrate temperature of 400 °C after annealing the substrates at 650 °C in an oxygen background partial pressure of 3 × 10$^{-7}$ Torr prior to growth to form a well-ordered surface. For films thinner than 10 nm, the EuO films on LuAlO3 were grown at 550 °C. For films thinner than 10 nm, the EuO films on LuAlO3 were grown at 400 °C, to match the growth conditions to the films grown on YSZ. All films were grown within an adsorption-controlled growth regime. During the growth, oxygen was introduced yielding a chamber background pressure of less than 1 × 10$^{-8}$ Torr. The incident flux of europium atoms was calibrated to 1.1 × 10$^{14}$ atoms/cm$^2$-s using a quartz crystal microbalance, approximately 20% higher than the EuO growth rate, which had been determined earlier from areal density measurements of the europium content of calibration samples using Rutherford backscattering spectrometry (RBS). Growth under europium-excess conditions is key to the adsorption-controlled deposition of EuO. The samples were capped with 30 nm of amorphous silicon or 100 nm of aluminum immediately after the growth to prevent further oxidation during ex situ characterization. A series of films with thicknesses varying from 1.5 nm to 170 nm were grown both on YSZ and on LuAlO3 substrates. Structural measurements were made using four-circle X-ray diffractometer (XRD) equipped with Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation. Magnetic measurements were performed using superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometry. SQUID measurements to determine $T_C$ were made in zero applied field for all samples. The 0–20 scan of a 40 nm thick EuO film grown on YSZ (Fig. 1(a)) exhibits only peaks at 2θ = 34.9° and 73.8°,
consistent with the growth of phase-pure epitaxial EuO. The complete overlap of film and substrate peaks occurs because EuO and YSZ both have face-centered cubic lattices with nearly identical parameters (a_{YSZ} = 5.140 \text{ Å}^{20} and a_{EuO} = 5.144 \text{ Å}^{1}). These features were observed for all EuO/YSZ films. The \( \theta \rightarrow 2\theta \) scan of a 170 nm thick EuO/LuAlO\(_3\) film is shown in Fig. 1(b) and reveals only substrate peaks and 00\( \ell \) EuO peaks, as did all EuO/LuAlO\(_3\) films included in this study, indicating that these samples are also phase-pure within the resolution of our XRD measurements. Figure 1(c) shows a \( \phi \)-scan of the 111 off-axis EuO peaks of the same film studied in Fig. 1(b), which, together with the \( \theta \rightarrow 2\theta \) scan, confirm the epitaxy of EuO on LuAlO\(_3\) with an orientation relationship of [110] (001) EuO \( \parallel \) [110] (110) LuAlO\(_3\).

The interplanar spacings of the (110) and (111) planes of a strained EuO film were calculated from the measured \( \theta \rightarrow 2\theta \) positions of multiple reflections from the (001), (111), and (111) planes of a 10 nm thick film. The lattice spacing along [110] EuO was 3.694 \( \pm \) 0.005 Å and the lattice spacing along [110] EuO was 3.652 \( \pm \) 0.005 Å, which match the \( d_{220} \) and \( d_{002} \) interplanar spacings of the LuAlO\(_3\) substrate within experimental error. The out-of-plane spacing was 5.123 \( \pm \) 0.005 Å, which agrees with the expected value (5.122 Å) based on the biaxial strain and the elastic constants of EuO.\(^{24}\) These results indicate that the EuO films up to 10 nm in thickness are commensurately strained to the underlying substrate.

Rocking curves of the 002 EuO diffraction peak were taken by rocking the substrate along its [110] and [001] axes because the film strain is different from these two substrate directions. In Fig. 2, the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the EuO films along these directions is plotted as a function of film thickness. The FWHM of the substrates ranged from 25 to 37 arc sec. The FWHM for the thin films was as low as 38 arc sec, with a dramatic increase in FWHM for films thicker than 69 nm. This broadening of the rocking curve is attributed to film relaxation via the introduction of stress-reducing defects, e.g., dislocations.\(^{25-27}\) The critical thickness for the onset of observable relaxation in epitaxial EuO on (110) LuAlO\(_3\) using our growth conditions is thus 69 \( \pm \) 5 nm. This is nearly twice the critical thickness reported for EuO films grown commensurately under similar growth conditions on (110) YAlO\(_3\) (38 nm),\(^{23}\) which has an average lattice mismatch that is nearly twice that of LuAlO\(_3\) (+1.8%). Additionally, the onset of relaxation for EuO/LuAlO\(_3\) is the same along both the [110] and [001] in-plane directions of the substrate, despite a difference in in-plane strain of more than 1%. This indicates that the relaxation mechanism for the two directions is coupled.

![Fig. 1. \( \theta \rightarrow 2\theta \) scans of (a) 40 nm thick EuO/YSZ and (b) 170 nm thick EuO/LuAlO\(_3\) films. Both scans reveal phase-pure EuO with no indication of Eu metal, Eu_{2}O_{3}, or Eu_{3}O_{4} and are characteristic of all EuO films grown in this study. (c) \( \phi \)-scan of 111 EuO diffraction peaks of the same film studied in (b) at \( \chi = 35.3^\circ \) showing the epitaxial relationship of EuO on LuAlO\(_3\) to be [110](001) EuO \( \parallel \) [001](110) LuAlO\(_3\). \( \chi = 90^\circ \) aligns the diffraction vector perpendicular to the plane of the substrate. \( \phi = 45^\circ \) is aligned to be parallel to the [001] in-plane direction of the (110) LuAlO\(_3\) substrate (Ref. 37).](https://example.com/fig1)

![Fig. 2. The FWHM of the EuO 002 rocking curves made by rocking about both the [110] high strain (red triangles) and [110] low strain (blue squares) substrate axes plotted as a function of thickness of the EuO/LuAlO\(_3\) films. The average FWHM (green circles) is also plotted. The arrow indicates the critical thickness for distinguishable relaxation, 69 \( \pm \) 5 nm.](https://example.com/fig2)
Figure 3 compares the Curie temperatures of these epitaxial EuO films as a function of thickness on both YSZ and LuAlO$_3$ substrates. The YSZ series explores the effect of film thickness in unstrained epitaxial EuO. The $T_C$ is reduced below a film thickness of $\sim$10 nm, which is expected because of too few neighboring magnetic atoms and consistent with other reports that describe a reduced $T_C$ below a thickness of 4–10 nm in polycrystalline EuO films. Furthermore, the reduction in $T_C$ matches both the predictions of the theory by Schiller et al. and mean-field approximation considering nearest neighbors and next-nearest neighbors for films thicker than 5 nm. These calculations are plotted alongside the data in Fig. 3.

To predict the effect of biaxial strain on the $T_C$ of an epitaxial (001) EuO film commensurately grown on a (110) LuAlO$_3$ substrate, we performed first principles calculations using density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in VASP. The generalized gradient approximation together with an on-site Coulomb energy (GGA+U) formalism was used in order to better take into account the localized nature of the $f$ electrons. An external pressure was applied during the relaxation of the crystal structure in order to correct for the overestimation of volume by GGA. The pressure required was determined by calculations for bulk EuO with cubic symmetry. The pressure value obtained from these calculations was applied during subsequent calculations in which biaxial strain was imposed on the EuO and its in-plane lattice constants were kept fixed, but the out-of-plane one was allowed to relax.

Our calculations cover the biaxial strain range $\pm$2.0%, since EuO is predicted to undergo a structural phase transition at large values of biaxial strain, which is beyond the scope of this work. We confirmed the absence of a structural phase transition within our strain range by calculating the frequencies of both the zone center and the zone boundary phonon modes. Furthermore, high pressure (and with it the corresponding change in lattice parameter) leads to a fluctuating electron configuration between $4f^5d^0$ and $4f^6d^1$ in EuO and causes a downturn in $T_C$ above 14 GPa. The details of such dynamic fluctuations are beyond the reach of standard DFT+$U$ calculations. The strain range we consider, however, is sufficiently far from both electron configuration and structural transitions such that our calculations should predict the correct trend of $T_C$.

In order to calculate the exchange constants precisely, we built 32 atom supercells for each biaxial strain value and fit energies of 8 different spin configurations to an Ising model. Calculations for cubic EuO indicated that 3rd and 4th nearest neighbor exchange couplings are negligible, so we ignored them in our calculations of EuO under biaxial strain. In order to get an estimate of $T_C$, we used a mean-field model. As expected from DFT and mean field approximations, $T_C$ is grossly overestimated by our calculations; further, $T_C$ depends on the exact value of $U$ chosen. We are interested in the change in $T_C$ with strain, in Fig. 4 we present $T_C/f_{CO}$, i.e., the ratio of the Curie temperature under biaxial strain to that in bulk. The calculations were performed for a range of reasonable $U$ values, the results of which are denoted with different colors and shapes in Fig. 4. The calculated change in $T_C$ for different $U$ overlap well, indicating that the result is robust and physically meaningful. $T_C$ decreases with increasing biaxial strain, which is consistent with Ref. 8.

In order to explore the effect of the anisotropic strain induced by the (110) LuAlO$_3$ substrate (+0.4% and +1.5% along perpendicular in-plane directions in a commensurate (001) EuO film), we also calculated the exchange constants and the resultant $T_C$ for the anisotropic boundary conditions corresponding specifically to LuAlO$_3$. The ratio of the resultant Curie temperature to that of bulk is presented as the squares at 0.95% strain in Fig. 4. The fact that these squares lie in-line with other points, all calculated with isotropic

![Plot showing the Curie temperature as a function of film thickness for various samples.](Image)

FIG. 3. The Curie temperature as a function of film thickness is compared for EuO/YSZ (red circles) and EuO/LuAlO$_3$ (blue triangles). The $T_C$ is reduced below the bulk $T_C$ of 69 K for films thinner than 10 nm for EuO/YSZ as a result of size effects. The $T_C$ of EuO/LuAlO$_3$ is lower than the $T_C$ of EuO/YSZ for films below the critical thickness for relaxation on LuAlO$_3$, about 69 nm. Films thicker than this exhibit a $T_C$ that asymptotes to the bulk $T_C$ of unstrained EuO (69 K). The theory presented by Schiller et al. (Ref. 28) is displayed by the dashed green line; the mean-field approximation considering only nearest neighbors (NN) is displayed by the solid purple line (Ref. 31), and the mean-field approximation considering both nearest neighbors and next-nearest neighbors (NNN) is displayed by the dotted black line (Ref. 29).

![Plot showing the calculated effect of biaxial strain on the $T_C$ of EuO.](Image)

FIG. 4. Calculated effect of biaxial strain on the $T_C$ of EuO. The effect of changing the on-site Coulomb energy $U$ in the density functional theory on the resulting $T_C$ is shown by the colored data points. The squares represent the specific case of the biaxial strain imparted by a (110) LuAlO$_3$ substrate on a commensurate epitaxial (001) EuO film. The inset shows that the reduction in $T_C$ for EuO films grown commensurately on LuAlO$_3$ is $\sim$6%.
in-plane biaxial strain, indicates that the anisotropy of the substrate surface does not lead to an important difference and that $T_C$ is decreased by the same amount as it would be on a substrate with an isotropic surface and the same average lattice constant. The calculated decrease in $T_C$ for commensurate (001) EuO on (110) LuAlO$_3$ is about 6%, which corresponds to $\sim$4 K with respect to bulk. We emphasize that our standard DFT calculations utilize periodic boundary conditions, corresponding to a film that is infinite in all dimensions, such that finite-size effects are not considered.

These calculations match, within the error bars, the $T_C$ of the commensurate EuO/LuAlO$_3$ films that are unaffected by finite-size effects, that is, films thicker than 10 nm. Furthermore, the $T_C$ of all commensurate EuO/LuAlO$_3$ films are consistently reduced relative to the $T_C$ of the EuO/YSZ films. For example, a 1.5 nm EuO film on YSZ has a $T_C$ of 56 ± 1 K, while a 1.5 nm EuO film on LuAlO$_3$ has a $T_C$ of 53 ± 1 K. EuO/LuAlO$_3$ films thicker than 69 nm are partially relaxed and as the strain diminishes, the $T_C$ recovers to that of bulk EuO (69 K). As the only difference between these films is the strain imparted by epitaxial misfit from the different substrates, the $T_C$ reduction is attributed to the imposed biaxial tensile strain, which is in agreement with our calculations and the literature.$^8$

Figure 5(a) shows the onset of magnetization for a fully commensurate EuO film (10 nm thick) and a fully relaxed EuO film (170 nm thick) on LuAlO$_3$. The $T_C$ of the 10 nm thick film was 64 ± 1 K, and the $T_C$ of the 170 nm thick film was 69 ± 1 K. This matches, within the error, the DFT calculations, which predict a 6% decrease in the $T_C$ for the case of EuO/LuAlO$_3$. Figure 5(b) compares the magnetic hysteresis in the same films. The coercive field of the 10 nm thick sample was 55 ± 10 G, and the coercive field of the 170 nm thick film sample was 47 ± 10 G. The saturation magnetization was 5.5 ± 0.2 $\mu_B$ per europium atom for the 10 nm thick film and 6.6 ± 0.2 $\mu_B$ per europium atom for the 170 nm thick film. These are both close to the theoretical maximum of 7 $\mu_B$ per europium atom and other reports of EuO thin films.$^{10,13,23}$ Though the effect of strain on the coercive field and saturation magnetization is likely non-zero, it is not significant and could not be determined in our experiment.

In conclusion EuO is shown to grow epitaxially on (110) LuAlO$_3$ substrates with an epitaxial orientation relationship of [110](001) EuO∥[110](110) LuAlO$_3$ and is commensurate below a critical thickness of 69 nm. The $T_C$ of EuO/YSZ, which shows size effects for films thinner than 10 nm, was compared to the $T_C$ of EuO/LuAlO$_3$. By comparing the $T_C$ vs. thickness of unstained EuO/YSZ with strained EuO/LuAlO$_3$, a reduction in $T_C$ caused by the biaxial tensile strain is clearly observed, in addition to the reduction in $T_C$ from size effects.
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FIG. 5. (a) Magnetization as a function of temperature measurements indicate a clear onset of magnetization in the absence of an applied magnetic field (Ref. 38) at 64 K in the 10 nm thick film and 69 K in the 170 nm thick film. (b) Magnetic hysteresis curves for the 10 nm and 170 nm thick EuO thin films.