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TiO$_2$, as a widely used material for photocatalysis, is attracting more and more attention [1-5]. Up to date, a great deal of methods are under research to enhance its photocatalytic activity, for example, doping TiO$_2$ with metal ion or nonmetal ion [6, 7], loading TiO$_2$ with expensive metals [8, 9], sensitizing TiO$_2$ with dyes [10-12] or other methods [13, 14] etc. Ni is a low-price metal and of high activity in industrial catalysis, and it is also used in photocatalytic reactions to lengthen the absorption band of catalysts [15]. Nevertheless, systematic experimental studies regarding the influence of the doping ion to the main body TiO$_2$ are still lacking, especially the photoexcited carriers changing. In the present work, a series of porous and nano-structured Ni-doped TiO$_2$ with anatase structure are synthesized by ultrasonic sol-gel method. The structure, photophysical and photochemical properties are well discussed in this paper. What’s more, the photoluminescence spectra were used to compare the photo charge carries transfer before and after Ni doping.

All chemicals used were of analytical grade without further purification. Firstly TBOT was dispersed in methanol with PEG-6000 and proper glacial acetic acid. Then the sol was dropped into acetic acid aqueous solution along with ultrasonic under room temperature. As for the Ni-TiO$_2$, the Ni(NO$_3$)$_2$·2H$_2$O were added into the aqueous solution to give doping level from 0%-10%. The mixture was evaporated in a water bath under 343K after 3h ultrasonic reaction. The gel was dried in vacuum at 343K for 8h. The product was finally heated in air at 723K for 4h. X-ray diffraction patterns of the samples were obtained from a PANalytical X’pert Pro diffractometer using Cu K$_\alpha$ irradiation. N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77K were measured using a Beckman Coulter SA 3100 instrument. Uv-vis adsorption spectra were determined by Hitachi U-4100 UV-vis-near IR spectrophotometer with BaSO$_4$ as the reference. Fluorescence emission and excitation spectra were got from PTI QM4 fluorescent photometer using a 75W Xe lamp as the exciting light resource and a filter is used to cut off the scattering light between the sample and detector. Photocatalytic hydrogen evolution was tested in a side-irradiation pyrex cell. A 350 W Xe lamp was used as the light source. Hydrogen evolved was analyzed by an on-line thermal conductivity detector (TCD) gas chromatograph (NaX zeolite column, nitrogen as a carrier gas). In all experiments, 200ml of 20 vol% aqueous methanol solution containing 0.1g catalyst was added into the cell. N$_2$ adsorption-desorption results for Ni-TiO$_2$ with Ni doping of 5% and 0% are shown in Fig.1. Actually all the samples give typical H$_1$-type N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms with obvious hysteresis loops proving the mesopores of large size. The small figuration shows that the pore sizes are smaller than 20nm compared with after Ni doping. The BET surface area of samples increased from 127 m$^2$/g to 148 m$^2$/g when the amount of Ni doping increased from
0% to 5%, while the BET surface area for Ni doping of 10% is 144 m²/g. The total pore volume decreased from 0.51 ml/g to 0.34 ml/g when the doping amount increased from 0% to 10%.

![Figure 1: N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77K for Ni-TiO₂ with Ni²⁺ doping of 5% and 0% respectively.](image)

TEM images for TiO₂ with Ni²⁺ doping of 0% and 5% are shown in Fig.2. The TiO₂ with or without Ni are both very homogenous particle distribution due to the dispersing role of ultrasonication. Considering the particle shape, both samples are anatase of cubic nanoparticles. It can also be seen that the particle size of Ni-TiO₂ is smaller than TiO₂ without Ni doping under high resolution transmission electron microscopy. Giving the result of the EDS, the grains we see from TEM are polycrystals. However, the TEM images also show that the product is not mesopore structure as the N₂ adsorption-desorption isotherms indicate. It is supposed that the crystals are growing uniformly because of ultrasonic process and piled up forming pores.

Fig.3 shows the XRD patterns of TiO₂ with various Ni doping calcined at 723K. It can be seen only the sample with 10% Ni doping is not pure anatase. The peak at 2θ=43.2°, which only can be seen when the doping amount increases to 10%, is identified as the characterized peak of cubic NiO (h=2, l=k=0). The mean size of the crystallites in samples can be estimated by the FWHM of the XRD peak at 2θ=25.3° using the Debye-Scherrer equation. Although the further crystallization of TiO₂ particles is a main process at a lower annealing temperature [16], the crystallite size decreases from 11nm to 9nm with increasing Ni doping from 0% to 5%, which may cause by the Ni-O bond distance (1.87 Å) shorter that the Ti-O bond distance(1.94 Å) [17]. On condition that, we can say that Ni has entered the framework of TiO₂ crystals. Combined with N₂ ads-des isotherms and XRD results, it can be concluded
that Ni doping can restrain the particle growth and inhibit the formation of rutile crystallites in the matrix of mesoporous TiO$_2$ at a higher calcination temperature before NiO appears. It also indicates that the ultrasonic method can have a larger doping amount than other methods [15].

Figure 2: TEM images of TiO$_2$ with Ni$^{2+}$ doping of 0% and 5%. (a: TiO$_2$; b: Ni-TiO$_2$).

Figure 3: XRD diagram of various TiO$_2$ with increasing doping; all samples were calcined at 723K.

Raman scattering is a more sensitive detection technique of nanocrystal structure characterization. Five peaks showed in the spectra are attributed to the antase phase Raman scattering model of $A_{1g} + 2B_{1g} + 3E_g$. The Raman scattering did not detect the NiO of TiO$_2$ with a doping amount of 5%. We can say that by using this ultrasonication method a large
amount of Ni\(^{2+}\), as a substitution for Ti\(^{4+}\), were successfully synthesized, incorporating into the matrix of TiO\(_2\). The bands of TiO\(_2\) with Ni doping are 123cm\(^{-1}\)(E\(_g\)), 177cm\(^{-1}\)(E\(_g\)), 374cm\(^{-1}\)(B\(_{1g}\)), 497cm\(^{-1}\)(A\(_{1g}\), B\(_{1g}\)), 621cm\(^{-1}\)(E\(_g\)), have a small red shift and broadening change distinguished from the TiO\(_2\) without Ni doping, whose peaks are 125cm\(^{-1}\)(E\(_g\)), 179cm\(^{-1}\)(E\(_g\)), 376cm\(^{-1}\)(B\(_{1g}\)), 498cm\(^{-1}\)(A\(_{1g}\), B\(_{1g}\)), 622cm\(^{-1}\)(E\(_g\)). Compared to the P25 (commercial TiO\(_2\) with a surface area of 55 m\(^2\)/g), the Raman scattering bands of all the TiO\(_2\) samples prepared by ultrasonication method have an obvious red shift and broadening. This shift and broadening are caused by photon relaxation due to the defects or oxygen vacancies of the nanocrystals, the same results as our former research\(^{[18]}\).

![Raman of TiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\) with 5% Ni doping.](image)

Figure 4: Raman of TiO\(_2\) and TiO\(_2\) with 5% Ni doping.

Fig.5 shows the UV-VIS-NIR absorbance of Ni-TiO\(_2\). The bands at near infrared area for all the samples are due to bending and symmetric or asymmetric stretching vibration modes of the H\(_2\)O molecules adsorbed on the surface of the samples [19]. TiO\(_2\) with Ni doping has a visible light response due to the formation of impurity energy level. The absorption band around 750nm is supposed to be due to the oxygen vacancies formation in virtue of the imbalance of charges caused by Ni doping. The band gap energy of the pure TiO\(_2\) is 3.28eV calculated by K-M equations, a little bigger than reported, which may be caused by the quantum size effect as the particle size is around 10nm more or less with the Bore radius of TiO\(_2\) [20].
There are three Gaussian-type peaks at 358, 378, 394 showed in PL excitation spectrum. The 378nm peak is engendered by electron transition from valence band to conduction band on base of the band gap energy. The other two peaks may be caused by the vibration energy level in the CB and VB or free exciton absorption band around CB and VB [21]. Stokes shift is obviously observed because of indirect transition and the vibration energy level in energy band. Based on the symmetry property of excitation and emission, the 436nm emission band stems from conduction band to valence band direct recombination. The emission band observed in fig.6 (b) around 825nm is supposed to be caused by the intrinsic defects of anatase TiO$_2$ [22]. When Ni is doped in the frame of TiO$_2$, the quantum-size effect makes the emission band a little blue-shift, and this is in accordance with the crystal growth results.

It is very interesting that when TiO$_2$ has a very small doping amount of Ni, it hardly emits, which is probably resulted from that Ni$^{2+}$ dispersed in the TiO$_2$ trapped the excited electron and relaxed them through a non-radiative way. But the amount is limited so the photocatalytic activity decreased a little. As the amount of Ni increasing, the impure energy levels generate, as we can see from the fig.6(d), it emits along with the conduction band of TiO$_2$ for the emission band is blueshifting. The optimal amount exists because the excessive Ni would become trapping center of photo excited holes. The hydrogen evolution amount has a same tendency with the PL emission spectra. It is supposed that Ni has two roles in doping TiO$_2$. One is when Ni is dispersed in TiO$_2$ bulk with very small amount, excited electrons are trapped by Ni and then relaxed non-radiatively, so the electrons can’t migrate to the TiO$_2$ surface and reduce H$^+$. But this influence is not big enough for the Ni amount limited. The other role is the excited electrons are transiently trapped by the level and then released to transfer to TiO$_2$ surface when Ni has an abundant amount to generate new impure energy level in TiO$_2$. The Ni-doped TiO$_2$ has visible light absorption but no photocatalytic hydrogen
production activity under visible light. One reasonable possibility is that a deep energy level is yielded in TiO$_2$ with Ni doping. The location of the impure energy level will be discussed in next work.

Figure 6: Fluorescence excitation and emission spectra of TiO$_2$ (all the PL emissions are excited by 340nm with a cut-off filter $\lambda \geq 350$nm and the PL excitations are collected with a band-pass filter at $\lambda = 441.6$nm. a: nondoped TiO$_2$, b: the enlarged figuration from 700nm to 900nm; c: TiO$_2$ doped with different amount of Ni; d: the enlarged figuration of Ni doping TiO$_2$).

Figure 7: Hydrogen evolution of all the samples under 350W Xe lamp irradiation.
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