Missing SO₂ oxidant in the coastal atmosphere? – observations from high-resolution measurements of OH and atmospheric sulfur compounds
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Abstract. Diurnal and seasonal variations of gaseous sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄) and methane sulfonic acid (MSA) were measured in NE Atlantic air at the Mace Head atmospheric research station during the years 2010 and 2011. The measurements utilized selected-ion chemical ionization mass spectrometry (SI/CIMS) with a detection limit for both compounds of 4.3 × 10⁴ cm⁻³ at 5 min signal integration. The H₂SO₄ and MSA gas-phase concentrations were analyzed in conjunction with the condensational sink for both compounds derived from 3 nm to 10 µm (aerodynamic diameter) aerosol size distributions. Accommodation coefficients of 1.0 for H₂SO₄ and 0.12 for MSA were assumed, leading to estimated atmospheric lifetimes on the order of 7 and 25 min, respectively. With the SI/CIMS instrument in OH measurement mode alternating between OH signal and background (non-OH) signal, evidence was obtained for the presence of one or more unknown oxidants of SO₂ in addition to OH. Depending on the nature of the oxidant(s), its ambient concentration may be enhanced in the CIMS inlet system by additional production. The apparent unknown SO₂ oxidant was additionally confirmed by direct measurements of SO₂ in conjunction with calculated H₂SO₄ concentrations. The calculated H₂SO₄ concentrations were consistently lower than the measured concentrations by a factor of 4.7 ± 2.4 when considering the oxidation of SO₂ by OH as the only source of H₂SO₄. Both the OH and the background signal were also observed to increase significantly during daytime aerosol nucleation events, independent of the ozone photolysis frequency, J(O¹D), and were followed by peaks in both H₂SO₄ and MSA concentrations. This suggests a strong relation between the unknown oxidant(s), OH chemistry, and the atmospheric photolysis and photooxidation of biogenic iodine compounds. As to the identity of the atmospheric SO₂ oxidant(s), we have been able to exclude ClO, BrO, IO, and OIO as possible candidates based on ab initio calculations. Nevertheless, IO could contribute significantly to the observed CIMS background signal. A detailed analysis of this CIMS background signal in context with recently published kinetic data currently suggests that Criegee intermediates (CIs) produced from ozonolysis of alkenes play no significant role for SO₂ oxidation in the marine atmosphere at Mace Head. On the other hand, SO₂ oxidation by small CIs such as CH₂OO produced photolytically or possibly in the photochemical degradation of methane is consistent with our observations. In addition, H₂SO₄ formation from dimethyl sulfide oxidation via SO₃ as an intermediate instead of SO₂ also appears to be a viable explanation. Both pathways need to be further explored.

1 Introduction

It has been well established that homogeneous oxidation of tropospheric gases is generally dominated by reactions with the hydroxyl (OH) radical during daylight hours and – in regions with significant nitrogen oxide (NOₓ) concentrations – with the nitrate (NO₃) radical in the absence of sunlight (Stone et al., 2012). Reactions of molecular oxygen, ozone,
or peroxo radicals such as HO$_2$ and RO$_2$ (R: organic rest molecule) are comparatively slow, with a few exceptions, such as NO + HO$_2$, which recycles OH (e.g., Atkinson et al., 2004). Heterogeneous oxidation (on the surface of aerosol particles and in cloud and fog droplets) is dominated by reactions with either dissolved ozone, hydrogen peroxide, or molecular oxygen, the latter pathway being catalyzed by transition metal ions (Harris et al., 2013; Berresheim and Jaeschke, 1986). However, recent studies have revived an interest in the formation and fate of atmospheric Criegee intermediates (radical species produced from reactions of ozone with alkenes; Calvert et al., 2000; Criegee, 1975), which to this day have eluded direct measurements in the atmosphere since Cox and Penkett (1971) first suggested their potentially important role. Field and laboratory measurements (Berndt et al., 2012, 2014; Stone et al., 2014; Taatjes et al., 2014; Mauldin III et al., 2012; Welz et al., 2012) as well as theoretical and modeling studies (Sarwar et al., 2014; Boy et al., 2013; Vereecken et al., 2012) now suggest that the reactivity of these types of radicals towards compounds such as SO$_2$ may have been underestimated by at least 2 orders of magnitude. Therefore, in addition to OH – or possibly even rivalling OH chemistry – Criegee intermediates may, under certain conditions, be significant contributors to atmospheric sulfuric acid formation and the production of hygroscopic sulfate particles, which can be activated as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN).

Selected-ion chemical ionization mass spectrometry (SI/CIMS) has been pioneered by Eisele and coworkers (Tanner and Eisele, 1995; Eisele and Tanner, 1991, 1993; Eisele and Berresheim, 1992) for high-time-resolution measurements of OH, H$_2$SO$_4$, MSA(g) (gaseous methane sulfonic acid), and other compounds in the troposphere. A large number of field studies both on the ground and from the air have been successfully conducted using this technique and have significantly improved our understanding of tropospheric chemistry (e.g., Stone et al., 2012; Huey, 2007; Heard and Pilling, 2003). In some of these studies it has already been conjectured that SI/CIMS may also provide information about the presence of atmospheric oxidants other than OH by analyzing the background signal recordings obtained in the OH measurement mode. Specifically, the identity of this “background X oxidant(s)” was speculated to be Criegee intermediates because of their observed reactivity towards SO$_2$ in the measurement system (e.g., Berresheim et al., 2002).

In the present paper we have analyzed 2 years of SI/CIMS measurements made at Mace Head, Ireland, for significant occurrences of such background signals indicating the presence of one or more unknown SO$_2$ oxidants in coastal air which could contribute to H$_2$SO$_4$ formation (in addition to OH) during day- and nighttime. Furthermore, balance calculations of ambient H$_2$SO$_4$ levels using measured SO$_2$, OH, and aerosol particle concentrations have been compared with measured H$_2$SO$_4$ levels. This allowed us to approximate corresponding contributions to ambient H$_2$SO$_4$ levels from oxidation of SO$_2$ by oxidants other than OH and estimate their relative importance with respect to OH reactivity.

2 Experimental

A principle scheme of the Mace Head CIMS instrument and its operation is shown in Fig. 1. Similar to previously described systems (Berresheim et al., 2000, 2013; Mauldin III et al., 1998, 2012), the aerodynamically shaped main air inlet extrudes retractably through the wall of the building, here towards the open ocean, with a marine wind sector of 190–300°. In the following text, “marine sector” data include only the subset of data consistent with the marine wind sector, NO levels < 50 pptv, and/or black carbon concentra-
tions < 50 ng m\(^{-3}\). From the main air flow (approximately 2.5 m\(^3\) h\(^{-1}\)) the central region is sampled at 12 slpm through a 1.9 cm diameter sample flow tube. Two pairs of oppositely arranged capillary stainless steel injectors (the front pair sitting upflow, the rear pair downflow at 5.2 cm distance from each other) protrude into the sample flow tube. Depending on the operational mode (OH signal measurement, OH background measurement, or H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and MSA(g) measurement) selected flows of SO\(_2\), propane (C\(_3\)H\(_8\)), and N\(_2\) (as makeup gas) are added through the injectors to the sample flow.

For measuring an OH signal, isotopically heavy \(^{34}\)SO\(_2\) (98.8 %, Eurisotop, Saint-Aubin, France) is introduced through the front injectors and mixed into the sample air flow, resulting in a SO\(_2\) mixing ratio of approximately 8 ppmv. At this setting, the OH lifetime (1/e definition) in the sample flow is 6 ms. The ambient OH concentration introduced into the CIMS system is completely converted to H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) by its reaction with \(^{34}\)SO\(_2\) within the available reaction time of \(\tau_{\text{reac,OH}} = 78\) ms before reaching the rear injectors. Approximately 1 % of the resulting product, H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), is converted via chemical ionization at atmospheric pressure by NO\(_3\) reactant ions into H\(^{34}\)SO\(_4\) product ions, which are then focused and guided by electrical potentials (along with remaining NO\(_3\) ions) through an 80 \(\mu\)m aperture into the vacuum mass spectrometry region. The reactant ions are produced in a sheath flow of purified ambient air with added HNO\(_3\) passing by a radioactive \(^{241}\)Am alpha emitter (activity: 4.1 MBq; Eckert & Ziegler, Berlin, Germany). Detection of the H\(^{34}\)SO\(_4\) signal at \(m/z\) 99 following quadrupole mass filtering yields the equivalent concentration of OH in ambient air. With the same method of ionization applied, ambient sulfuric acid (H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)) and methane sulfonic acid (CH\(_3\)SO\(_3\)H), in which sulfur occurs as \(^{32}\)S at a fraction of 0.95 (Krouse and Grinenko, 1991), are detected at \(m/z\) 97 and \(m/z\) 95, respectively. Time resolution for measuring all three masses is typically 30 s. In general, measurement signals are integrated to 5 min with corresponding detection limits of 1.3 \times 10\(^5\) cm\(^{-3}\) for OH and 4.3 \times 10\(^4\) cm\(^{-3}\) for both H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and MSA(g), respectively (Berresheim et al., 2013; Mauldin III et al., 1998). Further details including calibration procedures can be found in Berresheim et al. (2000).

The operational cycle of the CIMS instrument was typically set to measure ambient OH and H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) for 5 min during each half hour, followed by 25 min measurements of ambient H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and MSA(g).

Propane (99.95 %, Air Liquide, UK) is introduced into the sample flow through the rear injectors (establishing a mixing ratio of approximately 430 ppmv in the sample flow) to scavenge any OH which might be recycled from peroxy radicals via reaction with nitric oxide, NO. On average, nighttime OH measurements showed no statistical difference between the background signal and the OH signal, suggesting any potential interference by trace contaminants in the propane to be negligible. Due to similar rate constants for SO\(_2\) and propane with respect to their reaction with OH (both ca. 1 \times 10\(^{-12}\) cm\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\) at 298 K; Atkinson et al., 2004), any recycled OH molecules are completely scavenged by propane instead of SO\(_2\) from this point, i.e., downflow from the rear injectors. Due to the very low NO mixing ratios in marine air at Mace Head (Berresheim et al., 2013), contributions to the measurement signal from the recycling of OH are expected to be negligible.

The background (BG) signal in the OH measurement mode is evaluated by switching the propane flow from the rear to the front injectors. This prevents formation of H\(^{34}\)SO\(_4\) ions resulting from \(^{34}\)SO\(_2\) + OH reaction in the system. Theoretically, any background counts observed at \(m/z\) 99 under these conditions should only reflect the 4.2 % fraction of \(^{34}\)S occurring in ambient H\(_2\)SO\(_4\). If a significantly higher BG count is observed, this might indicate the presence of a compound with stronger electron affinity than HNO\(_3\) ending up as a product ion at \(m/z\) 99. However, experiments conducted without \(^{34}\)SO\(_2\) in the system never showed any evidence for the existence of such a compound. Therefore, observations of significant BG signals (above the ambient 4.2 % H\(^{34}\)SO\(_4\) signal) suggested the presence of one or more unknown oxidants (X oxidants) converting \(^{34}\)SO\(_2\) to H\(^{34}\)SO\(_4\) in the CIMS system without appreciably reacting with propane. Indeed, this interpretation was corroborated by stopping the SO\(_2\) injection to the sample flow and observing a corresponding reduction in the \(m/z\) 99 BG signal. Furthermore, with SO\(_2\) in the system, the propane flow through the front injector was successively increased from relatively low values up to the operational setting for measuring the BG signal. Before reaching this setting the signal was found to tail off to a background level corresponding to the complete removal of OH. Increasing the propane flow did not further alter the BG signal. Also, no significant BG signal was observed during calibration runs, in which OH concentrations on the order of 10\(^{7}\)–10\(^{8}\) cm\(^{-3}\) were produced from UV photolysis of water vapor in ambient sample air, thus further corroborating the absence of any artifact process contributing to this signal.

The total reaction time, \(\tau_{\text{reac,X}}\), available to this unknown X oxidant to react with SO\(_2\) in the system forming H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) is the time starting when a unit volume of the sample flow passes the position of the first injector pairs until it reaches the end of the atmospheric pressure ionization region, i.e., the 80 \(\mu\)m aperture (see Fig. 1). That time in our system corresponds to 0.45 s, or approximately half a second, which is about 6 times longer than \(\tau_{\text{reac,OH}}\). Therefore, the relative importance of X in comparison to the atmospheric SO\(_2\) oxidation efficiency of OH may have to be downscaled dependent on the properties of X and its potential formation and/or regeneration during the reaction time. Moreover, the atmospheric importance of X further depends on the X + SO\(_2\) rate constant. This will be examined in detail in the following section.

Photolysis frequencies of ozone, \(J(\text{O}^1\text{D})\), and of nitrogen dioxide, \(J(\text{NO}_2)\), were measured starting in September.
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2010 on top of a 10 m tower next to the laboratory building. Both were exchanged with recalibrated systems on a semiannual basis. Details of the measurement principles and performance of the radiometers have been given by Bohn et al. (2008). SO$_2$ was measured in May–August 2011 with a Thermo Systems 43i instrument using a heated sample inlet Teflon tubing (40°C) to avoid SO$_2$ losses due to water condensate. Based on a cycle of 30 min signal and 30 min zero measurements (with an added active charcoal filter), we calculated a 2σ detection limit of 25 pptv for 1 h time integration.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Seasonal cycles and atmospheric lifetimes of H$_2$SO$_4$ and MSA(g)

Figure 2 shows the mean seasonal cycle of the daily maximum H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration in the marine sector at Mace Head, which typically occurred between 10:00 and 14:00 UTC, depending on cloud cover. In general, H$_2$SO$_4$ showed a clear diel variation closely correlated with the OH concentration (Fig. 3, top). The reason for this correlation was the relatively homogeneous mixing ratio of the major precursor, SO$_2$, in the marine atmosphere, as shown for a 3-month period in Fig. 4 (top), and the relatively short lifetime of H$_2$SO$_4$ caused by uptake onto aerosol surfaces. This so-called condensational sink (CS) also showed low variability on most days (Fig. 4, bottom). The mean SO$_2$ mixing ratio in the open ocean sector was 160 (±50) pptv during these summer months. The average atmospheric lifetime of H$_2$SO$_4$ with respect to CS was estimated from scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) and aerodynamic particle sizer (APS) measurements using the approach of Fuchs and Sutugin (1971) and of Seinfeld and Pandis (1998) to be on the order of 7 min assuming an accommodation coefficient of 1.0 (Kolb et al., 2010; Hanson, 2005; Boy et al., 2005), a diffusion coefficient for H$_2$SO$_4$(2 H$_2$O) of 0.075 atm cm$^2$ s$^{-1}$ at 75–85 % relative humidity (Hanson, 2005), a mean free path of 105 nm for H$_2$SO$_4$(2 H$_2$O) (corresponding to the Fuchs and Sutugin parameterization), and a hygroscopic growth factor of 1.7 (max. 2.0; 90 % RH vs. ≤ 40 % RH) (Bialek et al., 2012). The variability of CS shown in Fig. 4 was mainly driven by particle counts, not relative humidity, which mostly ranged between 75 and 85 %. Overall, we estimate that CS values can be uncertain by at least a factor of 2, mainly due to the uncertainties in the count rates of the SMPS and APS instruments and of the hygroscopic growth factor.

For Mace Head we assume that except perhaps in winter the predominant source for H$_2$SO$_4$ in the marine atmosphere is ultimately biogenic (Lin et al., 2012; Seguin et al., 2010), i.e., the emission and oxidation of dimethyl sulfide (DMS) by OH which yields – via further oxidation of intermediate compounds – the gaseous end products H$_2$SO$_4$, dimethyl sulfone (CH$_3$SO$_2$CH$_3$), DMSO$_2$, and methane sulfonic acid (CH$_3$SO$_2$H, MSA) (Berresheim et al., 1993a, 1995). As described in the previous section, the two acid compounds are detectable by SI/CIMS using the same instrumental setting as for the OH measurement. Corresponding seasonal cycles of aerosol MSA and non-sea-salt sulfate (nss-SO$_4$) have been measured at Mace Head using high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometry (HR-TOF-MS). Both aerosol compounds and their concentration ratio show a clear seasonal maximum in summer (Ovadnevaite et al., 2014).
The mean seasonal cycle of peak MSA(g) mixing ratios recorded during the same daily time slot as for H$_2$SO$_4$ and summarized as monthly means is also shown in Fig. 2. Similar to H$_2$SO$_4$ and the aerosol sulfur compounds, the highest gas-phase MSA(g) levels in the marine atmosphere were observed during the summer months, which corroborates the biogenic origin of H$_2$SO$_4$ measured in this sector. With the adoption of a sticking coefficient of 0.12 for the aerosol scavenging of MSA(g) (DeBruyn et al., 1994), we obtained an average atmospheric lifetime of approximately half an hour (25 min) for this compound. As for H$_2$SO$_4$ this is somewhat shorter than previously estimated from measurements off the northwestern coast of the United States (Berresheim et al., 1993b), but still within the same order of magnitude. Ammann et al. (2013) questioned the earlier results obtained by De Bruyn et al. (1994) and Schweitzer et al. (1998) for the MSA(g) accommodation coefficient and suggested preferring a value close to 1 as reported in the most recent study by Hanson (2005). However, in our view, adopting a unity value would be in contradiction to common observations of a relatively slower decline of atmospheric MSA(g) levels in comparison to H$_2$SO$_4$ in the late afternoon and evening hours, which has been well documented in previous field studies (e.g., Eisele and Tanner, 1993) and in our present study. Furthermore, as has already been shown in a previous campaign at Mace Head (Berresheim et al., 2002), ambient MSA(g) levels typically increased with decreasing relative humidity, including at nighttime. Both observations support that the vapor pressure of MSA(g) is significantly higher compared to H$_2$SO$_4$ (e.g., Kreidenweis and Seinfeld, 1988).

3.2 H$_2$SO$_4$ mass balance and missing SO$_2$ oxidant in the marine atmosphere

From 2 May to 12 August 2011, an intensive campaign was conducted at Mace Head that included measurements of SO$_2$. The results allowed the calculation of H$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations based on its production by SO$_2$ oxidation by OH and removal due to condensation on existing aerosol surface (CS, condensational sink rate) assuming steady state:

$$[\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4]_{\text{calc}} = \frac{k_{\text{OH}}[\text{SO}_2][\text{OH}]}{\text{CS}}.$$  (1)

Comparison with measured H$_2$SO$_4$ concentrations showed a significant underestimation using Eq. (1), bearing in mind that the uncertainty in CS can be a factor of 2. For the available 38 days with concurrent H$_2$SO$_4$, $J(\text{O}_1\text{D})$, CS, and SO$_2$ observations, the mean ratio, i.e., $[\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4]_{\text{meas}} / [\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4]_{\text{calc}}$, was 4.7 ± 2.4 during the midday period, 10:00–14:00 UTC, taking calculated OH values from the relation OH-$J(\text{O}_1\text{D})$ (see below). The results for 26 days with direct OH observa-
tions out of these 38 are 5.0±2.4 based on the sparse direct OH observations and 4.8±2.5 based on the denser OH values calculated from \( J(O^3\text{D}) \). All of these results are considerably higher than the mean of 2.4 reported by Mauldin III et al. (2012) for a boreal forest site in Finland. An extreme example from 18 June 2011 is shown in Fig. 5a. On average, the measured \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) concentrations on this day were a factor of 7 higher than the values calculated by Eq. (1) and the background signal shows a strong diel cycle in phase with that of OH. Here we have filled the gaps in our OH measurements by using \( J(O^3\text{D}) \) as proxy based on the \( J(O^3\text{D})\)-OH relationship established in Berresheim et al. (2013) to obtain calculated \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) for each of the measured \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) values (continuous red line at the top of Fig. 5a). The results demonstrate the close tracking of the measured data and thus, the usefulness of this proxy application. In addition, the open symbols show the corresponding values based on the actual measured (5 min averaged) OH signals from that day (count rates shown in bottom of Fig. 5a). As can be seen, the latter procedure results in an average discrepancy factor of 5.6±1.2, which agrees well with the above factor of 7.0 within given uncertainties.

Four-day NOAA HYSPLIT air mass back trajectories for 18 June 2011, (http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php) in conjunction with MODIS satellite imagery (http://neo.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov) of chlorophyll pigments in surface seawater, pointed towards high biogenic sulfur (DMS) contributions to the advected air derived from phytoplankton blooms between Greenland and Iceland. On the other hand, no significant changes were observed in ambient \( \text{SO}_2 \) levels on the same day. Even higher \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) and also MSA(g) concentrations (both in the mid-10^7 cm\(^{-3}\) range) were measured on 11 June 2011, with similar air mass trajectories and \( \text{SO}_2 \) levels as those on 18 June, resulting in a measured/calculated \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) ratio of 9. A notable difference between both days was the occurrence of low tide at noon on 18 June, whereas high tide prevailed at noon on 11 June, respectively.

A contrasting example is shown in Fig. 5b for 10 May 2011, with a ratio of only 1.8, which, in view of the overall uncertainties discussed earlier, suggests a nearly closed \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) balance based on the \( \text{SO}_2 \)+OH pathway alone. Weather conditions on that day were strongly anticyclonic with no indication of major contributions from biologically active open ocean regions and with overall low solar insolation, i.e., lack of significant photochemistry. The OH background signal did not significantly vary during the day in contrast to the OH concentration itself, which despite low insolation still showed a pronounced diel cycle. However, as shown in Fig. 6, on the vast majority of marine sector days during the 2010 and 2011 measurement periods, both the background signal and the OH signal varied in tune with each other, which strongly suggests a photolytic source for the unknown compound(s) producing the BG signal.

Clearly a major source of \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) in addition to OH oxidation of \( \text{SO}_2 \) was missing in the balance calculation based on Eq. (1). A similar discrepancy between measured and calculated \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) concentrations in the coastal atmosphere of Mace Head was reported previously by Berresheim et al. (2002). They speculated that the missing source might be DMS oxidation with partial production of \( \text{SO}_3 \) instead of \( \text{SO}_2 \) as an intermediate, which then readily forms \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) with water vapor (Lin and Chameides, 1993). This possibility would also agree with kinetic pathways hypothesized for the DMS + OH oxidation in which \( \text{CH}_3\text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3 \) are formed as intermediates, both of which decompose thermally to \( \text{SO}_2 \) and \( \text{SO}_3 \), respectively (Berresheim et al., 1995). Studies at an Antarctic coastal location with strong marine DMS emissions (Jefferson et al., 1998; Davis et al., 1998) reported similar inconsistencies between measured \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) levels and \( \text{SO}_2 \) mixing ratios required to close the mass balance based on \( \text{SO}_2 \)+OH as the only source, even when assuming a very low \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) accommodation coefficient of 0.5. Our results shown in Fig. 5a and b may be consistent with a significant contribution by marine biogenic DMS emissions to \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) levels at Mace Head via intermediate production of a precursor other than \( \text{SO}_2 \). Furthermore, this influence may even supersede potential regional contributions from emissions which are dependent on tidal cycles, as discussed earlier for the cases of 11 and 18 June 2011. However, current uncertainties in our knowledge of DMS oxidation chemistry prevent a quantitative assessment of this potential \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) source.

Alternatively, biogenic emissions of, for example, organic halogens from the regional coastal environment during low tide may produce highly reactive atmospheric compounds that in addition to OH could play a significant role in atmospheric \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) formation. Another class of compounds that have recently been suggested are stabilized Criegee intermediates (sCIs) which have been re-evaluated with re-
spect to their potential oxidation of atmospheric SO$_2$ by Liu et al. (2014), Stone et al. (2014), Welz et al. (2012), and Mauldin III et al. (2012). In the following two sections we investigate the potential importance of SO$_2$ reactions with some halogen and sCl radicals as sources of H$_2$SO$_4$ in addition to the DMS $\rightarrow$ SO$_3$ and SO$_2$ + OH pathways in marine air at Mace Head. The nitrate radical, NO$_3$, is not expected to be of any importance for nighttime SO$_2$ oxidation at Mace Head, at least not in air from the marine sector (Berresheim et al., 2013).

3.3 Electronic structure calculations on halogen oxide reactions with SO$_2$

Other candidates besides OH acting as SO$_2$ oxidants might be halogen oxide radicals; however, to our knowledge respective rate constants are available in the literature only for the reactions of IO and ClO with SO$_2$ (Larin et al., 2000; DeMore et al., 1997), which are 3 and 6 orders of magnitude smaller compared to $k_{SO_2+OH}$, respectively. We have made ab initio transition state energy calculations for the reactions of SO$_2$ with ClO, BrO, IO, and OIO using quantum theory. The hybrid density functional/Hartree–Fock B3LYP method was employed from within the Gaussian 09 suite of programs (Frisch et al., 2009), combined with an appropriate basis set for I (Glukhovtsev et al., 1995) and the standard 6–311 + $g$(2d,p) triple zeta basis sets for Br, Cl, O, and S. The geometries, rotational constants, vibrational frequencies, and relative energies of the transition states are listed in Table 1. Following geometry optimizations of the transition states for the reactions of ClO, BrO, IO, and OIO with SO$_2$, and the determination of their corresponding vibrational frequencies and (harmonic) zero-point energies, energies relative to the reactants were obtained. In the case of BrO and ClO + SO$_2$, more accurate transition state energies were computed at the CBS-QB3 level (Montgomery et al., 2000). At this level of theory, the expected uncertainty in the calculated transition state energies should be better than 0.07 eV (Foresman and Frisch, 1996). Spin-orbit effects were ignored since these are present both in the reactant halogen oxide and the transition state. Figure 7 illustrates the transition state geometries for ClO, BrO, IO, and OIO + SO$_2$.

Transition state theory (TST) calculations were then carried out using the calculated molecular parameters in Table 1. Although the reaction between IO and SO$_2$ has a small barrier (7.3 kJ mol$^{-1}$), the reaction has quite a tight transition state and the TST calculation yields $k(200$–$400$ K) = 4.3 $\times$ 10$^{-14}$ exp$(-1150/T)$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. The resulting value of $k$(343 K) = 1.6 $\times$ 10$^{-15}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ is consistent with an experimental upper limit of 5.6 $\times$ 10$^{-15}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ determined at that temperature by Larin et al. (2000). At a marine boundary layer (MBL) temperature of 293 K, the rate coefficient is only 8.5 $\times$ 10$^{-16}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. This reaction would have to compete with OH + SO$_2$, which has a rate coefficient of $k = 9 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. Although [IO] can be around 30 times larger than [OH] at midday at Mace Head, the ratio of rate constants is 1/1050, so the OH reaction is about 35 times faster. However, the estimated SO$_2$ + IO rate constant is large enough to allow for a fraction of IO to oxidize SO$_2$ to H$_2$SO$_4$ inside the CIMS instrument and to contribute to the background signal (see Sect. 3.4).

During nighttime at Mace Head, OIO builds up to a mixing ratio of a few parts per trillion (Saiz-Lopez and Plane, 2004). However, the very large barrier for the OIO + SO$_2$ reaction (50.1 kJ mol$^{-1}$) means that this reaction is negligibly slow: $k$(200–400 K) = 6.4 $\times$ 10$^{-13}$ exp$(-6400/T)$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ and $k$(293 K) = 2.2 $\times$ 10$^{-22}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$.

BrO has been observed at a mixing ratio of several parts per trillion during the day at Mace Head (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2004). However, the reaction BrO + SO$_2$ also has a significant barrier (20.4 kJ mol$^{-1}$), and so the reaction is much too slow in the MBL: $k$(200–400 K) = 5.8 $\times$ 10$^{-14}$ exp$(-2700/T)$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, and $k$(293 K) = 5.6 $\times$ 10$^{-18}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. Finally, the TST calculation for ClO + SO$_2$, which also has a significant barrier (24.1 kJ mol$^{-1}$), yields $k = 5.2 \times 10^{-14}$ exp$(-3100/T)$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$. The theoretical rate coefficient at 298 K is therefore 1.5 $\times$ 10$^{-18}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$, which is in accord with an experimental upper limit of 4 $\times$ 10$^{-18}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ at this temperature (DeMore et al., 1997). In summary, we conclude that none of the halogen oxides considered here exhibit sufficient turnover rates with SO$_2$ in ambient air to account for the missing H$_2$SO$_4$ source.

3.4 Could X be a Criegee radical produced from ozonolysis?

Previous measurements at Mace Head have shown clear diurnal cycles of light alkeneis (including isoprene) with a strong dependence on solar flux (Broadgate et al., 2004; Lewis et al., 1999). Assuming that “X” is indeed a Criegee intermediate produced from ozonolysis of alkenes and reacting with SO$_2$ both in the atmosphere and in the CIMS inlet system to

Figure 7. Transition state geometries for ClO, BrO, IO, and OIO + SO$_2$.
Table 1. Molecular parameters and energies for transition state theory calculations (see text for theoretical methods). The symbol * indicates a transition state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Transition state geometry</th>
<th>Rotational constants (GHz)</th>
<th>Vibrational frequencies (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Relative energy (kJ mol(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ClO</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.03</td>
<td>829</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO(_2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>58.67, 10.17, 8.667</td>
<td>508, 1146, 1334</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClO–SO(_2)(^*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrO</td>
<td></td>
<td>12.50</td>
<td>713</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BrO–SO(_2)(^*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.844</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IO–SO(_2)(^*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIO</td>
<td></td>
<td>18.31, 7.054, 5.092</td>
<td>273, 809, 831</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OIO–SO(_2)(^*)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Atomic positions in Cartesian coordinates (Å);  
\(^b\) above the reactants, including zero-point energies.

produce additional H\(_2\)SO\(_4\), we can estimate its relative contribution compared to the SO\(_2\) + OH reaction as follows. In the following equations, the term \(sCl\) is used as a surrogate for \(sCl\) (stabilized Criegee intermediates) and CI (Criegee intermediates in general) species.

As already pointed out in the “Experimental” section, we have to account for additional formation of [sCl]cims from alkene + O\(_3\) reactions over the total available residence time of 0.45 s in the atmospheric pressure reaction and ionization region of the CIMS instrument (see Fig. 1). By continuous reaction with SO\(_2\) and ionization of the resulting H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) molecules over the corresponding distance (32 cm) this leads to an accumulation of the H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) background signal at m/z 99 assuming the sCl + SO\(_2\) oxidation to be instantaneous at the high SO\(_2\) concentration in the CIMS reactor tube. The enhancement factor, EF, relative to the ambient air sCl concentration, [sCl]\(_{amb}\), is

\[
EF_{H_2SO_4} = \frac{[sCl]_{amb} + \int_0^{t_{res}} \text{Prod}(sCl) \cdot dt}{[sCl]_{amb}} = 1 + \frac{t_{res}}{\tau_{sCl, amb}}. \tag{2}
\]

This result is the consequence of the fact that both types of sCIs, namely sCIs present in ambient air ([sCl]\(_{amb}\) = Prod(sCl) × \(\tau_{sCl, amb}\)) and sCIs produced inside the CIMS inlet, are immediately converted to H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) by added 34SO\(_2\) in the CIMS inlet system. With the assumption of a lifetime with respect to unimolecular decomposition of 0.2 s for sCl compounds resulting from ozonolysis of the monoterpenes \(\alpha\)-pinene and limonene (Mauldin
III et al., 2012, Supplement) and the dominant ambient reaction of sCIs with water ($k_{H_2O+sCI} = 1.4 \times 10^{-17}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ (MCM 3.2, http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/; Saunders et al., 2003; Jenkin et al., 2003), [H$_2$O] = $3.1 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ representing Mace Head conditions of T = 14 °C, 75 % relative humidity), the ambient lifetime of such stabilized Criegee intermediates is estimated to be 0.1 s. As already mentioned, approximately 1 % of the H$_2$SO$_4$ is ionized in the CIMS ionization region. Therefore, the production of sCIs in this region indeed yields H$_2$SO$_4$ via reaction with SO$_2$, of which, however, only 0.5 % is ionized on average as this process acts linearly. Consequently, we have to modify Eq. (2) to take into account the reduced ionization probability for H$_2$SO$_4$ produced in the ionization region:

$$EF_{H^4SO_4} = 1 + \frac{t_{reac}}{\tau_{sCl,amb}} + 0.5 \cdot \frac{t_{ion}}{\tau_{sCl,amb}}$$

with $t_{reac} = 450$ ms, $t_{reac} = 115$ ms, $t_{ion} = 335$ ms, and $\tau_{sCl,amb} = 1/0.2 s + 4.3 s^{-1} = 9.3 s^{-1}$. This formalism is identical to that derived for a similar instrument by Berndt et al. (2012) (chemical ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometer with atmospheric pressure inlet: CI-APi-TOF-MS). Thus, from Eq. (3) it follows that 34SO$_2$ oxidation by sCIs contributes a background signal which represents an enhancement of the ambient sCl concentration by a factor $EF = 3.6$. Therefore, if X is indeed an sCI compound (of the kind considered here), the measurement signal resulting from sCIs would have to be weighted by $1:3.6$ with respect to the OH signal to obtain the corresponding ambient air [sCI] concentration:

$$[sCI]_{amb} = \frac{1}{EF_{H^4SO_4}} \cdot \frac{BG_{Sig}}{OH_{Sig}} \cdot [OH]_{amb}$$

To compare both compounds with respect to their oxidation efficiency towards SO$_2$, the corresponding rate constants must be factored in as well, i.e.,

$$k_{sCl+SO_2} / k_{OH+SO_2} = 6 \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} / 9 \times 10^{-13} \text{cm}^3 \text{s}^{-1} = 0.67$$

with $k_{OH+SO_2}$ (298 K) = $9 \times 10^{-13}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ taken from Atkinson et al. (2004) and $k_{sCl+SO_2}$ adopted for the monoterpene derived sCl + SO$_2$ reaction as reported by Mauldin III et al. (2012).

This means that the relative oxidation efficiency (ROE) of those ozonolytically generated sCl compounds would be only on the order of 20 % compared to that of OH with respect to SO$_2$ oxidation, assuming that the CIMS background signal is equal to the OH signal as observed on average in the ambient air measurements at Mace Head (see Fig. 6).

$$ROE = \frac{k_{sCl+SO_2} \cdot [sCl]_{amb} \cdot [SO_2]_{amb}}{k_{OH+SO_2} \cdot [OH]_{amb} \cdot [SO_2]_{amb}}$$

These calculations depend strongly on the kinetic parameters for the corresponding sCI reactions. In this work we have adopted rate constants published by Mauldin III et al. (2012) and Berndt et al. (2012) for relatively large stabilized Criegee intermediates produced from ozonolysis of monoterpenes. However, other studies of smaller Criegee intermediates with low internal energies (CH$_2$OO by Stone et al. (2014), Berndt et al. (2014), and Welz et al. (2012); CH$_3$CHOO by Taatjes et al. (2013)) suggest much faster reactions of these Cl species with both SO$_2$ and H$_2$O, respectively. They are produced from both ozonolysis of alkenes and from photolysis of, for example, CH$_2$I. Furthermore, laboratory studies by Fittschen et al. (2014) and Bossolasco et al. (2014) suggest that CH$_2$OO could also be produced in the photochemical degradation of methane via the reaction of methyl peroxy radicals, CH$_3$O$_2$, with OH. For a sensitivity test of the production pathway ozonolysis we neglect the fact that, for the conditions in the CIMS inlet, only approximately 80 % of these CI would react with the added 34SO$_2$. The results are shown in Table 2.

We find that the oxidation efficiency of sCIs – if formed via ozonolysis – compared to OH would not be significant, based on the condition of equal CIMS background and OH signal counts. The relatively small difference between the estimates for such different species is a consequence of the fact that both reaction parameters (for sCl + SO$_2$ and sCl + H$_2$O) are faster for the small Criegee intermediates. The effect of a faster reaction of sCIs with SO$_2$ is almost exactly canceled out by the faster reactions with H$_2$O. A special case is CH$_2$OO, for which there is a debate regarding its reaction rate with water. Berndt et al. (2014) state that CH$_2$OO reacts fast with H$_2$O dimers, which was not accounted for in previous studies, but would dominate the fate of CH$_2$OO in ambient air at Mace Head. But they could not explain the big difference to the small effects of water vapor on the CH$_2$OO decay as deduced by Stone et al. (2014), who published a slow reaction constant for CI+H$_2$O. In any case, ROE for CH$_2$OO for average conditions at Mace Head is calculated to be small taking both sets of parameters from these two publications separately.

For these reasons, if the X oxidant(s) generating the BG signal of the CIMS instrument were one of the Criegee intermediates shown in Table 2 and were produced ozonolytically, the relative oxidation efficiencies for SO$_2$ by these Criegee intermediates compared to OH for average conditions at Mace Head would be estimated to be small, increasing the calculated H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration based on the SO$_2$ + OH source alone by only 5–30 %. This is still a major shortfall with respect to the average factor of 4.7 required to match the observed ambient air H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration.

On the other hand, if the different Criegee intermediates shown in Table 2 were produced either photolytically or by reaction of a precursor with OH, the corresponding enhancement factors EF would be 1 (no further production in the CIMS inlet) and the corresponding ROEs would be larger than 10, e.g., for CH$_2$OO and CH$_3$CHOO. Taking the CIMS background signal as an upper limit for the estimate of their
oxidation efficiency for SO\textsubscript{2}, it cannot be excluded that these small Criegee intermediates significantly influence the ambient H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} budget at Mace Head. However, given the short atmospheric lifetime of these species, we doubt that sufficiently large steady-state concentrations are realistic.

The consequence for the ambient H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} budget at Mace Head is complex. Either (1) very different ozonolytically produced Criegee intermediates than those studied so far, (2) photolytically produced Criegee intermediates, (3) Criegee intermediates produced via reactions of OH, (4) an entirely different kind of oxidant for SO\textsubscript{2}, or (5) a production process converting a sulfur compound other than SO\textsubscript{2} might be still missing in our present account of the H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} concentration in the coastal marine atmosphere.

Figure 8a and b show two out of a few examples of observations we made during the May–August 2011 period which were not obscured by the midday primary OH production period in relation to coastal aerosol nucleation events during which OH and also both H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} and MSA(g) concentrations increased significantly in conjunction with a major increase in the background signal counts for the X oxidant(s).

Table 2. Details of the calculation of the relative oxidation efficiency (ROE, see Eq. 5) for specific Criegee intermediates assuming ozonolysis of alkenes as their only source of formation for average ambient conditions at Mace Head (14 °C, 75 % RH, BG\textsubscript{sig}/OH\textsubscript{sig} = 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k(sCI+SO\textsubscript{2}) [cm\textsuperscript{3} s\textsuperscript{-1}]</th>
<th>CH\textsubscript{2}OO</th>
<th>anti-CH\textsubscript{3}CHO</th>
<th>syni-CH\textsubscript{3}CHO</th>
<th>(\alpha)-pinene</th>
<th>Limonene</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(k(\text{sCI+H}_2\text{O}) [cm^3 s^{-1}])</td>
<td>(&lt;9 \times 10^{-17}\text{d})</td>
<td>(1 \times 10^{-14}\text{b})</td>
<td>(&lt;4 \times 10^{-15}\text{b})</td>
<td>(1.4 \times 10^{-17}\text{e})</td>
<td>(1.4 \times 10^{-17}\text{e})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau^{-1}) (unimolecular) [s\textsuperscript{-1}]</td>
<td>(500^{a})</td>
<td>(&lt;250^{b})</td>
<td>(&lt;250^{b})</td>
<td>(5^{c})</td>
<td>(5^{c})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau^{-1}) (8 ppm SO\textsubscript{2}) [s\textsuperscript{-1}]</td>
<td>7800</td>
<td>13 400</td>
<td>4800</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\tau^{-1}) (ambient H\textsubscript{2}O) [s\textsuperscript{-1}]</td>
<td>27\textsuperscript{d} ((2840)^{f})</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF (Eq. 3)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>919</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k(\text{sCI+SO}_2)/k(\text{OH+SO}_2))</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE (Eq. 5)</td>
<td>0.29 ((0.05)^{f})</td>
<td>0.09–0.08\textsuperscript{g}</td>
<td>0.08–0.06\textsuperscript{g}</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction of sCI's reacting with (^{34}\text{SO}_2) in the CIMS inlet</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Wele et al. (2012), \(^b\) Taatjes et al. (2013), \(^c\) Mauldin III et al. (2012), \(^d\) Stone at al. (2014), \(^e\) MCM 3.2, \(^f\) Berndt et al. (2014), \(^g\) range reflecting unimolecular decomposition rates 0–250 s\textsuperscript{-1}.
Figure 8. (a) Example observation showing relationships between aerosol nucleation events at low tide, OH concentrations, and OH background (BG) signal (due to X compound). Top: H$_2$SO$_4$ and MSA(g) concentrations (30 s integration), tidal height, and total particle number concentration $N_p > 3$ nm diameter (30 s integration) measured with a condensation particle counter (CPC; TSI 3025). Bottom: OH concentrations (5 min; black dots), count rates for OH+BG and BG only (non-OH) signals (30 s), and ozone photolysis frequency ($\lambda(O^1D)$). (b) Example of increased OH concentrations and OH background signal (BG) during two aerosol nucleation events at low tide under marine sector conditions. Symbols as in (a).

Observations as strong formation of X oxidant(s) (e.g., CH$_2$OO from photolysis of CH$_2$I$_2$; Welz et al., 2012) or OH (perhaps via thermal decomposition of sCl; Berndt et al., 2012, 2014; Kroll et al., 2001) followed by rapid oxidation of DMS and SO$_2$ to form the products H$_2$SO$_4$ and MSA(g). Such coastal nucleation events have previously been shown to be induced by photolysis and photooxidation of marine iodine compounds emitted mainly from exposed seaweed during low tide (O’Dowd et al., 2002). Considering again the IO+SO$_2$ reaction and adopting a rate constant of $k$(IO+SO$_2$) = 8.5 x $10^{-16}$ cm$^3$ s$^{-1}$ (Sect. 3.3), we calculate that approximately 8% of atmospheric IO is converting $^{34}$SO$_2$ to $^{34}$H$_2$SO$_4$ in the CIMS inlet system, based on a $^{34}$SO$_2$ mixing ratio of 8 ppmv and a total IO residence time of 0.45 s. Also, it is assumed that IO does not react with propane. Based on Eq. (3), the corresponding yield for $^{34}$H$_2$SO$_4^-$ would be reduced from 8 to 5%. With this estimate, an upper limit for the atmospheric IO mixing ratio can be derived from the corresponding CIMS background signal. We estimate ambient IO levels to be, on average, less than 1.3 pptv at noontime (Fig. 6) and less than 5 pptv at the maximum of the nucleation event shown in Fig. 8a and b. This is consistent with previous measurements of IO at Mace Head which showed maximum levels up to 5 pptv (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2006; Alicke et al., 1999). However, other measurements taken over “hot spots” of exposed seaweed beds have shown IO peak values of up to 50 pptv (Commare et al., 2011). Thus, it can be concluded that the observed background signal could be explained by the presence of IO that would nevertheless not contribute to atmospheric SO$_2$ oxidation because of a too small IO+SO$_2$ rate constant. Future studies are required in order to systematically characterize remaining uncertainties in the CIMS and CS measurements and to verify a possible link between the unknown oxidant(s), the CIMS background, and the iodine cycle in the marine atmosphere.

4 Conclusions

We observed a persistent but relatively low H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration at nighttime (on the order of a few 10$^5$ cm$^{-3}$). Also, on some occasions, short spikes were observed at nighttime in the H$_2$SO$_4$ signal during low tide, which might suggest short-term emissions of reactive hydrocarbons capable of forming Criegee intermediates and OH in reactions with ozone, both capable of oxidizing SO$_2$. We assume that such processes also happen during daytime but are superimposed by the formation of another major oxidant which shows a similar diurnal pattern like OH. A detailed analysis of the atmospheric H$_2$SO$_4$ budget at Mace Head revealed that a dominant oxidant for the production of H$_2$SO$_4$ is missing, which, on average, would have to contribute 4.7 times more than the observed production from OH+SO$_2$. Whether this oxidant might be a Criegee intermediate with its production mainly determined by strong light-induced emissions of marine alkene species and/or atmospheric photolysis of iodine species remains an open question. However, we consider it unlikely that $\alpha$-pinene or limonene are present at significant levels in the marine atmosphere. In forest environments these compounds are also emitted at nighttime, result-
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ing in a quite different diurnal cycle of the CIMS background signal (Mauldin III et al., 2012) than we have observed in the coastal atmosphere. In the present work we have shown that the signal measured with the CIMS instrument during its background mode indeed provides evidence for the presence of one or more unknown oxidants for atmospheric SO₂ in addition to OH. However, as this X oxidant does not significantly react with propane in the CIMS system, the corresponding X signal must be corrected in some cases to account for additional production inside the CIMS inlet system before evaluating its oxidation efficiency towards SO₂ in ambient air. For this reason, and also based on the currently available kinetic data for the SO₂ oxidation by SCI compounds resulting from monoterpenes or from smaller alkenes, we conclude that at least Criegee intermediates produced via ozonolysis are unimportant in comparison with the SO₂ + OH oxidation in the marine atmosphere at Mace Head. It appears that Mauldin III et al. (2012) have not considered this correction, which reduces the proposed oxidation efficiency for SO₂ of stabilized Criegee intermediates from ozonolysis of α-pinene or limonene in forested environments as well. Theoretical calculations of the oxidation of SO₂ by the halogen oxides ClO, BrO, IO, or OIO show that those reactions are too slow to influence the atmospheric concentration of H₂SO₄. On the other hand, CH₂OO formed via photolysis of CH₂I₂ appears to be a candidate to explain the observed increase in the CIMS background signal, especially during daytime aerosol nucleation events at low tide, and appears to have a significant impact on the ambient H₂SO₄ budget. In any case, more comprehensive measurements including alkenes, isoprene, and halogen compounds are needed in conjunction with laboratory kinetic studies to confirm the presence of oxidant species other than OH with significant contributions to the H₂SO₄ budget in the marine atmosphere. An additional source of H₂SO₄ not accounted for by the SO₂ + (OH or X) pathway may be the formation of SO₃ (instead of SO₂) as an intermediate in the oxidation of DMS followed by rapid reaction with water vapor. To better evaluate the contribution from this potential source, further studies involving additional measurements of DMS are planned for future work in conjunction with what we have already attempted in the present study, i.e., analysis of air mass advection from biologically active oceanic regions using satellite remote sensing data.
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