Rotational tunneling in CH$_4$ II: Disorder effects
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Transitions within the tunneling multiplet of CH$_4$ in phase II have been measured in an experiment at the backscattering instrument BASIS of the Neutron Source SNS. They all involve transitions from or to T-states. A statistical model is put forward which accounts for local departures from tetrahedral symmetry at the sites of ordered molecules. Different from previous work, in which discrete sets of overlap matrix elements have been studied, now large numbers of elements as well as the ensemble of T-states are considered. The observed neutron spectra can be explained rather well, all based on the pocket state formalism of A. Hülle [Phys. Rev. B 16, 1844 (1977)]. A completely new result is the observation and simulation of transitions between T-states, which give rise to a double peaked feature close to the elastic position and which reflect the disorder in the system. CH$_2$D$_2$ molecules in the CH$_4$ matrix are largely responsible for the disorder and an interesting topic for their own sake. The simple model presented may lend itself to a broader application.


INTRODUCTION

Solid methane (CH$_4$), particularly in phase II, serves as a model example for rotational tunneling of three-dimensional rotators. Intensive research has been carried out, both experimental and theoretical, aiming at a good understanding of the tunneling in CH$_4$ II and also of the phenomenon of rotational tunneling of hydrogenous molecules in general.$^{1,2}$ Many of these phenomena are well understood, but there is also a considerable amount of open questions. They include the nature of the T-states at the ordered tetrahedral sites in phase II, the role of disorder, the excitation spectrum of partially deuterated methanes and many features of the excitation spectra in the orientationally ordered phase III in general.

Studies of the latter were rendered difficult by the lack of knowledge of the structure of phase III. By now the orthorhombic structure of CD$_4$ III has been determined$^3$ - CD$_4$ III is isostructural with CH$_4$ III - and this has encouraged several new high resolution neutron scattering experiments. Quite recently the structure of the moderately high pressure phase A, which is claimed to be identical with phase IV, has been solved as well.$^4$ However, unlike that of CH$_4$ III,$^5$ its structure is too complicated for providing another test of theoretical models for rotational tunneling. A review on the high pressure phases of solid methane has been published earlier.$^9$ Recently, a lot of emphasis has been given to the structure and electrical conductivity of solid methane at extremely high pressure with the motivation of finding the transition to a metallic phase$^{10-12}$ (or eventually a decomposition of the molecules$^9$). Indeed there are indications of a semiconducting phase at pressures above 2 GPa.

CH$_4$ II has become a model quantum system for rotational tunneling also because of the knowledge of its crystal structure (space group Fm3c$^{13}$), with high symmetry at the molecular sites. The crystal structure (Fig. 1) can be described by an fcc centre-of-mass lattice and partial orientational order. 6 of 8 molecules are orientationally ordered, while the remaining 2 in the unit cell are orientationally disordered.$^{11,14}$ This rather peculiar structure was theoretically predicted with a mean-field model employing electrostatic octupole-octupole interactions between the molecules$^{14}$ and later confirmed experimentally.$^{13}$

There was a double motivation for the present work which ultimately has lead to a more complete formulation of disorder effects on the experimentally observable ground state multiplet in CH$_4$ II. The new measurements with CH$_2$D$_2$ in CH$_4$ performed at the backscattering instrument BASIS$^{15}$ of the spallation neutron source SNS at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, are done with high energy resolution in a wide dynamical range. They aimed at (1) the tunneling spectrum of CH$_2$D$_2$ in phase II and in addition to this at (2) a much more precise information on line widths and line shapes for CH$_4$ than was available before. As pure CH$_2$D$_2$ is in phase III at low temperatures, the method of using CH$_4$ II as a host for CH$_2$D$_2$ seems to be the only way to achieve the first of the two goals. The experiment was conducted in the same spirit as an earlier one with 15% CH$_3$D in CH$_4$ II: however, with nominally only 7.3% the contribution of CH$_2$D$_2$ to the total incoherent scattering is less than 4% - making this a difficult experiment. By far the dominant scattering is from CH$_4$ II, perturbed by CH$_2$D$_2$ “impurity molecules.”
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For an exhaustive analysis of the CH$_2$D$_2$ spectrum a rather precise description of the scattering from CH$_4$ II is needed. But there is considerably more to it. For many years there has been the question of finding the T to T (T-T) transitions within the ground-state multiplet of orientationally ordered methane molecules. The CH$_4$ peaks correspond to transitions between the rotational states related with the different spin species of symmetry A, T and E (with nuclear spin $\frac{1}{2}$, 1 and 0). As disorder effects are always a part of rotational tunneling, the peaks have a considerable width even in the “pure” case. In the present experiment the line widths and line shapes (full width at half maximum (FWHM) $\sim$15\% of the energy of the transition) are determined with higher precision than in all previous experiments. Then, for the first time - close to the elastic position and hence more or less hidden – the T-T contribution now has been found. In the course of this it is necessary to address the above questions related with disorder one more time. This also leads to a reconsideration of data from other methane samples, either with dilute guest molecules or solid solutions. One effect consists in modified effective octupole moments (see below), another, that molecules with smaller van der Waals radius (as well as vacancies) give rise to a local relaxation of the methane lattice. Both lead to modified potentials and rotational tunneling is very sensitive to such potential changes.

While a certain importance of accounting for an intrinsic disorder in the tunneling system has been stated before, so far a more complete analysis has been missing. This will be given in the present paper, in which a simple disorder model is developed. The paper is organized as follows. We first give a brief summary both of some basic features of rotational tunneling and of the disorder effects characteristic for CH$_4$ II, with and without different molecules admixed. Then recent experimental observations at the neutron backscattering instrument BASIS are presented. This is followed by a first data analysis which is largely model-free but may serve for comparison with other data. In second theory part, existing approaches for the description of disorder effects are extended which allows one to fill in some of the existing gaps. The data analysis is accompanied by a detailed discussion of the T-states of CH$_4$ molecules and discussed within the model presented. Finally, a short outlook to other possible applications of the model is given and further work is briefly discussed.

**THEORY I: ROTATIONAL TUNNELING IN CH$_4$ II**

For a better understanding of the discussion a brief summary of some fundamental features of “rotational tunneling” will be presented. The molecular field at the ordered sites in CH$_4$ II is about 25 meV while the rotational constant of CH$_4$ is $B = 0.665$ meV. Therefore the molecular wave functions are well localized and written as products $\Psi = \Phi_\Gamma \chi_\Gamma$ of rotational wave functions $\Phi_\Gamma$ and nuclear spin functions $\chi_\Gamma$; $\Gamma$ denotes the symmetry ($\Gamma = A, T$ and E). We do not distinguish between molecular $\Gamma$ and site symmetry $\Gamma$, which is obligatory in certain cases.

As the spin of protons is $1/2$, the total wave function must be totally anti symmetric with respect to a permutation of two hydrogen atoms. However, as only proper rotations corresponding to even permutations of hydrogen atoms are “feasible operations”, the only requirement is that $\Psi$ must be totally symmetric upon even permutations. Alfred Hüller has introduced the concept of pocket states, narrow wave functions in the potential pockets. Corresponding to the 12 proper rotations of the tetrahedral group there are 12 such pocket states. They can be combined into a symmetric singlet A-state, three sets of triply degenerate T-states and a doubly degenerate E-state. Rotational states and spin states must have the same symmetry. This coupling has led to the introduction of the notion spin species, more familiar for H$_2$ molecules. In case of CH$_4$ the states can be equally labeled by the total nuclear spin of the molecules. The degeneracy of the A-state increases to 5 when including the nuclear spins.

Tunneling enters when the rotational wave functions overlap. Second order perturbation theory leads to the introduction of overlap matrix elements $h$ and $H$, corresponding to 120° overlap and 180° overlap, respectively. For tunnel splittings of the order of 70 $\mu$eV and 140 $\mu$eV as in the case of CH$_4$ II, the 180° overlap can be neglected and then $H = 0$. In this case the difference between the site symmetries 43m and $432$, the latter is that of the “ordered” sites in phase II, can be ignored. The matrix H12 in Table C.1 (in Supplementary Material(C)22) represents the Hamiltonian matrix for general site symmetry. For tetrahedral site symmetry, that is all $\Gamma_j$ equal, it has the energy eigenvalues $E_A = 8h$, $E_T = 0$ and $E_E = -4h$.

As shown by Hüller and Raich a generalization to $E_A = 8h/(1 + 8\delta)$ and $E_E = -4h/(1 - 4\delta)$ is necessary in order to account for the overlap $\delta$ of the wave functions. For the ordered CH$_4$ molecules in CH$_4$ II the effect of $\delta$ on the observed transitions cannot be ignored. The equivalent formulation for partially deuterated methanes is discussed in Ref. 16.
Transitions between the A and T states as well as the T and E states can be observed directly with inelastic neutron scattering while transitions between A and E are forbidden. The latter can be explained either (1) by symmetry arguments or (2) by the conservation of the nuclear spin in the scattering process: a neutron can change the nuclear spin I of a CH₄ molecule by \( +1/2 \) only and hence no transitions from A (I = 2) to E (I = 0) with \( \Delta I = 2 \) are possible.²,¹⁷

Finally, transition matrix elements for neutron scattering can be calculated for the allowed transitions. The latter has been done using \( \delta \)-functions as pocket states, which represents a considerable approximation. While associating the observed energy of transitions with a level scheme and symmetry allowed transition matrix elements (in case of general symmetry and possibly several crystallographically different sites) there is more information contained in the experimental data – the intensity and the line width of the peaks. In the case of tetrahedral symmetry the pocket state model yields an intensity ratio \( I_{0T}/I_{TE} = 5/4 \) for polycrystalline solid methane.¹ For more details concerning (coherent) rotational tunneling the reader is referred to, e.g., Refs. 1, 2, 21, and 24.

**EXPERIMENT AND FIRST RESULTS**

High resolution inelastic neutron scattering experiments have been performed at the backscattering silicon instrument BASIS of the Spallation Neutron Source SNS at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The instrument uses large analyzer banks with unpolished Si(111) crystals and has a Q-averaged resolution of 3.0 μeV. A series of experiments on partially deuterated methanes, namely solid CH₃D and CH₂D₂, both in their phase III, has been performed. A third experiment was devoted to CH₂D₂ “impurities” in CH₄ II with tetrahedral symmetry at the sites of ordered molecules.¹³,¹⁴ It is only this latter experiment which will be discussed in the present paper. Matrix-isolated CH₂D₂ or CH₄ with CH₂D₂ “impurities” was obtained by co-condensing the two gases in a mixture with a nominal concentration of 7.3% CH₂D₂ prepared in the gas phase with a gas handling system as described in the supplemental material (A).²²

**Sample preparation and scattering experiment**

The main constituent of the sample is normal hydrogenated methane. High purity CH₄ (99.995% purity) was used. The admixture, partially deuterated methane CH₂D₂, was supplied by Cambridge Isotope Laboratories, Andover, Mass., USA. The quoted chemical purity was 98% with the main impurity (about 2%) air. We also must assume that percent fractions of CH₃D and CD₃H are present, that is slightly less than 100% of the partially deuterated methane is CH₂D₂ (we guess \( \sim 95\% \); the scattering from the other constituents will not give rise to measureable peaks, particularly in the solid solution investigated). No further purification was performed, and no chemical analysis of the constituents nor of the solid solution was done.

The gases were condensed into a flat aluminum sample container with a nominal thickness of 0.1 mm. It was ori-ented at an angle of 45° with respect to the incoming neutron beam. The calculated transmission of the rotated cell filled with CH₄ is 85.5% in the high temperature limit. The observed complete conversion is caused by the paramagnetic oxygen molecules, admixed together with the CH₂D₂. The same phenomenon was noted for CH₁D in CH₄.¹⁷ Due to this nuclear spin conversion the transmission decreases when lowering temperature. For a CH₄ sample at T = 0 K, fully converted to the A-state, the scattering doubles and the transmission decreases correspondingly. This also means that multiple scattering is temperature dependent. No multiple scattering corrections have been performed, however.

The measurements have been done in a temperature range of 1.9 K–20 K. The covered momentum transfer range is 0.2–2 Å⁻¹; the data were integrated into two Q-ranges with mean Q-values of 0.65 and 1.55 Å⁻¹. A separation into more “Q-bins” is possible at the cost of reduced counting statistics. In the present analysis, we have concentrated on spectroscopic features and hence on the data labeled with the Q-value 1.55 Å⁻¹. The data have been normalized to the monitor.

**Background and resolution**

Relatively short background scans were performed with the empty cell at a temperature of T = 100 K. Counting times were short as the cell contributes to only about 3% of the elastic intensity.

The instrumental resolution was measured with exactly the same geometry as used during the tunneling experiments. For this purpose the CH₃D sample was heated to a temperature of T = 40 K – this means to the orientationally disordered phase I which displays classical rotational diffusion of the molecules. There are no inelastic peaks but only a 2 meV wide (T = 40 K) “quasi elastic” scattering of Lorentzian shape. In the range of the experiment (|ΔE| \( \lesssim \) 200 μeV) it provides an almost flat contribution to the background. A disadvantage of this way of measuring the resolution are very weak Bragg contributions from the 111-peak, when indexing within the fcc structure of phase I of CH₄. With a FWHM of 3.0 μeV (characteristic for plate geometry), the resolution is very good. As its shape is quite asymmetric the resolution was fitted with a sum of 5 Gaussians. This description of the resolution was used in the initial analysis. All initial fits of the data have been performed in DAVE, a program for data analysis.²⁵

For the final fits with use of the algorithm accounting for orientational disorder a somewhat different approach was employed. After application of a careful smoothening procedure with use of spline methods the empty cell background was subtracted in the elastic range both from the resolution scan and the methane data. After the background subtraction the resolution also was smoothened with spline methods. The statistics of the empty cell scattering was too low for energy transfers >25 μeV for proceeding in the same way. This part of the background largely is caused by the sample itself. In the fits it was determined by using “fix points” little influenced by the tunneling peaks – obviously in the valleys outside the A-T and T-E transitions - and fitting it with polynomials of low order. For the data fits the least squares procedure (leastsq) from the PYTHON package SciPy was used.
Experimental results

Here our main interest is in the scattering from CH$_4$ II at low temperatures, both the inelastic peaks and the additional intensity close to the elastic position – with an elastic peak which is more than one order of magnitude stronger. The scattering from CH$_3$D$_2$ was included into the fits but will not be discussed here.

The data measured at $T = 1.9$ K are shown in Fig. 2(a) and display the combination of high resolution and excellent counting statistics obtained at BASIS. The measured spectrum is dominated by the peaks at energies $+/- 74$ μeV (E-T) and $+/- 141$ μeV (A-T). As expected, the peaks from CH$_3$D$_2$ are very weak, but observable. The presence of CH$_3$D$_2$ in the sample is also indicated by an additional broadening of the tunneling peaks of CH$_4$ II compared to pure CH$_4$ II. For comparison we also show data taken at the temperature $T = 7$ K (Fig. 2(b)). At $T = 7$ K the population differences in the ground state multiplet are small - the effect of detailed balance becomes visible. The analysis requires the introduction of relaxation processes. A discussion of this temperature dependence of the tunnelling in CH$_4$ is outside the scope of the present paper, however.

Data with fit results in a logarithmic presentation are shown in Fig. 3. The logarithmic intensity scale amplifies the contribution from ranges with low intensity, in particular those from CH$_3$D$_2$. In the fits Gaussians convoluted with the experimental resolution are used. For the tunneling peaks of CH$_4$ they yield the energies 74.2 and 141.1 μeV; the widths (FWHM) are 12.6 and 22.1 μeV, respectively. At present the CH$_3$D$_2$-contribution is included by 3 broad peaks at the appropriate energies, see Tables in Supplemental Material (B). Their integrated inelastic scattering is roughly 4% of the total inelastic scattering. This matches with the nominal concentrations of the gases during the condensation. All 3 observed peaks could be envelopes of several transitions and it may be that there are unresolved transitions at lower energy – hidden by the “elastic peak”.

There is yet another unresolved contribution - below the elastic peak. Initially it looked like a quasielastic contribution. But it turned out rather quickly that fitting this contribution with two Gaussian peaks centered at non-zero energies (about $+/- 3$ μeV) considerably improves the quality of the fit. The intensity of this part of the scattering is too strong for a contribution from CH$_3$D$_2$ – it must originate from CH$_4$. This immediately leads to suspect that it is related with T-T transitions. Both, position and intensity of this contribution have rather large uncertainty as these parameters are correlated with others describing features close to the elastic peak. All parameters obtained are given in the Tables B.1 and B.2 of Supplemental Material (B) together with other published results.

In the following we concentrate on the tunneling of CH$_4$. The line positions are very close to those of CH$_4$ with
15% CH3D: the perturbation of the CH4 states by a CH2D2 molecule hence is roughly twice that of a CH3D molecule. This matches with the increase of their effective octupole moments: for CH2D2 it is twice as large as for CH3D. In addition there will be effects related with local displacements of the impurity molecules and the anisotropy of librational amplitudes of the CH4 molecules themselves.

THEORY II

Disorder: Reported descriptions

Apparently, disorder aspects are an inseparable feature of tunneling in molecular solids. The disorder leads to a broadening of the tunneling lines which can be substantial and hence must be included in the description of tunneling data. In many cases a phenomenological approach has been used with a line width proportional to the energy transfer. While quite economical concerning the number of fit parameters needed, only in a few cases there is a basic justification for this procedure.

An alternative approach has been reported in Ref. 18, which is directly related with rotational potentials and the corresponding overlap matrix elements. It has been used for describing an experiment where particular care was taken in measuring energies, intensities and line widths of the A-T and T-E transitions within the ground-state multiplet of the CH4 system down to lowest temperatures.

The observed inhomogeneous broadening was associated with the existence of an “alloy” of different nuclear spin species. States with different symmetry Π have different effective octupole moments and hence contribute differently to the rotational potential. A binomial distribution model describes the effects; for all details it is referred to Ref. 18: an important approximation of the model is that the local symmetry remains tetrahedral and therefore there is no splitting of the T-states. Nonetheless the simple “binomial” model was very successful in describing an experiment with an oxygen doped methane sample (to catalyze nuclear spin conversion) performed down to very low temperatures.19

Disorder: New approach

The suggested approach does not attempt to describe the disorder within the framework of orientation-dependent interactions and local potentials (expressed as a function of Euler angles or equivalently in terms of quaternions20). Rather, a local Hamiltonian matrix is introduced which can be separated into one with mean values for the overlap matrix elements and one with local departures from the (average) site symmetry 3m2,

\[ H = \langle H \rangle + \delta H, \]

where \( H \) is a 12 × 12 matrix connecting the 12 different pocket states, generated by the 12 proper rotations of a tetrahedron, as outlined above. The actual numbering of the pocket states (Figure C.1, also see Fig. 3 of 1) and the Hamiltonian matrix H12 for general symmetry (describing \( H \) and \( \delta H \)) are given as Supplemental Material (C). In the following the 180° overlap matrix elements are neglected.

In all previous experiments including “pure” CH417–19,26 only the 2 × 2 “inelastic” tunneling peaks have been observed and discussed. Here, in addition to the disorder caused by the “alloy” of spin species there is an effect by the CH2D2 “impurities” which somewhat increases the disorder and hence the observed widths.

This latter contribution is of dynamical origin (see discussion in Ref. 28). Compared to CH4 and, disregarding its different spin species, CH2D2 has an (i) enhanced octupole moment26 (average molecule CX4 with \( m_X = 1.5m_H \) has a smaller librational amplitude) which needs to be included in a James-and-Keenan-like approach14,20 and removes much of the compensation of terms in pure CH4. In addition (ii) the librational amplitudes of the asymmetric top CH2D2-molecule around the 3 axes differ and (iii) the “classical” CH2D2-molecule has 6 possible orientations at each ordered site which give rise to 6 different centre-of-mass displacements of the molecular impurity and the corresponding modification of the interaction potential. These effects, however, do not change the reasoning. On the contrary, it may well be, that only the enhanced disorder due to the presence of CH2D2 impurities has rendered the experimental observation of the “T-state splitting” possible.

Diagonalisation of the 12×12 matrix H12 in general leads to 5 different eigenvalues, A, 3 × (3 T) and (2 E) states. The further strategy is as follows: in order to simulate the local disorder N sets of transition matrix elements with a Gaussian distribution around \( \h_0 \) are generated, with N ranging between \( 10^4 \) and \( 10^6 \), corresponding to the needs. It has been shown1 that for describing the T-states only, it is sufficient to use the 3×3 submatrix \( H_2 \) of H12. In Eq. (2) \( h_4 \) always occurs with a positive sign and hence is distinguished in this presentation. D is the ground state energy.

\[
H_T = \begin{pmatrix}
D & -h_1 - h_2 + h_3 + h_4 & -h_1 + h_2 - h_3 + h_4 \\
-h_1 - h_2 + h_3 + h_4 & D & h_1 - h_2 - h_3 + h_4 \\
-h_1 + h_2 - h_3 + h_4 & h_1 - h_2 - h_3 + h_4 & D
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
ther the spin-flip scattering or the non-spin-flip scattering in Table A.1 of Ref. 2. The results do not depend on the particular choice.

**Disorder: T-state distribution and detailed model**

An assumption must be made concerning the realization of the disorder. Initially we have assumed independent Gaussian distributions for all four 120° overlap matrix elements $h_1, h_2, h_3$, and $h_4$ or rather for $\delta h_1, \delta h_2, \delta h_3$ and $\delta h_4$ around a mean value $h = (h_0, h_0, h_0, h_0)$ – all with the same variance $\eta \ll -h_0$. Here we have introduced 4D unit vectors $e$. From fits $h_0 \cong -17.9 \mu eV$ and by comparison with the width of the A-T and T-E transitions $\eta \cong 1.8 \mu eV$ (FWHM of the AT transition about 15%) is determined. While all the components of $h$ must be negative, there is no restriction to the signs of the $\delta h_j (j = 1\ to\ 4)$.

In a way such a distribution leads to a density of T-states, and the spectrum of eigenvalues obtained with an isotropic distribution shows a 3-peak structure. This result was not expected, rather a single peak centered at $E = 0$ (note: the sum of the 3 eigenvalues of the $3 \times 3$ T-states is always zero).

The inclusion of disorder effects opens a completely new perspective regarding the T-states. The whole ensemble of T-states becomes visible - at least in principle: in previous discussions of different site symmetries, in particular of sites with symmetries 2 and 3, only discrete sets of $h$ were considered.

**Matrix elements $h$: d.o.s. and 4D-unit sphere**

Of course, this density of states is not the observed quantity in the experiment. Instead, the observation is sort of a convolution of two different states mediated by the scattering of a neutron, that is, the transition matrix elements for neutron scattering get involved. Before actually doing this and describing observable and observed neutron spectra a closer inspection of the T-states is useful.

For this purpose coordinates on the 4D-unit sphere are introduced. The vector $h$ also can be written as $h = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = hx$, with $h$ the modulus of $h$ and the $x$ coordinates on the 4-dimensional unit sphere ($\sum x_i^2 = 1$) – that is the $x_i$ also can be viewed as quaternions. As in the chosen distribution (see Fig. 5) both the modulus $h$ and the set of $x$ change it seemed appropriate to investigate the “T-density of states” (d.o.s.) when varying only the angular or direction component $x$ of $h$. For more background information it is referred to papers by A. Hüller et al., e.g., no detailed discussion is given here.

In practice the calculation of this d.o.s. has been done by generating a large set of $(\delta h)$ values ($N = 1 \times 10^6$) as random numbers in a 4D cube and projecting the values in the largest possible sphere inside the original cube onto its surface. The result is shown in Fig. 4 for $h = 1$: the d.o.s. is quite different from a Gaussian. It is symmetric and has a 3-peak structure with maxima at $-2, 0$ and $+2 \mu eV$, respectively. The peak at $E = 0$ is particularly pronounced (possibly due to a divergence), while those at $\pm 2 \mu eV$ are more cusp-like – all related with the shape of the matrix $H_T$. Also there are symmetric cut-offs, a tribute to the restriction on the unit sphere.

Qualitatively the passage from $|h| = 1$ and the 4D unit sphere to a distribution of general $h$ is performed in the following way: with the volume of a spherical shell in 4D space $S_3 dh = 2\pi^2 h^2 dh$ a weighting function $-h^2 \exp(-h^2/2\eta^2)$ multiplies the d.o.s. in Fig. 4. It has little effect on the peak at $E_T = 0 \mu eV$ and both shifts and broadens the peaks at $E_T \cong \pm 2 \mu eV$. Transitions between the two outer peaks become washed out and are less visible. The energy position of the remaining two peaks can be estimated with the above reasoning to be at $2\sqrt{3}\eta$ which is larger than the simulated value of about $5 \mu eV$. For anisotropic distributions ($\eta_A \neq \eta_T$, see below), the argumentation is less straightforward.

A frequently asked question has been whether the energy of any T-state $E_T$ can exceed that of the E-state ($E_E$). This also can be settled numerically using transition matrix elements on the 4D unit sphere, but now restricted to the hexadecant with all signs negative. This is because transition matrix elements $h_j$ must be negative. For approximately $5 \times 10^5$ sets of $h_j$ the difference $E_E - E_T$ has been calculated and always is found to be $> 0$; this means that $E_E$ is always larger than $E_T$. Only for $h = (-1, 0, 0, 0)$ and permutations, $E_E$ and two of the eigenenergies $E_T$ agree. This limiting case describes uniaxial rotation, - the same energies and degeneracies as for the uniaxial rotation of CH₃-groups or NH₃. Hence for 3D-rotation the E-level is always on top of the level scheme.

**Symmetry-adapted coordinates and fits with general distributions**

While some of the detailed features of the T-states are lost in the scattering process (“convolution” between T-states), a pronounced non-Gaussian signature remains, namely a double peak characteristic for the T-T transitions. Evidence of the double peak in the experiment requires a variance of the distribution sufficiently large compared to the experimental resolution. It is found, e.g., when taking a spherically symmetric distribution of $\delta h$ (with a single variance $\eta \sim 1.8 \mu eV$).
However, when using this “spherical model”, the widths of the A-T and T-E transitions become very similar, while experimentally they are quite different.

This problem can be solved with introduction of orthonormal coordinates in the 4D-space of transition matrix elements \(\delta h\) different from the standard \(e_j\) (with \(e_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0, \ldots)\)). Instead, \(h\) can be decomposed into a totally symmetric A-component and 3 T-components (see sketch in Fig. 5).

While the A-symmetric component only modulates the magnitude of the potential, those with T-symmetry reduce the symmetry of the potential (or that of the site). The corresponding unit vectors are as follows:

\[
e_A = (1, 1, 1, 1)/2, \\
e_T1 = (-1, -1, 1, 1)/2, \\
e_T2 = (-1, 1, -1, 1)/2, \\
e_T3 = (1, -1, -1, 1)/2.
\]

There are different conventions used for the numbering and the signs of the T coordinates which implicitly have been used by different authors. A particular set of \(h\) then can be decomposed into the above unit vectors as follows:

\[
h_A = h \cdot e_A = (h_1 + h_2 + h_3 + h_4)/2, \\
h_{T1} = h \cdot e_{T1} = (-h_1 - h_2 + h_3 + h_4)/2 = u, \\
h_{T2} = h \cdot e_{T2} = (-h_1 + h_2 - h_3 + h_4)/2 = v, \\
h_{T3} = h \cdot e_{T3} = (h_1 - h_2 - h_3 + h_4)/2 = w.
\]

With these definitions the matrix \(H_T\) can be rewritten as

\[
H_T = \begin{pmatrix} D & 2u & 2v \\ 2u & D & 2w \\ 2v & 2w & D \end{pmatrix}.
\]

In the following \(D = 0\) will be used.

How does the model originally used by Heidemann et al.\(^{18}\) fit into the above scheme? This latter model employs a binomial distribution of nearest neighbors (which is directly related with the magnitude of the potential) and completely remains within tetrahedral or A-symmetry. Only values \(h = h e_A\) with \(h\) variable are allowed and obviously this does not give rise to T-state splittings \((\delta h_T = 0)\). For splittings to occur different components of \(h\) must differ in magnitude: they must have non-zero components of T-symmetry, that is \(\delta h_T \neq 0\).

The 3 possible cases for distributions of the transition matrix elements are sketched in Fig. 5. In agreement with the symmetry requirements at the tetrahedral site 2 different variances \(\eta_A\) and \(\eta_T\) are allowed. Similar symmetry requirements need to be respected for other site symmetries.

\(\delta h_T\) apparently represents a “transverse” component of \(h\), while \(\delta h_A\) is the dominant term contributing to the variation of the modulus of \(h\). In the “binomial” model several discrete values of \(\delta h_A\) are the only allowed disorder effect and as a consequence of the A-symmetry only the magnitude of the \(h\) is modulated. As the instrumental resolution (in addition to other disorder effects) more or less removes the discrete characteristics of the binomial model, for \(\delta h_T = 0\) the models are equivalent and the widths generated agree. In the presence of a transverse component the width \(\eta_A\) is somewhat smaller than that for \(\eta_T = 0\) as the (small) effect of \(\eta_T\) on the modulus of \(h\) needs to be compensated.

The resulting parameters are \(\eta_A = 2.3\ \mu\text{eV}\) and \(\eta_T = 1.0\ \mu\text{eV}\). This means that the disorder in CH\(_2\)D\(_2\) has an ellipsoidal distribution (Fig. 5) - which leads to a sizable T-state splitting. The value of \(\eta_T\) must be related with the characteristics of the perturbation of the orientational order including the effect of the CH\(_2\)D\(_2\) molecules. While there are qualitative arguments, a quantitative explanation based on interaction potentials\(^{14,20}\) still needs to be given.

**Neutron scattering: Transition matrix elements**

The computer program was written with particular emphasis on the calculation of neutron spectra based on 10\(^4\) or more sets of transition matrix elements \(h\). At the same time most of the old calculations and conclusions were revisited. A central part of this computer program is the matrix \(A\) of Refs. 2 and 23 which needs to be rotated following the algorithm outlined in these references (see Ref. 30). More specifically, the rotation concerns the 9 × 9 submatrix of \(A\) of Ref. 2 which describes the transitions between the T-states. There are a few aspects which still need to be discussed:

1. The starting point of the description are the orientationally ordered sites of CH\(_4\) II with symmetry 4m2. With the distributions discussed above disorder is introduced into the concept. One now may argue that a small departure from high symmetry, that is from \(h = h_0(1,1,1,1)\), should lead only to small changes of the derived quantities. As regards the energy eigenvalues the perturbation is small indeed. This is different for the neutron scattering intensities within the T-state multiplet. Here the rotation matrix \(R\) enters which diagonalises the matrix \(H_T\). \(R\) only depends on the direction of \(u,v,w\) (the components of \(\delta h\) along the 3 unit vectors \(e_j\)) that is on \((u,v,w)/\sqrt{u^2 + v^2 + w^2}\) whence infinitesimal departures from the symmetric case have the same effect as large ones.

2. Strictly speaking the powder averaged intensity calculated for the A- symmetric case (unperturbed symmetry 4m2) has little meaning beyond the contribution to the

---

**FIG. 5.** Sketch of distributions in \(h\)-space in 2 dimensions highlighting 3 different situations with different shapes of the distribution: (i) circle: isotropic with \(\eta_A = \eta_T\); (ii) ellipsoid: anisotropic with \(\eta_A \neq \eta_T\); (iii) line: limiting case for \(\eta_T = 0\) - equivalent to binomial distribution model.
Results obtained with the refined description

The CH4 tunneling peaks of CH4:CH2D2 have been fit with the model described above. A single fit with $N = 2.5 \times 10^6$ sets of transition matrix elements (needed for sufficient statistical accuracy in the final fits) and with about 10–15 parameters takes about 5–10 h on a standard pc. This is rather slow, but fast enough for our purpose. Trends can be detected with much smaller numbers $N$. For reaching higher speed and shorter turnaround times one has to improve the algorithm used or use more powerful computers.

Before proceeding to the actual fit results the inelastic scattering from CH4, shown in an idealized form in Fig. 7, is discussed. For reasons of clarity several simplifications have been used. A Gaussian resolution was taken in order to avoid an asymmetric shape of the T-T contribution as in the fits of the data. While the fit results have been used for most parameters (e.g., the width of the Gaussian distributions of $\delta h_A$ and $\delta h_T$), the intensities taken are the theoretical values (high-T limit). The fitted intensity of the T-E contribution is only 2/3 of the theoretical value. Also, further refinements as described below have not been included in this presentation. The figure demonstrates the splitting of the T-T contribution as well as the widths of all contributions in a pure form. The lineshape of the T-T contribution is different from that of a sum of 2 Gaussians. Depending on the parameters of the distribution there may even be the indication of another double peak. As can be seen below the fits with spectra calculated on the basis of the disorder model are quite satisfactory: this concerns both the unresolved T-T transition and the resolved tunneling lines.

Figure 8 shows the data together with the fit. The main fit parameters concerning both CH4 and CH2D2 are summarized in Table I. As before the CH2D2-contribution is included in the conventional fashion by 3 broad Gaussian peaks at the appropriate energies. Their positions remain largely unchanged compared to the initial “conventional” fits. All CH2D2-peaks now have a width of about 10 $\mu$eV (FWHM); their intensities change somewhat. Different from our expectation no additional information could be obtained, neither in the region of the elastic peak nor elsewhere. Apparently, the total scattering from CH2D2 is quite weak and due to the strong contribution from CH4 there are correlations between the respective parameters. Though the present results will provide a good starting point for the interpretation of tunneling in CH2D2, ultimately it will be necessary to fit the 2 models (CH4 II and CH2D2 II) simultaneously.
FIG. 8. Scattering from CH4:CH2D2 at T = 1.9 K. Fit to the data with the disorder model described in the text (N = 25000). In addition the effect of a contamination with 0.3% air has been included into the fit.

Energies and eigenvalues of the T-states do not depend on the average transition matrix element \( h_0 \) but entirely on \( \delta h_A \) and \( \delta h_T \); on \( \delta h_A \) the less the smaller \( \delta h_T \). The shape of the T-T transition varies considerably with the particular distribution and the resolution. The newly observed contribution has an overall FWHM of about 10 \( \mu eV \) and indeed some similarity with a double peak represented by 2 Gaussians. The fit values \( \eta_T = 1.07 \) \( \mu eV \) and \( \eta_A = 2.25 \) \( \mu eV \) yield a splitting into a double peak (Fig. 8) with maxima at \( \pm 2.7 \) \( \mu eV \). With the present resolution the T-T transition is not fully resolved.

The fit parameter \( \eta_T \) has a somewhat larger uncertainty than the value for \( \eta_A \). The information on their ratio is not only contained in the unresolved contribution to the elastic peak but also in the width of the A-T and T-E transitions. In the fits the simulated tunneling peaks were multiplied with temperature factors and 2 additional factors allowing for departures from the calculated intensities entirely based on the model. On a relative scale the uncoupled intensities are 5 for A-T, 4 for T-E and 3 when integrating all T-T contributions. The theoretical value of the ratio \( R_2 = I_{AT}/I_{TT} \) is very well reproduced by the fits. While this good agreement may be fortuitous we believe that at least the right order of magnitude for the T-T scattering is confirmed.

On the other hand, the intensity ratio \( R = I_{AT}/I_{TE} \) = 1.49, which is 20% larger than the calculated value of 5/4, is the same as that found before. Experimentally determined intensity ratios apparently depend on details of the disorder (see Table B.1 in suppl. material\(^2\)). An extension from 8-type pocket states to states with finite and anisotropic width seems necessary.\(^2\)

To obtain the quality of fit as displayed in Fig. 8 further steps of refinement were done and need to be reported: in all scans (including those at \( T = 1.9 \) K) detailed balance is found to reflect the actual temperature of our sample. This is due to nuclear spin conversion, caused by the presence of oxygen. We know that the leading impurity in CH2D2 is air which contains 20% of the paramagnetic molecule \( \text{O}_2 \). While completely different regarding spin conversion, \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \) have very similar effects on the methane spectrum. These molecules are built into the lattice as substitutional impurities. In the dilute limit each of them affects its surrounding in the following way:

i Their nearest CH4 neighbors are lacking 1 of 8 methane neighbors with its octupole moment: the potential they experience is much weaker which gives rise to weak and broad peaks shifted to higher energies.\(^19,27\) An indication of such peaks indeed is present on the high energy side of the four tunneling peaks. From the fits we also get a rough idea about the amount of air in the sample. Each impurity molecule affects the excitation spectrum of eight methane neighbors. 2.5% of the molecules affected corresponds to \( \approx 0.3\% \) air (and hence 0.06% \( \text{O}_2 \)) in the sample which corresponds to about 4% air in the CH2D2 gas. As there is considerable uncertainty in this result - the inelastic contribution is not well-separated from the main peak - we may take this value as an upper limit.

ii When referring to the A-T part of this small contribution its energy is centered at \( E = 172 \) \( \mu eV \) which corresponds to \( \sim 60\% \) of the calculated shift (and the shift of the corresponding energy found for CH4:Kr, 194 \( \mu eV \)). The reduction of this shift can be related with the small van der Waals radii of \( \text{N}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \). They are considerably smaller than that of CH4 which leads to a local lattice relaxation. In the first neighbor shell of a \( \text{N}_2 \) or \( \text{O}_2 \) molecule the CH4 molecules are displaced in the direction towards the “defect”. Locally there are smaller distances and hence an increase of the interaction potential occurs, which partially compensates the effect of the missing neighbor.

Table I. Main fit results (a) obtained with the disorder model for CH4 II and (b) fitting Gaussian peaks for the CH2D2 contribution: (a) \( h_0 \) is the intensity ratios discussed in the text: \( \eta_A/o n \) is the fitted percentage of \( \text{air molecules in the sample and} \) II and (b) fitting Gaussian peaks for the CH2D2 contribution: (a) \( h_0 \) is the change of the 120\( \circ \) overlap matrix element, \( \eta_A \) and \( \eta_T \) are the variances of the anisotropic distribution, \( \delta \) is the overlap, \( R = 12T/18T \) and \( R_2 = 1T/1T \) are the intensities of the contribution from CH2D2 neighbor. Positions, FWHM = 2\( \Gamma \) and intensities of the contribution from CH2D2 neighbor are given in (b).

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
\text{(a)} & \text{(b)} \\
E(\mu eV) & 2\Gamma(\mu eV) & \text{Int (a.u.)} \\
\hline
h_0 = -18.20 \mu eV & & \\
\eta_A = 2.25 \mu eV & 14.4 & 8.4 & 330 \\
\eta_T = 1.07 \mu eV & 28.4 & 12.2 & 170 \\
\delta = 0.0043 & 53.0 & 10.6 & 90 \\
R = 1.49 = (5/4)1.19 & & \\
R_2 = 1.78 = (5/3)1.07 & & \\
P_{\text{air}} = 0.29\% & & \\
\Delta h_0 = -2.9 \mu eV & & \\
\end{array}
\]

A final remark concerns the ratio between the energies of A-T and T-E transitions (see Theory I) which is markedly <2. The 120\( \circ \) overlap matrix elements H cannot be responsible as they are one to two orders of magnitude too small to yield the observed effect.\(^2\) Rather, the overlap of the wavefunctions \( \delta \) needs to be considered which enters into the expression for the transition energies, e.g., \( \hbar\omega_{AT} = 8\hbar/(1 + 8\delta) \). The ratio of the energies then is \( r = [8\hbar/(1 + 8\delta)]/(4\hbar/(1 - 8\delta)) \approx 2(1 - 125) \). In the fit routine all A-T and T-E transitions have
been corrected by the respective factors which yields a value \( \delta = 0.0043 \) in close agreement with the value found by A. Heidemann et al.\(^18\)

With decreasing potential both the overlap matrix elements and the overlap must increase. Therefore we have attempted to extract an \( h \)-dependent \( \delta \) from the low-temperature data for CH\(_4\) with oxygen impurities.\(^19\) As, however, the uncertainty of the reported energies was too high for the present purpose, a linear dependence \( \delta(h) = 2.3 \cdot 10^{-4} h \) was assumed. The same assumption with a slightly different constant already has been used for estimating overlaps \( h(H_2D) \) for CH\(_2D\) in CH\(_4\) II.\(^16\) There is only a limited effect on the fit results.

**SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK**

As has been stated above, disorder seems to be inseparable from rotational tunneling: in all known examples\(^22\) a broadening of the tunneling peaks has been observed even at He-temperatures and below. For this reason it is important to include disorder into the analysis.

In this paper, we indicate a more refined way of describing disorder which is not only useful for CH\(_4\) II. The microscopic origin of the disorder for several impurity atoms or molecules will be studied in a separate paper. For CH\(_4\) II the attention has been drawn to the orientationally ordered molecules and the distribution of the T-states which are found to have considerable internal structure. There is also an effect on the rotational states of the orientationally disordered molecules in CH\(_4\) II as well, but it is much less pronounced. An algorithm is presented in which local disorder around any chosen orientationally ordered CH\(_4\) molecule in the crystal is introduced. This is done by first decomposing \( h \) into components with A- and T-symmetry. Distributions of \( h \) with general symmetry are assumed which, however, respect tetrahedral symmetry on average. Then, based on the pocket state formalism of A. Hüller,\(^1\) energies and neutron scattering intensities for a large set of different transition matrix elements are calculated, again for general symmetry. Indeed an anisotropic distribution of overlap matrix elements \( h \) turns out necessary, with a distinction between “longitudinal” and “transverse” variances, \( \eta_\parallel \) and \( \eta_\perp \), or, in a stricter formulation, variances with A- and T-symmetry.

An experiment performed at the backscattering instrument BASIS at the SNS showed strong intensity below the elastic peak which is reasonably well described by a double peak. Obviously, the combination of high energy resolution and a large dynamical range (at high intensity) opens many new possibilities. While the uncertainty of the fit parameters remains fairly large the multi-peak structure is confirmed by the model and the fit parameters generalize previous findings based on a simpler model. A re-examination of the elastic region by employing higher resolution, e.g., backscattering at a reactor-based instrument with a resolution of about 1 \( \mu\)eV, looks promising, however.

The observed intensity of this contribution agrees very well with the calculations. An improved refinement was obtained by allowing for contributions (which may be called a “fingerprint” of N\(_2\) and O\(_2\) in CH\(_4\)) triggered by the presence of small amounts of air in the sample. Also, a very precise value for the (average) overlap \( \delta \) of the wave functions which enters the normalization of the energies\(^17,21,33\) was determined. As there is a direct relation between potentials \( V \) and energy eigenvalues \( E \), potential and energy fluctuations \( \Delta V \) and \( \Delta E \), respectively, are related as well (\( \Delta V/V \approx 0.3 \cdot \Delta E/E \) in the relevant range of potentials\(^33\)) which yields important additional information.

The above algorithm may replace the more simplistic assumption that the line width increases proportional to the energy of the transition (which, e.g., was successful in special case of NH\(_4\)ClO\(_4\)).\(^34\) It also explains why the binomial distribution model\(^18\) worked relatively well at least as far as the inelastic tunneling transitions are concerned.

As long as the site symmetry is high and the splittings are in the tunneling regime (with energies \( \lesssim 10–15\% \) of the rotational constant \( B \)) the application is straightforward for molecules with full tetrahedral symmetry (CH\(_4\), CD\(_4\), NH\(_4^+\), ND\(_4^+\)). For lower site symmetry and multi site systems the required number of parameters will increase and render the approach more difficult to use. In the case of XD\(_4\) the intensity ratios of the inelastic contributions (tetrahedral site symmetry) are \( I_{TT}:I_{TE}:I_{TT} = 5:6:7 \), instead of 5:4:3 for CH\(_4\). Consequently, in relative terms, T-T transitions become more intense in XD\(_4\).

A generalization to the partially deuterated tetrahedral molecules XH\(_{4-y}D_y\) (X = C, N, y = 1, 2, 3) seems obvious. As in all previous cases the algorithm requires a formulation for general site symmetry which is non-trivial. The paper by Lushington, Maki et al.\(^28\) gives by far the most complete description of the rotational states of partially deuterated methanes: beyond the need of introducing different tunneling matrix elements \( h \) for a lighter and a heavier group, molecular localization has to be introduced (as in general orientational potentials differ for different orientations).

Localization in conjunction with disorder, which may render the observation of the excitation spectrum with neutrons impossible, also plays a role for hydrogen in metals systems.\(^35,36\) Tunneling of H in metals indeed is a possible application of the model to systems outside molecular ones. Also, for simpler tunneling systems like methyl groups (several potential parameters may be important) or H\(_2\), an inclusion of disorder effects may yield interesting results.

The central limit theorem requires that the superposition of several disorder or resolution effects ultimately always leads to Gaussian distributions. This is also the case here and even “dramatic” effects like missing CH\(_4\) molecules (N\(_2\) and O\(_2\) as substitutional impurities) are barely visible as peaks. The double peak originating from T-T transitions remains, however. More effects can be assigned to a microscopic origin for less pronounced disorder as CH\(_4\) II with O\(_2\) (with low oxygen concentration) at low temperatures.\(^19\)

Furthermore there is still the need of a discussion of the scattering from CH\(_2\)D\(_2\) in phase II which was part of the motivation of the present experiment. In this case, center-of-mass displacements of the molecules will modify local potentials substantially and must be taken into account. Centre-of-mass displacements play an even more important role in phase III of solid methane. Some new work on rotational tunneling
in phase III of CH$_4$ – analyzed with the knowledge of the phase III structure – already has been published.\textsuperscript{8,35} For the partially deuterated methanes new data from IN16 at the ILL, Grenoble and BASIS at the SNS, Oak Ridge are available. It remains to be seen whether it will be necessary to proceed to rotation-translation coupling and solve a rotational Hamiltonian with inclusion of translational degrees of freedom or whether a more simplistic approach will suffice. The former has been done with great success by P. Schiebel \textit{et al.} for uni-axial rotation.\textsuperscript{38} A generalization to the rotational states in methane hydrate has recently been published by I. Matanovic \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{39} and is more complicated.
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