Ultrafast Demagnetization Measurements Using Extreme Ultraviolet Light:
Comparison of Electronic and Magnetic Contributions
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Ultrashort pulses of extreme ultraviolet light from high-harmonic generation are a new tool for probing coupled charge, spin, and phonon dynamics with element specificity, attosecond pump-probe synchronization, and time resolution of a few femtoseconds in a tabletop apparatus. In this paper, we address an important question in magneto-optics that has implications for understanding magnetism on the fastest time scales: Is the signal from the transverse magneto-optical Kerr effect at the $M_{2,3}$ edges of a magnetic material purely magnetic or is it perturbed by nonmagnetic artifacts? Our measurements demonstrate conclusively that transverse magneto-optical Kerr measurements at the $M_{2,3}$ edges sensitively probe the magnetic state, with almost negligible contributions from the transient variation of the refractive index by the nonequilibrium hot-electron distribution. In addition, we compare pump-probe demagnetization dynamics measured by both high harmonics and conventional visible-wavelength magneto-optics and find that the measured demagnetization times are in agreement.

A challenging research topic of current interest in material science is to understand what correlated dynamics governs laser-induced demagnetization on ultrafast time scales [1–3]. In such experiments, an intense ultrashort femtosecond laser pulse is used to quench the magnetization within a few hundred femtoseconds. The resultant time scale for demagnetization is then inferred by monitoring the reduction in magnetic contrast using different magneto-optical probes. Fundamentally, demagnetization dynamics is then inferred by monitoring the reduction in magnetic contrast using different magneto-optical probes. Fundamentally, demagnetization dynamics is governed by nonequilibrium interactions between electrons, spins, and phonons. The fundamental microscopic processes involved in these interactions are not well understood and are currently under intense debate [4–10].

Ever since the first pioneering work of femtosecond laser-induced demagnetization, there has existed a long-standing debate about the presence of nonmagnetic artifacts in the nominally magnetic signal induced by a femtosecond pump pulse [11–17]. In general, two physical mechanisms could give rise to nonmagnetic artifacts in a pump-probe experiment: state blocking, and the generation of nonequilibrium electron distributions. State blocking, or dichroic bleaching, is the transient saturation of an optical transition by the pump pulse. When pumping and probing the magnetic material with light pulses of the same wavelength and polarization, the pump pulse can saturate the same transition access by the probe pulse. Hence, state-blocking artifacts can be for the most part be suppressed by using different pump and probe wavelengths.

A second optical artifact can be introduced by the transient hot-electron distribution generated in the sample by the pump pulse, which strongly and transiently modifies the occupation density of the valence states just above the Fermi level. Both nonmagnetic artifacts can be mostly canceled by measuring the magneto-optical contrast before and after the magnetization direction (the magnetic asymmetry, $A = \frac{I_- - I_+}{I_+ + I_-}$, discussed below) is reversed. However, the remaining part of the artifact can alter the magnetic asymmetry via the transient change of the refractive index.
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dichroism, and spin-polarized photoelectron spectroscopy) [14,16].

Specifically, in the visible region of the spectrum, the magneto-optical Kerr effect (MOKE) is used to monitor the magnetic state by measuring the magnetization-induced polarization and/or the ellipticity change of reflected light. However, the observed magneto-optical signal may contain nonmagnetic artifacts [11,14] that originate from a modification of the optical reflectivity on the time scale of a few hundred femtoseconds by the distorted density of unoccupied states. Optical probing of the transient magnetic state has another limitation: Given the relatively small photon energy of visible light ($h\nu = 1.5$ eV), the measured MOKE signal represents collective excitations from all the constituent atoms, and any element-specific information is missing. Recently, ultrashort (about 100 fs) soft x rays from synchrotron sources have been used for element-specific probing of ultrafast magnetization dynamics by measuring x-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) [18,19]. In XMCD, the x-ray absorption of a magnetic sample depends on the helicity (left- and right-handed) of a circularly polarized x-ray beam relative to the magnetization direction.

In a complementary approach, we recently demonstrated that tabletop high-harmonic-generation (HHG) light sources could be used as an element-selective probe of magnetization dynamics on ultrafast time scales. Using a sub-10-femtosecond extreme-ultraviolet (XUV) beam that spans the $M_{2,3}$ absorption edges (i.e., electronic transitions between the $3p$ core levels and $3d$ valance levels), we simultaneously probed the demagnetization dynamics of Fe and Ni in permalloy [20]. In our experimental geometry, we measured the magnetic state using the transverse magneto-optical Kerr effect (T-MOKE), where a component of the reflected light at the $M_{2,3}$ edges is proportional to the magnetization perpendicular to the plane of incidence. For each time delay between the laser pump pulses and the XUV probe pulses, we measured the normalized T-MOKE magnetic asymmetry, defined as the difference in sample reflectivity as the static magnetization direction is reversed with an externally applied magnetic field.

Since our probe of magnetization dynamics with XUV light relies on reflectivity, it is important to verify that the measured T-MOKE response at ultrafast time scales is predominantly of magnetic origin. In this paper, we demonstrate that the $M_{2,3}$-edge T-MOKE signal in a time-resolved experiment is essentially free of transient artifacts that are not magnetic in origin. We detect a very small component of the signal that presumably originates from the generation of hot electrons and phonons in response to the pump pulse. This nonmagnetic component is 2 orders of magnitude smaller than the observed demagnetization signal. Having validated $M_{2,3}$-edge T-MOKE for its magnetic sensitivity, we also present a systematic study of the pump-fluence dependence (pump-pulse energy per unit area) of demagnetization dynamics in Ni. These measurements agree very well with previous experimental results that employed conventional longitudinal magneto-optic Kerr effect (L-MOKE) in the visible range, as well as theoretical calculations [4].

In our experiment, we produce XUV radiation from HHG by focusing 90% of an amplified, femtosecond pulsed laser output (780-nm wavelength, 3-kHz repetition rate, at 2 mJ per pulse) into a capillary waveguide filled with either Ne or Ar (Fig. 1). The duration of the XUV pulses is less than 10 fs. The output flux of XUV harmonics is maximized by varying the gas pressure in the waveguide to phase match the high-harmonic upconversion process.

**FIG. 1.** Experimental setup. The magnetic grating sample is excited by a 25-fs pump pulse and then probed by a time-delayed HHG probe pulse. To spectrally resolve the XUV spectra, the grating sample diffracts the probe beam that is detected by use of a CCD camera. The optical models are from Ref. [23].
The XUV beams have photon energies spanning 35–72 eV in Ne, and 39–48 eV in Ar. (The cutoff at 72 eV is due to the absorption edge of the Al filters that are used to block the laser light copropagating with the probe HHG beam.) In the T-MOKE geometry, the polarization-dependent reflected intensity of the XUV beam is measured [24] as follows:

\[ I^p = I_0 \frac{n \cos \theta_i - n \cos \theta_f}{n \cos \theta_i + n \cos \theta_f} \pm \frac{2 \sin \theta_i \cos \theta_i}{n^2(n \cos \theta_i + \cos \theta_f)} \varepsilon_{xy}^2 \]

for \( p \)-polarized incident light,

\[ I^s = I_0 \left| \frac{\cos \theta_i - n \cos \theta_f}{\cos \theta_i + n \cos \theta_f} \right|^2 \]

for \( s \)-polarized incident light,

where \( I_0 \) is the incident beam intensity, \( n \) is the refractive index of material, \( \theta_i \) is the angle of incidence, \( \theta_f = \sin^{-1}(\sin \theta_i/n) \) is the refractive angle, the plus-minus sign (±) depends on the direction of magnetization, and \( \varepsilon_{xy} \) is the magnetization-dependent off-diagonal element of the dielectric tensor. The first term inside the absolute square of Eq. (1) is the nonmagnetic Fresnel coefficient for the sample reflectivity in the case of \( p \)-polarized incident light. According to Eq. (2), the sample reflectivity for \( s \)-polarized incident light is always independent of the magnetization. In contrast, the reflectivity for \( p \)-polarized light depends on the magnetic part via the dielectric magneto-optical constant \( \varepsilon_{xy} \), which is linearly proportional to the magnetization component perpendicular to the plane of incidence.

To extract the magnetic signal from the reflected intensity of the \( p \)-polarized light, we calculate the asymmetry parameter (A), which is the difference in reflected intensity from the sample before and after the magnetization reversal normalized by the sum of the intensities:

\[ A = \frac{I^p - I^s}{I^p + I^s} = 2 \text{Re} \frac{\sin(2\theta_i)\varepsilon_{xy}}{n^2 \cos^2 \theta_i - n^2 + \sin^2 \theta_i} \]

\[ \approx 2 \text{Re} \frac{\sin(2\theta_i)\varepsilon_{xy}}{(n^2 - 1)n^2 - \sin^2 \theta_i(n^2 + 1)} \]

\[ \approx \frac{\sin(2\theta_i)}{1 - 2\cos^2 \theta_i} \left( \frac{\delta \text{Re}[\varepsilon_{xy}] + \beta \text{Im}[\varepsilon_{xy}]}{\delta^2 + \beta^2} \right). \]

In the first step, we assume that the Fresnel coefficient is large compared to the magneto-optical term, and, in the last step, we substitute the complex representation of the refractive index, \( n = 1 - \delta + i\beta \), where \( \delta \) and \( \beta \) are small numbers. These equations give four important properties of the asymmetry: First, from the second line of Eq. (3), the asymmetry is maximized at the Brewster’s angle near 45° as has been previously derived in Ref. [25]. Second, the last line of Eq. (3) suggests that the T-MOKE magnetic asymmetry is resonantly enhanced with \( A \propto \text{Im}[\varepsilon_{xy}] / \beta \), where \( \delta \) approaches zero near the M edge at \( \lambda = 32 \text{ kA/m} \) (± 400 Oe), which is sufficient to saturate the magnetization of our polycrystalline Ni samples.

The measured T-MOKE asymmetry is wavelength dependent because of the dispersive nature of the refractive index \( n(\omega) \) and off-diagonal magnetic component of the dielectric tensor \( \varepsilon_{xy}(\omega) \) in the XUV range. In particular, \( \varepsilon_{xy}(\omega) \) is resonantly enhanced at the M edge in transition metals [25,26]. Thus, it is very important that the reflectivity is measured as a function of photon energy. To achieve this, we incorporate the magnetic samples into a simple spectrometer by fabricating the samples into diffraction gratings by a straightforward optical lithography/liftoff process. For the work described here, two samples are used: The first sample consists of alternating stripes of Ni and Fe with a 2-μm period and a 10-nm thickness [Fig. 2(a)]. The sample is also composed of a Ta seed layer (3 nm) for the adhesion of Ni and Fe stripes, and a Ta cap layer (2.5 nm) on top of the Fe stripes only, in order to prevent oxidation. The second sample consists of purely Ni stripes with the same physical dimensions as in the first sample. The photon energy is resolved by capturing the diffraction pattern on a charge-coupled-device (CCD) camera with 45° angle-of-incidence geometry on the sample to maximize the asymmetry (Fig. 1). The diffracted harmonics are somewhat broadened and overlapping because the diffracted harmonics at the CCD camera are slightly out of focus. By reversing the magnetic-field direction, we observe the asymmetry in reflection at the photon energies near the M edges of Fe and Ni [Fig. 2(b)]. Two 200-nm Al filters are used to block the 780-nm pump laser light from saturating the CCD camera.

To extract the demagnetization dynamics, we first select a harmonic with photon energy \( h\nu = 66.2 \pm 0.2 \text{ eV} \), which is close to the Ni M edge at 66.2 and 68.0 eV, respectively, and we then calculate the asymmetry as a function of pump-probe time delay. Time zero, corresponding to overlap of the pump and probe pulses, is determined by using a second-harmonic crystal mounted at the sample position. The accuracy of the time-zero determination is within ±10 fs. We repeat this measurement for varying
pump fluences between 0.3 and 2.4 mJ/cm². The results of these measurements for both \( p \)-polarized (magnetic) and \( s \)-polarized (nonmagnetic) probes are shown in Fig. 3. The data for the \( p \)-polarized probe beam are fit to a phenomenological exponential function:

\[
f(t, t_0) = 1 - \Delta A(1 - e^{(t-t_0)/\tau_M})e^{(t-t_0)/\tau_R},
\]

where \( \tau_M \) is demagnetization time, \( \tau_R \) is magnetization recovery time, \( \Delta A \) is demagnetization amplitude, and \( t_0 \) is the time zero of the dynamics, i.e., the delay time that results in maximum temporal overlap between pump and probe pulses. Both \( \Delta A \) and \( \tau_M \) for the \( p \)-polarized pump are dependent on pump fluence, spanning from \( \Delta A = 5\% \) to \( 50\% \), while the demagnetization time varies from \( \tau_M = 90 \pm 72 \) to \( 150 \pm 19 \) fs. From these fits, we find that \( \Delta A \) is linearly proportional to fluence. The signal-to-noise ratio is the smallest at low pump fluence, where the demagnetization amplitude approaches the noise level of 3.5%.

To verify that the extracted magnetic-asymmetry dynamics corresponds to a purely magnetic signal, we isolate the nonmagnetic contribution to the reflectivity by using an \( s \)-polarized probe beam. For \( s \)-polarization, we cannot detect any asymmetry in the reflectivity as in the case of \( p \)-polarization, and the asymmetry is negligible over the entire time scan (Fig. 3). We also measure the nonmagnetic transient dynamics of the XUV \( s \)-polarization reflectivity induced by the pump laser pulse as a function of pump-probe time delay (Fig. 4), for a pump fluence of 1 mJ/cm².

We employ harmonics both near the \( M_{2,3} \) edges of Ni for the Ni-Fe grating. The magnetic asymmetry in Ni is measured by selecting XUV photon energies near the \( M_{2,3} \) absorption edges of Ni (\( h\nu = 66.2 \pm 0.2 \) eV). By varying the pump laser fluence from 0.3 to 2.4 mJ/cm², we can achieve up to 50% demagnetization. The demagnetization is linearly proportional to the pump fluence. From the reflectivity data in Fig. 4, we estimate the maximum nonmagnetic contribution to the asymmetry signal by considering the variation of the real and imaginary reflective index, \( \delta \) or \( \beta \) (purple open squares, which are on top of each other) during the first picosecond. The maximum nonmagnetic response is 2 orders of magnitude smaller than the demagnetization amplitude.
that the XUV T-MOKE data are in excellent agreement. This is derived from a microscopic three-body electron-electron and electron-phonon scattering rate. Indeed, the process is not only slow but also oscillatory. For example, we find that the demagnetization times measured by use of XUV radiation at the $M_{2,3}$ edges of Ni are sensitive predominantly to the ultrafast magnetic response. For this reason, we are well positioned to benchmark conventional, visible-wavelength L-MOKE measurements of ultrafast demagnetization against our artifact-free T-MOKE results obtained at XUV energies.

Our data show that T-MOKE measurements by use of XUV radiation at the $M_{2,3}$ edges of Ni are sensitive predominantly to the ultrafast magnetic response. For this reason, we are well positioned to benchmark conventional, visible-wavelength L-MOKE measurements of ultrafast demagnetization against our artifact-free T-MOKE results obtained at XUV energies. In Fig. 5, we present the demagnetization times measured by use of XUV $M_{2,3}$-edges T-MOKE from both sample types (Ni-Fe grating and Ni grating) as a function of demagnetization times measured by use of XUV T-MOKE data are in excellent agreement.
agreement with both the theoretical and the experimental L-MOKE results obtained using visible light—despite the vastly different probe energies, different electronic ground states, sample structures, and experimental geometries. In the limit of zero fluence, our data linearly extrapolate to demagnetization times of $78.4 \pm 9$ fs, in good agreement with previously presented data for Ni in the limit of a very weak pump fluence ($\Delta A = 0.02$) [32]. In addition, the similarity of the data for both of our sample types implies that this measurement method is robust against detailed variations of the sample structure.

Finally, we would like to compare our data with that obtained using femtosecond XMCD [18]. The demagnetization time measured with XMCD agrees with the T-MOKE-XUV and visible-MOKE data, when the larger error bar of the XMCD data is taken into account ($120 \pm 70$ fs when the demagnetization amplitude $\Delta A$ is about 0.6). The two techniques are complementary to each other, and each has its own advantages. T-MOKE is sensitive to the transverse component of magnetization with respect to the plane of incidence, while XMCD is sensitive to the component of magnetization that is parallel to the propagation vector of light. XUV can be implemented in both reflection and transmission modes, whereas soft-x-ray measurements are best performed in transmission mode. Sum rules can be used to distinguish spin and orbital magnetic moment with XMCD data. However, T-MOKE with HHG currently yields superior time resolution and allows for a tabletop setup.

In conclusion, we have validated T-MOKE detection at the $M_{2,3}$ edges of Ni as an artifact-free probe of ultrafast magnetization dynamics. By comparing data acquired with $s$- and $p$-polarized probe pulses, we have shown that the magnetic-asymmetry signal obtained with XUV photons in the T-MOKE geometry is predominantly of magnetic origin. Any nonmagnetic contribution to the asymmetry parameter is small (0.2\%) in comparison to the amplitude of demagnetization (20\%) at the same fluence. For longer time scales (\(>1\) ps), we detect an oscillatory modulation of reflectivity, resulting from acoustic wave generation. This slow modulation of the reflectivity cannot contribute to a magnetic-asymmetry measurement. Having established that T-MOKE at XUV near the $M_{2,3}$ edges of Ni is insensitive to both refractive-index-change and reflectivity changes of nonmagnetic origin, we then compare our measured demagnetization times for Ni over a wide range of pump fluences with previously published experimental and theoretical results acquired with visible-wavelength L-MOKE. We find that the results obtained by both measurement techniques are in excellent agreement. The results presented here are foundational for future XUV studies of ultrafast magnetization dynamics.
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