Secondary organic aerosol formation from hydroxyl radical oxidation and ozonolysis of monoterpenes
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Abstract. Oxidation by hydroxyl radical (OH) and ozonolysis are the two major pathways of daytime biogenic volatile organic compound (BVOC) oxidation and secondary organic aerosol (SOA) formation. In this study, we investigated the particle formation of several common monoterpenes (α-pinene, β-pinene and limonene) by OH-dominated oxidation, which has seldom been investigated. OH oxidation experiments were carried out in the SAPHIR (Simulation of Atmospheric PHotochemistry In a large Reaction) chamber in Jülich, Germany, at low NOx (0.01 ∼ 1 ppbV) and low ozone (O3) concentration (< 20 ppbV). OH concentration and total OH reactivity (kOH) were measured directly, and through this the overall reaction rate of total organics with OH in each reaction system was quantified. Multi-generation reaction processes, particle growth, new particle formation (NPF), particle yield and chemical composition were analyzed and compared with that of monoterpene ozonolysis. Multi-generation products were found to be important in OH-dominated SOA formation. The relative role of functionalization and fragmentation in the reaction process of OH oxidation was analyzed by examining the particle mass and the particle size as a function of OH dose. We developed a novel method which quantitatively links particle growth to the reaction rate of OH with total organics in a reaction system. This method was also used to analyze the evolution of functionalization and fragmentation of organics in the particle formation by OH oxidation. It shows that functionalization of organics was dominant in the beginning of the reaction (within two lifetimes of the monoterpene) and fragmentation started to play an important role after that. We compared particle formation from OH oxidation with that from pure ozonolysis. In individual experiments, growth rates of the particle size did not necessarily correlate with the reaction rate of monoterpene with OH and O3. Comparing the size growth rates at the similar reaction rates of monoterpene with OH or O3 indicates that, generally, OH oxidation and ozonolysis had similar efficiency in particle growth. The SOA yield of α-pinene and limonene by ozonolysis was higher than that of OH oxidation. Aerosol mass spectrometry (AMS) shows SOA elemental composition from OH oxidation follows a slope shallower than −1 in the O/C vs. H/C diagram, also known as Van Krevelen diagram, indicating that oxidation proceeds without significant loss of hydrogen. SOA from OH oxidation had higher H/C ratios than SOA from ozonolysis. In ozonolysis, a process with significant hydrogen loss seemed to play an important role in SOA formation.

1 Introduction

As an important class of atmospheric aerosol, organic aerosol (OA) comprises a significant fraction of aerosol mass. It accounts for around 50 % of dry tropospheric submicron aerosol mass in many urban and rural locations (Kanakidou et al., 2005; Jimenez et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011). OA has a strong impact on air pollution, human health and climate on the regional and global scale. A large fraction of organic aerosol is contributed by secondary organic aerosol (SOA). In spite of intensive studies in recent years, the source of SOA still has considerable uncertainties with the estimated global source ranging from 120 to 1820 Tg a⁻¹ (Hallquist et al., 2009; Spracklen et al., 2011; Goldstein and Galbally, 2015).
SOA is believed to mainly originate from the biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) from plants (Hallquist et al., 2009). Among them, monoterpenes are important due to their high emission rates and high reactivity (Chung and Seinfeld, 2002; Guenther et al., 1995, 2012).

The impact of SOA on the radiation budget of the Earth thus depends on its particle number concentration, size distribution and composition, which affect optical properties and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity of an aerosol (Andreae and Rosenfeld, 2008). Understanding particle formation and growth is therefore critical for assessing the impact of SOA.

Particle formation and growth from BVOCs are mainly initiated by hydroxyl radical (OH) and ozone (O$_3$) oxidation during daytime. SOA formation from ozonolysis of several monoterpenes such as α-pinene, β-pinene and limonene has been studied extensively (Inumaa et al., 2005; Presto et al., 2005; Shilling et al., 2009; Yu et al., 1999; Ortega et al., 2012; Saathoff et al., 2009; Tillmann et al., 2010; Hoffmann et al., 1997; Griffin et al., 1999; Lee et al., 2006; Ma et al., 2008). However, particle formation from OH oxidation of monoterpenes has been much less investigated and pure OH oxidation of monoterpenes has seldom been investigated due to the presence of O$_3$ formed in the photooxidation process (Eddingsaas et al., 2012; Ng et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2006). SOA formation from pure OH oxidation of monoterpenes regarding the reaction process, such as the formation and role of multi-generation products, and the influence of OH oxidation on particle growth is not clear. Particularly, despite the importance of the OH oxidation in the particle formation, the quantitative effect of OH oxidation on particle growth is not available. Here we focus on the SOA formation from OH oxidation of monoterpenes.

It is also interesting to compare the relative importance of OH oxidation with ozonolysis of monoterpenes in particle nucleation and growth. A number of studies have investigated this question (Bonn and Moortgat, 2002; Burkholder et al., 2007; Hao et al., 2009; Mentel et al., 2009), but often at high VOC concentrations and the results are controversial. Some studies have shown the importance of ozonolysis in new particle formation (NPF) (Bonn and Moortgat, 2002), while others have emphasized the importance of OH oxidation (Burkholder et al., 2007; Hao et al., 2009; Mentel et al., 2009). Studies at the simulation chamber JPAC (Jülich Plant Aerosol Atmosphere Chamber) suggest OH and H$_2$SO$_4$ are needed to initiate NPF (Mentel et al., 2009; Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2009a, 2012; Ehn et al., 2014). Ehn et al. (2014) suggest that α-pinene ozonolysis produces a class of extreme low volatile organic compounds (ELVOC), a recently discovered highly oxidized multifunctional products, which are important for the nucleation and possibly make up 50–100 % of SOA in early stages of particle growth in Hyytiälä (Ehn et al., 2012). Regarding particle growth, Burkholder et al. (2007) stated that particle size growth rates for different oxidation sources are nearly indistinguishable. Yet, Hao et al. (2009), using the real BVOC emissions from plants, showed a much more efficient role of ozonolysis than OH oxidation in particle growth. One reason causing the different results on nucleation could be that VOC oxidation products are not the nucleating agents. Another important reason for the controversy on particle nucleation and growth is that the OH oxidation and ozonolysis have seldom been separated when comparing the SOA formation from both pathways.

In addition, the reaction rates of OH and O$_3$ with organics have to be quantified and comparable when one investigates the relative role of OH oxidation and ozonolysis in particle formation. To obtain the reaction rates of VOCs with OH, the OH concentration is a required parameter. However, none of these previous studies directly measured the OH concentration, which was either not stated or just modeled. Since the detailed chemistry, including HO$_x$ generation pathways, of BVOC photooxidation is still not well understood, modeled OH concentrations may have significant uncertainties (Fuchs et al., 2013; Kaminiski, 2014; Kim et al., 2013; Whalley et al., 2011). Consequently, the relative importance of OH oxidation and ozonolysis in particle formation and growth may have large uncertainties when the comparison of both cases is based on modeled OH concentrations and corresponding reaction rates with OH.

In this study, we investigated the SOA formation and growth of several common monoterpenes, α-pinene, β-pinene and limonene, by OH oxidation at ambient relevant conditions low NO$_x$ (0.01–1 ppbv), low VOCs (∼4 ppbv) and low particle concentrations (sub-µg m$^{-3}$ to several µg m$^{-3}$). The OH oxidation experiments were conducted at low O$_3$ concentration (∼20 ppbv) to ensure that OH oxidation was the dominant reaction pathway. OH oxidation was measured directly, as was the total reactivity ($k_{OH}$) of the whole reaction system with respect to OH, so that the overall reaction rates of organics with OH were directly quantified (Lou et al., 2010). Note that $k_{OH}$ denotes OH reactivity throughout this paper rather than the rate constant for the reaction of individual species with OH. Direct derivation of the overall reaction rate of total organics with OH (product of OH reactivity of total organics and the OH concentration) from measured parameters is a unique feature of this study. The multi-generation reaction process, particle growth, NPF, particle yield and particle composition were analyzed. A novel method which quantitatively established the relationship of particle mass growth rate with the reaction rate with OH was developed for the first time here to the best of our knowledge. This method was further used to analyze the multi-generation reaction process. Particle formation by OH oxidation was compared with that by ozonolysis. Ozonolysis experiments were done in the presence of CO as OH scavenger, so that ozonolysis was the dominant reaction pathway. Compared with other OH scavengers, mainly organics such as butanol, cyclohexane, etc., CO helps keep the RO$_2$/HO$_2$ concentration low since in the atmosphere HO$_2$ usually exceeds or is close to RO$_2$ concentration (Hanke et
al., 2002; Mihelcic et al., 2003), in contrast with many laboratory studies where RO2 concentration is much higher than HO2 concentration (Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008). The relative roles of OH oxidation and ozonolysis in SOA formation and particle growth were evaluated from comparisons of OH- and O3-dominated experiments. In particular, we used low VOC concentration (≈ 4 ppb) with natural sunlight conditions resulting in low particle loading (sub-μg m$^{-3}$ to several μg m$^{-3}$). The low particle loading allowed us to investigate the particle formation, particle growth and multi-generation reaction process under ambient relevant conditions (Presto and Donahue, 2006; Shilling et al., 2008, 2009; Pathak et al., 2007). It also minimized the condensation of early generation products with low oxidation state which is of little relevance for ambient conditions (Shilling et al., 2009; Pfaffenberger et al., 2013).

2 Experimental

2.1 Experiment setup and instrumentation

The experiments were carried out in the outdoor atmosphere simulation chamber SAPHIR (Simulation of Atmospheric PHotochemistry In a large Reaction chamber), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Germany. SAPHIR is a 270 m$^3$ double-wall Teflon chamber of cylindrical shape. The details of the chamber have been previously described (Rohrer et al., 2005; Bohn et al., 2005). The chamber uses natural sunlight as the light source and is equipped with a louvre system to simulate dark processes when the louvre is closed. It is operated with high purity synthetic air (Linde LiPur, purity 99.9999 %) constantly flushes the chamber at a slight overpressure of ∼ 50 Pa and compensates for the sampling losses by various instruments. This flow causes dilution of the reaction mixture with clean air at an average loss rate coefficient of 9.35 × 10$^{-6}$ s$^{-1}$ (residence time of ∼ 30 h), agreeing well with the dilution rates determined from measured H2O and CO2 time series. Pure nitrogen (Linde LiPur, purity 99.9999 %) constantly flushes the space between the inner and outer Teflon wall to prevent intrusion of contaminants into the chamber. A fan ensures mixing of trace gases within minutes, but reduces aerosol lifetime when it runs. The loss by dilution alone applies equally to suspended particles and gases.

For the experiments described here, the chamber was equipped with instrumentation characterizing gas-phase and particle-phase species as well as physical parameters including temperature, relative humidity, flow rate and photolysis frequencies.

The actinic flux and the according photolysis frequencies were provided from measurements of a spectral radiometer (Bohn et al., 2005). NO and NO2 measurements were performed with a chemiluminescence analyzer (ECO PHYSICS TR480) equipped with a photolytic converter (ECO PHYSICS PL760). For a time resolution of 90 s the detection limits of the NOx analyzer were 5 and 10 pptV and the accuracies 5 and 10 % for NO and NO2, respectively. O3 was measured by an UV absorption spectrometer (ANSYCO model O341M).

The concentrations of the VOCs were measured by a proton transfer reaction-mass spectrometer (PTR-MS, Ionicon) (Jordan et al., 2009) and gas chromatography coupled to a mass spectrometer (GC–MS, Agilent) (Apel et al., 2008; Kaminski, 2014). From the measured monoterpene time series (shown in Fig. S3 in the Supplement), the time-dependent monoterpene consumed during an experiment is obtained. The measured monoterpene consumed also agrees with that calculated from the initial concentration and loss by the reaction with OH and dilution within the uncertainty of measurement (PTR-MS: ±15 %, OH concentration: ±10 %) and the reaction rate constant of monoterpene (Atkinson et al., 2006; Atkinson and Arey, 2003; Gill and Hites, 2002) as shown in Fig. S6. In the ozonolysis experiments, reactions of VOCs with O3 in the sample line were found to cause additional monoterpene loss. Monoterpene concentrations were therefore also quantified from initial monoterpene concentrations and the losses by reaction according to the reaction rate of O3 with monoterpenes determined from measured O3 and by dilution.

OH, HO2 and RO2 radicals were measured using laser-induced fluorescence (LIF). The uncertainty of the OH measurement, determined by the accuracy of the calibration of the LIF instrument, is 10 % (1σ). The details of LIF instrument were described by Fuchs et al. (2012). The OH radicals inside SAPHIR are mainly formed by the photolysis of HONO (nitrous acid) directly coming off the chamber walls through a photolytic process, and to a minor fraction by O3 photolysis (Rohrer et al., 2005). No additional OH generator was used.

Total OH reactivity ($k_{\text{OH}}$), which is equivalent to the inverse atmospheric OH lifetime, was measured also using flash photolysis/laser-induced fluorescence (FP/LIF) technique that was first realized by Calpini et al. (1999) and later by Sadanaga et al. (2004). $k_{\text{OH}}$ is a pseudo-first-order rate constant, equal to the sum of products of the concentrations of all species reacting with OH with their rate constants. Laser flash photolysis (LFP) of ozone is used to produce OH in a sample of air and LIF is applied to monitor the time-dependent OH decay. From the time-dependent OH decay the $k_{\text{OH}}$ was obtained. The instrument used in this work at SAPHIR was deployed in previous field campaigns and is described in detail elsewhere (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009; Lou et al., 2010).

The OH concentration was used to calculate the OH dose in order to better compare different experiments. The OH dose is the integral of the OH concentration over time and gives the cumulated OH concentrations to which gases and particles were exposed at a given time of an experiment. One hour exposure to typical atmospheric OH concentrations of
2 × 10^6 molecules cm^{-3} results in an OH dose of 7.2 × 10^9 molecules cm^{-3} s. The OH concentration and OH reactivity were also used to calculate the reaction rate of OH with total organics.

Particle size distributions were measured by a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, TSI DMA3081/TSI CPC3785) with a size range 9.82–414.2 nm. Aerosol yield was calculated using SMPS mass concentration assuming a density of 1 g cm^{-3} to compare with previous studies in the literature. Aerosol density is assumed to be constant throughout one experiment, since from our previous studies the density was found to be relatively constant throughout the whole experiment (Salo et al., 2011; Saathoff et al., 2009). Particles in the chamber are subject to wall losses as reported previously (Salo et al., 2011; Fry et al., 2011). Size effects of the particle loss were neglected here because of the narrow size distribution (geometric standard deviation < 1.3). In this study, the particle wall-loss rate was determined using an exponential fit of the decay of the particle number concentration after the nucleation has stopped for several hours (Carter et al., 2005; Fry et al., 2011; Pierce et al., 2008). In addition to particle wall loss, vapor wall losses to the wall have been observed in the laboratory chamber studies (Matsunaga and Ziemann, 2010; Zhang et al., 2014). The particle mass concentration corrected for dilution and wall loss is shown here unless otherwise stated. Vapor wall losses were not corrected here due to the difficulty to quantify, but the effect of vapor loss on the particle mass concentration is discussed. The uncertainty of the particle mass concentration, due to uncertainty of the particle wall loss and vapor wall loss is also discussed.

The chemical composition of SOA was characterized by a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-ToF-AMS, Aerodyne Research Inc., DeCarlo et al., 2006). Particles enter the instrument through an aerodynamic lens and are focused to a particle beam. The particles impact on a tungsten oven at 600 °C and are flash vaporized into vapors under vacuum. The vapors are then ionized by 70 eV electron impact (EI), and the resulting ions are detected by a time-of-flight mass spectrometer operating at either a high-sensitivity mode (V-mode) or a high mass resolution mode (W-mode). In this study we used the so-called MS (mass spectrum) mode which gets the size integrated overall composition of SOA.

To characterize the degree of oxidation of particles, the O/C ratio was obtained. The O/C and H/C ratios, also known as Van Krevelen diagram, were derived by the elemental analysis of mass spectra obtained in the high mass resolution W-mode as described by Aiken et al. (2007, 2008). An updated procedure to calculate O/C and H/C was reported to be in development (Canagaratna et al., 2015). However, the details have not been published yet; therefore, the traditional method is still used here to derive the elemental ratio. Corrections for the minor influence of gaseous components were done before the calculation of the H/C and O/C ratios. Chamber air contains CO2 and water vapor and both gas-phase species contribute to the mass spectra. The contribution of gas-phase CO2 and water vapor to m/z (mass-to-charge ratio) 44 and to m/z 18, respectively, was inferred from measurements during periods when no particles were present. The values were subtracted to obtain the particle signals before the elemental analysis (Allan et al., 2004). No collection efficiency correction was further used.

2.2 Experiment procedure

Two kinds of experiments, photooxidation and ozonolysis of monoterpenes were carried out under humid conditions with a starting RH ∼ 75 %. The summary of the experimental conditions is shown in Table 1. All the experiments were conducted under NOx < ∼ 1 ppb. No NOx was added to the chamber, and background NOx originated mainly from the wall. In the photooxidation experiments, the O3 concentration was < 3 ppb at the start of each experiment and did not exceed 20 ppb over the course of an experiment. The OH oxidation was the dominant oxidation pathway (> ∼ 95 % of monoterpenes loss). In a typical procedure, air in the chamber was first humidified and then the louvre system was opened for around 1.5 h. Afterwards monoterpenes were injected and the reaction of monoterpenes with OH occurred. After the photooxidation process, which was finished by closing the louvre system, the reaction mixtures stayed in the dark for around 1 h before they were flushed out. Before nucleation there were some background particles present introduced after humidification which had relatively large diameter (median diameter 40–60 nm) but with fairly low concentration (refer to Table 1). Particle size before nucleation was not shown in order to avoid confusion. The ozonolysis experiments were conducted in the dark. After humidification CO and monoterpenes were added to the chamber. CO (∼ 40 ppm) was used as OH scavenger to ensure that oxidation by O3 was the dominant reaction pathway (> ∼ 95 % of OH was scavenged) with little contribution of the OH oxidation to monoterpenes losses. Afterwards, O3 generated from an UV O3 generator was added to the chamber to start ozonolysis reaction of monoterpenes.

3 Methods

In the reaction of monoterpenes with OH and O3, oxidation products are generated, which condense on the particle phase resulting in particle growth. In the case of OH oxidation, multi-generation products can be formed from the further reaction of first generation products with OH, while for the ozonolysis of monoterpenes, with one carbon–carbon double bond, the reaction products do not react with O3 any more since the double carbon bond has been broken down. Particle growth depends on the condensation flux, and thus the concentration of condensing products, of all generations. Since
the concentration of condensing products is a function of the reaction rate, particle growth is closely related to the reaction rate of organics. We explored the relationship between particle mass growth and reaction rate of the organics with OH. When particles grow, the particle diameter enlarges and the particle mass increases due to the condensation of the reaction products. Here we use the term particle size growth with the reaction rate of OH with total organics for the first time, to the best of our knowledge. Since mass growth rate with the reaction rate of OH with total organics is derived from the sum of the particle mass growth due to all condensing species contribute to the particle mass growth. Hence, the particle mass increases due to the condensation of the reaction products. Here we use the term particle size growth with the reaction rate of OH with total organic species. We explored the relationship between mass growth rate with the reaction rate of organics. We explored the relationship between mass growth rate with the reaction rate of organics.

When an infinitesimal concentration of \( i \), \( dC_i^g \), reacts via Reaction (R1), corresponding to a change of \( i \) in the particle phase, \( dC_i^p \), from Eq. (1), one can get Eq. (2). \( C_i^p \) is assumed to be constant in each time step because the change in each time step is minor compared to \( C_i^p \); furthermore, loss of \( i \) is compensated for by a gain in \( i + \) when the vapor pressure of \( i + \) is sufficiently low to be on the particle phase and thus \( C_i^p \) is approximately conserved.

\[
dC_i^g = \frac{dC_i^p}{C_i^p} \cdot C_i^g
\]  
(2)

Re-arranging Eq. (2), one can get

\[
dC_i^p = C_i^p \cdot dC_i^g/n_i.
\]  
(3)

Similarly, one can get

\[
dC_{i,+}^p = C_{i,+}^p \cdot dC_i^g/n_i.
\]  
(4)

For the change in the particle mass concentration (\( m_i \)), \( \mu g m^{-3} \) due to the reaction of species \( i \) by Reaction (R1), we have

\[
\left( \frac{dm_i}{dt} \right)_i = \frac{dm_{i,+}^p}{dt} + \frac{dm_i^p}{dt}.
\]  
(5)

\( dm_i^p \) (\( \mu g m^{-3} \)) and \( dC_i^p \) can be related by

\[
dm_i^p = \frac{dC_i^p \cdot M_i \cdot 10^6 \cdot 10^6}{N_A}.
\]  
(6)

where \( M_i \) is the molecular weight of species \( i \) (mol kg\(^{-1}\)) and \( N_A \) is Avogadro’s constant.

Similarly with Eq. (6), for species \( i + \), one can get

\[
dm_{i,+}^p = \frac{dC_{i,+}^p \cdot M_{i,+} \cdot 10^6 \cdot 10^6}{N_A}.
\]  
(7)

By applying the relationship of \( i \) and \( i + \) in the Reaction (R1), we express

\[
dC_{i,+}^g = -dC_i^g.
\]  
(8)
Substituting Eqs. (3), (4), (6) – (8) into Eq. (5), one can get
\[
\left(\frac{dm_i}{dt}\right)_i = \frac{dC_i^g}{dt} \cdot C_i^g 10^6 \cdot 10^6 \left(\frac{M_i}{C_i^0} - \frac{M_{i+}}{C_{i+}^0}\right). \tag{9}
\]

Assuming \(M_{i+}\) and \(M_i\) are similar, with an average molecular weight \(M\), one can get
\[
m_t = C_t^g \frac{10^6 \cdot 10^6}{N_A} M, \tag{10}
\]
where \(m_t\) is total particle mass concentration.

Substituting Eq. (10) into Eq. (9), one can get
\[
\left(\frac{dm_i}{dt}\right)_i = \frac{dC_i^g}{dt} \cdot m_t \left(\frac{1}{C_i^0} - \frac{1}{C_{i+}^0}\right) . \tag{11}
\]
If we relax our assumption that one molecule of \(i+\) is formed from the loss of one molecule of \(i\) in Reaction (R1), e.g., in case of fragmentation, Eq. (11) still holds (as shown in Appendix A).

According to the reaction of \(i\) with OH, we have
\[
\frac{dC_i^g}{dt} = -R_{OH,i}, \tag{12}
\]
where \(R_{OH,i}\) is the reaction rate of species \(i\) with OH.

Substitute Eq. (12) into Eq. (11) and one can get
\[
\left(\frac{dm_i}{dt}\right)_i = R_{OH,i} \cdot m_t \left(\frac{1}{C_i^0} - \frac{1}{C_{i+}^0}\right) . \tag{13}
\]

Considering all the species contributing to the particle phase, we have
\[
\frac{dm_t}{dt} = \sum_i R_{OH,i} m_t \left(\frac{1}{C_i^0} - \frac{1}{C_{i+}^0}\right) . \tag{14}
\]

Re-arrange Eq. (14) and
\[
\frac{dm_t}{dt} = m_t \sum_i R_{OH,i} \left(\frac{1}{C_i^0} - \frac{1}{C_{i+}^0}\right) \cdot \frac{R_{OH,i}}{\sum_i R_{OH,i}} . \tag{15}
\]

Summing up all the species, we have
\[
R_{OH} = \sum_i R_{OH,i} , \tag{16}
\]
wherein \(R_{OH}\) is the reaction rate of total organics with OH.

In the next step, we will derive a system characterizing quantity in order to overcome the underdetermined knowledge about the individual components due to the complexity of monoterpene degradation. We define a new metric, \(GE_{OH}(t, i)\) (particle growth efficiency with respect to the reaction of OH with total organics in the whole reaction system, including the VOCs and their oxidation products) in Eq. (17) for species \(i\):
\[
GE_{OH}(t, i) = \frac{1}{C_{i+}^0} - \frac{1}{C_i^0} . \tag{17}
\]

One can also define
\[
\frac{\sum_i R_{OH,i} \cdot \frac{1}{C_i^0}}{\sum_i R_{OH,i}} = \frac{1}{C_i^0} , \tag{18}
\]
and
\[
\frac{\sum_i R_{OH,i} \cdot \frac{1}{C_{i+}^0}}{\sum_i R_{OH,i}} = \frac{1}{C_{i+}^0} . \tag{19}
\]

\(\bar{C}_{i+}^0\) and \(\bar{C}_i^0\) are obtained from the average of \(1/C_i^0\) for all organics weighed by the reaction rate with OH, which in a certain way reflect the overall saturation vapor pressures.

Substituting Eqs. (16), (18) and (19) into Eq. (15), one can get
\[
\frac{dm_t}{dt} = R_{OH} \cdot m_t \left(\frac{1}{\bar{C}_{i+}^0} - \frac{1}{\bar{C}_i^0}\right) . \tag{20}
\]

Then, as Eq. (17), one can also define
\[
GE_{OH}(t) = \frac{1}{\bar{C}_{i+}^0} - \frac{1}{\bar{C}_i^0} . \tag{21}
\]

\(GE_{OH}(t)\), a system describing quantity, is derived here in order to characterize the chemical system. It is an overall average of \(GE_{OH}(t, i)\) weighted by reaction rate with \(OH\) of each species. The molecular weight of \(i+\) is assumed to be similar to that of \(i\), i.e., neither functionalization nor fragmentation change the molecular dramatically. In the case of fragmentation which could change molecular weight significantly, the relationships above still hold with a slight change of the format (as shown in Appendix A).

Substituting Eq. (21) into Eq. (20),
\[
\frac{dm_t}{dt} = R_{OH} \cdot m_t \cdot GE_{OH}(t) . \tag{22}
\]
Arranging Eq. (22), one can get
\[
GE_{OH}(t) = \frac{\frac{dm_t}{dt}}{R_{OH} \cdot m_t} . \tag{23}
\]
Equation (22) shows a quantitative relationship of the particle mass growth rate with the reaction rate of \(OH\) with total organics, which are linked by \(GE_{OH}(t)\). \(GE_{OH}(t)\) is the
mass growth rate normalized to the OH reaction rate and mass concentration, i.e., the mass growth rate per OH reacted per aerosol mass concentration (as shown in Eq. 23). It is a metric of how effectively the reaction with OH changes the mass growth rate at a given mass concentration in a reaction system. \(GE_{\text{OH}}(t)\) has a unit of \(\text{cm}^2\ \text{molecules}^{-1}\) (reciprocal of the unit of the concentration). It relates to the change in overall saturated concentration of reaction products upon reaction with OH as shown in Eq. (21). In our case, where we measured OH and \(k_{\text{OH}}\), \(R_{\text{OH}}\) is directly accessible. The reaction rate of OH with total organics was calculated using the measured \(k_{\text{OH}}\) and subtracting the OH reactivity of inorganic species (NO, NO\(_2\), CO). The contribution of HONO to the total OH reactivity is neglected (<1\%) since the HONO concentrations are fairly low in these experiments (maximum peak concentration of 300 pptv as measured by a long-path absorption photometer, LOPAP; Häselar et al., 2009).

Note that in Eq. (1) we assumed that the particle is in equilibrium with the gas phase. When the concentrations of condensing species changes slowly relative to the timescale for the gas-particle equilibrium, gas-particle equilibrium is assumed to be established at any moment (Zhang et al., 2012). This quasi-equilibrium approach was used here and compounds partition between gas and particle phase through dynamic condensation and evaporation (Pankow, 1994; Odum et al., 1996). Theoretically many factors, such as diffusion, surface accommodation, etc., can affect the timescale for gas-particle equilibrium (Shiraiwa and Seinfeld, 2012) and hence affect the particle mass growth. For example, several recent studies suggest that particles may exist in a viscous state (e.g., Vaden et al., 2011; Virtanen et al., 2010; Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2013) and particle-phase diffusion could play a role in the particle growth kinetics. In addition, the particle-phase photolysis is not included in this derivation, which could also potentially affect the gas-particle equilibrium. As a result, the gas-particle equilibrium may not necessarily be reached all the time. These are the limitations of the method used in this study. If the equilibrium is not reached, the mass growth rate in this case is the lower limit for the contribution from gas-phase condensation. The deviation from the equilibrium would result in a higher \(GE_{\text{OH}}(t)\).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Multi-generation reaction process and particle growth

Figure 1 shows the time-dependent particle growth curve (particle mass concentration as a function of measured monoterpenes concentration) from the OH oxidation of \(\alpha\)-pinene, \(\beta\)-pinene and limonene. After one monoterpenes life time (when the monoterpenes concentration decreased to 1/e of the initial concentration), only 13, 33 and 25\% of the total mass was reached for the OH oxidation of \(\alpha\)-pinene, \(\beta\)-pinene and limonene, respectively. This indicates the importance of higher generation products in the SOA formation from OH oxidation of each monoterpenes (Ng et al., 2006). Our results differ from several previous studies carried out at much higher VOC and SOA concentrations (Ng et al., 2006, 2007). Ng et al. (2006) showed that the time-dependent growth curve is almost linear for terpenes with one double bond such as \(\alpha\)-pinene and \(\beta\)-pinene. The difference can be attributed to the difference of VOC and particle concentration. At high particle mass loading, the species with relatively high volatility such as first generation products significantly condense. At low particle loading, only the species with relatively low volatility which require more oxidation steps (by OH) can significantly condense onto the particle phase. Consequently, the later generation products play important roles in the particle formation in this study. The importance of multi-generation products agrees with Eddingsaas et al. (2012), who showed that particle growth continues well after two lifetimes of \(\alpha\)-pinene with respect to OH oxidation at low NO\(_x\) condition.

In contrast to OH oxidation, the total mass concentration increased roughly linearly with the consumed monoterpenes concentration for the ozonolysis of each monoterpenes (Fig. S1). The time-dependent growth curves of three monoterpenes in the ozonolysis experiments agree with previous studies (Ng et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2006) and a recent study of Ehn et al. (2014) showing the formation of first generation products as the rate-limiting step. There was an apparent positive offset on the hydrocarbon consumed for \(\alpha\)-pinene and \(\beta\)-pinene, and barely an offset for limonene, since the reaction products needed to reach their saturation concentration to condense on the particle phase. For limonene, within the time resolution of our measurement they reached the saturation concentration immediately. The offsets are consistent with the findings of the nucleation threshold of monoterpenes (Bernard et al., 2012; Mentel et al., 2009). The differences of the threshold concentrations of different monoterpenes are related to their properties.

To further investigate the role of multi-generation oxidation by OH, the particle mass concentration and the median size as a function of OH dose are shown in Fig. 2. For all three monoterpenes, the particle mass concentration increased and size grew as the reaction proceeded and monoterpenes reacted with OH (increasing OH dose). Then the increase of the mass concentration and growth of size with respect to OH dose started to slow down gradually and subsequently leveled off. Particle size even decreased after leveling off in the case of limonene. For \(\alpha\)-pinene, the photooxidation reaction stopped in the dark after the louvre system of the chamber had been closed before the particle mass could level off. The changes in the particle growth in Fig. 2a were probably attributed to the significant fluctuation of OH concentration resulting from the cloud coverage which also caused significant fluctuations in the reaction rate of total organics with OH in Fig. 4a.
In the beginning of the reaction, monoterpene reacted with OH generating low volatility compounds by the functionalization process (Hallquist et al., 2009), which condensed on the particle and resulted in the particle mass increase and size growth. The formation of the low volatility compounds such as 3-methyl-1,2,3-butanetricarboxylic acid (3-MBTCA) has been found from monoterpene oxidation in one of our previous studies (Emanuelsson et al., 2013). This has also been found from the oxidation of monoterpene and its first generation products by a number of studies (Hallquist et al., 2009; Jaoui et al., 2005; Szmiłgelski et al., 2007; Claey s et al., 2007; Müller et al., 2012; Kristensen et al., 2014). These condensing compounds still continued reacting with OH which could lead to functionalization as well as fragmentation (Hallquist et al., 2009; Kroll et al., 2009). Fragmentation can generate high-volatility species thus promoting evaporation. Since fragmentation increased with O/C and the role of functionalization decreased (Kroll et al., 2009; Chacon-Madrid and Donahue, 2011; Chacon-Madrid et al., 2010), the role of fragmentation became more and more significant as the reaction proceeded. When the fragmentation dominated over functionalization, the overall volatility of the products increased, i.e., the saturated vapor pressures increased. When the overall concentration of condensing species dropped below the overall saturation concentration due to the reaction and dilution, a net negative flux of condensable compounds occurred and these compounds started to evaporate from the particles. Therefore, the particle size first reached a plateau and even diminished as observed in the limonene oxidation experiment. For α-pinene, particle growth did not reach the plateau phase. This is because the reaction was stopped by closing the louvre when particles were still growing.

Moreover, time series of \(GE_{OH}(t)\), the metric of particle growth efficiency due to reaction with OH, shed light on the role of functionalization and fragmentation in the reaction process. Figure 3 shows that the \(GE_{OH}(t)\) time series and the particle mass concentration as well as total OH reactivity of organics for comparison. The change in \(GE_{OH}(t)\) reflects the evolution of the overall volatility of organics undergoing reaction with OH and the relative role of functionalization and fragmentation. \(GE_{OH}(t)\) was positive and increased fast in the beginning of the reaction. This indicates that the reaction products had a lower volatility than the reactants, i.e., lower saturation concentration (refer to Eq. (21)). As the volatility decreased, \(GE_{OH}(t)\) increased. The decreased volatility was caused by functionalization, which played a dominant role in the beginning. Afterwards, \(GE_{OH}(t)\) gradually decreased, which indicates the decrease of overall volatility of the organics slowed down. This indicates an increasing role of fragmentation since fragmentation cleaved the carbon frame and formed some smaller molecules with higher volatility. As the reaction proceeded, the products got more oxidized and the O/C ratio of products increased; the fragmentation of the compounds became more and more significant (Kroll et al., 2009; Chacon-Madrid and Donahue, 2011; Chacon-Madrid et al., 2010). After the continuous decrease, \(GE_{OH}(t)\) decreased to almost zero or even negative for the limonene case (Fig. 3c). This indicates that overall volatility of organics almost stopped decreasing and even increased after further reactions of the functionalized intermediates with OH (see limonene case in Fig. 3c). When the overall volatility of the reactants is equal to that of the products, \(GE_{OH}(t)\) is equal to zero. From Fig. 3 one can recognize that \(GE_{OH}(t)\) had decreased dramatically in the relatively early period of the react-
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**Figure 1.** Time-dependent growth curve of aerosols from the OH oxidation of α-pinene (a), β-pinene (b) and limonene (c) as function of hydrocarbon (HC) consumed (monoterpene here) from measurement.
For comparison, the H/C and O/C time series of SOA are also shown in Fig. 3. The change in the H/C and O/C ratios supports our analysis of the role of functionalization and fragmentation. GE$_{OH}(t)$ had decreased dramatically to a much lower value when the O/C ratio increased to around 0.4 and leveled off. Accordingly, H/C started to decrease from the beginning of the reaction and then leveled off at the same time as O/C. The decrease of GE$_{OH}(t)$ reflects the increasing role of fragmentation. As a reference, Kroll et al. (2009) showed that for the reaction of squalane with
OH fragmentation dominates when the organics are moderately oxidized (\(O/C \approx 0.4\)), although the reaction compounds are different. The branching ratio of fragmentation and functionalization has been parameterized as the power law of \(O/C\) (Donahue et al., 2012; Jimenez et al., 2009). The higher \(O/C\), the higher the role of fragmentation plays. Based on the GE\(_{\text{OH}}(t)\) time series, the particle formation efficiency in respect to the reaction with OH was high in the beginning of the reaction although the mass growth rate was low. In contrast, at the later period of the reaction, GE\(_{\text{OH}}(t)\) was low and the mass growth was mainly attributed to the role of favorable partitioning at higher organic mass loading.

The occurrence of fragmentation in the reaction is supported by the formation of acetone, one small volatile compound of monoterpene oxidation products. An increased acetone concentration was observed in the OH oxidation of all monoterpene as reaction proceeded (as shown in Fig. S4 for \(\alpha\)-pinene as an example), implying the role of fragmentation in producing small volatile compounds. The acetone concentration was corrected for the dilution loss. However, we did not observe a significantly faster acetone formation rate in the later period of the reaction compared to the early period of the reaction because acetone formation depends on its precursor concentrations and OH concentration, which were not monotonic in our study. Unfortunately, many of the products in the \(\alpha\)-pinene oxidation cannot be detected and/or quantified by PTR-MS or GC–MS due to the loss to the sampling line or degradation in the instrument, which prevents us from doing further in-depth analysis.

In addition, GE\(_{\text{OH}}(t)\) can shed some light on the vapor pressure of the reaction products. Since the volatility of products decreases around 1–2 orders of magnitude in functionalization (Ziemann and Atkinson, 2012), in the beginning of the reaction when functionalization dominated, \(c_{n,i+}^0 \ll C_{n,i}^0\). Then, based on Eq. (21), the following equation is tenable:

\[
\text{GE}_{\text{OH}}(t) = \frac{1}{C_{n,i+}^0}. \quad (24)
\]

Since \(C_{i+}^0\) is an average saturation pressure weighed in a certain way as shown in Eq. (18). Equation (24) provides a rough estimate of the overall vapor pressure of the organics from experimentally obtained GE\(_{\text{OH}}(t)\). For \(\alpha\)-pinene, \(\beta\)-pinene and limonene OH oxidation, the overall vapor pressure varied from around \(2 \times 10^{-4}\) to \(1 \times 10^{-3}\) Pa, \(6 \times 10^{-5}\) to \(1 \times 10^{-3}\) Pa and \(8 \times 10^{-5}\) to \(2 \times 10^{-3}\), respectively. As a reference, the lower values for each monoterpene system are of the same order of magnitude as the estimated vapor pressure of the middle values between pinonic acid and pinic acid, and norpinonic acid and keto-limonic acid, based on the structure–activity relationship (Compernolle et al., 2011).

We established the relationship of particle mass growth rate with the reaction rate of OH with organics. The relationship of the particle size growth rate with the reaction rate is not straightforward. The size growth rate is proportional to the deviation of the concentrations of condensing species from their equilibrium concentrations, while the reaction rate of monoterpene with OH and \(O_3\) is proportional to the rate of the increase of condensing species concentrations, i.e., the derivative of the concentrations. Additionally, the equilibrium concentrations of the each species changes continuously with their varying molar fractions in the particle phase during the reaction. Therefore, the reaction rate is only indirectly related to the size growth rate and should not necessarily correlate with the size growth rate as observed in Fig. 4a and c. Still some variations in the size growth rate and mass growth rate follow the variations of the reaction rate of OH with organics and/or reaction rate of OH with monoterpenes (such as Fig. 4a, b and c). These variations in the reaction rates as well as the growth rates were mostly caused by sudden changes of the OH concentration due to variations of solar radiation affected by cloud coverage. In addition, the fluctuations in the growth rate were partly attributed to the fluctuations in the particle mass or size and to deriving the growth rate from fitting the particle mass or particle size as a function of time.

Comparing the particle growth of OH oxidation and ozonolysis, the ratios of the peak OH reaction rate to the \(O_3\) reaction rate for \(\alpha\)-pinene, \(\beta\)-pinene and limonene were around 1.0, 1.2 and 0.5, respectively. The corresponding ratios of peak size growth rates for OH oxidation to that for ozonolysis were around 1.0, 1.5 and 1.1. At a similar monoterpene concentration and similar reaction rate of OH or \(O_3\) with monoterpene, the size growth rates were comparable. This comparison indicates that generally OH oxidation and ozonolysis have similar efficiency in the particle growth of \(\alpha\)-pinene, \(\beta\)-pinene and limonene. This result is in contrast with the study of Hao et al. (2009), who found a much more efficient role of ozonolysis in particle growth from plant emissions than that of OH oxidation. Yet, our study agrees with Burkholder et al. (2007), who reported the nearly indistinguishable particle size growth rate for different oxidation sources. Nevertheless, our experiments differ from both of these studies in terms of OH scavenger used (CO used in this study; cyclohexane and butanol used in Burkholder et al. (2007) and Hao et al. (2009), respectively). Since CO can cause a higher \(\text{HO}_2 / \text{RO}_2\) ratio than cyclohexane and butanol, different OH scavengers could result in different radical chemistry which could further alter the reaction pathways and products, and finally could affect particle growth.

### 4.2 New particle formation and SOA yield

Figure 5 shows the particle number concentration, mass concentration, surface concentration and median diameter of aerosols from each monoterpene by OH oxidation and ozonolysis. The particle number concentrations of OH oxidation experiments were around \(2 \times 10^3 - 6 \times 10^3\) cm\(^{-3}\). The particle number concentrations from the ozonolysis of
monoterpene were around $0.4 \times 10^5 - 1.6 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, which were much higher than that generated by OH oxidation of the respective monoterpene. However, we have no indications what compounds eventually initiated the NPF from ozonolysis in the SAPHIR chamber made of Teflon FEP. The role of OH oxidation and ozonolysis in the SOA nucleation and growth from monoterpens have been reported by a number of studies before with inclusive results (Bonn and Moortgat, 2002; Burkholder et al., 2007; Hao et al., 2009; Mentel et al., 2009); however, experiments were performed often at higher VOC and aerosol concentrations. In addition, the role of monoterpene ozonolysis in nucleation in the presence of SO$_2$ (without OH scavenger) was shown by Ortega et al. (2012).

In our JPAC glass chamber (Mentel et al., 2009), OH and H$_2$SO$_4$ are needed to initiate NPF (Mentel et al., 2009; Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2009a, 2012; Ehn et al., 2014); it is possible that in Teflon chambers in absence of OH and significant H$_2$SO$_4$ formation, other unknown compounds (perfluorinated acids) may play a role.

SOA yields observed in this study are similar to those observed before. SOA yield of α-pinene, β-pinene and limonene by OH oxidation was 2.5, 6.8 and 16.9% at the aerosol loading of 0.5, 0.8 and 2.1$\mu$g m$^{-3}$, respectively (Fig. S2). Since the multi-generation oxidation was the rate-limiting step, the dynamic yield from OH oxidation was not used (Presto and Donahue, 2006; Ng et al., 2006) and only the final yield was derived. The aerosol yield of α-pinene OH oxidation is roughly consistent with a previous study (Henry
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**Figure 4.** Particle size growth rate, mass growth rate and reaction rate of OH or O$_3$ with α-pinene (a, d), β-pinene (b, e) and limonene (c, f). The left panels are from OH oxidation (the shaded area shows the dark period) and right panels from ozonolysis in the presence of CO as OH scavenger. For the OH oxidation, the overall reaction rate of OH with total organics (reaction rate(OH+Org)) is also shown.
Figure 5. Particle number concentration, mass concentration (not corrected for losses), surface concentration and median diameter of the aerosols from α-pinene (a, d), β-pinene (b, e) and limonene (c, f). The left panels are from OH oxidation (the gray shaded area shows the dark period) and right panels from ozonolysis. The gray hatched area corresponds to the flushing out period.

et al., 2012), although there were only few data points in that study overlapping the range of our study (< 1 µg m\(^{-3}\), exact data not available from Henry et al., 2012, and thus not shown in the figure). For β-pinene and limonene, there are few data of the aerosol yield of OH oxidation available especially at a low aerosol loading similar to this study in the literature (Griffin et al., 1999; Hoffmann et al., 1997; Kim et al., 2012).

The particle yields for the ozonolysis experiments for α-pinene, β-pinene and limonene (shown in Fig. S2, together with selected literature data at similar mass loadings) are approximately in the range of or slightly higher than literature values (Pathak et al., 2007, 2008; Shilling et al., 2009; Saathoff et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2006). The difference can be attributed to the difference in experimental conditions such as OH scavenger type, the temperature, RH, etc. The aerosol yields of ozonolysis for α-pinene and limonene were higher than that of OH oxidation, while similar between both oxidation cases for β-pinene. The difference in the aerosol yield could be due to the difference in reaction pathways and products composition between the OH oxidation and ozonolysis. Also the temperature of the ozonolysis was lower than the OH oxidation, which may affect the SOA yield. However, Pathak et al. (2007) only observed weak dependence of SOA yield from α-pinene ozonolysis on temperature from 288 to 303 K, and especially at low α-pinene there was little temperature dependence. Therefore, temperature is likely to have only a minor effect on the SOA yield of ozonolysis here.
4.3 Chemical composition

The H/C ratio vs. the O/C ratio plot, known as Van Krevelen diagram, for the aerosols from OH oxidation and ozonolysis is shown in Fig. 6. The O/C ranges for both oxidation cases were similar, around 0.3–0.6. The O/C ranges are consistent with the O/C range from α-pinene photooxidation and ozonolysis (Chhabra et al., 2011; Ng et al., 2011; Paffenberger et al., 2013). They also agree with the O/C value (0.33–0.68) in a plant chamber observations for monoterpene-dominated emission mixtures (Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2009b) when one calculates O/C from /44 (the ratio of signal at m/z 44 (CO2) to total organics) (Ng et al., 2010).

The H/C ratio of SOA from OH oxidation was around 1.4–1.6, slightly lower than that of the precursor monoterpene (H/C = 1.6). This indicates that during the reaction oxygen was added to the monoterpene without significant loss of hydrogen especially in the initial period of the reaction. SOA from OH oxidation of all three monoterpene tended to follow a slope of shallower than —1 starting from monoterpene in the Van Krevelen diagram (Fig. 6a–c). This is in contrast with the findings by Heald et al. (2010), but consistent with those of Chhabra et al. (2011) and Ng et al. (2011). Heald et al. (2010) found atmospheric OA follows a slope of —1 in the Van Krevelen diagram based on a variety of ambient and laboratory studies, which indicates the addition of the carboxylic group or equal addition of the carbonyl and hydroxyl groups to average saturated hydrocarbon. However, in this study, monoterpene are unsaturated hydrocarbons. Therefore, oxidation such as adding two carbonyl or carboxylic acid groups per double bond can happen without significant loss of hydrogen, resulting in a slope shallower than —1. This finding agrees with that of Chhabra et al. (2011) who investigated a series of unsaturated hydrocarbons. Oxidation without significant loss of hydrogen can also be achieved by a non-classical path, inserting O (O—O) into C=H (C—C) bonds (Ehn et al., 2012, 2014). In the classical path, increasing carboxylation/carboxylation in saturated parts of the condensable molecules leads to increase of O/C at simultaneous decrease of H/C. After the initial period of particle formation (around one lifetime of monoterpene), elemental composition of SOA from OH oxidation seemed to follow a slope more close to —1. This indicates that the condensable species forming SOA underwent more efficient hydrogen loss upon oxidation. Since the double bond is more reactive and reacted first, the carbon chain in the initial products became more saturated. Further classical oxidation of these products required hydrogen loss as ambient OAs (Heald et al., 2010). For the SOA from OH oxidation, H/C decreased and O/C increased generally during the reaction. In the later period of the reaction the change in O/C and H/C was quite minor (Fig. 3). The relative stability of the O/C and H/C is likely to be attributed to that, in the early period of the reaction (before O/C reaches the maximum value), low concentrations of multi-generation products were generated via functionalization and had already condensed on the particle phase. As the reaction proceeded, more of these similar multi-generation products were formed and continued to condense on the particle. Further oxidation of the multi-generation products may cause the fragmentation resulting in the formation of high-volatility oxidation products, which did not condense significantly on the particle. As a result, the O/C ratio did not manifest significant increase in the particle phase. This is consistent with the analysis of functionalization and fragmentation via the evolution of GE$_{EOH}(t)$. For β-pinene and limonene, O/C even decreased slightly at the later period of the reaction (Fig. 6b). This could be due to oligomerization after condensation forming larger units while releasing water (formation of esters) or O2 (dimerization of hydroperoxides) or be due to fragmentation of the products leading to more volatile products.

For SOA from ozonolysis, the H/C was around 1.2–1.4, which was distinctively lower than that of the OH oxidation. The lower H/C in the ozonolysis compared to photooxidation was reported by Chhabra et al. (2011). It seemed that a process with significant hydrogen loss such as addition of carbonyl plays a more important role in the SOA formation from ozonolysis compared to OH oxidation. In the reaction of monoterpene with O3, taking α-pinene as an example, the –CH2– group can be converted to the –C=O group which reduces the H/C and increase O/C. One path way is shown in Fig. S7. Monoterpene reacts with O3 producing RO2· radical, which can undergo an internal hydrogen shift forming another R1O2· radical (Ehn et al., 2014). The R1O2· radical can react with other RO2· radical forming the –C=O group at the same time losing two hydrogen atoms.

In the individual ozonolysis experiments, the O/C and H/C reached a stable value shortly (< 1 h) after the reaction started and then did not show significant change. The different trend with time between the OH oxidation and ozonolysis was caused by the different reaction process. In the OH oxidation, after the particle formed, the reaction products were subject to further reaction with OH. Hence the reaction products H/C and O/C kept evolving. In contrast, in the ozonolysis the reaction ceased once O3 reacted with monoterpene. Therefore, there was no further significant change in the O/C and H/C in the ozonolysis.

4.4 Uncertainty of particle mass concentration

The particle mass concentration is used to derive the particle growth efficiency in this study. Uncertainty of the particle mass concentration relates to uncertainties in particle wall loss, dilution and vapor wall loss. The particle mass concentration has been corrected for the dilution and particle wall loss. The corrected particle mass concentration may be affected by the uncertainty of different particle correction methods. In this study, we determined the particle wall-loss rate using an exponential fit of the decay of the particle num-
Figure 6. H/C and O/C ratios of SOA from the OH oxidation and ozonolysis of α-pinene (a, d), β-pinene (b, e) and limonene (c, f). The left panels are from OH oxidation and right panels from ozonolysis. Dark color denotes the beginning of the experiments and yellow denotes the later period. The red dashed line correspond to H/C = 1.6. The black dashed lines correspond to the slope of −2, −1 and −0.5.

ber concentration after the nucleation has stopped for several hours (Carter et al., 2005; Fry et al., 2011; Pierce et al., 2008). Another method that has been used to determine the particle wall-loss rate is by fitting the decay particle mass concentration after the condensation has finished (Presto and Donahue, 2006; Pathak et al., 2007). In this study, we found in most of our experiments, the particle wall-loss rate determined through the decay particle mass concentration kept evolving until the end during the photooxidation experiment; this decay rate was lower than that of the period right after the roof was closed and photooxidation stopped. This indicates that particle formation (condensation) was still active and not finished in the light period. In contrast, the particle wall-loss rate through decay of particle number concentration was constant during the later period of the photooxidation reaction and higher than that determined through the decay of particle mass concentration, which supports the condensation did not finish. Therefore, the second method, which used the mass concentration, did not apply to our study and we used the first method, determining the wall-loss rate by particle number concentration. Once the wall-loss coefficient was determined, the particle mass concentration was corrected in every step of the SMPS scans by the dilution and wall-loss rate. Pierce et al. (2008) compared the results from different wall-loss correction methods including these two methods mentioned here and a model approach, showing that different methods agree within 10% for the faster limonene ozonolysis experiment and a factor of two for the slow toluene oxidation experiment. Unfortunately, we cannot compare the difference of these two methods, since the method using the particle mass concentration is not suitable for this study. We estimated the uncertainty by investigating the variability of
the particle wall-loss rate among different experiments. The relative standard deviation of the particle wall-loss rate is 11%. We did a sensitivity analysis to check the effect of uncertainty of particle wall-loss rate on the corrected mass as shown in Fig. S5. We found the corrected aerosol mass concentration is not sensitive to the uncertainty of the particle wall-loss rate. For α-pinene experiment, a change of 10 and 50% only results in a change of approximately 2 and 9% of the final corrected particle mass concentration. Considering the uncertainty of our SMPS system (±10%), we estimate uncertainty of the corrected particle mass concentration is 12%.

The wall loss of vapor and dilution can also affect the particle concentration which can result in an underestimation of the particle concentration. But in the presence of pre-existing particles, condensation on them will be able to compete with wall loss, depending on the S/V (surface-to-volume ratio) of the chamber, which is very favorable in our large chamber, and surface density of the particles. The wall loss of vapor was investigated in our SAPHIR chamber using experiments in which pinonaldehyde, one important first generation product from α-pinene oxidation, was injected into the chamber. The concentration was monitored over several hours. Constant first-order decay with a rate constant of 2.8 × 10^{-6} s^{-1} was observed over a period of 14 h and no equilibrium was observed. It was not possible to detect rapid initial losses of pinonaldehyde in the SAPHIR chamber due to the chamber setup and injection procedures. The vapor wall-loss rate is on the same order of magnitude as described by Loza et al. (2014) but lower than that given by Matsunaga and Ziemann (2010) and Zhang et al. (2014). Different vapor wall-loss rates in different chambers are expected, since vapor wall-loss rates depend on the mixing in the respective chamber, the thickness of the diffusive boundary layer and penetration into the chamber wall (Zhang et al., 2014). Matsunaga and Ziemann (2010) found that vapor wall loss depends on structure and compound vapor pressure in contrast with Zhang et al. (2014) who used one vapor wall-loss rate for all compounds in the whole reaction system. It will result in uncertainties to extrapolate wall-loss rates of pinonaldehyde to all products from monoterpane oxidation. However, as a first approach, we estimate the effect on the particle mass concentration, assuming the wall-loss rate of pinonaldehyde and same particle yields for all lost vapors (the same as in the reaction system). The particle mass concentration would then be underestimated by approximately 17%. Combining the particle wall loss and vapor loss by wall loss and dilution, the uncertainty of the particle mass concentration is estimated to be approximately 30%. Without correcting the vapor wall loss, the particle mass concentration is underestimated, and so is the particle growth efficiency. In addition, the dilution may also affect particle mass concentration through altering the gas-particle equilibrium. Due to the unknown identities, vapor pressure of the compounds and unknown amounts on the particle, it is not possible in this study to correct this effect. However, the compounds contributing to the particle growth here have very low vapor pressure, which may make the effect of dilution on the gas-particle equilibrium less significant.

5 Conclusions

In this study, the SOA formation from OH oxidation of several monoterpenes (α-pinene, β-pinene and limonene) was investigated at ambient relevant conditions (low OA concentration, low VOC and NOx concentrations) and was compared with the SOA formation from ozonolysis (CO as the OH scavenger). The OH dominant oxidation was achieved at low O3 concentration. Multi-generation reaction process, particle growth, NPF particle yield and chemical composition were analyzed.

The aerosol growth curve reflected the importance of multi-generation products in the OH oxidation of three monoterpenes. In the OH oxidation, we found the transition of functionalization and fragmentation correlated with the evolution of particle size and particle mass as a function of OH dose. A novel method was developed which quantitatively linked the particle mass growth rate to the reaction rate of OH with organics via a metric of particle growth efficiency of OH reaction. This method was also used to examine the role of functionalization and fragmentation during the particle formation of monoterpenes by OH oxidation. Functionalization was found dominant in the beginning of the reaction (within approximately two lifetimes of the monoterpane) and fragmentation started to play an important role after that. The particle growth efficiency of the OH reaction was high in the beginning of the experiment, although the mass growth rate was low due to the low particle mass. This new method also provided an estimation of overall vapor pressure of the products when functionalization was dominant. We show that the overall vapor pressures vary from 10^{-5} to 10^{-3} Pa in the OH oxidation. The method of quantitatively linking particle mass growth rate to the OH reaction rate with organics will be used in other VOC systems and ambient measurements to further investigate the influence of OH oxidation on the particle growth. The relationship of overall reaction rates of the total organics with OH with the particle growth rates applies well in well-characterized chamber systems. Such a relationship is being planned to be tested using more VOC systems in the chamber. For the atmosphere, it is much more complex to apply such a method. Different VOC types (such as sesquiterpene, isoprene or linear alkenes) contribute to overall reaction rate of total organics with OH but may have different particle growth efficiencies resulting in different particle growth rates. This still needs to be characterized in experiments.

The particle size growth rate did not necessarily correlate directly with the reaction rate of monoterpenes with OH and O3 in individual experiments. Particle size growth rates in-
duced by the reaction with OH and ozonolysis were comparable in this study at similar reaction rates of the monoterpenes with OH and O₃. This indicates that OH oxidation and ozonolysis have comparable efficiency in particle growth. The SOA yields of OH oxidation and ozonolysis in this study are generally consistent with the values in the literature. Ozonolysis of α-pinene and limonene produced a higher aerosol yield than the respective OH oxidation.

SOA from monoterpene OH oxidation generally followed a slope of shallower than −1 in the Van Krevelen diagrams, indicative of a process without significant loss of hydrogen during the oxidation. In the later period of the reaction (after around one lifetime of monoterpene), SOA followed a slope of close to −1. SOA from OH oxidation had a higher H/C than that from ozonolysis. In ozonolysis, a process with significant hydrogen loss, such as the addition of carbonyl, seemed to play an important role in SOA formation.

In this study, we designed the experiment to study mechanistically the particle formation and growth; therefore, we used two extreme cases: pure OH oxidation and pure ozonolysis case. We did not do experiments with both OH and O₃. In the atmosphere, where both OH and O₃ are present, products from the reaction of monoterpene with O₃ can further react with OH; hence, the chemical composition of aerosol (in terms of elemental composition) may keep evolving continuously. In the atmosphere, both OH oxidation and ozonolysis of monoterpene are important pathways for particle formation and growth, with their relative importance depending on the specific ambient conditions.
Appendix A: Additional equations for the relationship of particle mass growth and the reaction rate with OH

In the case of fragmentation, there could be more than one product, \(i+1, i+2, i+p\). Equation (11) in the main text is in a slightly different form.

\[
\frac{dm}{dt}_i = \frac{dC_i^g}{dt} \cdot m_i \left( \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{1}{C_{i+k}^0} - \frac{1}{C_i^0} \right) \tag{A1}
\]

One can define

\[
\frac{1}{C_{\text{avg},i+}} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} \frac{1}{C_{i+k}^0} \tag{A2}
\]

Fragmentation usually generates one small volatile molecule and one less volatile molecule (assuming species \(P_{i+1}\)).

\[
\frac{1}{C_{\text{avg},i+}} \approx \frac{1}{C_{i+1}^0} \tag{A3}
\]

Thus, \(i+1\) can directly correspond to \(i+\) in Eq. (11) in the main text and will not change the format of Eq. (11).

We assume that the molecular weight of \(i+\) is similar to that of \(i\), i.e., neither functionalization nor fragmentation change the molecular dramatically. In the case of fragmentation, the molecular weight could change significantly if the fragmentation happened in the middle of the carbon bone. In this case we keep the molecular weight of each species.

Equation (14) becomes

\[
\frac{dm_i}{dt} = \sum_{i} R_{\text{OH},i} m_i \left( \frac{M_{i+}}{M_{i+}^0} - \frac{M_i}{M_{i+}^0} \right) \tag{A4}
\]

Equation (17) becomes

\[
GE_{\text{OH}}(t,i) = \frac{M_{i+}}{M_{i+}^0} - \frac{M_i}{M_i^0}. \tag{A5}
\]

\(M_i\) and \(M_{i+}\) can be incorporated in the definition of the overall vapor pressure with a slight change:

\[
\sum_{i} R_{\text{OH},i} \cdot \frac{M_{i+}}{C_{i+}^0} = \frac{1}{C_{i+}^0}, \tag{A6}
\]

\[
\sum_{i} R_{\text{OH},i} \cdot \frac{M_i}{C_i^0} = \frac{1}{C_i^0}. \tag{A7}
\]
The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acp-15-991-2015-supplement.
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