Stable carbon isotope ratios of toluene in the boundary layer and the lower free troposphere
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Abstract. During the field campaign ZEPTER-2 in autumn 2008 whole air samples were collected on board a Zeppelin NT airship in the planetary boundary layer (PBL) and the lower free troposphere (LFT) over south-west Germany using the ZEppelin Based Isotope Sampler (ZEBIS). These samples were analysed with respect to volatile organic compound (VOC) mixing ratios and stable carbon isotope ratios using a gas chromatograph combustion isotope ratio mass spectrometer (GC-C-IRMS). In this study we present results for toluene, one of the major anthropogenic pollutants, which emphasise the viability of isotope ratio measurements in VOC for atmospheric research, especially to study VOC sources or to track both dynamical and chemical processes. In situ measurements of CO mixing ratios on board the Zeppelin NT were used to allocate the air samples either to the PBL or the LFT.

In the PBL we observed rather fresh emissions mixing into the background air. We estimated a toluene source isotope ratio of δ¹³C = −28.2 ± 0.5 ‰. Samples from the PBL and the LFT were clearly distinguishable by means of their mixing ratio and isotope ratio signatures. Using the concept of the effective kinetic isotope effect, we were able to separate the effects of dilution processes and photochemical degradation in the free troposphere. We calculated the photochemical age of toluene in the atmosphere in two different ways using isotope ratios and mixing ratios. The results differ strongly in the PBL, probably due to mixing processes, but are compatible with each other in the LFT. Here, they correlate with a slope of 0.90 ± 0.31.

1 Introduction

Despite their low concentrations volatile organic compounds (VOC) play an important role in atmospheric (especially tropospheric) chemistry. The majority of anthropogenic VOC emissions stems from fossil fuel use and is emitted predominantly during road transport and oil production. The most abundant species being emitted in this context, are toluene and benzene (e.g. Williams and Koppmann, 2007; Reimann and Lewis, 2007).

Rudolph et al. (1997) presented a method to determine stable carbon isotope ratios in VOC at ambient concentration levels using a gas chromatography combustion isotope ratio mass spectrometer (GC-C-IRMS). They proposed that measurements of the isotopic composition of VOC, beside measurements of their concentrations, would lead to valuable additional information on atmospheric processes (e.g. photochemical degradation). This initial methodology has been followed by a number of studies on this subject (see e.g. the review of Goldstein and Shaw, 2003, and references therein).

Stable carbon isotope ratios in VOC were measured in various regions, affected by different amounts of air pollution (Tsunogai et al., 1999; Rudolph et al., 2002; Redeker et al., 2007; Nara et al., 2007; Saito et al., 2009; Spahn, 2009). Rudolph et al. (2002) measured isotope ratios in atmospheric VOC close to their anthropogenic sources. Several laboratory studies investigated the kinetic isotope effects for reactions between VOC and different reactants (Anderson et al., 2003, 2004a, b; Iannone et al., 2008, 2009; Farkas et al., 2003; Rudolph et al., 2000) including the temperature dependence of the kinetic isotope effects (Anderson, 2005; Gensch et al., 2011). By applying the concept of the hydrocarbon clock on
VOC isotopologues, stable carbon isotope ratios have also been used to determine the photochemical age of VOC in the atmosphere (Rudolph and Czuba, 2000; Rudolph et al., 2003; Saito et al., 2002, 2009).

2 Theoretical background for the interpretation of isotope ratios

All isotope ratios given in this paper are expressed in the δ-notation, relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (V-PDB) standard for stable carbon isotope analysis. The δ value is defined as the relative deviation from the standard’s isotope ratio (Craig, 1957).

\[
\delta^{13}C = \frac{(13C/12C)_{\text{sample}} - (13C/12C)_{\text{V-PDB}}}{(13C/12C)_{\text{V-PDB}}} \times 1000\%e
\]  

\[(13C/12C)_{\text{V-PDB}} = 0.0112372 (2) \]  

A necessary requirement for the interpretation of isotope ratios is the knowledge of the kinetic isotope effect (KIE). The kinetic isotope effect, \(\varepsilon\), describes, for a certain reaction, the relative difference between the rate coefficients, \(k\), of two different isotopologues of the same compound. For example, the KIE (with respect to stable carbon isotopes) for the dominant reaction of toluene with OH is (Anderson, 2005)

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{OH}} = \left( \frac{k_{\text{OH}}(12C_7H_8)}{k_{\text{OH}}(13C_7C_6H_8)} - 1 \right) \times 1000\%e
\]  

\[\varepsilon_{\text{OH}} = 5.95 \pm 0.28\%e.\]  

Recently, Gensch et al. (2011) pointed out the relevance of the temperature dependence of the KIE for the interpretation of atmospheric measurements. We checked whether this effect has to be taken into account in the discussion of the results presented in this study. Therefore, we used laboratory measurements of KIE values for the reaction of toluene and OH at different temperatures presented by Anderson (2005), and extrapolated these down to 0°C (a conservative lower boundary for air temperatures during ZEPTER-2). We found that the 0°C value is different from the value at room temperature by about 0.3%, similar to the error of the \(\varepsilon\) value. Thus, we conclude that the temperature dependence of the KIE, although generally important, can be neglected for the data discussed here.

In many atmospheric situations, an air parcel is affected not only by chemical reactions but also by dilution processes. The concept of the effective kinetic isotope effect \(\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}\) includes both a chemical loss reaction (with OH in this case) with the kinetic isotope effect \(\varepsilon_{\text{OH}}\) and the time constant \(\tau_{\text{OH}}\) as well as a dilution process (by mixing with “zero” background air) with the time constant \(\tau_{\text{dil}}\), which does not result in isotopic fractionation.

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{OH}}/\tau_{\text{OH}}}{1/\tau_{\text{OH}} + 1/\tau_{\text{dil}}} \]  
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For a substance emitted at time \(t = 0\) the following equation can be derived which relates the changes in the VOC mixing ratio \(c\) to the changes in the isotope ratio \(\delta\) (Rudolph, 2007).

\[
\delta(t) = \delta(0) - \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \ln \left( \frac{c(t)}{c(0)} \right)
\]  

If air masses are mixed, which contain “fresher” and “aged” amounts of the same substance, respectively, Eq. (5) is invalid. In these cases, assuming that chemical processing can be neglected, the concept of two-endpoint mixing can be applied. We consider two mixing air masses, \#1 and \#2. If one air mass contains much larger VOC concentrations than the other (\(c_1 \gg c_2\)) and at the same time has a much smaller volume (\(V_1 \ll V_2\), the resulting isotope ratio \(\delta\) and the VOC concentration \(c\) are linked to each other by the following equation (Rudolph, 2007):

\[
\delta = \delta_1 + \frac{(\delta_2 - \delta_1)c_2}{c}.
\]  

The photochemical age (see Parrish et al., 1992) of a certain compound in an air mass is a measure for the degree of photochemical processing this compound has experienced since it has been released to the atmosphere. It is defined as

\[
[\text{OH}]_{\text{aveg}} t = \int_0^t [\text{OH}] dt'
\]  

and can be derived from isotopic measurements (Rudolph and Czuba, 2000) via

\[
[\text{OH}]_{\text{aveg}} t = \frac{\delta(t) - \delta(0)}{\varepsilon_{\text{OH}} k_{\text{OH}}}.
\]  

Even in cases when air masses mix, which contain different “ages” of the same compound, Eq. (8) can be applied and gives a concentration-weighted mean photochemical age, provided that \(\delta(0)\) is the same for both air masses (Rudolph and Czuba, 2000).

Also, if two compounds \((a\) and \(b)\) with different reaction constants \((k_{\text{OH}a}\) and \(k_{\text{OH}b}\)) are emitted from the same source in a certain known ratio \((c_a(0)/c_b(0))\), their photochemical age can be calculated from their concentrations \((c_a(t)\) and \(c_b(t)\)) at a given time \(t\). This is the “conventional” way to calculate the photochemical age (see Roberts et al., 1984; Rudolph and Johnen, 1990; Parrish et al., 1992).

\[
[\text{OH}]_{\text{aveg}} t = \frac{1}{k_{\text{OH}b} - k_{\text{OH}a}} \left( \ln \left( \frac{c_a(t)}{c_b(t)} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{c_a(0)}{c_b(0)} \right) \right)
\]  

It should be mentioned, that photochemical ages derived from Eq. (9), may be strongly biased if air masses of different ages mix (see e.g. Rudolph and Johnen, 1990; McKeen et al., 1990, 1996; Rudolph and Czuba, 2000; Saito et al., 2009).
3 Experiment

3.1 Measurement campaign

In autumn 2008, the ZEPTER-2 campaign took place in the Lake Constance region in south-west Germany, a rural site with small townships and no large industries.

A Zeppelin NT airship served as the measurement platform. ZEBIS was installed inside the gondola. Depending on the environmental conditions, the Zeppelin can reach a maximum height of about 3000 m above sea level and a maximum speed of about 115 km h\(^{-1}\). The maximum scientific payload is about 1 t (ZLT Zeppelin Luftschiffttechnik GmbH & Co KG). The Zeppelin was equipped with various instruments in different configurations, amongst others a vacuum ultraviolet resonance fluorescence instrument for the measurement of CO (for an instrument description see e.g. Gerbig et al., 1999; Holloway et al., 2000). In addition, meteorological parameters were measured (for more information about ZEPTER-2 and the Zeppelin NT see Häseler et al., 2009).

The airborne measurements were supported by ground-based measurements using a mobile laboratory (a modified van), equipped, amongst others, with a whole air sampler. These air samples were analysed offline using a GC-FID system to determine VOC mixing ratios at ground level (Urban, 2010).

In total, 25 flights were carried out on 14 days between 17 October 2008 and 8 November 2008 at different times of day (including the night), taking off from Friedrichshafen (47°39′ N, 9°28′ E). The ZEBIS whole air sampler was operated during 11 flights between 25 October 2008 and 7 November 2008. The individual flights passed over several regions of different land use: Lake Constance, two forests (Altdorf forest and Tettnang forest), grassland and the city of Ravensburg. In this paper we present data of samples gathered during five flights (see Table 1). The corresponding flight tracks are shown in Fig. 1 and the flight altitude above ground level (AGL) is shown in Fig. 2. Air temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and wind direction are given in Table 2, as far as available.

3.2 Whole air sampler

Ambient whole air samples were collected using the ZEBIS (ZEppelin Based Isotope Sampler) instrument and afterwards analysed in the laboratory using a GC-C-IRMS system. ZEBIS consists of a swing piston compressor (NPK09 DC, KNF Neuberger), a custom-made electrical control unit and two canister modules, each containing four evacuated SilcoCan™ canisters (Restek GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany) with a volume of 6 L (see Fig. 3).

The compressor is operated continuously during a flight. At times when no canister is being pressurised, the exhaust valve at the end of the sample line is opened and the compressor provides a flow of \(\sim 8\) L min\(^{-1}\), thus flushing the system. Each canister is locked by a normally closed solenoid valve (Clippard Europe, S.A., Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium), which can be switched both manually or automatically. To fill a certain canister, the corresponding solenoid valve is opened and the exhaust valve at the end of the sample line is closed simultaneously. When a pressure of 4 bar is reached, both valves are switched again, thereby closing the canister and allowing the sample line to be flushed. During ZEPTER-2 it took \(\sim 3–5\) min to fill one canister, depending on the flight level.

The samples were taken from the meteorology mast in front of the Zeppelin NT gondola using a 10 m inlet line (1/4″ FEP tubing, 3 mm ID, Bohlender GmbH, Grünsfeld, Germany). Particles, OH, ozone, H\(_2\)O and CO\(_2\) might corrupt the measurements of carbon isotopes in VOC. The inlet was equipped with a particle filter (Bohlender GmbH) that removes particles larger than 10 μm. OH radicals are destroyed.
Table 1. Overview of the analysed flights.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>MEZ</th>
<th>Flight area</th>
<th>Flight profile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B 26 Oct 2008</td>
<td>12:45–16:45</td>
<td>Altdorf forest</td>
<td>47°51’ N, 9°41’ E vertical profile</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 2 Nov 2008</td>
<td>12:00–15:30</td>
<td>Tettnang forest</td>
<td>47°38’ N, 9°34’ E constant altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C 2 Nov 2008</td>
<td>16:10–19:00</td>
<td>Tettnang forest</td>
<td>47°38’ N, 9°34’ E constant altitude</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 7 Nov 2008</td>
<td>10:05–14:25</td>
<td>Large scale</td>
<td>48°3’ N–47°29’ N, 9°1’ E–9°48’ E constant altitude</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Basic meteorological metadata for the samples discussed in this paper; the data represent averages of the corresponding variables over the sampling time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Relative humidity (%)</th>
<th>Wind speed (m s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Wind direction (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C10</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C11</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C12</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C13</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C14</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C15</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C16</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

by collision with the line wall. A special ozone-removing device did not seem to be necessary, since the reactions between the aromatic hydrocarbons of interest and ozone are negligible: the reaction constant for the reaction between ozone and toluene is 
\[(1.5 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-23} \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\]
and for the reaction between ozone and benzene 
\[(7 \pm 4) \times 10^{-23} \text{cm}^3 \text{molecule}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}\] (Atkinson and Lloyd, 1984). H₂O is removed in the laboratory system prior to analysis, CO₂ is separated chromatographically from the VOC sample (see Sect. 3.3).

3.3 Laboratory system

The air samples were analysed using a commercial GC-C-IRMS, consisting of (i) a thermal desorption system (TDS) with water traps for sample preparation, combined with a cold injection system (CIS) to focus and transfer the sample to the gas chromatograph; (ii) a gas chromatograph (GC), equipped with a cold trapping system (CTS) to cryo-focus the sample at the beginning of the column; (iii) a combustion furnace to oxidise the VOC to CO₂ and H₂O, followed by a water trap to remove the produced H₂O; and (iv) an isotope ratio mass spectrometer (IRMS) to determine the 13C/12C ratios of the individual VOC. The GC-C-IRMS used in this study is very similar to the system described by Iannone et al. (2007). Therefore, the individual parts of the system are described only briefly here.

The TDS (TDSG Large, Gerstel GmbH&Co. KG, Mülheim a.d. Ruhr, Germany) is used to cryogenically extract the VOC from the whole air sample, to remove the sample humidity and to determine the sample volume. The flow rate during preconcentration was set to 1 L min⁻¹. The water traps are Silcosteel™ treated (Restek GmbH, Bad Homburg, Germany) stainless steel tubes operated at...
a temperature of $-20^\circ$C. The preconcentration trap is packed with glass beads (mesh 60/80) and is operated at $-150^\circ$C. For desorption the trap is heated to $230^\circ$C at a rate of 40°C min$^{-1}$. Following the desorption the VOC sample is dried in two additional water traps, which are also operated at a temperature of $-20^\circ$C. Finally, the VOC are cryo-focussed again in the CIS at a temperature of $-150^\circ$C.

The CIS (KAS 4, Gerstel GmbH&Co. KG) is a glass tube packed with glass beads (mesh 80/100). For desorption of the sample the CIS is heated to 250°C at a rate of 12°C s$^{-1}$.

The VOC are separated from each other and also from the ambient CO$_2$ by gas chromatography. VOC separated on a non-polar J&W DB-5 ms column (30 m × 0.5 µm film × 0.32 mm ID) are transferred to a low polar J&W DB-624 column (30 m × 1.8 µm film × 0.32 mm ID) for additional separation during a single measurement. Prior to compound separation, the VOC sample is cryo-focussed once again in the CTS (Gerstel GmbH&Co. KG). During focussing the CTS is kept at $-150^\circ$C, during desorption the CTS is heated to 250°C at a rate of 12°C s$^{-1}$.

The GC temperature programme starts with a 4 min isothermal phase at $-20^\circ$C followed by a temperature ramp of 4°C min$^{-1}$ to 200°C, followed by a ramp of 10°C min$^{-1}$ to 250°C, and is then held isothermal for 10 min. The average flow velocity of the He carrier gas is set to 40 cm s$^{-1}$.

After separation, the VOC eluting from the GC flow through a commercially available combustion interface (Model GC5-MK1, GV Instruments Ltd., Manchester, UK), where they are oxidised quantitatively to CO$_2$ and H$_2$O. The combustion interface consists of a quartz tube partially situated in a heated zone of 350°C and, further downstream, within a furnace kept at 850°C. The part of the quartz tube within the combustion furnace, where the oxidation takes place, is packed with CuO pellets (size 0.1–0.5 mm), which provide the oxygen necessary for the reaction. The gas flow then passes through a cold trap where water generated during the combustion process is removed. The cold trap consists of a coiled capillary, kept at $-100^\circ$C, as suggested by Leckrone and Hayes (1998).

The CO$_2$ is transferred to the source of an Isoprime IRMS (GV Instruments Ltd., Manchester, UK) through an open split. The three ion beams comprising m/z 44, 45 and 46 are detected by Faraday cup collectors.

At the beginning and the end of each measurement run, pulses of a certified CO$_2$ reference gas (Air Liquide Deutschland GmbH, Düsseldorf, Germany) are introduced as a calibration gas. The $\delta^{13}$C value of the standard gas is $-25.80 \pm 0.2$‰.

Data processing (e.g. peak integration, calibration, correction according to Santrock et al., 1985) is accomplished using the Continuous Flow Data Processing software (GV Instruments Ltd.).

3.3.1 Calibration and characterisation procedure

A custom-made dynamic gas dilution system (for details see Hembeck, 2008) was used to dilute VOC gas standards at ppm levels with dry or humidified N$_2$ to produce working standards with different VOC volume mixing ratios (VMR) at typical ambient levels (ranging from $\sim$100 ppt to some ppb in the case of toluene and benzene) and with different humidities. These standard dilutions were used to calibrate and characterise the GC-C-IRMS system.

A stable mixture of VOC in air (hereafter referred to as “standard A”), diluted down to typical atmospheric volume mixing ratios (0.7 ppb of benzene and 0.2 ppb of toluene), was measured every day during the canister measurements to determine the reproducibility of the measurements (major ion peak areas, isotope ratios) and to identify possible drifts (see Sect. 3.3.5).

After the campaign, a series of measurements of an eight-component working standard (hereafter referred to as “standard B”) with known VOC volume mixing ratios (184±5 ppb of toluene and 335±4 ppb of benzene) was performed under various conditions (concerning dilution and humidity) to check the linearity of the system and to calibrate the detector’s response (major ion peak areas) on VOC mixing ratios (see Sect. 3.3.3) as well as to investigate the impact of sample humidity on both major ion (m/z 44) peak areas and isotope ratios (see Sect. 3.3.4).

3.3.2 Accuracy of the isotope ratios

The IRMS is calibrated during every run with ten peaks of a certified CO$_2$ reference gas (see Sect. 3.3). The error of the mean of the measured isotope ratios for these ten reference gas peaks (expressed as a $\delta^{13}$C value) was always smaller than 0.1 ‰, the uncertainty of the reference gas $\delta^{13}$C value is specified to be 0.2 ‰. Combining these two errors, we estimate the accuracy of the IRMS $\delta^{13}$C measurements to be 0.22 ‰.

It is important to mention, that this is only the accuracy of the last step of the analysis, the isotope ratio measurement of the CO$_2$ gas that reaches the IRMS. During other steps of the analysis, for example during the sample enrichment, some isotopic fractionation may occur. To estimate the accuracy of the measurement process in whole, a VOC standard gas with known VOC $\delta^{13}$C values would be required. Such a standard gas was not available during the measurements reported in this paper, therefore there might be an additional error in the absolute $\delta^{13}$C values.

3.3.3 Detector response and linearity

Since the present study focusses on toluene and benzene, only the calibration of these compounds will be presented here. Sixteen measurements of the diluted standard B at three different levels of VOC volume mixing ratios (0.3–1.3 ppb of...
toluene and 0.6–2.4 ppb of benzene) and at a constant relative humidity of ∼50% were taken into account for the calibration.

The sample volume was 25 Ln for all standard measurements. We found response curves of the type $y(x) = ax$ (Pearson $R > 0.999$) for both compounds (see Fig. 4) for peak areas ranging from 7.9 nAs (4.3 nAs) to 30.5 nAs (18.1 nAs) in the case of benzene (toluene). Since the response curves show neither curvature nor offset over these ranges of peak areas, we extrapolated the response curves down to 0 nAs. Then, the corresponding VMR can be calculated for all benzene (toluene) peak areas, less than 30.5 nAs (18.1 nAs) via:

$$\text{VMR} = \frac{a \times \text{peak area} \times 25 \text{Ln sample volume}}{m}$$

with $a = 75.2 \pm 0.7$ ppt/nAs ($a = 73.0 \pm 1.2$ ppt/nAs). All ZEPTER-2 peak areas are well below these upper limits.

Also, it was checked whether the $\delta^{13}$C values depend on the peak size. Figure 5 shows the averaged isotope ratios of toluene versus the averaged major ion ($m/z$ 44) peak areas for the three dilution steps, the error bars represent the corresponding standard deviations. The peak areas range from 4.3 nAs to 18.1 nAs. A constant fit $y(x) = c$ was applied to the data. All $\delta^{13}$C values agree well with each other within the range of their standard deviations. To fill the gap below 4.3 nAs, we use data from calibration measurements that were carried out during another field campaign in 2010 using the same laboratory system as for the ZEPTER-2 measurements (Linke, 2012). Between the two campaigns, the GC columns were changed and an additional MS for compound identification was integrated, but these changes should not affect the isotope ratios of well-separated peaks like the toluene peak. We found no systematic increase or decrease for 41 dilutions of a stable VOC mixture with toluene peak areas ranging from 0.5 nAs to 6 nAs. A linear fit applied to the data yields a slope of $0.19 \pm 0.04\% \cdot \text{nAs}^{-1}$. Therefore, we assume, that the isotope ratios do not depend on the peak size for toluene peak areas between 0.5 nAs and 18.1 nAs.

### 3.3.4 Influence of sample humidity

If water is not removed from the sample prior to the GC-C-IRMS measurements, it is known to cause a number of problems. It may influence the cryo-enrichment of VOC and thereby the peak areas. It may disturb the gas chromatography which results in unstable retention times. Additionally, if water molecules reach the IRMS, they may form $^{1}$H$^{12}$C$^{16}$O$_{2}^{+}$ ions which have the same mass as $^{13}$C$^{16}$O$_{2}^{+}$ ions and therefore corrupt the isotope ratio measurements (see Leckrone and Hayes, 1998).

To determine the effectiveness of the water traps in use and to estimate the impact of the residual water in the sample, 23 measurements of humidified dilutions of standard B were carried out. The VOC mixing ratios were kept constant (2.9 ppb of benzene and 1.6 ppb of toluene), the relative humidity (RH) level was varied in five steps between 16% and 80%. The sample humidity is hard to control with the gas dilution system and changes during sample enrichment, we estimate the error of the sample humidity to be ∼5% RH.

We found the peak areas to increase with increasing sample humidity (see Fig. 6). For toluene, the peak area changes by less than 7% over the whole humidity range, for benzene the effect is even smaller. Since the VMR calibration (see Sect. 3.3.3) was carried out with standard B dilutions.

---

**Fig. 4.** VOC mixing ratios versus detector response for three dilution steps of standard B; each data point is an average of four to six measurements. The error bars of the VMR reflect the uncertainty of standard B’s composition as well as the uncertainty of the dilution process, the error bars of the average peak areas represent the standard errors. Most error bars are smaller than the symbol size.

**Fig. 5.** Isotope ratios of benzene and toluene versus major ion peak areas for three dilution steps of standard B; each data point is an average of four to six measurements. The error bars of the isotope ratios represent the standard deviations. Error bars of the peak area are not shown, since they are not relevant for the constant fit.
containing 50% RH, we estimate 3.5% to be the upper limit for the humidity-induced error of the measured peak areas. This worst-case estimation is also valid for the ZEPTER-2 samples.

Figure 7 shows averaged δ13C values for the five different sample RH levels mentioned above and also for dry samples. No systematic drift can be seen in the data, also the values for dry samples agree well with all the other values. We therefore conclude, that the isotope ratio measurements are not corrupted by the sample humidity.

3.3.5 Precision of the results

Eleven measurements of standard A were used to determine the precision of the peak areas and the δ13C values during the campaign. Since the precisions are generally expected to depend on the peak size, we selected five well-separated peaks of different sizes (including the benzene and toluene peaks), calculated the standard deviations of the peak areas σA and the δ13C values σδ and plotted these standard deviations versus the mean peak areas A. We estimated the uncertainties of the standard deviations via

\[ \Delta \sigma = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2n}} \]  

where \( n \) is the number of measurements.

Figure 8 shows σA plotted versus A, both given in nAs. We applied a linear fit (Pearson R = 0.81) to the data and derived the following function

\[ \sigma_A = 0.06 \text{nAs} + 1.3\% \times A \]  

which was later used to estimate the precision of the ZEPTER-2 peak areas.

The precision \( \sigma_\delta \) of the measured isotope ratios δ naturally depends on the amount of carbon available for the isotope analysis (i.e. the peak size). Based on counting statistics, one would expect a dependency of the following form (see Brand, 2004, and the references therein):

\[ \sigma_\delta \propto \frac{1}{\sqrt{A}} \]  

Figure 9 shows σ\( _\delta \) (in ‰) plotted versus A (in nAs). Fitting a function of the form

\[ y(x) = ax^b \]

to the data yields an exponent of \( b = -0.6 \pm 0.2 \), which is in agreement with the theory, i.e. Eq. (13). Therefore we
fixed the exponent $b$ to the theoretical value of $b = -0.5$ and repeated the fitting process. Thereby we derived a parameter value of $a = 0.65 \pm 0.09 \%e \sqrt{\text{nAs}}$ and thus the following function to estimate the precision of the ZEPTER-2 isotope ratios:

$$
\sigma_\delta = \frac{0.65 \%e}{\sqrt{A/1 \text{nAs}}}
$$

(15)

This estimation is only reliable for the peak area range covered by the tests. Therefore, only measurements with a peak area of 0.8 nAs or larger are presented and discussed in the following.

4 Results

Unfortunately, we found the benzene peak to overlap with a much smaller peak of a so far unidentified substance in the ZEPTER-2 chromatograms (see Fig.10). It turned out, that this peak overlap corrupts the measured $\delta^{13}$C values of benzene, so we do not use them in the discussion. However, the effect on the benzene VMR is small. A very conservative estimation, based on the maximum peak size of the small peak, yields a maximum error induced on the benzene peak areas of 0.2 nAs.

For every flight presented, we determined the height of the planetary boundary layer (PBL) based on in situ CO measurements with a time resolution of 1 s. Figure 11 shows the vertical profiles of the CO mixing ratios for the five flights. Each profile shows high CO mixing ratios directly above the ground up to a few hundred meters. This height regime is interpreted as the well-mixed PBL. Above the PBL a very sharp decrease of the CO mixing ratio can be seen, marking the transition from the PBL to the lower free troposphere (LFT), followed by the LFT, where CO mixing ratios are relatively constant.

Three samples were excluded from the analysis and do not appear in the figures: (i) two, because the CO data show spike-like structures during the sampling times and therefore the samples are suspected to represent self-matches of the Zeppelin NT and (ii) one which we regard as an outlier because its toluene $\delta^{13}$C value is about 3 ‰ higher than those of the neighbouring data points from the same flight, although the VMR are almost the same. The remaining number of samples is 30, 14 taken in the PBL and 16 in the LFT.

The histogram in Fig. 12 gives an overview of the toluene isotope ratios measured during ZEPTER-2. The mean error...
of the $\delta^{13}$C values is $0.53\%_{e}$. The distributions are strongly asymmetric, indicating that photochemical processes took place in the air mass history. The most frequent $\delta^{13}$C values of the distributions (between $-27\%_{e}$ and $-26\%_{e}$) are in the vicinity of source values reported in the literature: $-26.5\%_{e}$ (v. Czapiewski et al., 2002), between $-28.4\%_{e}$ and $-27.1\%_{e}$ (Rudolph et al., 2002), $-26.1\%_{e}$ and $-27.2\%_{e}$ (Thompson, 2003). As expected, we find higher $\delta^{13}$C values in the LFT than in the PBL, since higher $\delta^{13}$C values correspond to “older” air masses.

The observed VMR range from 88 to 688 ppt in the case of toluene and from 153 to 731 ppt in the case of benzene.

The Figs. 13 and 14 show all measured toluene VMR and $\delta^{13}$C values, respectively, together with the corresponding height of the air samples.

5 Discussion

In the analysis of the ZEPTER-2 data several linear fits were applied. For this purpose, we used a fitting procedure based on the work of Fasano and Vio (1988), to account for the errors on both coordinates.

5.1 $\delta^{13}$C source value

In the data gathered on 25 October 2008, both VMR and $\delta^{13}$C values of toluene show a pronounced vertical profile in the PBL (see Fig. 13 and Fig. 14). The $\delta^{13}$C source value of toluene can be estimated by making the assumption that we observed fresh emissions from the ground mixing into the PBL background air without significant photochemical processing. In this case we can use the concept of two-endpoint-mixing (see Sect. 2). Figure 15 shows the toluene $\delta^{13}$C values versus the inverse VMR. A linear fit yields a toluene $\delta^{13}$C source value of $\delta_0 = -28.2 \pm 0.5\%_{e}$. Since this delta source value is in the range of previous reports (see Sect. 4), the assumption of predominant mixing seems to be justified. Nevertheless, the value might be slightly biased due to photochemical processing, which might be the reason, why it is close to the lower limit of the previously reported values.

5.2 Effective KIE: dilution and photochemical degradation in the free troposphere

For the interpretation of data collected in the free troposphere we assume the following, simplified situation: (i) the PBL is well mixed and therefore at least its “upper surface” is quite homogeneous and constant with respect to toluene
VMR and isotope ratios; (ii) the toluene background concentration in the free troposphere is zero. In other words, all air parcels in the free troposphere, containing toluene in significant amounts, stem from the same source (the PBL) and undergo only dilution and photochemical processing (reactions with the OH radical). Assuming this, we can use Eq. (5) to calculate the effective KIE \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \), which contains information about the time constants of dilution and photochemical degradation.

To do so, we plot the measured toluene \( \delta^{13}C \) values versus the logarithm of the corresponding toluene VMR (see Fig. 16). Since we do not know the value of \( c(0) \), characterising the “source”, and since this value does not influence the calculation of \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} \), we just set \( c(0) = 1 \text{ ppt} \) to get rid of the units. Data from the PBL and the LFT are clearly distinguishable in Fig. 16, except for one sample, which was collected in the LFT but shows a rather PBL-like \( \delta^{13}C/\text{VMR} \) characteristic. Therefore, this sample is excluded from the further analysis.

Fifteen samples from the LFT remain, 12 collected on 2 November 2008 and 3 collected on 7 November 2008. To check whether these samples could be combined to one data set we apply two linear fits: one to the whole data set and one to the data points of 2 November 2008. For the whole data set we find \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = 5.6 \pm 0.9 \% \) and for the data of 2 November 2008 \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = 4.1 \pm 1.1 \% \). These values match within their \( \sigma \)-intervals. Therefore, we conclude that the dynamical and photochemical situation did not change much over the time period from 2 November 2008 to 7 November 2008. This indicates that the results we get by using the whole data set are quite representative for this period. Both fits yield a high correlation coefficient (Pearson \( R = -0.91 \) and \(-0.94 \), respectively), indicating that the data follow the theory nicely and the assumptions (i) and (ii) above are justified.

**Fig. 15.** \( \delta^{13}C \) values of toluene versus the inverse VMR; a linear fit (red line, Pearson \( R = 0.87 \)) is applied to the data.

If we consider the error bars, \( \varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = 5.6 \pm 0.9 \% \) equals \( \varepsilon_{\text{OH}} = 5.95 \pm 0.28 \% \) (see Sect. 2). In other words, our results show no significant influence of dilution in the LFT during the time period we span with our measurements. Decreases of the toluene VMR may be caused only by reactions with OH. Since the free troposphere is much less turbulent than the boundary layer and photochemistry generally plays a dominant role there, our findings seem to be plausible.

### 5.3 Photochemical age

As described in Sect. 2 there are two ways to estimate the photochemical age \([\text{OH}]_{\text{avg}} t\) of the studied compounds in an air mass: on the one hand, based on the VMR ratio of two compounds with different lifetimes and a known emission ratio; on the other hand, based on the isotope ratio \( \delta \) of a single compound with a known source isotope ratio \( \delta_0 \). To distinguish between the two methods, we want to refer to the results based on VMR as \([\text{OH}]_{\text{avg}} t\)\(_{\text{VMR}}\) and to the results based on isotope ratios as \([\text{OH}]_{\text{avg}} t\)\(_{\delta}\). In the further discussion, we assume that toluene and benzene share the same sources (e.g. traffic), so we may use these two compounds to calculate \([\text{OH}]_{\text{avg}} t\)\(_{\text{VMR}}\) and compare the results to \([\text{OH}]_{\text{avg}} t\)\(_{\delta}\) derived from toluene \( \delta \) values.

The VMR emission ratios \( c_0(\text{toluene})/c_0(\text{benzene}) \) reported in the literature range from about two to about four (e.g. Roberts et al., 1984; Gencsér et al., 1997; Kourtidis et al., 2002; de Gouw et al., 2005; Warneke et al., 2007). During ZEPTER-2 ground-based measurements yielded a ratio of 1.8 in the city of Ravensburg (Urban, 2010). Therefore we
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to rising thermals). The PBL can therefore only on average be regarded as a homogenous VOC emission source (reflected by the “correct” slope of the fit line).

6 Summary and conclusions

We collected whole air samples in the PBL and the LFT and afterwards analysed them in the laboratory with respect to toluene and benzene VMR as well as toluene δ13C values. The GC-C-IRMS in use was carefully calibrated and characterised in order to identify possible problems and to determine the measurement errors. For sample volumes of 15L (the typical sample size), the precision of the measured isotope ratios was well below 1‰ at toluene VMR above 100pptV, and below 0.5‰ at VMR above 250pptV.

During a vertical profile flight over the Lake Constance, we sampled air masses containing rather fresh emissions of toluene in the PBL. Using the concept of two-endpoint mixing, we estimated a toluene source isotope ratio of $\delta_0 = -28.2 \pm 0.5\%e$.

We applied the concept of the effective KIE on the data obtained in the LFT and found the toluene VMR in this layer to be affected much more by photochemical degradation than by dilution processes; considering the error bars, we found no evidence for dilution in the LFT. Concerning the VMR and isotope ratio characteristics, samples in the LFT differed strongly from those in the PBL, allowing the two regimes to be clearly distinguished.

The photochemical ages of air masses were calculated in two different ways, based on toluene and benzene VMR as well as toluene isotope ratios. The two methods yielded quite different results for the PBL, probably due to strong mixing in that regime. In the LFT the calculated photochemical ages correlate with a slope of $0.90 \pm 0.31$, indicating again that the effect of mixing processes was rather weak in the LFT during the measurements.

Although the number of measurements in the present study is rather small, our results show that stable carbon isotope ratios of VOC can be measured with sufficient precision in the PBL and the LFT to gain valuable information about photochemical and dynamical processes in the atmosphere. Our study thus is an important proof of concept and encourages further activities, which promise to considerably enhance our knowledge on VOC source characteristics, transport and exchange processes, as well as the photochemical state of air masses.
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![Figure 17. Comparison of photochemical ages: ([OH]avg)VMR (derived from toluene/benzene ratios) versus ([OH]avg)$\delta$ (derived from toluene δ13C values); the source values were estimated rather roughly (see text) and their uncertainties are not included in the error bars. Two linear fits are applied, to PBL data and to free troposphere data, slope 2.55 ± 0.58; blue: to free troposphere data, slope 0.91 ± 0.29; one data point, shown in light blue, from the LFT has a rather PBL-like isotope ratio/mixing ratio characteristic, see Fig. 16, and was therefore excluded from the fit). A line $y = x$ (purple) is shown for comparison.](https://example.com/figure17.png)
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