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1 Introduction

Thermochemical water-splitting cycles (TWSCs) are a promising carbon-free route for nuclear or solar hydrogen production. Among the hundred cycles proposed yet, the Sulphur-Iodine (S-I) process is one of the most deeply studied: differently from other TWSCs, it basically does not involve either solid management, electrolysis, or membrane exploitation, but it consists of more “conventional” chemical engineering large-scale operations (heat exchange, fixed-bed tubular reactors, distillation column, etc.). The S-I cycle involves the following three reactions [1]:

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{I}_2 + \text{SO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 + 2\text{HI} \\
2\text{HI} & \rightarrow \text{I}_2 + \text{H}_2 \\
\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 & \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{SO}_2 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2
\end{align*}
\]

Reaction (1) between SO\textsubscript{2} with I\textsubscript{2} and water to produce H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and HI, called Bunsen reaction, is a fundamental process step. Differently from the acid decomposition sections, this stage proceeds under “mild” temperatures (< 150°C) and requires rather low heat duty (the reaction is slightly exothermic). However, this section must be carefully designed to obtain two concentrated and unpolluted acid streams. This optimization involves some issues like determination of best working conditions, Bunsen reactor design and the separation and purification of the two product streams.

Basic experimental studies have firstly been carried out to characterize the Bunsen reaction, using a jacketed mixed glass reactor at 30-120°C, under different initial compositions. Removal of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and residual SO\textsubscript{2} from the hydriodic acid phase is also studied, monitoring the possible sulphur or H\textsubscript{2}S formation. Also separation of the iodine from the sulphuric acid stream is studied.

A lab-scale continuous apparatus to carry out the Bunsen reaction, together with subsequent purification/concentration of the produced acids, has been tested.

Some experimental results are here presented and discussed. Moreover, the possible application of the Bunsen reactor and separation units to an “open S-I cycle” for sulphur recovery is discussed.
2 Experimental Studies with Batch and Semi-batch Apparatus

A preliminary analysis was carried out to identify the most convenient operation conditions to produce H$_2$SO$_4$ and HI as pure and concentrated as possible, in order to enhance downstream operations and maximize the overall S-I cycle efficiency. Thus, several reaction options were initially considered and investigated with batch laboratory experimental tests [2-4]: electrochemical Bunsen reaction, the Bunsen reaction in an organic solvent or liquid (pressurized) SO$_2$, or the Bunsen reaction with precipitation of insoluble solid salts. Each of these routes proved potential but also showed some important technical/energetic drawbacks. For this reason, subsequent research was mainly focused on the Bunsen reaction in water media, with a large excess of iodine in order to prevent side reactions and allow segregation of the two acids (H$_2$SO$_4$ and HI) within two respective immiscible liquid phases, namely the "H$_2$SO$_4$ phase" and the "HI$_x$ phase":

\[(x+1)I_2 + SO_2 + (n+2)H_2O \rightarrow [H_2SO_4 + (n-m)H_2O] + [2HI + xl_2 + mH_2O]\]  

(1bis)

According with reaction (1bis) stoichiometry, iodine excess (x) is almost quantitatively dissolved in the HI$_x$ phase, while water excess (n) splits between the two phases. In this preliminary study this LLE behaviour was characterized too [2], measuring iodine and sulphur impurities and in the H$_2$SO$_4$ and HI$_x$ phases, respectively.

The influence of the iodine concentration and the operating temperature on the Bunsen reaction was investigated with a semi-batch procedure in a 500 mL temperature-controlled jacketed stirred reactor (Figure 1). Since the iodine solubility depends on temperature, these two operative parameters are not independent (maximum iodine concentration increases with temperature).

Figure 1: The mixed semi-batch Bunsen reactor.

The reactor was initially filled with iodine and a liquid azeotropic HI/water mixture (57 %wt. HI), and the thermostatic bath and the stirrer were switched on. Once the desired temperature was attained and after complete iodine dissolution, gaseous SO$_2$ was fed at a rate of 83 NmL/min, until the SO$_2$ sensor detected the saturation of both the reactor and the alkaline (NaOH) trap. The upper H$_2$SO$_4$ phase was produced only in very small quantity, but always visually detected. Then the stirrer was stopped and the mixture was kept motionless for 30 minutes to allow the stratification of the H$_2$SO$_4$ and HI$_x$ phases: afterwards the two
phases were separated, and the HI\textsubscript{x} phase sampled and analysed. The NaOH trap was weighted before and after the experiment to exactly determine the quantity of SO\textsubscript{2} absorbed in the reactor. For each run, the temperature was controlled at a constant value within the range of 30-120°C.

We observed that the amount of SO\textsubscript{2} absorbed and reacted in the Bunsen reactor decreases by increasing the temperature due to the exothermicity of Bunsen reaction (equilibrium shifts towards SO\textsubscript{2} by increasing the temperature) and to the lower SO\textsubscript{2} solubility in the liquid.

A representative sample of HI\textsubscript{x} phase was bubbled at constant temperature (the same previously adopted for the reaction) with a continuous N\textsubscript{2} flow at (40 NmL/min) for 30 minutes in order to strip the SO\textsubscript{2}. After stripping, the residual sulphate content was measured: the stripping should remove all the sulphuric compounds (SO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}) from the HI\textsubscript{x} phase by reversing the Bunsen reaction, leading to a dilution of the lower phase:

\[
H_{2}SO_{4} + 2HI \rightarrow I_{2} + 2H_{2}O + SO_{2}↑ \quad (1\text{rev})
\]

The sulphates content in the HI\textsubscript{x} phase before and after the stripping is reported in Figure 2. The measured sulphates contents before stripping are in good agreement with the ones previously measured in the LLE measurement [2]. Clearly, the stripping operation significantly lowered the sulphates content in the produced HI\textsubscript{x} phase, but sulphates were completely removed only at the highest temperature of 120°C (Figure 2). On the other hand, when the temperature is increased with an iodine molar fraction not high enough (i.e. < 0.35) secondary reactions took place, as solid sulphur formation was observed in the stripping device. In other words, insufficient iodine excess in the Bunsen reactor results into the formation of solid sulphur (and eventually H\textsubscript{2}S) in the required HI\textsubscript{x} phase purification stripper to remove sulphates prior HI decomposition.

![Figure 2: Sulphates content in the HI\textsubscript{x} phase before and after stripping at different temperatures [5].](image-url)
3 Continuous Flow Operation of a Counter-current Reactor

Next step of our research program was the set up of a continuous Bunsen reactor to be integrated within a closed S-I bench loop demonstrator. A packed counter-current column was considered, represented in Figure 3, where the selected flow rates of gaseous SO₂ and liquid water are fed from the bottom, and iodine is introduced from the top. Packing made of glass rings is set in the lower region, and tantalum rings in the upper section (to avoid glass rings floating on the heavier phase). Solid iodine pellets are also used as reactive packing in the upper section. Since it was difficult to find a metering pump suitable for liquid iodine, molecular iodine was fed step-by-step as solid powder from the top of the column and the packed bed with iodine pellets continuously restored above the inert glass-tantalum packing; hence a I₂-saturated HIₓ phase was ensured throughout the experiment in the upper section of the reactor.

![Figure 3: Counter-current Bunsen reactor scheme.](image)

In the fixed packed bed of reactive zone (Figure 3) the rising SO₂ bubbles are adsorbed in the liquid phase. After adsorption, SO₂ reacts with the dissolved iodine and water according
to the Bunsen reaction stoichiometry (1bis). Hence, droplets of lighter sulphuric phase will nucleate, grow and rise to be collected near the top of the column; simultaneously, the denser HI\textsubscript{x} phase will settle near the bottom. In Figure 3 is shown the location of the liq-liq interface between the HI\textsubscript{x} phase and the settling sulphuric phase, within the “iodine-packed section”.

According with this scheme the sulphuric phase can be continuously drained from the top, while the HI\textsubscript{x} phase from the bottom, to be analysed and collected for further treatments like purification and distillation. The experimental reactor is glass made and its temperature controlled by thermostatic liquid (water or ethylene glycol) circulation in the jacket. This apparatus can process about 5-10 Nl/h of SO\textsubscript{2}.

This reactor was integrated in a continuous system were the drained HI\textsubscript{x} phase was sent into a temperature controlled flash drum at 130°C to eliminate dissolved SO\textsubscript{2} and achieve reverse Bunsen reaction (1rev) without the use of a stripping gas. Moreover, the collected H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} phase was separately distilled in a batch apparatus to eliminate I\textsubscript{2}/HI impurities. Finally, the collected purified HI\textsubscript{x} phase was distilled too in a batch apparatus to remove the I\textsubscript{2} and obtain a HI/H\textsubscript{2}O mixture suitable for decomposition. Hence, all units operations involved in a complete Bunsen section were studied, as represented in Figure 4. Each unit is connected with gas traps (NaOH or H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} solutions) to prevent overpressure and trap non-reacted SO\textsubscript{2}.

![Diagram of the Bunsen section](image-url)

**Figure 4:** Schematic representation of the Bunsen section, with purification and distillation parts, as in the experimental apparatus. In brackets are species expected to be present in traces or present only under certain experimental conditions.
Bunsen reactor was operated in continuous for 4-6 hours, at constant temperatures in the 35-110°C range, with H₂O-to-SO₂ feed ratio of 12.5-13.7 mol/mol and I₂-to-SO₂ feed ratio of 3.0-8.2 mol/mol (depending on temperature). Recovered phases had similar composition as that one obtained in previous LLE measurements [2]. It was possible to quantitatively purify the H₂SO₄ phase to a iodide content < 10⁻³ %wt. by heating to 270°C, corresponding to simultaneous water vaporization to a final H₂SO₄ concentration of about 89 %wt. As far as the HIX phase purification is regarded, the presence of sulphur in the top of the flash drum was observed at the lowest Bunsen reactor temperatures (< 85°C), corresponding to the lowest iodine concentration in the outlet HIX phase; moreover, substantial reduction of sulphates was achieved when the reactor was operated at the highest temperatures (> 85°C). These results are in agreement with those discussed in the previous section.

4 Application to Industrial Sulphur Recovery

It is noteworthy that integrated process scheme shown in Figure 4, coupled with a HIX decomposition reactor for H₂ production, represents a “open S-I cycle”, i.e. a process to convert SO₂ and water to hydrogen and sulphuric acid. This process basically requires heat at temperatures lower than 300°C; the additional low thermal duty at 450-650°C for HIX decomposition can be recovered from sulphur or H₂S combustion to SO₂, that is a common industrial process in H₂SO₄ plants. The overall thermal burden of the process can be evaluated to be about 3500 kJ/molH₂ at 184°C, that corresponds to a thermal efficiency of about 8 %. This value seems rather low, if compared with the thermal efficiency values reported for many thermochemical water-splitting cycles. On the other hand, it must be recognized that this is obtained with “low-grade” heat, i.e. heat input at < 200°C, while most thermochemical cycles require high-temperature heat (> 800°C). However, other flowsheets for the S-I cycle have been proposed, especially regarding the HIX section, leading to much lower heat consumption (< 600 kJ/molH₂).

5 Summary

The breakthrough of the S-I process still goes by the overcome of some technical issues dealing with the increase of efficiency and management of the HIX process section, i.e. the treatment of the iodine-rich solution produced in the Bunsen reactor until hydrogen production. These operations have been studied at the ENEA in the framework of a National program on “New Energy Systems”, in cooperation with other Italian Academic partners. Most convenient operative conditions for the Bunsen section operations have been determined and discussed.

Moreover, a possible short-term exploitation of the developed technology in the sulphur recovery field was proposed for industrial sulphuric acid production from sulphur wastes (e.g. sulphur from Clauss plants) with co-production of hydrogen using low-grade heat (< 300°C).
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