Complex magnetic behavior and high spin polarization in Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si alloys
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Fe$_2$Si is a ferromagnetic material with possible applications in magnetic tunnel junctions. When doped with Mn, the material shows a complex magnetic behavior, as suggested by older experiments. We employed the Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker Green-function method within density-functional theory in order to study the alloy Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si, with $0 \leq x \leq 1$. Chemical disorder is described within the coherent potential approximation. In agreement with experiment, we find that the Mn atoms align ferromagnetically to the Fe atoms, and that the magnetization and Curie temperature drop with increasing Mn concentration $x$. The calculated spin polarization $P$ at the Fermi level varies strongly with $x$, from $P = -0.3$ at $x = 0$ (ordered Fe$_2$Si) through $P = 0$ at $x = 0.28$, to $P = +1$ for $x > 0.75$; i.e., at high Mn concentrations the system is half metallic. We discuss the origin of the trends of magnetic moments, exchange interactions, Curie temperature, and the spin polarization.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Magnetic intermetallic alloys show very rich physics depending on the degree of doping and chemical disorder, which can therefore be used as “control parameters” allowing us to tune the electronic and magnetic structure for desired effects. In particular, physical properties that are fundamental for technological applications in spintronics, such as the magnetization $M$, Curie temperature $T_C$, or spin polarization $P$ at the Fermi energy, vary strongly with respect to these control parameters.

The alloy Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si, belonging to the wider class of Fe$_{3-x}$TM$_x$Si alloys with TM a transition-metal element, is an example of such dependence on the degree of doping. As the Mn concentration increases, the magnetization of Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si drops continuously from $5 \mu_B$ to zero; its temperature-dependent magnetic properties change from high-$T_C$ ($\approx 800$ K) ferromagnetism, through low-$T_C$ ferromagnetism with re-entrant behavior at 70 K, to complex noncollinear magnetism; its calculated spin polarization increases from $-30\%$ to the ideal, half metallic $+100\%$, and then drops again due to the noncollinear behavior. These observations are not new, however, there is a recent revival of the interest in Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si due to potential applications in magnetic tunnel junctions.

Motivated by this revival, we present here a theoretical study of the electronic and magnetic properties of Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si for $0 < x < 1$ based on ab initio calculations. The choice of concentration range is motivated by the specific site preference of Mn for $x < 1$, so that the resulting state is ferromagnetic as we see later; for $x > 1$, noncollinear magnetic configurations can occur. We provide an interpretation of the magnetization drop as a function of concentration in terms of wave-function symmetry and hybridization, together with the requirement for local charge neutrality. We further propose that the increase in spin polarization up to the half metallic point is due to the same mechanisms that cause the magnetization drop. Moreover, after extracting exchange interactions from the ab initio results, we calculate the Curie temperature using a Monte Carlo approach, and are able to reproduce the drop of $T_C$ as a function of Mn concentration. Finally, we discuss where our results do not agree with experiment, and we propose a possible reason for the disagreement; this is particularly the case for the re-entrant behavior and the value of magnetization at high Mn concentrations.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II we summarize the experimental and theoretical background on Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si. Section III is devoted to the description of our calculational approach. We continue with a presentation of our results on the magnetization and spin polarization in Secs. IV and V and of the Curie temperature in Sec. VI. In Sec. VII we discuss the limitations of our approach, their consequences, and possibilities for a more accurate description. Our conclusions are summarized in Sec. VIII.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

A. Experiment

Quite a few experimental studies have been done on the magnetic properties of Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si, revealing a highly complex magnetic behavior dependent on the Mn concentration $x$. Here we recall the main results of these experiments, with emphasis on the concentration range $0 < x < 1$, which interests us in the present work. At high concentrations, one reaches the Fe-doped Mn$_3$Si compound, which exhibits more complicated properties; e.g., Mn$_3$Si is an incommensurate antiferromagnet with a Néel temperature of about 25 K, while Fe$_3$Si is a ferromagnet.

Structure and site preference. Fe$_2$Si crystallizes in the D0$_3$ structure consisting of a fcc lattice with four basis atoms (see Fig. 1). These are placed at $(0,0,0)$ (Si atom), $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ (Fe$_A$ atom), $(\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2})$ (Fe$_B$ atom), $(\frac{3}{4},\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4})$ (Fe$_C$ atom), where $a$ is the lattice constant. The Fe$_A$ and Fe$_C$ atoms are tetrahedrally coordinated to four Fe$_B$ and four Si atoms and exhibit equivalent electronic ground-state properties due to symmetry. The Fe$_B$ atoms are octahedrally coordinated to eight
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Curie temperature. The Curie temperature drops as a function of the Mn concentration x, from about $T_C \approx 800$ K at $x = 0$ to $T_C = 0$ K at $x \approx 1.75$; i.e., for $x > 1.75$ no saturation magnetization is found, while the sample is at a complex noncollinear magnetic state. At $x = 0.75$, where the re-entrant magnetic behavior sets in, $T_C = 375$ K and $T_K \approx 40$ K, while at $x = 1$, $T_C \approx 220$ K and $T_K \approx 70$ K.

Thermodynamic properties. The anomalous behavior at $T_K$ also shows in measurements of thermodynamic quantities. In particular, Smith et al. have measured a specific-heat anomaly at $T_K$, most pronounced for the $x = 1$ compounds. Furthermore, Miles et al. report a sharp peak of the thermal-expansion coefficient at $T_K = 60$ K for the Fe$_2$MnSi alloy (i.e., $x = 1$), while they find no such pronounced behavior at $T_C$.

Heat treatment. As reported in Ref. 2, the sample preparation included a 24-h heating at 830 °C and water quenching; a different heat treatment (21 days at 550 °C and slow cooling) for samples with $0.95 < x < 1.25$ appeared to increase $M_0$, bringing it closer to $M_{extr}$, but had no effect on the values of $T_K$ or $T_C$. Ziebeck and Webster also used an annealing treatment at over 800 °C for 24 h and water quenching. Miles et al. used two samples, one quenched from 800 °C and one slowly cooled, with no change in the expansion coefficient and its anomaly at $T_K$.

B. Theory

Only few theoretical results exist on the electronic structure of Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$Si. Mohn and Supanetz employed an augmented spherical wave method and the local spin-density approximation (LSDA) to density-functional theory to examine noncollinear states in the ordered alloys Fe$_2$Si, Fe$_3$MnSi (with the Mn atom at the B site), Fe$_2$Mn$_2$Si (with one Mn atom at the B site and one at the A site), and Mn$_3$Si. For Fe$_2$Si they found a ferromagnetic ground state, while noncollinear ground states were found for all other compounds. In particular for Fe$_2$MnSi, which is of interest here, Mohn and Supanetz found a local energy minimum at the ferromagnetic state, with a lower minimum for a spin spiral along the [111] axis, at a q vector of $\frac{2\pi}{a}(0.45,0.45,0.45)$. According to their calculation, the ground state was an antiferromagnetic state, with the Mn moments alternating along the [111] axis, while the moment direction was canted off the [111] direction by about 60°. The energy difference between the noncollinear ground state and the ferromagnetic state in Fe$_2$MnSi was reported to be around 0.8 mRyd (10.9 meV). A canting of the magnetic
magnitudes below \( T_B \) was also assumed by Yoon and Booth\(^{2,8} \) in order to explain the neutron-scattering data.

More recently, \textit{ab initio} calculations were presented\(^{18} \) on Fe\(_{3-x}\)Mn\(_x\)Si for \( 0 \leq x \leq 0.5 \) using the supercell method. Mn was considered to occupy the \( B \) site. It was found that the spin moments of Fe at the \( A \) and \( C \) sites are reduced in the presence of Mn nearest neighbors, which induces a redistribution of the Fe-A\(_x\)C states; a drop of the total moment with increasing Mn concentration was observed and attributed to the Fe-A\(_x\)C moments. Furthermore, \textit{ab initio} calculations on Fe\(_{3-x}\)Mn\(_x\)Si and Fe\(_{3-x}\)Mn\(_x\)Si\(_x\) alloys were presented in Ref. 19; the calculations here agree with the previous results that the magnetic moments of the \( A \) and \( C \) sites drop as a function of Mn concentration, while it is found that the \( B \)-site atomic moments increase. The Fe moments appear to be higher than the Mn moments, so that the \( B \)-site average moment does not change much.

Further theoretical work appears in parallel with experiments. Szymański \textit{et al.}\(^{20} \) examined the spin dynamics of Fe\(_{3-x}\)Mn\(_x\)Si using neutron scattering at room temperature and at liquid-nitrogen temperature, and fitted their results to effective interatomic exchange integrals which enter a Heisenberg Hamiltonian. The fitted values of the exchange constants depend on the number of neighbors considered; the nearest-neighbor exchange for Fe\(_3\)Si ranges between 10 and 20 meV. Brown and co-workers\(^{21} \) analyze the behavior of the magnetic moments based on symmetry arguments and on a model by Swintendick\(^7 \) and conclude that, as the Mn concentration increases, the reduction of the exchange splitting leads to the drop of the Fe-A\(_x\)C moment.

### III. METHOD OF CALCULATION, MODELS, AND CUT-OFF PARAMETERS

Our electronic-structure calculations are based on density-functional theory within the generalized gradient approximation (GGA)\(^{22} \) to account for exchange and correlation effects. The local spin-density approximation\(^{23} \) was also used for comparison and proved to be inadequate for the prediction of the correct magnetic ground state at low and intermediate Mn concentrations (see Sec. IV). Calculations were also performed within the “single-shot GGA,”\(^{24} \) where, using the self-consistent LSDA spin density, \( \rho_{\text{LSDA}} \), the total energy is calculated within the GGA functional \( E_{\text{GGA}}[\rho] \); i.e., one calculates \( E_{\text{GGA}}[\rho_{\text{LSDA}}] \). This approach is based on the idea that \( \rho_{\text{LSDA}} \), as a trial density, is not too far from the self-consistent GGA density \( \rho_{\text{GGA}} \); so that, due to the variational character of the energy functional, \( E_{\text{GGA}}[\rho_{\text{LSDA}}] \approx E_{\text{GGA}}[\rho_{\text{GGA}}] \). The single-shot GGA is known, for instance, to correct the LSDA overbonding, giving an improved equilibrium lattice parameter, very close to the one predicted by the GGA,\(^{24} \)

The Kohn-Sham equations are solved in most cases within the full-potential Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker (KKR) Green function method\(^{25} \) with exact treatment of the atomic cell shapes.\(^{26} \) We took an angular momentum cutoff of \( l_{\text{max}} = 3 \) for the Green function and \( l_{\text{max}} = 2l_{\text{max}} + 6 \) for the potential and charge-density expansion, and an integration mesh of \( 30 \times 30 \times 30 \) points in the full Brillouin zone. Equal-volume Wigner-Seitz cells were used for all types of atoms with an inscribed sphere radius of \( \sqrt{2}a/4 = 1.999 \) Å. The substitutional disorder was described within the coherent potential approximation (CPA). For the calculation of magnetically noncollinear states and static magnon spectra we employed the full-potential linearized augmented plane-wave (FLAPW) method as implemented in the FLEUR code,\(^{30} \) using a plane-wave cutoff of \( k_{\text{max}} = 4 \) a.u.\(^{-1} \), an angular momentum cutoff of \( l_{\text{max}} = 8 \), muffin-tin radii of 1.19 Å for Fe and Mn and 1.222 Å for Si, and a \( 17 \times 17 \times 17 \) k-point mesh in the full Brillouin zone. The FLAPW code was also used to cross check the KKR results in some cases. Relativistic effects were taken into consideration within the scalar relativistic approximation, whereas spin-orbit coupling was not accounted for.

Since the lattice parameter varies only slightly\(^9 \) in the range \( 0 < x < 1 \) we used the experimental value at \( x = 0 \), \( a = 5.653 \) Å, in all calculations.

Magnetic excitations are modeled within a classical Heisenberg Hamiltonian,

\[
H = -\sum_{ij} J_{ij} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \cdot \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j, \quad (1)
\]

\[
= -\sum_{ij} \hat{J}_{ij} \hat{M}_i \cdot \hat{M}_j, \quad (2)
\]

where \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_i \) and \( \hat{\mathbf{e}}_j \) are unit vectors along the directions of the spin moments, \( \hat{M}_i \) and \( \hat{M}_j \), at sites \( i \) and \( j \), while the exchange pair-interaction constants \( J_{ij} \) reflect the energy cost for the mutual tilting of the moments. It is sometimes convenient for the discussion to use form (2) with \( \hat{J}_{ij} = J_{ij}/(\hat{M}_i \cdot \hat{M}_j) \). The constants \( J_{ij} \) were extracted from the spin-dependent KKR structural Green functions \( G_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(E) \) and \( t \) matrices \( t_{\uparrow\downarrow}(E) \) by virtue of the Liechtenstein formula,\(^{31} \)

\[
J_{ij} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \text{Im} \text{Tr} \int E G_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(t_{\uparrow\downarrow} - t_{\downarrow\uparrow}^\ast) G_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(t_{\uparrow\downarrow}^\ast - t_{\downarrow\uparrow}) \, dE. \quad (3)
\]

Here, \( G_{\uparrow\downarrow}^{\uparrow\downarrow}(E) \) and \( t_{\uparrow\downarrow}(E) \) are matrices in angular momentum space and \( \text{Tr} \) denotes a trace over the angular momentum indices \( (im) \).

Having calculated the exchange constants, the Curie temperature of the compounds was calculated within a Monte Carlo method. For this purpose, exchange constants of atom pairs \( (i, j) \) with distance up to 2.18 lattice constants were used; the simulation supercells included 1536 magnetic atoms (512 unit cells). The Curie temperature was identified through the characteristic peak of the calculated susceptibility. The method, either in combination with Monte Carlo simulations or with the random-phase approximation for the solution of the Heisenberg model, has proven useful for the calculation of the exchange constants and Curie temperature with a 10–15% accuracy in several cases, including elemental ferromagnets and intermetallic alloys.\(^{32-34} \)

### IV. GROUND-STATE MAGNETIC MOMENTS AND CONFIGURATION

The calculated magnetic moments (per atom and total) as a function of concentration are depicted in Fig. 2. Here the Mn atoms were assumed to reside at the \( B \) site for all \( x \). Evidently, the \( B \)-site atoms (Fe and Mn alike) are generally in a high spin state, while the Fe atoms at the \( A \) and \( C \)
sites are in a low-spin state. A small, monotonic increase of the B-site atomic moment is observed as a function of the Mn concentration: the FeB moment ranges between 2.6$\mu_B$ and 2.9$\mu_B$, while the Mn moment ranges between 2.1$\mu_B$ and 2.6$\mu_B$. In strong contrast, the FeA,C moments drop significantly as the Mn content increases, from 1.3$\mu_B$ for pure Fe3Si to 0.2$\mu_B$ for the ordered Fe2MnSi alloy. This drop of the FeA,C moment causes the decrease in the total magnetic moment per unit cell.

This trend is in agreement with experimental findings\textsuperscript{2,8,12} that the average moment at the B site is high and remains more or less unaffected by Mn doping, while the A- and C-site moments drop significantly. (A deviation from the experimental result is found for $x \gtrsim 0.8$, where there is experimental evidence for re-entrant behavior and reordering of spins.) The trend can be understood by an analysis of the density of states and an understanding of the different wave-function hybridization of MnB-FeA,C and FeB-FeA,C atoms; we defer the discussion to Sec. V.

The charges in the Wigner-Seitz cells differ only slightly from neutrality. The FeA,C atoms carry an excess electron charge, compensated mainly by the Si atom. The results are summarized in Table I.

In the calculation, the Mn dopants can be chosen to align ferromagnetically or antiferromagnetically to the Fe3Si matrix. Total-energy calculations are then needed to identify the correct ground state, which experimentally is found to be ferromagnetic,\textsuperscript{12} at least for concentrations $x < 0.75$. The calculations within the GGA show that the ferromagnetic state is stable for all Mn concentrations $0 < x \lesssim 1$ (see Fig. 3). However, the result within the LSDA is that the antiferromagnetic state is more stable than the ferromagnetic one for $x \lesssim 0.35$, in clear disagreement with experiment;\textsuperscript{12} actually, for $0.2 \lesssim x \lesssim 0.8$, the LSDA lowest energy is found in a disordered local moment state of the form Fe$_{2-x}$Mn$_x$Si$_{1-y}$Mn$_y$Si, as the Mn atoms progressively change the moment orientation from antiferromagnetic (Mn$^\uparrow$) to ferromagnetic (Mn$^\downarrow$). This indicates a possible noncollinear LSDA ground state (our calculations for the disordered alloys were always magnetically collinear). The dispute between the LSDA and GGA results at low concentrations was cross checked and verified by a calculation within the FLAPW method, where a low Mn concentration of $x = 0.125$ was approximated by construction of a large supercell (the same lattice parameter was used in LSDA and GGA calculations; see Sec. III).

The failure of the LSDA to predict the correct magnetic ground state can be attributed to the exchange and correlation part of the total energy, rather than the single-particle energies. We arrive at this conclusion for two reasons. First, the GGA density of states is very similar to the LSDA density of states (when both are calculated in the ferromagnetic configuration). Second, we attested our suggestion by using the single-shot GGA (described in Sec. III), which changes only the exchange-correlation part of the total energy, while retaining the LSDA single-particle energies. The total-energy results are then improved significantly, although not entirely, toward the correct magnetic ground state as can be seen in Fig. 3. We note that there is no general rule favoring the GGA over the LSDA as far as the magnetic properties are concerned. For example, long-wavelength spin-wave spectra calculated within the adiabatic approximation agree rather well with experiment if the LSDA is used (see, e.g., Pajda et al.\textsuperscript{35} for Fe, Co, and Ni, or Buczek et al.\textsuperscript{36} for intermetallic alloys).

![FIG. 2. (Color online) Calculated magnetic moments per atom and total magnetic moment in the unit cell as a function of Mn concentration in Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$Si.](image1)

![FIG. 3. (Color online) Results of total-energy calculations on the magnetic state of Fe$_{1-x}$Mn$_x$Si. The energy difference $\Delta E = E(\text{AF}) - E(\text{FM})$ between the antiferromagnetic and the ferromagnetic alignment of Mn atoms with respect to the Fe$_B$ atoms is shown ($\Delta E$ represents here values per unit cell). The GGA predicts the correct ferromagnetic state, while the LSDA yields an antiferromagnetic orientation of the Mn moments with respect to the Fe$_B$Si matrix at low concentrations, which turns to ferromagnetic at high concentrations. A single-shot GGA calculation improves the LSDA result somewhat, but not quite.](image2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>FeA,C</th>
<th>FeB</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>$-0.15$</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>$-0.18$</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>$-0.19$</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are even reported cases, such as Fe$_3$Al, where GGA gives the wrong crystal structure, while LSDA corrects the structure as well as the magnetic moments.

V. DENSITY OF STATES, MAGNETIC MOMENTS, AND HALF METALLIC BEHAVIOR

A. Trends of DOS with concentration; magnetic moments

The density of states (DOS) of Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si at various concentrations $x$ is depicted in Fig. 4. The gross features have been analyzed in the past in studies of full Heusler alloys. Most important points specifically for our discussion are as follows: (i) The hybridization of $d$ states of $t_{2g}$ character of the $B$-site atom (Fe or Mn) with $t_{2g}$ states of the $A$- and $C$-site Fe atoms. (ii) The strong-ferromagnet character of the $B$ atoms as opposed to the weak-ferromagnet character of the $(A,C)$ atoms. (iii) The progressive shift, for charge-neutrality reasons, of the majority-spin states at the concentration is increased, dragging with them the ($B,C$) atoms is allowed only among the states of $t_{2g}$ or the ones of $e_g$ character; by symmetry, the $t_{1u}$ and $e_u$ states remain oblivious to the $d$ states of their $B$-site neighbors. The symmetry-decomposed DOS is shown in Fig. 5 for Fe$_3$Si, and is completely analogous in Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si, with the peaks appropriately shifted as discussed below.

(ii) On the one hand, the local DOS of the $B$-site atoms (either Fe or Mn) has in all cases the characteristics of the DOS of a strong ferromagnet. Namely, the $B$-site local DOS of one spin direction (here spin down) is very low at $E_F$ and in a region around $E_F$, as is evident by inspection of Fig. 4. Consequently, on-site transfer between spin-up and spin-down charge is energetically expensive, because a strong shift of $d$ bands is involved. This, together with the requirement of local charge neutrality in a metallic system, stabilizes the local atomic moment of Fe or Mn at the $B$ site against perturbations (such as change of concentration or

![Figure 4](image-url)

FIG. 4. (Color online) Spin- and atom-resolved density of states of Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si alloys for Mn concentrations $0 \leq x \leq 1$. In all cases, the Mn atom taken to reside at the $B$ site. In (a) and (b), arrows indicate the positions of the DOS peaks near $E_F$ which are responsible for the moment trends, as discussed in the text. The calculations were done within the Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker (KKR)-CPA. In each plot, the upper panel corresponds to spin-up (majority-spin) DOS and the lower panel (with inverted ordinate) to spin-down (minority-spin) DOS. The shaded area (orange area inside the red lines) corresponds to Mn, the full blue line to Fe$_B$, and the double green line to Fe$_{A,C}$.  
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On the other hand, the local DOS of the FeA,C atoms has the characteristics of a weak ferromagnet, i.e., d states of both spin directions are present at and around $E_F$. This allows for energetically cheap transfer between spin-up density and spin-down density, and therefore relatively easy change of moment. Note from Fig. 2 that the drop of the FeA,C-moment is strongest for $0.2 < x < 0.4$, at the same concentration range when the FeA,C DOS at $E_F$ is large for both spins in Fig. 4, i.e., when the weak-ferromagnet character is most evident; before $x = 0.2$ there is a DOS valley at $E_F$ for spin up, and after $x = 0.4$ there is a valley for spin down. The weak-ferromagnet behavior of FeA,C is favored by the $t_{1u}$ and $e_u$ states forming the spin-down peak of FeA,C around $E_F$ in Fe3Si.

(iii) Now we consider the consequences of observations (i) and (ii) (see Fig. 6). We first focus on the B site in Fe3-xMnSi. Since a metal must show approximate local charge neutrality, the Mn states must be appropriately shifted with respect to those of Fe so that one less electron is accommodated by Mn. This is achieved by a shift of the spin-up $t_{2g}$ and $e_g$ peaks, which are just under $E_F$ for the FeB atom, so that they fall at $E_F$ for the Mn atom [as indicated by arrows in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)]. Now, there is a peak of $t_{2g}$ character [also indicated by arrows in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)] in the FeA,C DOS, associated by hybridization to the aforementioned B-site peak. This, at low Mn concentrations, is associated more to the FeB DOS, while at high Mn concentrations it is associated more to the Mn DOS. At intermediate concentrations, as the Mn content increases, the FeA,C peak is dragged to higher energies and starts crossing the Fermi level, depriving the

FeA,C atoms of spin-up charge. This is readily compensated (to maintain local charge neutrality) by a shift of spin-down FeA,C $t_{1u}$ states from $E_F$ to slightly below $E_F$, gaining spin-down charge. The net effect is a reduction of the FeA,C spin moment, accompanied by the appearance of a spin-down gap at $E_F$, i.e., the signature of a half metallic behavior. Thus we see that the $t_{2g}$-$t_{2g}$ hybridization between states at the B and A,C sites, together with the requirement of local charge neutrality, leads to the drop of FeA,C moment as the Mn concentration increases.

In spite of the calculated drop of the magnetization per formula unit, the values are still too high compared to experiment, although they are in agreement with previous calculations. For Fe2MnSi, the calculated value is $3\mu_B$, while the experiment gives $M_0 \approx 1.5\mu_B$ and $M_{\text{extr}} \approx 2.3\mu_B$. We propose an explanation of this discrepancy in Sec. VII.

B. Spin polarization and transition to half metallic behavior

The spin polarization $P$ at $E_F$ is defined as

$$ P = \frac{n_\uparrow(E_F) - n_\downarrow(E_F)}{n_\uparrow(E_F) + n_\downarrow(E_F)} $$

with $n_\uparrow(E_F)$ and $n_\downarrow(E_F)$, respectively, the spin-up and spin-down DOS at $E_F$. The mechanism described in the previous subsection, involving a shift of the peaks around $E_F$, leads to a
drastic change of $P$ as a function of the Mn concentration. This is visualized in Fig. 7. At low $x$, $P$ is negative, approximately $-0.3$ (the negative sign means that the DOS at $E_F$ is dominated by minority-spin carriers). At higher concentrations $P$ crosses zero and reaches high positive values, as the spin-up DOS peak shifts to $E_F$, while the spin-down peak is retracted below $E_F$. At around $x = 0.75$ the spin polarization reaches the highest possible value of $P = 1$, and the alloy becomes half metallic. At this point the (experimentally found) Curie temperature is about $370$ K. A half metallic behavior of ordered Fe$_2$MnSi was also found in previous calculations.3,18,19,38 This is a rare occasion in which a continuous change of a material parameter—here the Mn concentration—results in a continuous change of the spin polarization over such an extended range. Assuming that this effect is present in experiment, it could be efficiently used to compare to each other various experimental methods of probing the spin polarization (such as spin-polarized photoemission spectroscopy, positron annihilation, Andreev reflection, or tunneling magnetoresistance). It should be noted that the half metallic behavior is already present at $x = 0.75$, i.e., before the start of the re-entrant behavior.

VI. EXCHANGE INTERACTIONS AND CURIE TEMPERATURE

The exchange pair-interaction constants $J_{ij}$ were calculated for several Mn concentrations as described in Sec. III. They are plotted as a function of distance in Fig. 8. In all cases, we find that the dominant contribution comes from the first-neighbor interaction $J_1$ between the site-$B$ atom (Mn or Fe) and Fe$_A$,$C$. The Fe$_B$-Fe$_{A,C}$ interaction, $J_1$(Fe$_B$-Fe$_B$), is between 20 and 10 meV, depending on concentration, and the Mn$_B$-Fe$_{A,C}$ interaction, $J_1$(Mn$_B$-Fe$_B$), is between 3 and 5 meV; next-nearest-neighbor interactions (Fe-Fe, Mn-Mn or Fe-Mn) are typically at least one order of magnitude weaker. Therefore we expect the Curie temperature trend with concentration to follow the behavior of the averaged $J_1$, at least qualitatively.

The trends of $J_1$ with concentration are depicted in Fig. 9, together with the moment of the Fe$_{A,C}$ atoms, $M$(Fe$_{A,C}$). There is a clear correlation between $J_1$(Fe$_B$-Fe$_{A,C}$) and $M$(Fe$_{A,C}$), while $J_1$(Mn$_B$-Fe$_{A,C}$) seems unaffected by the drop of $M$(Fe$_{A,C}$). We now discuss these observations. The pair-interaction energies $E_{ij} = -J_{ij} \hat{e}_i \cdot \hat{e}_j$, determined by the electronic structure, contain the absolute value of the atomic spin moments in a nontrivial way. By this we mean that, if the moments are varied by some external parameter (e.g., here,
by changing the concentration), $E_{ij}$ can be affected either just by the variation of the absolute value of the moment [as suggested by the alternative form derived from Eq. (2), $E_{ij} = -J_{ij}M_i \cdot M_j$], or also by an alteration of the exchange mechanism, which is induced by the change of the electronic structure via the external parameter and affects the constants $J_{ij}$.

Apparently, in Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si we are faced with both situations. On the one hand, the dominant trend for $J_{ij}$(Fe-Fe) comes from the reduction of the Fe$_{A,C}$ moment as the Mn concentration $x$ increases, although there seems to be also an alteration of $J_{ij}$, since the drop of $M$(Fe$_{A,C}$) is faster than the drop of $J_1$. On the other hand, $J_{1}$(Mn-Fe) is left practically unaltered despite the strong reduction of $M$(Fe$_{A,C}$). In order to interpret this, we again observe the shifting of the peak, indicated by an arrow in the Fe$_{A,C}$ DOS in Fig. 4, as a function of $x$. This hybridization-induced peak coincides more and more with its associated Mn peak as the Mn concentration is increased. Since the Fermi level bisects the Mn peak (and increasingly more the Fe$_{A,C}$ peak), the double-exchange mechanism sets in progressively more and more, favoring ferromagnetic alignment of the moments. (We remind the reader that the double-exchange mechanism is present when half filled states of the same spin hybridize with each other, resulting in a band broadening and a gain in energy; in a tight-binding picture, the kinetic energy is lowered by the interatomic hopping of electrons, allowed by the half filled band.) Thus the progressive shift of the indicated peak in the Fe$_{A,C}$ DOS causes two competing effects: a reduction of the moment $M$(Fe$_{A,C}$), as discussed in Sec. V and a strengthening of the Mn-Fe pair exchange interaction. These effects by and large cancel each other in the Heisenberg energy expression, and the net result is only a weak dependence of $J_{1}$(Mn-Fe) on concentration.

We close the discussion on the exchange parameters with the following comments on the calculations. In the present work, the exchange constants were calculated starting from the ground-state (ferromagnetic) configuration. As a test, however, we calculated the Mn-Fe exchange constants starting from the antiferromagnetic (AF) state (i.e., with the Mn moments antiferromagnetically aligned to the Fe$_3$Si matrix) for a Mn concentration of $x = 0.1$, and compared with the result starting from the ferromagnetic (FM) ground state. As can be seen in Fig. 10, there is a strong qualitative difference in the calculated value of the nearest-neighbor Mn-Fe interaction in the two cases: Starting from FM, we obtain a tendency to retain ferromagnetism (positive exchange constant); while starting from the AF state, we obtain a tendency to retain antiferromagnetism (negative exchange constant). For the more distant neighbors the two calculations give quantitative, but not so much qualitative, differences. This discrepancy is not observed at higher Mn concentrations, when the electronic structure via the external parameter and affects the constants $J_{ij}$.

![FIG. 10. (Color online) Calculated Mn-Fe exchange interaction $J_{ij}$ via the Liechtenstein formula (3) for a Mn concentration of $x = 0.1$, starting from two different configurations. Left: ferromagnetic starting point (i.e., with the Mn moments ferromagnetically aligned to the Fe$_3$Si matrix), which is also the ground state. Right: antiferromagnetic starting point (i.e., with the Mn moments antiferromagnetically aligned to the Fe$_3$Si matrix). There is a strong qualitative difference in the dominant nearest-neighbor interaction, emphasized by an exclamation mark, while the more distant interactions change quantitatively, but not so much qualitatively. This discrepancy is not observed at higher Mn concentrations, when the electronic structure of the Fe$_{A,C}$ atoms at $E_F$ is dictated more by the hybridization of their $d$ states with the Mn $d$ states. The lines are guides to the eye.](https://example.com/figure10)

GGA result. This reflects also the magnon spectra that are discussed in Sec. VII. Such a discrepancy between LSDA and GGA does not show up for Fe$_3$Si.

Calculated Curie temperatures $T_C$ of Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si are shown in Table II together with experimental results. The experimental finding of a reduction of $T_C$ with increasing concentration is reproduced, although the calculated results systematically underestimate the experimental values. The reason for this trend is obviously the reduction of $J_1$(Fe-Fe) as a function of concentration, in conjunction with the comparatively low values of $J_{1}$(Mn-Fe) which become important at high concentrations. Note that, at $x = 1$, the Fe$_3$MnSi alloy is found experimentally to possess a degree of disorder in the form of a Mn$_B$-Fe$_{A,C}$ swap of 12%. When considering such a swap in the calculations, we found an increased $T_C$ of 200 K, mainly because the Fe atoms replacing Mn at the $B$ site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$T_C$ (K) (expt.)</th>
<th>$T_C$ (K) (calc.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>160 (ordered; Mn at $B$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>200 (12% Mn at A,C)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II. Calculated Curie temperature of Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si at $x = 0$, 0.5, and 1. Experimental results (Ref. 2) are also shown.
have a stronger exchange interaction with the FeA,FeB neighbors. However, a possible Mn clustering at these concentrations, which could affect the value of the exchange interactions, cannot be taken into account within the CPA. This is discussed in more detail in the next section.

Before closing this section, we show an interesting behavior of the Mn sublattice magnetization at low or intermediate concentrations. Kepa et al.39 and Ersetz et al.40 find experimentally that the MnB moment at room temperature is significantly lower than what is reported close to $T = 0$ K. E.g., for $x = 0.55$, $M({\text{Mn}}) = 1.42\mu_B$ at room temperature instead of $2.2\mu_B$. Therefore they propose that the Mn average moment drops with temperature faster than the Fe moment. This is reproduced by our Monte Carlo simulations, and is due to the weak coupling of Mn to FeA,FeB compared to the coupling of FeB to FeA,FeC. As we see in Fig. 11, the Mn magnetization curve does not follow the critical-behavior form with an inflection point of the Fe curve, but rather drops almost linearly. This is also reflected in the Mn sublattice susceptibility (not shown here), which does not show a peak at $T = T_C$.

VII. DISCUSSION ON THE RE-ENTRANT BEHAVIOR; LIMITATIONS OF PRESENT CALCULATIONS

In Sec. II we summarized what is known on the anomalous behavior of the magnetization of Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si for $x > 0.75$. The magnetization $M(T)$ increases for $0 < T < T_R$ (contrary to the behavior in a usual ferromagnet), then decreases again up to $T_C$; $T_R$ varies with concentration, starting from low values at $x = 0.75$ and saturating at about 70–80 K at $x = 1$. This so-called re-entrant behavior and the resulting re-ordered phase, which has been the focus of many experimental works, could not be reproduced by calculations within the approximations used in the present work.

There is experimental evidence,2,10 based on neutron-scattering data, that the re-entrant behavior arises from transverse ordering of the MnB magnetic moments. This means that, in the ground state, the Mn moments are partly canted to the direction of average magnetization. As the temperature is increased, the Mn moments absorb energy by aligning their spins and thus the average magnetization increases.

Mohn and Supanetz performed first-principles (LSDA-based) calculations of noncollinear magnetic structures for spin spirals of several wave vectors $\vec{q}$. For ordered Fe$_2$MnSi they found that the ferromagnetic state represents a local minimum, while a spin spiral of wave vector $\vec{q} = (2\pi/\alpha)(0.45,0.45,0.45)$ has a lower energy by about 0.75 mRyd (10.2 meV). The absolute energy minimum which they found is very slightly lower, for a state which shows a canted antiferromagnetic ordering of the Mn moments along the $[111]$ axis, with a canting angle of 60° with respect to the $[111]$ axis.

We also performed calculations of magnetically noncollinear spin spirals along the $[111]$ axis using the FLAPW method.30 However, the results of Ref. 17 were not reproduced. Our results are shown in Fig. 12. Calculations within both the LSDA and the GGA show a ferromagnetic ground state (i.e., a global minimum at $\vec{q} = 0$), while a local minimum is found close to $\vec{q} = (2\pi/\alpha)(0.45,0.45,0.45)$, i.e., at the point where the spin–spiral minimum is found in Ref. 17. The energy difference between the two (local and global) minima is of the order of 11 meV within the LSDA and 13 meV within the GGA. We do not know the origin of the discrepancy between our calculations and the calculations of Ref. 17. A possible source of discrepancy is the use of a spherical potential approximation in Ref. 17, as opposed to a full potential calculation here.

However, these noncollinear calculations (ours as well as the ones of Ref. 17) neglect a 12% Mn-Fe swap which is seen experimentally in Fe$_2$MnSi; i.e., experimentally this alloy has a small degree of disorder. Given the small calculated energy differences between the local and global minima (about 10 meV per formula unit), a correct description of this swap can have important consequences. We attempted to model the swap by calculating the electronic structure of (Fe$_{0.94}$Mn$_{0.06}$)$_{A,C}$Fe$_{0.12}$Mn$_{0.88}$Si within the CPA; here, the indexes (A,B,C) refer to the corresponding positions in the unit cell. The magnetic structure was subsequently investigated in two ways. First, by calculating the exchange constants and relaxing the magnetic configuration at $T = 0$ K by a Monte Carlo simulation. Second, by calculating a disordered...
local moment state at the $B$ site within the CPA (in the way described in Sec. IV). However, in all cases the outcome was a ferromagnetic ground state.

What is missing within the CPA description is the short-range configurational order, i.e., the possibility to describe clustering of MnA,C atoms around MnB atoms. We speculate that this swap and clustering causes the canting of some MnB moments by interaction with the neighboring MnA,C atoms and is therefore essential for the appearance of the re-entrant behavior (such a scenario was already suggested in Ref. 9). Possibly, as the temperature is increased, the canting of the MnB moments is reduced on the average and the total magnetization increases; this hypothesis would require a weak coupling of the canted MnB moments to their MnA,C neighbors compared to the coupling of the noncanted MnB moments to their FeA,C neighbors.

Our hypothesis is supported by the following experimental findings. (i) The re-entrant behavior and the swap appear in the same concentration range ($x > 0.75$). (ii) The re-entrant behavior is sensitive to the heat treatment of the alloy; after proper annealing, it was found that the re-entrant behavior smoothens, although $T_K$ does not change. This, in conjunction with the calculations showing that the unswapped state is the ground state, suggests that annealing causes a fraction of the Mn atoms to return from the $A,C$ site to the $B$ site, so that the number of Mn clusters (and canted moments, as we suspect) lessens. If this hypothesis is true, $T_K$ should be indeed unaffected by annealing, because it would correspond to a finite-size effect (characteristic exchange-energy scale of a small cluster) rather than a phase transition. Such a possibility has been suggested by Nielsen and collaborators, based on Monte Carlo calculations of model systems. (iii) Clustering would result in a local environment which is closer to Mn$_3$Si, which is known to show antiferromagnetic behavior.

Furthermore, it is observed that the “smoothening” of the re-entrant behavior after annealing is accompanied by an increase of both $M_0$ and $M_{\text{extr}}$ (shown in Fig. 7 of Ref. 2 for Fe$_{1.75}$Mn$_{1.25}$Si). We therefore make the plausible assumption that this behavior is present also at concentrations $x < 0.75 < 1$, and that the ground state, with all Mn atoms being at the $B$ site, will show a higher magnetic moment than $M_{\text{extr}}$. This could resolve the discrepancy between the measured magnetization value of $M_{\text{extr}} \approx 2.3\mu_B$ compared to calculated value of $3\mu_B$ in Fe$_2$MnSi.

First-principles investigations of such short-range-order effects require an approach beyond the CPA, e.g., by use of the nonlocal CPA or large-supercell techniques, and we therefore defer this study to a future work.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The electronic and magnetic structure of the magnetic intermetallic alloy Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si, for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ has been investigated using density-functional theory within the GGA, together with the CPA to describe disorder. We find that important experimental findings, such as the trends of the magnetization and of the Curie temperature as a function of concentration, are reproduced. They can be interpreted in terms of single-particle energies with the help of the density of states, by using simple physical arguments, namely symmetry-dependent hybridization of wave functions and local charge neutrality. Quantitatively, the drop of the magnetization as a function of Mn concentration is underestimated, especially at $x > 0.75$, however this could be due to Mn-Fe swap and Mn clustering in experiment that cannot be captured by the CPA; the same applies to the re-entrant behavior. It is therefore worthwhile to investigate Fe$_{3-x}$Mn$_x$Si beyond this approximation in the future.

However, it is rather surprising that the two most common approximations to DFT, namely the LSDA and the GGA, give ground states that differ at low concentration not only quantitatively but also qualitatively, although they almost agree on the single-particle spectrum. The superiority of GGA that we find here is common but certainly not general, which shows the need for theory to go hand in hand with experiment for the understanding of magnetic intermetallic compounds.

Concerning the relevance to spintronics, the most important finding here is the continuous variation of the spin polarization at $E_F$ over a wide range, in the range $-0.3 < P < 1$ for $0 < x < 0.75$, i.e., in the region where theory and experiment are in reasonable agreement and before the onset of the re-entrant behavior. Since the polarization at $E_F$ is a property that is notoriously difficult to measure with precision, the variation which is found theoretically could be used to improve or calibrate the methods of measurement of $P$ in a single type of material, so that spurious effects in measurement can be treated on the same footing and understood better.
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30 [http://www.flapw.de].