Spin glass in semiconducting KFe$_{1.05}$Ag$_{0.88}$Te$_2$ single crystals
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We report discovery of KFe$_{1.05}$Ag$_{0.88}$Te$_2$ single crystals with semiconducting spin glass ground state. Composition and structure analyses suggest nearly stoichiometric $I4/mmm$ space group but allow for the existence of vacancies, absent in long-range semiconducting antiferromagnet KFe$_{0.85}$Ag$_{1.15}$Te$_2$. The subtle change in stoichiometry in Fe-Ag sublattice changes magnetic ground state but not conductivity, giving further insight into the semiconducting gap mechanism.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of the high-temperature superconductor LaFeAsO$_1-x$F$_x$, superconductivity has been found in many iron pnictides with different crystal structures such as AFeAs ($A =$ alkaline or alkaline-earth metal), and (AFe$_2$As$_2$, $A =$ Ca, Sr, Ba, and Eu) [1–3]. Iron chalcogenide materials, however, feature superconducting critical temperatures of up to about 30 K in bulk at high [FeCh (Ch = S, Se, and Te)] or ambient pressure [$A_x$Fe$_{2−x}$Se$_2$ ($A =$ K, Rb, Cs, and Th)] and over 100 K in thin films [4–10]. Among the most notable characteristics of iron chalcogenide superconductors are chemical inhomogeneity and deviations from ideal stoichiometry with considerable influence in magnetic interactions and superconductivity. Binary FeCh materials feature interstitial iron whereas ternary materials show vacancy-induced nanoscale separation on magnetic and superconducting domains [11–16].

The existence of superlattice of Fe vacancies in (Tl,K,Rb)Fe$_x$Se$_2$ system results in an occurrence of the block antiferromagnetic and semiconducting states [17]. Recently, it has been found that K, Fe$_{2−x}$S$_2$ and KFe$_{0.85}$Ag$_{1.15}$Te$_2$ feature spin glass and long-range magnetic order, respectively [18,19]. The latter material, in particular, is K or Fe-Ag vacancy-free and its magnetism and mechanism of nonmetallic state are of high interest. The Ag atoms fill the Fe lattice so that there are no vacancies on the Fe-Ag site in the crystal structure. Yet, Ag does mimic Fe vacancy in the electronic structure since Ag orbitals are sunk from the Fermi level. Thus Fe$^{2+}$ unconventional magnetic and insulating states can be studied in materials crystallizing in the Fe vacancy-free $I4/mmm$ space group, identical to the space group of superconducting nano- and microscale domains in $A_x$Fe$_{2−x}$Se$_2$ [15,16,20–22].

In this work we report discovery of semiconducting spin glass KFe$_{1.05}$Ag$_{0.88}$Te$_2$ single crystals with spin freezing temperature $T_f$ below $\sim 53$ K in 1000 Oe. The material crystallizes in the $I4/mmm$ space group with possible vacancies on the metal site, demonstrating that magnetic ground state is very sensitive to the subtle ratio of Fe-Ag and defects.

II. EXPERIMENT

Single crystals of KFe$_{1.05}$Ag$_{0.88}$Te$_2$ were synthesized from nominal composition KFe$_{1.25}$Ag$_{0.75}$Te$_2$ as described previously [19]. Single crystals with typical size $2 \times 2 \times 0.5$ mm$^3$ were grown. Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) spectra were taken with Cu $K_{\alpha}$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.15481$ nm) by a Rigaku Miniflex x-ray diffractometer. The lattice parameters were obtained by refining XRD spectra using the RIETICA software [23]. The element analysis was performed using an energy-dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDX) in a JEOL LSM-6500 scanning electron microscope. Room-temperature $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra were measured on a constant-acceleration spectrometer using a rhodium matrix $^{57}$Co source. The spectrometer was calibrated at 295 K with a 10-μm α-Fe foil and isomer shifts are reported relative to α-Fe. Magnetization measurements, electrical transport, and heat capacity were carried out in Quantum Design MPMS-XL5 and PPMS-9. The in-plane resistivity $\rho(T)$ was measured by a four-probe configuration on cleaved rectangular-shaped single crystals.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The refinement of crystallographic unit cell of KFe$_{1.05}$Ag$_{0.88}$Te$_2$ can be fully explained by $I4/mmm$ space group [Fig. 1(a)]. The refined lattice parameters are $a = 4.336(2)$ Å and $c = 15.019(2)$ Å. The value of the $a$-axis parameter is smaller while the $c$-axis lattice parameter is larger when compared to KFe$_{0.85}$Ag$_{1.15}$Te$_2$ [6,19]. Also, they are smaller than the lattice parameter of CsFe$_2$As$_2$Te$_2$ [24], while larger than those of K$_x$Fe$_{2−x}$Se$_2$ and K$_x$Fe$_{2−x}$S$_2$ [6,19], since the ionic size of K$^+$ is smaller than that of Cs$^+$, and ionic sizes of Ag$^+$ and Te$^{2−}$ are larger than ionic sizes of Fe$^{2+}$ and Se$^{2−}$ ($S^{2−}$).
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EDX spectrum of single crystals shown in Fig. 1(b) confirms the existence of K, Fe, Ag, and Te. The average stoichiometry determined by EDX for several single crystals with multiple measuring points indicates that the crystals are homogeneous with K:Fe:Ag:Te = 1.03(3):1.05(4):0.88(5):2.00 stoichiometry when fixing Te to be 2. The stoichiometry on the Fe-Ag site is 1.93(9), which suggests full occupancy but still allows for small deviations (vacancies), in contrast to KFe0.85Ag1.15Te2 [18].

Room-temperature Mössbauer spectra (see Table I for spectral parameters) of both KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2 and KFe0.85Ag1.15Te2 exhibit a doublet [Fig. 1(c)]. The unequal line intensities are due to preferred grain orientation in the powderized samples, as verified by a measurement with different angle between sample and incident beam direction.

Isomer shifts are slightly higher than those reported for other (metallic) ThCr2Si2-type compounds [13,25], but still confirm the divalent nature of Fe in these cases as no secondary Fe species could be detected. Moreover, comparable values for isomer shift and quadrupole splitting were reported for related compounds with mixed occupation of the Fe site [26]. The latter aspect also manifests in the significantly increased linewidths. Although hyperfine parameters in Fe-containing ThCr2Si2 compounds may strongly scatter [13,25–27], an increase of quadrupole splitting was also observed for K0.8Fe1.75Se2 as compared to vacancy-free KFe2Se2 [27] and thus may support the assumption of vacancies in the KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2 compound.

Temperature dependence of the in-plane resistivity of KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2 single crystal is shown in Fig. 2. As temperature decreases, \( \rho(T) \) increases with a shoulder appearing around 140 K. This is at somewhat higher temperature compared to KFe0.85Ag1.15Te2 [18]. The in-plane room temperature resistivity \( \rho(0) \) is around 1 \( \Omega \) cm, similar to KFe0.85Ag1.15Te2 [18]. The \( \rho(T) \) above 200 K can be fitted by thermal activation model \( \rho = \rho_0 \exp(E_a/k_BT) \), where \( \rho_0 \) is a prefactor, \( E_a \) is an activation energy, and \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant [Fig. 2(a)]. The obtained value of \( \rho_0 \) is 0.19(2) \( \Omega \) cm. This is larger than the value found in KFe2−\( x \)Se2 and KFe2−\( x \)S2 [18,19]. KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2 single crystal shows pronounced magnetoresistance (MR) [Fig. 2(b)], especially below 140 K, similar to KFe0.85Ag1.15Te2 [18]. But unlike in KFe0.85Ag1.15Te2, MR is positive suggesting weakened antiferromagnetic interactions in spin glass crystal.

The dc magnetic susceptibility of KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2 for \( H \parallel c \) is slightly larger than \( H \parallel ab \) as shown in Fig. 3(a). Both curves follow Curie-Weiss temperature dependence \( \chi(T) = \chi_0 + C/(T - \theta) \), where \( \chi_0 \) includes core diamagnetism, van Vleck and Pauli paramagnetism, \( C \) is the Curie constant, and \( \theta \) is the Curie-Weiss temperature. The obtained values are \( \chi_0 = 1.5(4) \times 10^{-3} \) emu mol\(^{-1} \) Oe\(^{-1} \), \( C = 1.58(9) \) emu mol\(^{-1} \) Oe\(^{-1} \) K, and \( \theta = -100(9) \) K for \( H \parallel ab \), and \( \chi_0 = 2.1(1) \times 10^{-3} \) emu mol\(^{-1} \) Oe\(^{-1} \), \( C = 1.38(7) \) emu mol\(^{-1} \) Oe\(^{-1} \) K, and \( \theta = -80(7) \) K for \( H \parallel c \). The effective moments obtained from the above values are \( \mu_\text{eff} = 1.57(2) \mu_B/Fe \) for \( H \parallel ab \) and \( \mu_\text{eff} = 1.50(4) \mu_B/Fe \) for \( H \parallel c \). These are all smaller than expected for free Fe\(^{2+} \) ions, smaller than in K0.00(3)Fe0.85(2)Ag1.15(2)Te2.00(1) [18], and even smaller.

**TABLE I.** Isomer shift \( \delta \), quadruple splitting \( \Delta E_Q \), and linewidth \( \Gamma \) for KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2 and KFe0.85Ag1.15Te2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \delta ) (mm/s)</th>
<th>( \Delta E_Q ) (mm/s)</th>
<th>( \Gamma ) (mm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2</td>
<td>0.57(1)</td>
<td>0.77(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KFe0.85Ag1.15Te2</td>
<td>0.45(1)</td>
<td>0.57(1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2. (b) The EDX spectrum of KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2. The inset shows a photo of typical single crystal. (c) Mössbauer spectrum of KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2 (open squares) and KFe0.85Ag1.15Te2 (open circles) at room temperature.

FIG. 2. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the in-plane resistivity of KFe0.85Ag0.88Te2 with \( H = 0 \) kOe (open black circle) and 90 kOe (closed red (gray) circle) for \( H \parallel c \) direction. Inset (a) exhibits thermal activation model fitting [green (gray) solid line] for \( \rho_{ab}(T) \) at \( H = 0 \) kOe. Inset (b) shows temperature dependence of magnetoresistance.
FIG. 3. (Color online) Magnetic properties of KFe_{1.05}Ag_{0.88}Te_{2} single crystals. (a) Zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) anisotropic magnetic susceptibilities. The solid lines are Curie-Weiss fits. Inset shows M-H loops for $H \parallel ab$ at 1.8 K (filled diamond) and 300 K (open diamond). (b) Temperature dependence of $\chi'(T)$ measured at several fixed frequencies taken in 3.8-Oe ac field. Inset is the frequency dependence of $T_{f}$ with the linear fit (solid line). The midpoint and temperature interval over which the $\chi'(T)$ takes its highest value were taken for $T_{f}$ and its error bar respectively. (c) Thermoremanent magnetization (TRM) at 10 K and $t_{w} = 100$ s with different dc field and fits (solid lines). Inset is $H$-field dependence $\tau(s)$ (open circles) and $1-n$ (filled circles).

than in a 3$d$ spin 1/2 paramagnet ($\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.73\mu_{B}$). The irreversible behavior of $\chi(T)$ below 53 K in 1000 Oe implies ferromagnetic contribution or glassy transition. Similar behavior has been reported for KFeCuS_{2}, KFe_{2}S_{2}, KFe_{2}Se_{2}, TlFe_{2−x}Se_{2}, and KMnAgSe_{2} [19,28–30]. The magnetization loop is linear at 300 K while a slightly curved s-shape at 1.8 K also indicates possible spin glass system [29].

As frequency increases, the peak of the real part of the ac magnetic susceptibility $\chi'(T)$ shifts to higher temperature while the magnitude of $\chi'(T)$ decreases, which is a typical behavior of a spin glass [31]. The frequency dependence of peak position ($T_{f}$) shown on Fig. 3(b) is fitted by $K = \Delta T_{f}/(T_{f} \Delta \log f)$, and the obtained $K$ value is 0.0201(2). This is in agreement with the values (0.0045 $\leq K \leq 0.08$) for a canonical spin glass [31]. Figure 3(c) shows thermoremanent magnetization (TRM). The sample was cooled down from 100 K (above $T_{f}$) to 10 K (below $T_{f}$) in different magnetic fields, and kept there for $t_{w} = 100$s. Then, the magnetic field was turned off and the magnetization decay $M_{\text{TRM}}(t)$ was measured. At $T = 10$ K, $M_{\text{TRM}}(t)$ shows slow decay, so $M_{\text{TRM}}(t)$ has nonzero values even after several hours. This is fitted using a stretched exponential function, $M_{\text{TRM}}(t) = M_{0} \exp[-(t/\tau)^{1-n}]$, where $M_{0}$, $\tau$, and $1-n$ are the glassy component, the relaxation time, and the critical exponent, respectively. The obtained $\tau$ decreases up to 1 kOe and increases suddenly at 5 kOe, whereas $1-n$ value keeps decreasing as $H$ increases [Fig. 3(c)]. The attained $1-n$ value is around 1/3, which is expected for a typical spin glass system [32,33]. The spin glass behavior could arise from magnetic clusters due to Fe vacancies and disorder (similar to TlFe_{2−x}Se_{2} when $x \geq 0.3$ and KFe_{2}S_{2}) [19,29] or due to random distribution of magnetic exchange interactions on the metal sublattice as in KMnAgSe_{2} [30].

Heat capacity measured from $T = 1.9$ K to $T = 300$ K in zero magnetic field approaches the Dulong-Petit value of $3NR = 150$ (J/mol K) at high temperatures (Fig. 4), where $N$ is the atomic number and $R$ is the gas constant. Low-temperature heat capacity is fitted by $C/T = \gamma_{SG} + \beta T^{2}$ [Fig. 4(a)], yielding $\gamma_{SG} = 0.88(6)$ mJ mol$^{-1}$ K$^{-2}$ and
\[ \beta = 3.20(5) \text{ mJ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-4}. \] The Debye temperature can be estimated by \( \Theta_D = (12\pi^2 N R / 5\beta)^{1/3} = 144.9(5) \text{ K}. \) This is almost the same as in KFe\( _{0.85} \text{Ag}_{1.15} \text{Te}_2 \) single crystals and much smaller than \( \Theta_D \) of KFe\( _{2} \text{Se}_2 \) and KFe\( _{2} \text{S}_2 \) possibly due to the larger atomic mass of Ag and Te. The nonzero value of \( \gamma_{SG} \) is commonly found in magnetic insulating spin glass materials due to constant density of states of the low-temperature magnetic excitations [34–36].

When compared to KFe\( _{0.85} \text{Ag}_{1.15} \text{Te}_2 \), KFe\( _{1.05} \text{Ag}_{0.88} \text{Te}_2 \) shows more than twice larger values of room-temperature resistivity, most likely due to possible additional vacancy-induced disorder in the Fe-Ag sublattice occupation [18]. On the other hand, the estimate of the energy gap size is more metallic [37]. Indeed, in the spin glass crystal the unit cell is elongated along the \( c \) axis whereas the Fe plane is contracted when compared to the sample with long-range order. The contraction of Fe plane suggests stronger covalent bonding, leading to increased electron density at the Fe site. This could explain reduced paramagnetic moment of Fe and smaller values of the semiconducting gap. We note that band structure calculations indicate that KFeAgTe\(_2\) with reduced Ag content could be more metallic [37].

IV. CONCLUSION

In summary, we report on the discovery of semiconducting spin glass KFe\(_{1.05} \text{Ag}_{0.88} \text{Te}_2\) single crystals. Composition and structure analysis implies \( 14/mmm \) space group with possible vacancies on the Fe site. This is in contrast to KFe\(_{0.85} \text{Ag}_{1.15} \text{Te}_2\) single crystals with long-range antiferromagnetic order. The mechanism of semiconducting gap that arises due to electronic correlations (Mott vs Hund mechanism) in KFe\(_{1-x} \text{Ag}_x \text{Te}_2\) (where \( \delta \) is putative vacancy) is of considerable interest in iron superconductors as well as in other correlated electron materials [20,38–40]. Since the Hund gap is sensitive to magnetic structure rather than Hubbard repulsion \( U \), it would be instructive to further investigate electronic correlations and magnetic structure in KFe\(_{1-x} \text{Ag}_x \text{Te}_2\) materials with variable Fe-Ag ratio.
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