Single to Multiquasiparticle Excitations in the Itinerant Helical Magnet CeRhIn$_5$
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CeRhIn$_5$ is an itinerant magnet where the Ce$^{3+}$ spins order in a simple helical phase. We investigate the spin excitations and observe sharp spin waves parameterized by a nearest-neighbor exchange, $J_{\text{RKKY}} = 0.88 \pm 0.05$ meV. At higher energies, the spin fluctuations are heavily damped, where single-quasiparticle excitations are replaced by a momentum- and energy-broadened continuum constrained by kinematics of energy and momentum conservation. The delicate energy balance between localized and itinerant characters results in the breakdown of the single-quasiparticle picture in CeRhIn$_5$.

The noninteracting quasiparticle description of excitations is fundamental to condensed matter physics and the understanding of low-energy fluctuations. However, interacting quasiparticle states have recently been recognized as important for the understanding of anomalous phases. For example, composite states including resonating valence bond states [1], Zhang-Rice singlets [2], or spinon-holons in the pseudogap [3], have been suggested to be fundamental to superconductivity, frustrated magnetism, and even quantum criticality [4–6]. We use neutron scattering to measure the breakdown of the single-quasiparticle description of the spin excitations in a helical itinerant heavy fermion magnet.

CeRhIn$_5$ is a heavy fermion metal, part of the CeTIn$_5$ ($T$ = Rh, Ir, and Co) series that displays an interplay between localized antiferromagnetism and superconductivity [7–10]. The presence of two-dimensional layers of Ce$^{3+}$ ions connects the physics of these systems with other unconventional superconductors, as in the cuprates [11–15] or iron-based pnictide or chalcogenide superconductors [16–18]. CeRhIn$_5$ magnetically orders at $T_N = 3.8$ K [19–21] and enters an unconventional superconducting phase that can be accessed under hydrostatic pressures or temperatures below $\sim 75$ mK [22–26].

CeRhIn$_5$ is isostructural with CeCoIn$_5$, which is superconducting at ambient pressures with $T_c = 2.3$ K [14]. The order parameter of the superconducting phase has a $d$-wave symmetry with nodes in the $ab$ plane [27,28]. Magnetism and superconductivity are strongly coupled, as evidenced by neutron scattering measurements reporting a doublet spin-resonance peak connected with superconductivity and indicating an order parameter that changes sign, consistent with $d$-wave symmetry [29–31]. At high magnetic fields near $H_{c2}$, an unusual magnetic $Q$ phase has been reported to exist in a narrow field region, further confirming the interplay between superconductivity and the localized magnetism [32,33].

Neutron measurements were performed at NIST (Gaithersburg, USA) using MACS [34] and at the ILL (Grenoble, France) using the IN12 spectrometer and the D23 and D3 diffractometers. The HHL-aligned sample was prepared using self-flux method [14]. To correct for the large neutron absorption [35,36], a finite element analysis has been done. Further details are provided in the Supplemental Material [37].

We first review the low-temperature magnetic structure using spherical polarimetry [38–40]. As found in the pioneering work by Bao et al. [19], the magnetic structure [Fig. 1(a)] is characterized by an incommensurate Bragg peak $Q = (0.5,0.5,0.297)$. Figure 1(b) plots the results of our polarized diffraction experiment confirming this with measured ($P_{\text{measured}}$) against calculated ($P_{\text{calculated}}$) polarization matrix elements, assuming a perfect $a-b$ helix with the moment defined by $M = M_\| + iM_\perp$ (with $|M_\| = |M_\perp|$) and a propagation vector along $c$. Expressions for the matrix elements are given in the Supplemental Material [37]. Confirming the helical magnetism, a volume imbalance between the two chiral domains $\eta = 0.68 \pm 0.05$ was needed to account for off-diagonal matrix elements. Unpolarized diffraction measures the ordered magnetic
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indicating antiferromagnetic correlations within the (110), finding the scattering to be peaked at (0.5, 0.5), the line is a fit to the dynamics. Figure 2 illustrates a summary of constant intensity with momentum transfer along the [001] direction (corrected for absorption), finding momentum broadened correlations which decay rapidly with . The solid line is a fit to \( I(Q) \propto f(Q)^2 \times \left[ 1 - (\hat{Q} \cdot \hat{c})^2 \right] \sinh(\xi c) / \left[ \cosh(\xi c) + \cos(Q \cdot c) \right] \), which represents short-range antiferromagnetically correlated Ce\(^{3+}\) moments polarized along \( c \) with a dynamic correlation length \( \xi c \). \( f(Q)^2 \) is the magnetic form factor [44]. The dynamic correlation length was derived to be \( \xi c = 3.1 \pm 0.7 \) Å, indicating little coupling between the Ce\(^{3+}\) layers. The strong decrease in intensity with momentum transfer along \( L \) illustrates that these fluctuations are predominately out of the \( a-b \) plane (\( c \)-axis polarized) and, hence, are referred to here as out-of-plane fluctuations (see Supplemental Material [37]).

Figures 2(c)–2(e) illustrate full constant energy maps taken on MACS at energy transfers of 1.2–3 meV. Figure 2(c) illustrates that, in addition to the magnetic scattering near \( L = 0 \) from the out-of-plane fluctuations, strong scattering is also present at large \( L \), indicative of fluctuations predominately polarized within the \( a-b \) plane; these are here referred to as in-plane fluctuations. We now discuss the energy dependence. Constant energy and momentum cuts are shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(c) and Figs. 3(d)–3(f), respectively. As seen in both types of cuts, at low energies the magnetic dynamics are described by two components—one that is sharp and resolution limited in the magnetic Brillouin zone, the total spectral weight (accounting for absorption) is estimated at \( \frac{5}{2} \mu_B^2 \), agreeing with expectations from single-ion crystal field analysis (see Supplemental Material [37]). Both components need to be considered to satisfy sum rules and obtain all of the required dynamic spectral weight.

Neutron scattering is constrained by strict selection rules, with the scattering process having \( \Delta S_z = \pm 1 \) or 0.
Transverse spin excitations derive from harmonic theory and can be written as single-quasiparticle or -magnon excitations, which are long lived in a magnetically ordered structure with resolution-limited inelastic peaks. Other anharmonic processes can occur, including scattering from two magnons with opposite sign (i.e., the $\Delta S_z = 0$ process), provided that there is an interaction term between the single-magnon quasiparticles in the Hamiltonian. For collinear magnets, such terms are predicted to be weak from symmetry considerations; however, for a noncollinear magnet, such as a magnetic spiral or helix, such constraints are relaxed [47,48]. This additional cross section in the neutron response is constrained by momentum- and energy-conserving processes, and is possible over a wide range in energy and momentum which is determined by the single-magnon dispersion. Analogous classic examples of this cross section are found in model insulating low-spin chains [49–55]. We now investigate whether the two-component line shape found here can be understood in terms of a single- and multiparticle parameterization.

We first consider the low-energy component of the cross section that is also resolution limited in energy. Magnetic excitations for a planar helical magnet with a characteristic wave vector $\mathbf{q}_c$ are described by three modes, with $Q = \pm \mathbf{q}_c$ being in-plane modes and a commensurate mode describing out-of-plane fluctuations [56–59].

Figure 4(a) shows a constant $Q = (0.5,0.5,0.3)$ scan that is derived to have a strong $c$-axis-polarized character. An antisymmetric Lorentzian fit gives a peak energy position of $h\Omega = 1.21 \pm 0.06$ meV and linewidth (half-width) of $h\Gamma = 0.22 \pm 0.14$ meV. The out-of-plane fluctuations are, therefore, gapped as well as weakly dispersing.

To extract a dispersion and, hence, an estimate for the in-plane exchange interaction, we have fit constant energy scans [examples shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(c)] to Gaussians symmetrically displaced from the $Q = (\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4})$ and illustrated by the open circles in Fig. 4(c). The constant energy fits show dispersing excitations at wave vectors close to $\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}$, but at the zone boundary near $\frac{1}{4},\frac{1}{4}$ the “dispersion” becomes nearly vertical.

Constant momentum scans in Fig. 3 show that this vertical dispersion at the zone boundaries is due to the second short-lived and damped-in-energy component to the cross section. To fully separate these two components, we have fit energy scans to two harmonic oscillators, one being
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resolution limited and the second damped in energy. The sharp component is denoted by the filled circles in Fig. 4(c). To extract an estimate for the localized $J_\text{RKKY}$ exchange, we have fit the peak locations of the sharp component to the dispersion for $j_{\text{eff}} = \frac{3}{4}$ spins (capturing the doublet nature of the ground state) on a square lattice. We have followed the classic model previously applied to Rb$_2$MnF$_4$, where a lattice periodic dispersion of $E(\mathbf{q}) = 2J_\text{RKKY} \sqrt{\alpha^2 - \gamma(q)^2}$, with $\gamma(q) = \cos[\pi(H + K)] \cos[\pi(-K + H)]$, was used. This provides a simple means of parametrizing the data and finding an estimate of the nearest-neighbor in-plane exchange. We note that this model does not capture the out-of-plane mode, which is found to show little dispersion and originates from weak coupling between the Ce$^{3+}$ layers. Based on the fit in Fig. 4 to this parametrization, we extract $J_\text{RKKY} = 0.88 \pm 0.05$ meV and an anisotropy $\alpha = 1.06 \pm 0.02$ meV.

Having described the sharp component sensitive to the antiferromagnetic exchange, we now discuss the broad continuum of scattering at higher energies. We interpret and describe this component in terms of a multimagnon model termed the “1 + 2” model. The heavily damped features originate from unstable particles, where energy and momentum conservation result in a decay process. As noted in Ref. [60], the presence of the three modes imposed by the helical structure implies that excitations can decay into lower-energy quasiparticles, assuming there is a binding interaction. For a given momentum transfer $k$, the two-particle excitations form a continuum of states, and the energy and momentum positions where the cross section is defined by conservation of momentum and energy. Following the classical theory outlined in Refs. [61,62] and using our parametrization of the single-magnon scattering, we have calculated the energy and momentum dependence of the allowed multimagnon scattering. Figure 4(d) shows a plot of the scaled calculation with the one-magnon term superimposed to give the sharp component. The momentum-integrated intensity from the calculations is overplotted in Fig. 4(b). Deviations from calculations at low energies are likely due to experimental limitations owing to resolution, incoherent nuclear scattering, and absorption.

Several features are reproduced in the multiparticle calculation: first, the broad continuum of scattering that extends up to nearly $2 \times J_\text{RKKY}$; and second, the nearly vertical columns of scattering that extend up in energy near the zone boundary. Near the magnetic zone boundary, as illustrated in Fig. 3, the two components can be separated, with both accounting for roughly equal amounts in terms of the integrated intensity. The multiparticle model, therefore, provides an account of the neutron cross section once the single-magnon component is parameterized, giving the correct energy bandwidth and momentum dependence. The multiparticle continuum is also predicted to have a longitudinal polarization [47], consistent with the persistence to large $L$ shown in Fig. 2.

One aspect that is not explicit in this analysis is how the coupling between single quasiparticles originates, and what determines the relative spectral weight between the single and multiparticle components. In insulating magnets, the spectral weight in the continuum comes from the Bragg peak; in CeRhIn$_5$, however, our analysis shows that the spectral weight draws from the inelastic component. The symmetry of the helical magnetic structure simply implies that such multiparticle scattering is allowed in the neutron scattering cross section. Such processes may be determined by cubic terms in the Hamiltonian, or possibly coupling resulting from the itinerant electronic nature of CeRhIn$_5$, as discussed elsewhere [63–66]. However, we note that in classical and insulating magnets the multiparticle continuum is weak, comprising $\sim 1\%–2\%$ of the total spectral weight in Rb$_2$MnF$_4$ [61]. The relatively large size of the multiparticle continuum in CeRhIn$_5$ suggests that localized effects are not the cause, and that the itinerant properties are important. Our experiment suggests a low-energy scale in CeRhIn$_5$ where the single-quasiparticle description breaks down and interactions become important.

The physics here might be more general and, in particular, enhanced broadening in the neutron cross section has been observed near the zone boundary in metallic Fe$_{1+\delta}$Te [67] and the cuprates YBa$_2$Cu$_{3-x}$O$_{6.35}$ [68,69], La$_2$CuO$_4$ [70], and Sr$_2$CuO$_2$Cl$_2$ [71]. These might indicate an interaction similar to that discussed here, yet much weaker due to symmetry constraints determined by the collinear structures. An alternate view is that the continuum in CeRhIn$_5$ results from the single-magnon branch at low energies interacting with a continuum of electronic excitations, as suggested in itinerant ferromagnets magnets such as MnSi [72] and Fe [73]. However, this scenario results in the disappearance or strong dampening of the single-magnon branch, and not the presence of two distinct components observed here in CeRhIn$_5$. This high-energy continuum may represent a direct measure of the hybridization gap that characterizes the energy scale where the quasiparticles cross over from localized to itinerant; such energy scales are expected to be on the order of $\sim$meV in CeRhIn$_5$ [10].

In summary, we have studied the excitations in helical CeRhIn$_5$ and found the presence of a strong continuum along with sharp single-magnon excitations. Given that both components are required to satisfy neutron scattering sum rules, we understand the cross section in terms of a $1 + 2$ particle model, where the broad component originates from multiparticle states with the energy and momentum dependence fixed by energy and momentum conservation laws determined by the single-magnon cross section. We propose the multiparticle component originates from coupled magnons, observable given the relaxed symmetry constraints from a helical magnet. Our
measurements directly observe the breakdown of the single-quasiparticle, or single-magnon, picture for an itinerant magnet.
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Note added.—After submission we became aware of Ref. [74] in which the low-energy magnetic fluctuations were studied. These results are in agreement with our one-magnon component; however, in addition to this we also report the multiparticle component at higher energies comprising significant spectral weight.

[37] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.247005 for additional experimental information (including data access), discussion of the magnetic order parameter, a description of the crystal field scheme, and details regarding the absorption correction.


