Missing OH source in a suburban environment near Beijing: observed and modelled OH and HO\textsubscript{2} concentrations in summer 2006
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Abstract

Measurements of ambient OH and HO\textsubscript{2} radicals were performed by laser induced fluorescence (LIF) during CAREBeijing2006 (Campaigns of Air Quality Research in Beijing and Surrounding Region 2006) at the suburban site Yufa in the south of Beijing in summer 2006. On most days, local air chemistry was influenced by aged air pollution that was advected by a slow, almost stagnant wind from southern regions. Observed daily maxima of OH and HO\textsuperscript{*} were in the range of (4–17) \times 10\textsuperscript{6} cm\textsuperscript{-3} and (2–24) \times 10\textsuperscript{8} cm\textsuperscript{-3}, respectively. During daytime, OH reactivities were generally high (10–30 s\textsuperscript{-1}) and mainly contributed by VOCs and their oxidation products. The comparison of modelled and measured HO\textsubscript{x} concentrations reveals a systematic underprediction of OH as a function of NO. A large discrepancy of a factor 2.6 is found at the lowest NO concentration encountered (0.1 ppb), whereas the discrepancy becomes insignificant above 1 ppb NO. This study extends similar observations from the Pearl-River Delta (PRD) in South China to a more urban environment. The OH discrepancy at Yufa can be resolved, if NO-independent additional OH recycling is assumed in the model. The postulated Leuven Isoprene Mechanism (LIM) has the potential to explain the gap between modelled and measured OH at Beijing taking into account conservative error estimates, but still lacks experimental confirmation. This and the hereby unresolved discrepancy at PRD suggest that other VOCs besides isoprene might be involved in the required, additional OH recycling. Fast primary production of RO\textsubscript{x} radicals up to 7 ppb h\textsuperscript{-1} was determined at Beijing which was dominated by the photolysis of O\textsubscript{3}, HONO, HCHO, and dicarbonyls. For a special case, 20 August, when the plume of Beijing city was encountered, a missing primary HO\textsubscript{x} source (\approx 3 ppb h\textsuperscript{-1}) was determined under high NO\textsubscript{x} conditions similar to other urban areas like Mexico city. CARE-Beijing2006 emphasizes the important role of OVOCs as a radical source and sink, and the need for further investigation of the chemical degradation of VOCs in order to better understand radical chemistry in VOC-rich air.
1 Introduction

The anthroposphere and biosphere emit huge amounts of trace gases such as CO, SO₂, NOₓ, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) into the lower troposphere (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts Jr., 2000; Monks et al., 2009). After emission, these trace gases are removed mainly through chemical oxidation, initiated by reactions with hydroxyl radicals (OH), on time scales ranging from minutes to years. Therefore, the self-cleansing capability of the atmosphere is controlled by the concentration of OH (Ehhalt, 1999; Lawrence et al., 2001). The large turnover rates and the concentration of OH are sustained by cyclic chain reactions depending on the concentrations of VOCs and NOₓ, and solar radiation. The framework of these radical chain reactions can be described in general terms by initiation, propagation, and termination processes. Reactions (R1)–(R3) represent the major known initiation reactions, which produce radicals OH or HO₂ through the photolysis of stable compounds

\[
O_3 + h\nu(\lambda < 340\text{nm}) + H_2O \rightarrow 2OH + O_2 \quad \text{(R1)}
\]

\[
HONO + h\nu(\lambda < 400\text{nm}) \rightarrow OH + NO \quad \text{(R2)}
\]

\[
HCHO + h\nu(\lambda < 340\text{nm}) + 2O_2 \rightarrow 2HO_2 + CO \quad \text{(R3)}
\]

The following chain-propagation Reactions (R4)–(R8) provide an effective interconversion of OH, HO₂, RO₂, and RO radicals. These reaction involve CO

\[
OH + CO + O_2 \rightarrow HO_2 + CO_2 \quad \text{(R4)}
\]

and the different VOCs which all undergo a similar scheme of reactions

\[
OH + VOC_i + O_2 \rightarrow \text{products} + \alpha_iHO_2 + (1-\alpha_i)RO_2 \quad \text{(R5)}
\]

\[
RO_2 + NO \rightarrow \beta_iRO + \beta_iNO_2 + (1-\beta_i)RONO_2 \quad \text{(R6)}
\]

\[
RO + O_2 \rightarrow \gamma_iHO_2 + (1-\gamma_i)RO_2 + OVOCs \quad \text{(R7)}
\]
The major conversion step from HO$_2$ is provided through reactions with NO and O$_3$

\[
\text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 \quad \text{(R8)}
\]

\[
\text{HO}_2 + \text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{OH} + 2\text{O}_2 \quad \text{(R9)}
\]

However, the latter being not important in this study even at lower NO levels.

Reactions (R5)–(R7) are overall reactions each comprising several elementary radical reactions. The coefficients $\alpha_i$ and $\gamma_i$ denote fractional yields of HO$_2$, and $\beta_i$ denotes the yield of RO. The index $i$ denotes the different VOCs for which the yields can differ substantially (e.g. between alkanes and aromatics). The radicals are finally destroyed by chain-termination reactions with NO$_2$, or by recombination reactions of peroxy radicals,

\[
\text{OH} + \text{NO}_2 + \text{M} \rightarrow \text{HNO}_3 + \text{M} \quad \text{(R10)}
\]

\[
\text{HO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 + (\text{M}) \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 + (\text{M}) \quad \text{(R11)}
\]

\[
\text{RO}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{ROOH} + \text{O}_2 \quad \text{(R12)}
\]

In the OH–HO$_2$–RO$_2$ reaction cycle, reactions of peroxy radicals with NO (Reactions R6 and R8) followed by photolysis of NO$_2$ (Reaction R13) constitute the exclusive formation pathway for ozone in the troposphere.

\[
\text{NO}_2 + h\nu(\lambda < 420\text{nm}) + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{NO} + \text{O}_3 \quad \text{(R13)}
\]

Organic nitrates (RONO$_2$) and oxygenated VOCs (OVOCs) produced in Reactions (R6) and (R7), respectively, are precursors of secondary organic aerosols which have been identified to be a major mass fraction of ambient submicron aerosols (Hallquist et al., 2009; Jimenez et al., 2009). Overall, OH and its reaction cycles play a central role in determining gas phase and particle pollution from local to global scales. The description of their concentrations is a key point in atmospheric models addressing questions related to regional pollution and global climate change.
To transfer the theoretical framework of radical chain reactions into atmospheric models, several chemical mechanisms both lumped and nearly explicit have been developed (e.g., Dodge, 2000; Saunders et al., 2003; Jenkin et al., 2003). In previous studies, well established chemical mechanisms like the Regional Atmospheric Chemical Mechanism, RACM (Stockwell et al., 1997), and the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM (http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/; Saunders et al., 2003; Jenkin et al., 2003) were examined in field campaigns including direct measurements of OH and HO$_2$ (collectively called HO$_x$). The established mechanisms could reproduce observed OH concentrations in clean air over the Atlantic Ocean (Brauers et al., 2001) and in unpolluted rural areas at low VOC reactivity (e.g., Ehhalt, 1999; Rohrer and Berresheim, 2006). Furthermore, agreement within a factor of two was found between measured and modelled OH in polluted regions, where atmospheric NO$_x$ and VOC concentrations were both high. Examples are large cities like Tokyo (Kanaya et al., 2007), New York City, and Houston (Kanaya et al., 2007; Mao et al., 2010), and the strongly populated Pearl River Delta (PRD) in Southern China (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2012). However, measured OH was significantly underpredicted by models by up to a factor of ten in VOC-rich air in forests (Tan et al., 2001; Ren et al., 2008; Lelieveld et al., 2008; Pugh et al., 2010; Whalley et al., 2011) and, at conditions with low NO$_x$, in PRD (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009). The strong underprediction of OH is probably caused by a missing OH recycling mechanism in the models which is independent of NO. It has been speculated that the missing recycling is related to isoprene (e.g., Lelieveld et al., 2008; Ren et al., 2008; Paulot et al., 2009; Peeters and Müller, 2010; Whalley et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2012), but a consistent chemical mechanism explaining the measured concentrations of both OH and HO$_2$ has not been identified so far (Crounse et al., 2011; Whalley et al., 2011; Lu et al., 2012; Kanaya et al., 2012).

In summer 2006, a field campaign was carried out at Beijing in China to investigate the HO$_x$ chemistry at transient urban-rural conditions. The campaign followed directly after the above mentioned field study in PRD (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2012) and was part of the Campaigns of Air Quality Research in Beijing and...
Surrounding Regions 2006 (CAREBeijing2006). Beijing, the capital city of China and one of the largest cities worldwide, is experiencing severe air pollution characterized by high O$_3$ and particulate matter (PM) concentrations (Parrish and Zhu, 2009; Shao et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2006). Moreover, as characterized by satellite observations (Richter et al., 2005) and regional models (i.e. Streets et al., 2007), the air pollution in Beijing is also connected to emissions from a number of other neighboring cities almost extending to Eastern Central China. A number of field measurements were conducted to characterize the general concentration levels and variabilities of primary (e.g. SO$_2$, CO, dust and soot particles) and secondary pollutants (e.g. O$_3$, secondary aerosols) in Beijing (Chan and Yao, 2008; Shao et al., 2006; Lu et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2006). CAREBeijing2006 was the first field study that included also detailed measurements of OH, HO$_2$ and OH reactivity. In this work, we present the summertime HO$_x$ observations in Beijing, analyze the radical budgets of HO$_x$, and test how well chemical box models simulate the observed OH at conditions with high VOC reactivities over a broad range of NO concentrations. The findings will be compared to results from other urban field studies.

2 Methodology

2.1 Experimental

The measurements analyzed in this paper took place at a suburban rural site (116.3055° E, 39.5145° N) in Beijing from August to early September in 2006. The site was located on the campus of Beijing Huangpu College in Yufa town, about 40 km south of the Beijing downtown area. Yufa has a population of about 47,000 people (2006) and is surrounded by agricultural fields and small residential villages. There are several small streets within 500 m distance to the measurement site and a highway (Jingkai) located 1.5 km in eastern direction. A small forest is lying 2 km in southeastern direction and a small factory 1 km in the South. During the field campaign, the
prevailing wind came mostly from southern directions at slow (sometimes stagnant) wind speeds (Fig. 1, upper panel) transporting aged pollution to the measurement site (see Sect. 3.1). Occasionally, northerly winds brought air with freshly emitted pollutants from the city centre of Beijing. The weather was generally characterized by relatively high humidities with water-vapor mixing ratios of 1–3% and temperatures of about 19–32°C during the campaign.

Two sampling platforms were set up within 30 m distance at the Yufa site. One platform (HOx-platform) was on top of two stacked sea-containers at 7 m above ground, where OH, HO2, total OH reactivity (kOH), HONO, O3, j(O1D), j(NO2), and local temperature and wind were measured. The other platform was located on top of a four-story school building 15 m above ground, where NOx, NOy, O3, HONO, hydrocarbons (HCs), CO, SO2, solar actinic radiation, and meteorological parameters (R. M. Young Company, Michigan, USA) were determined. Technical specifications of the measured quantities are listed in Table 1. A summary of typical atmospheric-chemical conditions is given in Table 2 listing mean values for the morning (06:00–10:00 CNST, CNST = Chinese Standard Time = UTC + 8 h) and afternoon (12:00–16:00 CNST) hours. The table also distinguishes between conditions for southerly wind and, represented by 20 August, for northerly wind directions (numbers in parentheses). Detailed informations about physical and chemical properties of aerosols measured simultaneously to the gas phase composition can be found in Garland et al. (2009); Cheng et al. (2009); Achtert et al. (2009); Wiedensohler et al. (2009); Takegawa et al. (2009).

### 2.1.1 OH, HO2, and kOH

The concentrations of OH and HO2 were measured by a compact laser-induced fluorescence (LIF) system constructed at Forschungszentrum Jülich with identical setup to the PRIDE-PRD2006 campaign (Lu et al., 2012). The radicals in ambient air were sampled by gas expansion into two separated low-pressure (3.5 mbar) fluorescence cells running in parallel, using 0.4 mm inlet nozzles with a flow rate of 1 slm (standard litre
per minute, at 298 K and 1 atm). Each cell was constantly purged by a pure nitrogen flow with 1.2 slm (1 slm sheath flow through the cell, 0.2 slm through the laser baffle arms). In the first cell for OH detection, OH radicals were electronically excited by tunable pulsed UV laser radiation at a 8.5 kHz repetition rate at a wavelength of 308 nm. The laser system consisted of an intracavity frequency-doubled tunable dye-laser (Tintura, New Laser Generation) which was pumped by a frequency-doubled Nd: YAG laser (Navigator, Spectra Physics). The resulting OH resonance fluorescence was detected by time-delayed gated photon counting. In the second cell for HO$_2$ detection, a small flow of 1 sccm NO was added to the sampled air flow. As a result, HO$_2$ was converted to OH by reaction with NO, followed by LIF detection of OH. The measurement system was calibrated using the photolysis of water vapor in synthetic air at 185 nm as a source of OH and HO$_2$. The averaged sensitivities derived from all the calibrations were used to convert the detected fluorescence signals into concentrations. During the campaign, the 1σ reproducibility of the OH and HO$_2$ calibration measurements were 3.1 % and 20.2 %, respectively. The estimated precisions of OH and HO$_2$ for 5 min integration time were about $0.04 \times [\text{OH}] + 6 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}$ and $0.08 \times [\text{HO}_2] + 1 \times 10^7 \text{cm}^{-3}$, respectively.

Recently, Fuchs et al. (2011) discovered a significant interference in our HO$_2$ detection system resulting from partial conversion of peroxy radicals from alkenes, isoprene and aromatics through their reaction with NO. Therefore, the measured HO$_2$ concentration is a lumped parameter including both ambient HO$_2$ and diverse contributions from ambient RO$_2$ radicals as shown by Eq. (1), hereafter denoted as HO$_2^*$. Relative detection sensitivities ($\alpha_{RO_2}$) applicable to our instrument can be found in Lu et al. (2012).

$$[\text{HO}_2^*] = [\text{HO}_2] + \Sigma \left( \alpha_{RO_2} \times [\text{RO}_2]_i \right)$$  

Atmospheric OH reactivity, $k_{OH}$, was determined as the inverse chemical OH lifetime by a new module based on the above LIF system (Lou et al., 2010). Ambient air was drawn continuously through a laminar flow tube with 20 slm and exposed to short UV
laser pulses (266 nm, 10 ns) from a frequency-quadrupled Nd:YAG laser running at a pulse repetition rate of 0.5–1 Hz. OH radicals (∼10^9 cm^-3) were generated by laser-flash photolysis of O_3 and the subsequent OH decay in the sampled air was probed by a third fluorescence cell. \( k_{\text{OH}} \) for ambient air was finally retrieved from numerical curve fits to the observed OH decays with zero-air decays subtracted.

### 2.1.2 Photolysis frequencies

\( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) \) and \( j(\text{NO}_2) \) were measured by two filter radiometers (FR) on the HO\(_x\) platform. In parallel, a spectroradiometer (SR) measured solar actinic flux spectra on the school building at a greater height. The photolysis frequencies derived from the actinic flux spectra show extremely good correlations (\( r^2 > 0.999 \)) with the FR measurements, but are systematically larger by 9%. The smaller values of the FR instruments were caused by a nearby building shielding part of the sky radiation. For the interpretation of the HO\(_x\) dataset, the photolysis frequencies of HCHO and HONO derived from the SR spectra were scaled down by 9% to represent the conditions at the measurement position of the HO\(_x\) instrument. All radiometers were calibrated with a PTB-traceable irradiance standard and a reference instrument before and after the campaign (Bohn et al., 2008).

### 2.1.3 O\(_3\), NO\(_x\), CO, HONO

Ozone was measured simultaneously by three in-situ UV-absorption photometers. Instruments from Thermo Electron (model 49C/TEI) and Ecotech (model EC9810B) were operated by Tokyo-Ibaraki University (UT-IU) and Peking University (PKU), with the inlets located on top of the school building. An ozone photometer from Environment SA (model O\(_3\)-41M) was run by Forschungszentrum Jülich (FZJ) with the sampling inlet close to the inlets of the HO\(_x\) instrument. For the interpretation of the HO\(_x\) dataset, the FZJ dataset was chosen. A regression analysis of all three ozone data sets shows good agreement (\( r^2 > 0.9 \)), with less than 5% deviation of the regression-line slopes...
from unity. In order to achieve complete time coverage, data gaps in the FZJ time series were filled with scaled data from the UT-IU and PKU instruments.

NO and NO$_2$ were measured by two chemiluminescence measurement systems on top of the school building. The first system, a model 42CTL from a Thermo Electron, was operated by UT-IU and used a photolytical reactor (Droplet Measurement Technologies, Model BLC) for conversion of NO$_2$ to NO (Takegawa et al., 2009). The other chemiluminescence instrument (model EC9841B by Ecotech) applied a molybdenum oxide converter for NO$_2$ to NO conversion. Given the low NO concentrations ($\approx 0.2$ ppb) during afternoon hours at Yufa and the relatively low detection sensitivity of the Ecotech instrument (LOD $\approx 0.5$ ppb at 1 min time resolution for NO), and the potential of the molybdenum converter for interferences by non-NO$_x$ reactive nitrogen species (Dunlea et al., 2007), the data set of UT-IU was used for the present work. Minor data gaps were, however, filled with scaled data from the PKU instrument.

CO measurements were obtained on top of the school building by a non-dispersive infrared absorption analyzer (NDIR, model 48C from Thermo Electron) with an integration time of 1 min. Air samples were dried before measurement in order to avoid the interference from water vapor. A detailed description of the CO instruments can be found in Takegawa et al. (2009).

HONO was measured on the HO$_x$ platform by a two channel in-situ long-path absorption photometer (LOPAP) which is a modified commercial instrument (QUMA, Wuppertal) (Li et al., 2012; Heland et al., 2001). The documented interferences like NO$_2$, NO, HNO$_3$, PAN, etc known for ambient HONO measurements were removed by the second channel of this LOPAP instrument which is shown by extensive laboratory investigations (cf. Table 2 of Heland et al., 2001) and intercomparsion to DOAS measurements (Kleffmann et al., 2006).

2.1.4 Hydrocarbons

Continuous measurements of HC species (Table 4) were performed with a time resolution of 30 min by two online gaschromatographic (GC) systems with flame ionization
(FID) and photo ionization (PID) detectors (Syntech Spectra GC-FID/PID GC955 series 600/800 VOC analyzer). Calibration was performed using a gas standard before and after the campaign. The standard contained target species with mixing ratios of 1 ppm in nitrogen, prepared with a gravimetric method (Spectra gases, Restek Corporation, USA). More details of the GC system are given by Xie et al. (2008).

2.2 Model

A box model was applied to simulate the concentrations of OH, HO$_2$, HO$_2^*$, unmeasured secondary compounds, and $k_{OH}$ at the Yufa field site. The model was constrained by observed photolysis frequencies, O$_3$, NO, NO$_2$, CO, HONO, C$_2$–C$_{10}$ hydrocarbons (Table 4), water vapor, temperature and pressure. The model uses the chemical mechanism RACM-MIM-GK, which is derived from the Regional Atmospheric Chemistry Mechanism (RACM; Stockwell et al., 1997) by additional implementation of a condensed version of the Mainz Isoprene Mechanism (MIM; Pöschl et al., 2000) by Geiger et al. (G; 2003) and Karl et al. (K; 2006). A detailed documentation of RACM-MIM-GK has been given by Lu et al. (2012). In the present work, we have additionally included newly discovered reactions that recycle OH without NO. We have added (i) reactions of carbonyl peroxy radicals (R(C=O)R’O$_2$) with HO$_2$ producing OH (Jenkin et al., 2007; Dillon and Crowley, 2008) and (ii) the isoprene epoxide chemistry by Paulot et al. (2009) as described in Lu et al. (2012). Both enhancements resulted in only small changes of the HO$_x$ system for the boundary conditions used for Beijing and for PRD when compared to the previously used RACM-MIM-GK mechanism (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2012). The resulting base scenario denoted as M0 is assumed to represent the current knowledge of atmospheric chemistry in the lower troposphere. As a benchmark test (M5), model calculations were also performed using the new MCM version 3.2 (MCMv3.2; http://mcm.leeds.ac.uk/MCM/). The revised MCM contains laboratory-based improvements, for example updates of the chemistry of methacrolein (MACR) and isoprene-derived hydroperoxides and nitrates, and in particular the above mentioned OH recycling mechanisms (i) and (ii). All model runs were
performed in a time-dependent mode with two days spin-up. A 24 h lifetime was introduced for all modelled species to account for dry deposition losses. The errors of the base model (M0) caused by uncertainties of the model boundary conditions and reaction rate constants were estimated to be about 40 % for OH, HO$_2$, and HO$_2^*$ (for details, see Lu et al., 2012).

3 Results

3.1 Time series of observed and modelled HO$_x$ concentrations and $k_{OH}$

Observations and base model results (M0) for OH, HO$_2^*$ and $k_{OH}$ are presented together with local wind data for the time period 18–31 August 2006 in Fig. 1. Additional HO$_x$ data measured during 1–7 September 2006 are given in the supplement (Fig. S1), but no corresponding model results are available for this time period due to corresponding lack of sufficiently sensitive NO data. Measurements of important trace gases and photolysis frequencies related to the HO$_x$ data are given in Fig. 2.

Observed OH and HO$_2^*$ concentrations showed distinct diurnal variations with daily maximum values around noontime. Peak concentrations for OH and HO$_2^*$ were in the range of $(4–17) \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ and $(2–24) \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$ (daily means of the observations above the 95 % percentile), respectively. In parallel, high $k_{OH}$ values of $10–20$ s$^{-1}$ during daytime and up to $105$ s$^{-1}$ at nighttime were observed. Chemical removal rates of ambient trace gases, estimated by the product [OH] $\times k_{OH}$, reached on average maximum values of 25 ppb h$^{-1}$ at noon, indicating large photochemical activity.

The OH concentrations calculated by the base model (M0) show similar temporal variations as the measured data, but with a general tendency to underpredict the observed OH in the afternoon. This behaviour coincides mostly with southerly wind directions. In contrast, good agreement of modelled and measured OH is seen in the afternoon on days that were influenced by northerly winds (e.g., 20, 26 and 30 August). In case of HO$_2^*$, the model captures the observed concentrations reasonably...
well within the experimental uncertainties (see Sect. 2.1), independent of the wind direction. Relatively small differences are calculated between HO\(_2\) and HO\(_2^*\). On average, the difference is about 30 % in the morning and 20 % in the afternoon.

The measured OH reactivity, \(k_{\text{OH}}\), is about a factor of two larger than the reactivity calculated from all measured trace gases, indicating a large fraction of unmeasured atmospheric components. In fact, OVOCs including formaldehyde were not measured at the Yufa field site and are likely the main cause for the missing reactivity. This assumption is supported by the good agreement of modelled (M0) and observed \(k_{\text{OH}}\) on most days (except 29 August). Apparently, the model calculates generally adequate amounts of OVOCs to explain the gap between the reactivity calculated from the measured trace gases and the experimental \(k_{\text{OH}}\). The large overprediction of \(k_{\text{OH}}\) by the model on 29 August possibly indicates some unaccounted loss process of the modelled OVOCs on this particular day. Precipitation was noticed in the morning of 29 August (Takegawa et al., 2009) that may have led to a reduction of soluble reactive species. Another possible reason could be fresh local emissions of VOCs that were less photochemically aged than implicitly assumed in the model. Thus, ambient OVOC concentrations would be smaller than the steady-state concentrations produced in the model run.

In order to better understand the diurnal behaviour of OH at different wind directions, it is useful to compare the air composition for southerly and northerly winds (see Table 2 and Fig. S2). In general, the air was much more polluted in the morning than in the afternoon hours on all days. For example, in the morning the concentrations of NO and NO\(_2\) were on average 7–8 ppb and 20 ppb, respectively. On the days with prevailing southerly winds, NO\(_x\) decreased dramatically towards the afternoon, reaching typically 0.15 ppb NO and 2 ppb NO\(_2\). On the days with northerly wind (here represented by 20 August since the other northerly wind days had large data gaps on HO\(_x\) measurement or trace gas species), the decrease of NO\(_x\) was much smaller in the afternoon. As a result, NO and NO\(_2\) were still about 1.6 ppb and 6 ppb, respectively. At the same time, afternoon values of CO and hydrocarbons were 3–10 times smaller on 20 August.
compared to the other days. The levels of $O_3$, isoprene, photolysis frequencies, temperature and pressure were comparable for both wind directions. In general, we can note that good model-to-measurement agreement of OH is found at conditions with elevated NO$_x$ concentrations, while large discrepancies occurred when NO was smaller than 1 ppb, i.e., during afternoon hours at southerly winds.

The two wind directions are the result of an anticyclonic system that controlled the weather during the field campaign (Matsui et al., 2009). Regarding the northerly wind period, a cold front passage brought clean air from the northern mountainous region with entrained fresh emissions from Beijing city through strong northerly winds. In this case, our measurements characterized the outflow of Beijing city mixed with mountainous air. The elevated afternoon NO on 20 August probably originated from traffic emissions as shown by correspondingly elevated soot particle concentrations (Garland et al., 2009). During the periods with southerly wind, air was mainly transported from highly industrialized regions from the North China Plain at low wind speeds. Due to the stagnant wind conditions, enhanced concentrations of secondary aerosols were observed during this period in Beijing City and Yufa (Takegawa et al., 2009; Garland et al., 2009; Gunthe et al., 2011), indicating photochemically aged air from the south. This is consistent with regional model simulations showing aged air pollution in the South of Beijing that had accumulated over large distances of several hundred kilometers (Matsui et al., 2009).

3.2 Experimental determination of the OH budget

The OH radical is a highly reactive species with a lifetime of less than 1 s in the troposphere. Its concentration reaches steady state within seconds. Thus, modelled OH concentrations at a 5 min timescale can be expressed at moderate and high NO concentrations by Eq. (2), where $P(OH)$ denotes the primary production rate of OH from
radical initiation processes.

\[
[\text{OH}] = \frac{P(\text{OH}) + k_8[\text{HO}_2][\text{NO}]}{k_{4}[\text{CO}] + \Sigma k_{5_i}[[\text{VOC}_i]] + k_{10}[\text{M}][\text{NO}_2]} \tag{2}
\]

According to this equation, the comparison of modelled and observed OH concentrations is a test whether the model can describe correctly the ratio of the production and destruction terms of OH. In case of model-measurement disagreement, it is not directly evident whether a problem occurs in the modelling of the production or destruction terms. Furthermore, agreement of measured and modelled OH does not necessarily imply a correct model, because systematic errors in the production and destruction terms may have cancelled out fortuitously. Therefore, it is additionally useful to examine directly the balance of the production and destruction terms of OH with experimental measurements, expressed by Eq. (3) (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009).

\[
[\text{OH}] \times k_\text{OH} = P(\text{OH}) + k_8[\text{HO}_2][\text{NO}] \tag{3}
\]

In the present work, we calculate the terms on the left and right hand sides of the equation, using measured OH, \(k_\text{OH}\), \(\text{HO}_2^*\) and NO. \(P(\text{OH})\) has been calculated for Reactions (R1) and (R2) from measured \(\text{O}_3\), \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), HONO and corresponding photolysis frequencies. We note that using \(\text{HO}_2^*\) instead of \(\text{HO}_2\) leads to a systematic overestimation of the OH production rate from the \(\text{HO}_2\) to OH recycling. The error is about 20–30\% due to the difference between \(\text{HO}_2^*\) and \(\text{HO}_2\) estimated by the base model (see previous section).

By applying this method, the diurnal variations of the OH budget were analyzed for the southerly wind days and for 20 August in Fig. 3. The two panels show the diurnal profiles of the total OH loss rate (red line), the total production rate using \(\text{HO}_2^*\) (blue line), and the corrected production rate using model (M0) corrected \(\text{HO}_2\) (cyan line). A strong imbalance indicating a missing OH source up to 17 ppb h\(^{-1}\) is determined for the afternoon hours of the southerly wind days using \(\text{HO}_2^*\). In the morning hours of the southerly wind days, the total OH production rate based on \(\text{HO}_2^*\) is larger than the loss
rate. After correction of the HO$_2$ interference, the OH budget becomes well balanced in the morning, whereas the need for a large additional OH source in the afternoon remains. Regarding 20 August (Fig. 3b), the experimentally determined OH budget is found to be balanced throughout this day. Based on the observed HO$_2^*$ concentration, the calculated OH production rate is slightly larger than the OH loss rate, but the discrepancy disappears mostly when the HO$_2$ measurement is corrected.

A significant OH imbalance with the OH production rate being larger than the measured loss rate has been reported by Mao et al. (2010) for the morning rush hours in Houston, Mexico City and New York City, while a balanced budget was observed at other times of the day. They noted that the OH imbalance in the morning maybe related to the model underprediction of their HO$_2$ measurements in the morning, but found no explanation for these results. It is possible that both of their observations, the OH imbalance and the underpredicted HO$_2$ in the morning were caused by a similar HO$_2$ interference like in our case, since their HO$_2$ detection method also relies on chemical conversion with NO. As another explanation Dusanter et al. (2009) suggested that the OH imbalance could be a consequence of segregation. The segregation phenomenon would reduce the reaction rate between HO$_2$ and NO when the air mass is not well mixed. In our case, a reduction of the effective reaction rate by 25–65% would be needed, indicating extremely inhomogeneous air masses. This seems unrealistic at the Yufa field site, because no large local NO emission sources were detected.

The results of the experimental OH-budget analysis are consistent with the comparison of the modelled and measured radical concentrations. As the NO concentration and major parts of $P$(OH) in M0 are fixed to observed values, the simultaneous comparison of measured and modelled OH, HO$_2^*$, and $k_{OH}$ provides another approach to investigate the OH budget. Figure 3c shows that the model can reproduce the mean observed OH at high NO$_x$ in the morning until about 11:00 CNST, but strongly underestimates the OH measurements by up to a factor of 2.5 at 12:00–16:00 CNST in the presence of low NO$_x$. On the other hand, the HO$_2^*$ concentrations are well described within their measurement errors throughout the whole day (Fig. 3e). Even the modelled
HO2 concentrations are close to the observed HO2*, which implies that the OH production from the reaction of HO2 with NO is well captured by the model. This and the good agreement of the measured and modelled kOH (Fig. 3g) demonstrate, that the underprediction of OH during the afternoon is caused by a missing OH source in the base model. This finding applies to conditions at low NOx (cf., Fig. S2) and large OH reactivities (about 20 s⁻¹) dominated by VOCs (Fig. 3g).

On 20 August, the situation is more complicated. The experimentally determined OH production and destruction rates are well balanced (Fig. 3b). Nevertheless, the model underestimates the measured OH concentrations significantly by up to a factor of two in the morning and around noontime, while agreement is found in the afternoon (Fig. 3d). At all times, NOx is relatively high (8–30 ppb). The modelled diurnal profiles of HO2* and HO2 both agree well with the observed HO2* (Fig. 3f). Around noontime, the measured OH reactivity is overestimated by the base model, which may partly explain the underestimated OH. This observation is further investigated in Sect. 4.3.2.

3.3 ROx budget in the base case model (M0)

Primary production and termination rates of ROx (= OH + HO2 + RO2) radicals are analyzed in Fig. 4. Corresponding values of NOx, O₃ and the partitioning of the OH reactivity from observed hydrocarbons can be found in Fig. S2 as supplementary information. Overall, relatively fast radical production rates P(ROX), up to 7 pbbh⁻¹, are calculated by the base model for days with southerly wind and 20 August. During daytime, the integrated P(ROX) rates were dominated by photolysis from HONO (24%, 25%), O₃ (15%, 12%), HCHO (27%, 32%), and dicarbonyls (16%, 18%; defined by the sum of three mechanistic species – GLY, MGLY, DCB in RACM). Here, the italic numbers represent values for southerly wind days and non-italic numbers represent those of 20 August. In general, ozonolysis of alkenes and other photolysis processes contributed only a few percent to P(ROX). In the afternoon, the photolysis rate of O₃ was strongly elevated compensating the morning peak contribution from HONO photolysis so that the resulting P(ROX) profile became relatively symmetrical with respect to local noon. Radical
production from NO₂ photolysis by light in the visible wavelength range as proposed by Li et al. (2008) was investigated as well. The overall contribution from this channel to \( P(\text{ROx}) \) during daytime was less than 2%. Moreover, the reaction rate and yield of this mechanism are still under discussion (Carr et al., 2009; Amedro et al., 2011). Thus, this NO₂ photolysis channel was not further tested here.

Due to the relatively short lifetime of ROₓ radicals, the total termination rate of ROₓ, \( L(\text{RO}_x) \), was in balance with the fast total primary production rate, \( P(\text{RO}_x) \), in the time-dependent model runs. For southerly wind days at noontime, there was a distinct change of the dominating \( L(\text{RO}_x) \) channel from ROₓ + NOₓ to ROₓ + ROₓ reactions. For 20 August, the ROₓ + NOₓ reactions dominated \( L(\text{RO}_x) \) until twilight conditions due to elevated NOₓ concentrations. For both periods the reaction between NO₂ and OH was the most important \( L(\text{RO}_x) \) channel during morning hours. It contributed about half or more to \( L(\text{RO}_x) \) while the rest was mainly contributed from the reaction of NO + OH (15%, 10%), the formation of peroxyacyl nitrates (15%, 11%), and the formation of alkyl nitrates (8%, 6%). The recombination of ROₓ radicals made contributions of 34% from HO₂ + HO₂ and 56% from RO₂ + HO₂ to \( L(\text{RO}_x) \) during afternoon hours for the southerly winds days while those reactions only contributed 33% (= 15% from HO₂ + HO₂ and 18% from RO₂ + HO₂) to \( L(\text{RO}_x) \) during afternoon hours for 20 August.

In Fig. 5, the interconversion processes of the ROₓ cycle in M0 are further analyzed, studying the turnover rates for OH → HO₂, OH → RO₂, RO₂ → HO₂, and HO₂ → OH. Specific details of OH loss reactions can also be obtained from the analysis of \( k_{\text{OH}} \) in Figs. 3g, h, and Fig. S2. In general, about half of the total OH loss rate was determined by reactions with measured hydrocarbons and CO, while the other half was determined by daughter products (e.g. HCHO and oxygenated products of isoprene) of measured hydrocarbons for both periods. The oxidation of anthropogenic (e.g. alkenes) and biogenic hydrocarbons (isoprene) showed comparable contributions to the total OH → RO₂ rate during southerly wind days while the oxidation of isoprene became the dominant pathway for 20 August.
The \( \text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 \) reaction rate was dominated by NO reactions with peroxy radicals such as MO2, MACP, ISOP, ETEP and OLTP during southerly wind days, while it was dominated by those involving MO2, MACP, ISOP for 20 August. The \( \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} \) conversion was dominated by the reaction \( \text{HO}_2 + \text{NO} \) at all times. The \( \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} \) conversion rate approximately equals the sum of the production rates of peroxy radicals by OH reactions, i.e. \( R(\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2) + R(\text{OH} \rightarrow \text{RO}_2) \), indicating efficient radical recycling. The OH regeneration by \( R(\text{C}=\text{O})\text{R}^\prime\text{O}_2 + \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} \) and the isoprene epoxide chemistry included in the base model have only a marginal impact on the total recycling rates. They contributed up to 2% for low \( \text{NO}_x \) conditions and were fully negligible at high \( \text{NO}_x \). Consequently, the influence of these two mechanistic updates for the modelled \( \text{HO}_x \) concentrations is marginal. Only a few percent difference is found between M0 and RACM-MIM-GK, MCMv3.1 and MCMv3.2 (cf. Fig. S3 and Fig. S4).

### 3.4 \( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) \) dependence of OH

Measured OH and \( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) \) show a high correlation \( (r^2 = 0.73) \) (Fig. 6). Following the concept by Toenges-Schuller et al. (2006), the square of the linear correlation coefficient between observed OH and \( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) \) can be expressed as

\[
{r^2_{\text{OH},j(\text{O}^1\text{D})} = (1 - e_{\text{OH,OH}})(1 - e_{j(\text{O}^1\text{D}),j(\text{O}^1\text{D})})/(1 - e_{\text{OH},j(\text{O}^1\text{D})})^2}
\]

Here, \( e_{i,i} \) denotes the relative error variance of a measured parameter \( i \) and \( e_{i,j} \) represents the covariance of the errors of the two measured parameters \( i, j \). According to Eq. (4), the true correlation coefficient between the OH and \( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) \) can be estimated by removing the LIF and FR instrument noise from \( e_{\text{OH,OH}} \) and \( e_{j(\text{O}^1\text{D}),j(\text{O}^1\text{D})} \), respectively. Consequently, the real \( r^2 \) of the observed values is determined to be 0.80 which is much better than the correlation predicted by the base model \( (r^2 = 0.63) \). The fact that the current model cannot reproduce the observed high correlation between OH and \( j(\text{O}^1\text{D}) \) seems to be a general feature, which is in line with previous results from other locations (Berresheim et al., 2003; Rohrer and Berresheim, 2006; Lu et al., 2012).
When applying the empirical model (Eq. 5) proposed by Rohrer and Berresheim (2006), a least square fit of the observed OH and \( j(O^{1}D) \) yields the parameters \( a = 4.4 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \), \( b = 0.88 \) and \( c = 1.4 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \).

\[
\text{OH} = a \times (j(O^{1}D)/10^{-5} \text{s}^{-1})^b + c
\]  
(5)

The derived value of \( b \) herein is higher than that reported for PRIDE-PRD2006 in South China (Lu et al., 2012) (\( b = 0.68 \)), but is close to the value during the POPCORN campaign in North-East Germany (Ehhalt and Rohrer, 2000) (\( b = 0.85 \)). In principle, \( b \) reflects the combined effects of all photolytic processes (e.g., \( \frac{d\{\ln(j(\text{NO}_2))\}}{d\{\ln(j(O^{1}D))\}} \), etc). However, for the three campaigns mentioned, the sensitivity ratio of the two most important photolysis frequencies, \( \frac{d\{\ln(j(\text{NO}_2))\}}{d\{\ln(j(O^{1}D))\}} \), has essentially the same value of 0.5, so that the difference of \( b \) between Beijing and PRIDE-PRD2006 is not understood yet. A linear fit to the OH-\( j(O^{1}D) \) relationship yields a slope of \( 4.0 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{s} \) and an offset of \( 1.6 \times 10^6 \text{ cm}^{-3} \) for Beijing. This slope is essentially the same as for PRIDE-PRD2006, both lying at the upper end of values so far reported in the literature (Lu et al., 2012, and reference therein).

### 3.5 \( \text{NO}_x \) dependence of OH

Besides solar radiation, the next most important influence on OH is expected from \( \text{NO}_x \) (Ehhalt, 1999; McKeen et al., 1997; Poppe et al., 1993; Weinstock et al., 1980). Figure 6c compares the \( \text{NO}_x \) dependence of measured and modelled OH after normalization to the mean value of \( j(O^{1}D) \). The functional dependence of \( \text{OH}_{\text{norm}} \) on \( \text{NO}_x \) for the observed dataset shows a flat curve. This behavior does not agree with the model-predicted strong nonlinear dependence of OH on \( \text{NO}_x \). The data at high \( \text{NO}_x \) correspond to observations during morning hours. Here, the small deviation between model and observation is mainly caused by the data from 20 August. At low \( \text{NO}_x \), the model underestimates the measured OH data significantly by a factor up to 3 around...
1 ppb of NO\textsubscript{x}. The almost missing NO\textsubscript{x} dependence looks similar to the behaviour at PRD, contrasting the results obtained in much cleaner air where the theoretical dependence was confirmed by measurements (Ehhalt, 1999; Ehhalt and Rohrer, 2000; Holland et al., 2003).

4 Discussion

4.1 Primary radical production in urban atmospheres

Primary radical production during CAREBeijing2006 originated from a diversity of sources. Dominating contributions came from the photolysis of O\textsubscript{3}, HONO, HCHO and dicarbonyls. The situation was similar to that reported in PRD (Lu et al., 2012; Li et al., 2012; Su et al., 2008) and Mexico City (Volkamer et al., 2010; Dusanter et al., 2009). In contrast, only one or two processes were found to dominate the RO\textsubscript{x} production in other large cities. For example, photolysis of HONO was the dominant pathway (> 50 %) in New York City (Ren et al., 2003), so did photolysis of O\textsubscript{3} (30–50 %) in California (Volkamer et al., 2010), O\textsubscript{3} and HCHO photolysis (> 50–80 %) in Milan (Alicke et al., 2002) and Nashville (Martinez et al., 2003), and photolysis of dicarbonyls plus ozonolysis of alkenes (60–90 %) in Birmingham (Emmerson et al., 2005), London (Emmerson et al., 2007), and Tokyo (Kanaya et al., 2007).

4.2 OH model performance in different urban-influenced atmospheres

The comparison of measured and modelled HO\textsubscript{x} for the days with prevailing wind from the south (Fig. 3, left column panels) demonstrates deficits in the current understanding of OH chemistry. When regionally aged air pollution was advected from the south, a strong underprediction of OH is found at low NO\textsubscript{x}. A strong OH underprediction was also reported for the PRIDE-PRD2006 campaign (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2012), where the atmospheric-chemical conditions were quite similar to that
of Yufa. Both sites, Yufa and PRD, are subject to rural and urban influences. Yufa is a more urban site which is influenced by biogenic and anthropogenic emissions from surrounding regions, while the measurement site in PRD was more rural, but exposed to advected aged pollution from the megacity Guangzhou. At both field sites a mixture of anthropogenic VOCs (mainly alkenes and aromatics) and biogenic VOCs (mainly isoprene) was observed, with a high total VOC reactivity in the range of 10–30 s\(^{-1}\) during daytime. At slow, almost stagnant wind conditions, both field sites were exposed to aged air pollution most of the time. Another similarity is the mean diurnal variation of NO, which showed high values in the morning (typ. 1–20 ppb) and a strong decrease to values around 0.1–0.2 ppb in the afternoon.

A major result of the PRD study was the discovery of a significant NO dependence of the discrepancy between measured and modelled OH during daytime (Lu et al., 2012). The corresponding observed-to-modelled OH ratio is shown as open blue-square symbols in Fig. 7a as a function of NO for \(j(O_1^1D) > 0.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}\). Large model-measurement discrepancies of about a factor of 5 are found at the low-end of the NO scale. Towards higher NO, the discrepancy decreases and the observed-to-modelled OH ratio becomes unity above 1 ppb NO. A very similar behaviour is found for the OH ratio at Yufa shown in Fig. 7b (open blue-square symbols). Here, the NO scale extends to even larger values according to the urban character of Yufa. Again, the largest observed-to-modelled OH ratios are found at the lowest NO values, reaching a factor of 2.6 at 0.1 ppb NO. Like at PRD, the discrepancy disappears above 1 ppb NO. Although the observed-to-modelled OH ratios for PRD and Yufa show a similar relative dependence on NO, the discrepancies in the low NO range at Yufa are significantly smaller than at PRD. This demonstrates that other factors besides NO also influence the OH underprediction.

The OH reactivity data in Fig. 7 show, that the total VOC reactivity at low NO was generally smaller at Yufa (about 16 s\(^{-1}\)) compared to PRD (about 20 s\(^{-1}\)). In the afternoon, the isoprene concentration was generally smaller at Yufa (about 0.8 ppb) compared to PRD (1.8 ppb), while anthropogenic pollutants had larger mixing ratios at Yufa (e.g.,
650 ppb CO, 4.5 ppb ethane, 1.8 ppb toluene) compared to PRD (420 ppb CO, 1.5 ppb ethane, 1.2 ppb toluene). Another difference was the much weaker solar actinic flux at Beijing (39.5° N, August) compared to the subtropical site in PRD (23.487° N, July). The possible influence of these conditions is considered in the model tests presented below.

Other field studies which investigated the OH chemistry in urban environments during summertime, took place in Los Angeles (George et al., 1999), Nashville (Martinez et al., 2003), New York (Ren et al., 2003), Tokyo (Kanaya et al., 2007), London (Emmerson et al., 2007), Mexico City (Shirley et al., 2006; Sheehy et al., 2010; Dusanter et al., 2009) and Houston (Chen et al., 2010). A common feature of these cities are large VOC reactivities (10–200 s⁻¹) and generally high NO concentrations (1–70 ppb). For some cities, the models overpredict the summertime OH observations. For example, an overprediction by a factor of 1.24 and up to 1.5 was found in London (Emmerson et al., 2007) and Los Angeles (George et al., 1999), respectively. In the other cities, underpredictions by up to a factor of two were reported (cf., Table 1 in Chen et al., 2010). The general agreement within a factor of two is consistent with our observations at high NO values in Yufa and PRD.

Besides PRIDE-PRD2006 and CAREBeijing2006, only one other urban field study has reported a comparison of measured OH with model results that extends to low NO concentrations. Kanaya et al. (2007, Fig. 9d) report a NO dependence of measured and modelled OH concentrations at summertime in Tokyo over a range of 0.1–20 ppb NO. Above 1 ppb NO, they find a reasonable model-measurement agreement, but an increasing underprediction by the model towards decreasing NO values. They find an observed-to-modelled OH ratio of a factor of 2 around 0.2 ppb NO, which is similar to our findings (factor 2.6) in Yufa.

Missing OH recycling in the model has been proposed by Hofzumahaus et al. (2009) and Lu et al. (2012) as a reason for the OH discrepancy in PRD. Lu et al. (2012) discussed the similarity of the PRD results to observations in forests, where a significant underprediction of the measured OH has been found also (e.g., Tan et al., 2001;
Lelieveld et al., 2008; Ren et al., 2008; Whalley et al., 2011). Air masses above forests are characterized by high biogenic VOC reactivities, usually dominated by some ppb of isoprene, and low concentrations of NO owing to the lack of anthropogenic emissions. Like for PRD, missing OH recycling in the models was assumed to explain the unexpectedly high OH concentrations in forests (Tan et al., 2001; Lelieveld et al., 2008; Paulot et al., 2009; Peeters and Müller, 2010; Whalley et al., 2011). Various new mechanisms were proposed to fill the gap between modelled and measured OH. In the following, we will test how well these mechanisms can explain the OH discrepancies that we found at Beijing.

4.3 Model tests

A model sensitivity study was performed by implementing different OH recycling mechanisms in the base model, listed in Table 4. The model scenarios M1 and M2 include additional recycling of peroxy radicals with an unidentified species X (M1) or Y (M2) as proposed in the PRD study (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009; Lu et al., 2012).

\[
\text{HO}_2 + X \text{ (or Y)} \rightarrow \text{OH} \quad \text{(R14a)}
\]

\[
\text{RO}_2 + X \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 \quad \text{(R14b)}
\]

Another scenario, M3a, incorporates the theoretically investigated Leuven Isoprene Mechanism (LIM0) by Peeters and Müller (2010), assuming fast isomerization of isoprene peroxy radicals (ISOP) and formation of isoprene hydroxyperoxy aldehydes (HPALDs) that may produce photochemically up to three OH radicals.

\[
\text{ISOP} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 + \text{HPALDs} \quad \text{(R15)}
\]

\[
\text{HPALDs} + h\nu \rightarrow 3 \times \text{OH} + \text{HO}_2 \quad \text{(R16)}
\]

Scenario M3b uses the LIM0 mechanism with a factor of 50 reduced isomerization rate of ISOP as suggested by an isoprene oxidation product study by Crounse et al. (2011).
Scenario M4 contains the empirical Mainz Isoprene Mechanism MIM2+ (Taraborrelli et al., 2009; Lelieveld et al., 2008). MIM2+ assumes a fast production of OH through cross reactions of peroxy radicals with an OH yield of 2–4 for the conditions in Suriname (Lelieveld et al., 2008). Here, we assume

\[ \text{ISOP} +\text{HO}_2 \rightarrow 4 \times \text{OH} \]  \hspace{1cm} (R17)

Scenario M5 uses the MCMv3.2 as a benchmark test (cf., Sect. 2.2). As will be discussed below, we have considered two more cases for a specific period (20 August 2006) with an additional primary source of OH (M6) and HO2(M7).

### 4.3.1 Southerly wind days

Model results of the scenarios M0–M5 are compared to measurements of HO\textsubscript{x} and \( k_{\text{OH}} \) in Fig. 8 for days with southerly wind.

**MCMv3.2 (M5)**

The comparison of the base model with MCMv3.2 allows to inspect the impact of a higher degree of mechanistic detail on the model results. The two model runs (M0, M5) show a relatively good agreement of OH, HO\textsuperscript{2}, HO\textsubscript{2} and \( k_{\text{OH}} \) during daytime, especially for the afternoon hours. The results indicate that the higher level of detail in the chemistry of MCMv3.2 compared to RACM-MIM-GK does not have a significant influence on the major discrepancies between observed and modelled OH in the afternoon. RACM-MIM-GK is therefore suitable as a base mechanism for the model tests, consistent with the findings from the PRD study (Lu et al., 2012). A slight difference of 18% between OH calculated by M0 and M5 can be noted during the morning hours, resulting from a 30% difference of the rate constants \( k_{\text{OH}+\text{NO}_2} \) implemented in MCMv3.2 and RACM-MIM-GK. In the morning at high NO\textsubscript{x}, OH losses are dominated by the reaction with NO\textsubscript{2} (Reaction R10) explaining the large sensitivity to \( k_{\text{OH}+\text{NO}_2} \). The rate
constant implemented in RACM-MIM-GK is almost identical to the latest experimental results reported by Mollner et al. (2010). We find that this implementation in RACM-MIM-GK in comparison to that of MCMv3.2 shows a better agreement with observed OH concentrations in the high NO\textsubscript{x} regime.

**Additional recycling by X,Y (M1, M2)**

In scenarios M1 and M2, two different kinds of generic radical recycling pathways are embedded in the model. Good agreement between observed and modelled OH concentrations could be achieved during daytime by numerical fitting of the generic species X and Y. The inclusion of the reactions with X or Y does not change the good model-measurement agreement of HO\textsuperscript{+}\textsubscript{2} and \(k_{\text{OH}}\) values within experimental uncertainty, compared to the base case. The cause for the robust calculation of HO\textsuperscript{+}\textsubscript{2} is diverse. In M1, the added recycling reactions of HO\textsubscript{2} and RO\textsubscript{2} change the production and destruction rates of HO\textsubscript{2} by roughly the same amount, so that the two effects cancel out. In M2, the reduction of HO\textsubscript{2} is compensated by the enhancement of RO\textsubscript{2} so that the resulting HO\textsuperscript{+}\textsubscript{2} is still conserved. With respect to \(k_{\text{OH}}\), the total reactivity of hydrocarbons, NO\textsubscript{x} and CO are fixed to measurements and only the contribution from modelled OVOCs may be influenced by mechanistic model changes. Lou et al. (2010) showed for a similar case (PRD) that the OVOC reactivity is not very sensitive to changes in daytime OH, because the production and a major part of the destruction of OVOCs is driven by OH, compensating the influence of OH in the model.

In M1 and M2, the derived diurnal profiles of X and Y show some variability with maximum concentrations appearing at late afternoon hours (\(\approx 18:00\) CNST) while minimum concentrations appeared in the morning hours (see Fig. S5). This correlates with the diurnal behavior of the required OH source (see Fig. 3a). The averaged concentration of X is equivalent to about 0.4 ppb NO during daytime, while the required Y concentration is up to 10 ppb in the late afternoon. Compared to PRIDE-PRD2006, the required concentration of X is about 50% smaller, while the modelled HO\textsubscript{2} is about the same.
Thus, the missing OH source at Yufa has about half of the source strength required at PRD. The calculated concentration of Y in this study is close to that of PRD, but the modelled HO₂ concentration is much smaller in the afternoon. Again, the missing OH source is about half of the value needed in PRD.

We find that the mechanism M1 and M2 provides a description of measured OH only during daylight. After sunset, the reactions with X or Y are not sufficient to explain the observed OH levels. At night, a small, additional primary HOₓ source is needed. Details of this analysis will be given in a separate publication.

As discussed by Lu et al. (2012), the nature of the unknown species X or Y has not been identified yet. Taking into account the common observation that unexplained high daytime OH is found in areas with high VOC reactivities, i.e. in forests (e.g., Tan et al., 2001; Lelieveld et al., 2008; Ren et al., 2008; Whalley et al., 2011), PRD (Lu et al., 2012), Tokyo (Kanaya et al., 2007), and Beijing (this work), it is probable that the missing mechanism of OH recycling is linked to degradation products of biogenic and/or anthropogenic VOCs. In that sense, Reactions (R14a) and (R14b) must be considered generic place holders for more detailed mechanisms.

**OH recycling from ISOP (M3, M4)**

The observation of missing OH recycling in forests has led to the hypothesis that isoprene peroxy radicals (ISOP) may rapidly isomerize and reproduce OH efficiently in the absence of NO (Peeters and Müller, 2010; Lelieveld et al., 2008). This possibility is represented by the LIM0 and MIM₂⁺ schemes, respectively, which are tested in scenarios M3a and M4. Both mechanisms produce more OH than is consumed leading to radical amplification. Both scenarios yield very similar diurnal OH profiles (Fig. 8). In the morning, the additional isoprene peroxy radical chemistry given by Reactions (R16) and (R17) has almost no influence on OH owing to the dominant role of Reaction (R6) in the presence of high NO. In the afternoon, at a reduced NO level of about 100 ppt, the new isoprene chemical schemes become important and enhance the OH concentration.
by about a factor of 1.6. At daytime \((j(O_1^1D) > 0.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1})\) a discrepancy of a factor of 1.6 remains between observed and modelled OH, much of which may be explained by a conservatively estimated combined measurement-and-model error of 45\% (cf., Fig. 7). LIM0 and MIM2\(^+\) generate also systematically larger HO\(_2\) and HO\(_2^*\) concentrations than M0. The resulting HO\(_2^*\) values still agree with the measured values within their errors. The modelled \(k_{\text{OH}}\) in scenarios M3a and M4 essentially agree with the measured values as well as in the previous scenarios M0–M2. In conclusion, the isoprene-based OH recycling mechanisms by Peeters and Müller (2010) and Lelieveld et al. (2008) have the potential to explain the missing daytime source of OH at Yufa. This outcome differs from the results at PRD, where a significant discrepancy of a factor of two remained between measured and modelled OH when the LIM or MIM2\(^+\) mechanisms were applied (cf., Fig. 7 and Lu et al., 2012). Based on a laboratory study of stable isoprene oxidation products, Crounse et al. (2011) concluded that the isomerization rate of ISOP in the LIM mechanism must be about 50 times slower than predicted by the ab inito calculations of Peeters and Müller (2010). Using the reduced rate in our model (M3b), no significant change of the modelled OH, HO\(_2\) and RO\(_2\) concentrations is found compared to the base case. Thus, if the conclusion by Crounse et al. (2011) is correct, the isomerization of isoprene peroxy radicals as proposed in the LIM mechanism would not be able to contribute significantly to the explanation of the observed OH discrepancy at Yufa.

**Further discussion**

Studies of ambient HO\(_x\) during the field campaign HOxComp2005 in Jülich have shown relatively good agreement (within 30\%) between modelled and measured OH (Elshorbany et al., 2012; Kanaya et al., 2012). Interestingly, agreement was found both for high and low NO concentrations, contrasting the PRD and Yufa results where significant OH discrepancies were found at similar isoprene concentrations at low NO. Although OH was well matched in the HOxComp studies, HO\(_2\) was overpredicted by 10907
the models by up to a factor of two at low NO\textsubscript{x} indicating a major deficit in the model description of HO\textsubscript{x} recycling. An overpredicted HO\textsubscript{2} concentration implies an overestimation of the HO\textsubscript{2} to OH recycling rate. This and the good model-measurement agreement of OH means that a real OH source is missing in the model. In fact, the direct comparison of experimentally determined OH loss and production rates showed evidence of missing OH source (2–5 ppbh\textsuperscript{-1}) specifically at low NO concentrations (Elshorbany et al., 2012), though much smaller than the average missing OH source at PRD (25 ppbh\textsuperscript{-1}) and Yufa (11 ppbh\textsuperscript{-1}). The possible reason for the different required source strengths could be the much shorter photochemical aging of isoprene at HOx-Comp (Kanaya et al., 2012), or the presence of much higher amounts of other, mostly anthropogenic VOCs at PRD and Yufa.

The uncertainty of the LIM mechanism and the fact that even the fast isomerization rate of ISOP would not be sufficient to resolve the complete OH discrepancy at PRD, gives room for speculations about recycling mechanisms linked to other VOCs. According to previous theoretical (Da Silva et al., 2010) and chamber (Bloss et al., 2005) studies, peroxy radicals from 1,3-butadiene and toluene have been postulated to produce OH in the absence of NO. This hypothesis is further supported in laboratory experiments by Birdsall and Elrod (2011) who conclude that bicyclic peroxy radicals from toluene may react with HO\textsubscript{2} and form OH. However, specific rate constants are not yet known. In our case, 1,3-butadiene and toluene contribute only 0.6 % and 1.6 % of the total OH reactivity, while isoprene has a share of 43.5 % in the afternoon. Thus the impact of 1,3-butadiene and toluene alone would be likely very small. In any case, further laboratory studies of the chemical degradation of alkenes and aromatics at low NO will be needed to improve the understanding of HO\textsubscript{x} in urban influenced atmospheres.

Another possibility to explain the OH discrepancy would be an interference in the OH measurement caused by VOC-related oxidation products. In order to check this possibility, we have tested our LIF instrument in the simulation chamber SAPHIR in Jülich by a measurement comparison with laser differential absorption spectroscopy (DOAS)
Fuchs et al., 2012). Both instruments measured simultaneously daytime OH in air mixtures at conditions similar to those in PRD and Yufa. VOC reactivities were as high as 30 s\(^{-1}\) and NO was kept low (0.1–0.3 ppb). No hints for artifacts in the OH measurements were observed for isoprene, methacrolein and various aromatics. Only in case of methyl-vinyl ketone (12 ppb on average) and toluene (70 ppb on average), LIF measurements were approximately 30–40% larger than the absorption based measurements. Given the much smaller concentrations of methyl-vinyl ketone and toluene at Yufa, the effect observed in SAPHIR is not a likely explanation for the OH discrepancies during CAREBeijing2006.

4.3.2 Special case: 20 August

The comparison of measured and modelled HO\(_x\) data at Yufa for the days with northerly wind (Fig. 3d, 20 August) yields an OH underprediction by up to a factor of two in the morning at high NO\(_x\). The underpredicted OH is probably related to the overpredicted OH reactivity (Fig. 3h). On this day, freshly emitted pollutants from Beijing City were transported by a fast wind \((\approx 6 \text{ m s}^{-1})\) to the field site. It is possible that some emitted VOCs were less photochemically aged on their arrival at Yufa than assumed in the model, which calculates quasi steady-state concentrations of the products. Thus, the modelled contribution of OVOCs to \(k_{\text{OH}}\) may be overestimated in the model run. In order to account for this possibility, we have further introduced additional dilution with a lifetime of 8 h for calculated products to mimic a shorter time scale between emission and observation. The new model run, M0+\(\tau_{8h}\), is able to reproduce the observed \(k_{\text{OH}}\) (Fig. 9c) because calculated OVOCs are reduced compared to the base model. However, both observed OH and HO\(_2\) concentrations are underestimated up to a factor of two before 14:00 CST. Similar results are obtained in model run M5+\(\tau_{8h}\) where the MCMv3.2 is applied instead of RACM-MIM-GK.

As a further test, additional primary production for OH (M6 + \(\tau_{8h}\)) or HO\(_2\) (M7 + \(\tau_{8h}\)) is introduced in the model. The required production rates denoted \(Q(\text{OH})\) and \(Q(\text{HO}_2)\), respectively, are optimized to match the HO\(_x\) observations. In case of model run M6 + \(\tau_{8h}\),
very good agreement of modelled and measured OH is obtained when an average OH source of 3.6 ppb h\(^{-1}\) is assumed for 08:00–14:00 CNST (Fig. 9a). Essentially the same result is obtained when an average HO\(_2\) source of 4 ppb h\(^{-1}\) is used for 08:00–14:00 CNST. Also in case of HO\(_x^*\), both scenarios yield practically the same diurnal profile, which is in better agreement with the observation than the base case (Fig. 9b). The good model-measurement agreement of \(k_{OH}\) in scenario M0 + \(\tau_{8h}\) is not changed by the additional sources of OH or HO\(_2\) (Fig. 9c). Apparently, the addition of a source of OH or HO\(_2\) has almost the same effect on both HO\(_x\) species, which is a result of fast interconversion between OH and HO\(_2\). It can be concluded that a primary HO\(_x\) source is missing, but it remains an open question whether it is a source of OH or HO\(_2\). Similar considerations of an unknown HO\(_x\) source for high NO\(_x\) conditions were reported for other urban plumes (Martinez et al., 2003; Ren et al., 2003; Kanaya et al., 2007; Sheehy et al., 2010; Dusanter et al., 2009). However, except for the two Mexico City campaigns (Sheehy et al., 2010; Dusanter et al., 2009), the missing HO\(_x\) source in previous campaigns were mainly deduced from the underestimation of observed HO\(_2\) concentrations which might be affected by the recently discovered interference for LIF HO\(_2\) instruments (Fuchs et al., 2011).

Sensitivity model runs including additional OH recycling mechanisms (M1–M4) have also been applied to 20 August, including the additional removal process with a lifetime of 8 h. As expected, none of recycling mechanisms is able to explain the observed OH concentrations in the morning. The changes of modelled OH are marginal, because the conversion of peroxy radicals to OH is much slower than the reaction with NO at the high NO\(_x\) conditions on 20 August.

### 5 Summary and conclusions

Measurements of ambient HO\(_x\) concentrations and total OH reactivity were performed by an LIF instrument at a suburban site (Yufa) in the south of Beijing City as part of the comprehensive field campaign CAREBeijing2006 in summer 2006. Observed daily
maxima of OH and HO$_2^*$ were in the range of $(4-17) \times 10^6$ cm$^{-3}$ and $(2-24) \times 10^8$ cm$^{-3}$, respectively. During daytime, OH reactivities were generally high ($10-30$ s$^{-1}$) mainly contributed by VOCs and their oxidation products. Together with simultaneously measured trace gas concentrations and photolysis frequencies, a suitable dataset was collected to characterize and examine the capability of established chemical mechanisms (RACM-MIM-GK and MCMv3.2) to reproduce the observed HO$_x$ concentration levels in Beijing.

For most of the days, local air chemistry was influenced by aged air pollution that was advected by a slow, almost stagnant wind from the South. For these conditions, the production and destruction rates of OH, calculated from measurements, were found to be balanced in the morning at high NO$_x$. In the afternoon at low NO$_x$ conditions, a missing OH source ($10-20$ ppbh$^{-1}$) was identified. The results from the OH budget analysis are consistent with a comparison between the observed HO$_x$ concentrations and results from a base model (RACM-MIM-GK). Observed OH concentrations can be reproduced by the model reasonably well during morning hours, while they are strongly underestimated in the afternoon. The observed-to-modelled OH ratio is found to be NO dependent. It reaches a value of 2.6 at 0.1 ppb NO and becomes unity at NO values above 1 ppb NO. On the other hand, the observed HO$_2^*$ concentrations and $k_{OH}$ are well captured by the model. The model results by RACM-MIM-GK are essentially confirmed by MCMv3.2 showing that the model-measurement discrepancies for OH are not caused by the lumped representation of organic species in the model.

After introducing an additional, generic recycling process HO$_2$ → OH, the model is able to reproduce simultaneously the observed OH, HO$_2^*$ and $k_{OH}$ values. About half of the additional recycling flux is needed compared to the study in PRD (Lu et al., 2012), where a similar NO dependent OH discrepancy was found at high VOC reactivities. When recycling of OH from isoprene peroxy radicals as proposed by Lelieveld et al. (2008) and Peeters and Müller (2010) is implemented, much of the OH discrepancy at Yufa is removed, but a 40% underestimation still persists at low NO which can be explained by a conservative estimate of the model and measurement errors. However,
there are still large uncertainties concerning the efficiency of OH recycling from isoprene peroxy radicals (e.g., Crounse et al., 2011). This and the fact that the isoprene mechanism could not explain the large discrepancy at PRD indicate need for additional laboratory studies of the oxidation chemistry of isoprene, and possibly alkenes and aromatics at low NO conditions.

For a special case, 20 August, when the Beijing city plume was encountered, an additional primary HO\textsubscript{x} source on average of about 4 ppb h\textsuperscript{-1} and an additional dilution process with 8 h lifetime were required to reproduce the observed HO\textsubscript{x} and k\textsubscript{OH} at high NO\textsubscript{x} conditions in the morning. Similar considerations of an unknown HO\textsubscript{x} source for high NO\textsubscript{x} conditions were reported for other urban plumes (Martinez et al., 2003; Ren et al., 2003; Kanaya et al., 2007; Sheehy et al., 2010; Dusanter et al., 2009). However, except for the two Mexico City campaigns (Sheehy et al., 2010; Dusanter et al., 2009), the missing HO\textsubscript{x} source in previous campaigns were mainly deduced from the underestimation of observed HO\textsubscript{2} concentrations which might be affected by the recently discovered interference for LIF HO\textsubscript{2} instruments (Fuchs et al., 2011).

At CAREBeijing2006, the primary production of RO\textsubscript{x} radicals was generally large (∼7 ppb h\textsuperscript{-1}) and dominated by photolysis of O\textsubscript{3}, HONO, HCHO and dicarbonyls. Half of the production rate was determined by observed parameters (O\textsubscript{3}, HONO) while the other half was contributed by modelled species (OVOCs). A similar situation applies to the radical conversion of OH to HO\textsubscript{2} and RO\textsubscript{2}. This highlights the importance of OVOCs for understanding the HO\textsubscript{x} radical budgets and emphasizes the need for direct measurements of oxygenated products such as HCHO, dicarbonyls, MACR, MVK and potentially HPALD in future field campaigns and laboratory studies.

**Supplementary material related to this article is available online at:** http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/10879/2012/acpd-12-10879-2012-supplement.pdf.
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**Table 1.** Performance of the measurements of HO\(_x\) radicals and related photochemical parameters used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Accuracy (1(\sigma))</th>
<th>LOD(\text{a}(1(\sigma))</th>
<th>Platform(\text{b}</th>
<th>Sampling period (2006)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OH</td>
<td>LIF(\text{c})</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>6 \times 10^5 \text{cm}^{-3}</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>18 Aug–7 Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO(_2)(\text{d})</td>
<td>LIF(\text{c})</td>
<td>20 %</td>
<td>1.5 \times 10^6 \text{cm}^{-3}</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>18 Aug–7 Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(k_{\text{OH}})</td>
<td>LP-LIF(\text{e})</td>
<td>7 % + 0.3 s(^{-1})</td>
<td>&lt;1 s(^{-1})</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>19 Aug–8 Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(j)-values</td>
<td>SR/FR(\text{f})</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>BR + CT</td>
<td>8 Aug–10 Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(O_3)</td>
<td>UV photometry</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>0.3 ppb</td>
<td>BR + CT</td>
<td>2 Aug–10 Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Chemiluminescence</td>
<td>7 %</td>
<td>25 ppt</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>13 Aug–31 Aug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO(_2)</td>
<td>Chemiluminescence</td>
<td>13 %</td>
<td>80 ppt</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>13 Aug–31 Aug</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>IR photometry</td>
<td>5 %</td>
<td>4 ppb</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>13 Aug–10 Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HONO</td>
<td>LOPAP(\text{g})</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>7 ppt</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>14 Aug–7 Sep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C_2)–(C_{10}) HCs</td>
<td>GC-FID/PID(\text{h})</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>1–90 ppt</td>
<td>BR</td>
<td>15 Aug–7 Sep</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{a}\) Limit of detection, the referred time resolution for HCs measurements are 30 min, the others are 5 min.

\(\text{b}\) CT: containers, BR: building roof.

\(\text{c}\) Laser Induced Fluorescence.

\(\text{d}\) The measurement contains a contribution by RO\(_2\), see details in the text.

\(\text{e}\) Laser flash Photolysis – Laser Induced Fluorescence.

\(\text{f}\) Spectral Radiometer/Filter Radiometer.

\(\text{g}\) LOng-Path Absorption Photometry.

\(\text{h}\) Gas Chromatography Flame-Ionization Detector/Photo-Ionization Detector.
Table 2. Mean values of photolysis frequencies and trace gas concentrations during morning (06:00–10:00 CNST) and afternoon (12:00–16:00 CNST) hours for days with southerly wind when measurement data for OH and $k_{\text{OH}}$ were simultaneously available. Data from days with northern wind (represented by 20 August) are given in parenthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Mean Value</th>
<th>06:00–10:00</th>
<th>12:00–16:00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$O_3$ (ppb)</td>
<td>15 (17)</td>
<td>76 (51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO (ppb)</td>
<td>8.6 (7.3)</td>
<td>0.15 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$NO_2$ (ppb)</td>
<td>19.2 (24.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HONO (ppb)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO (ppb)</td>
<td>920 (640)</td>
<td>650 (230)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETH$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>4.8 (4.5)</td>
<td>4.5 (4.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC3$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>5.1 (3.5)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC5$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>3.4 (2.8)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC8$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>1.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETE$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>4.0 (3.9)</td>
<td>3.1 (2.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLT$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>4.0 (1.7)</td>
<td>1.7 (0.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.01)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIEN$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>0.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOL$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>5.8 (5.5)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYL$^*$ (ppb)</td>
<td>0.8 (0.5)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_2O$ (% abs)</td>
<td>2.2 (2.3)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_{\text{OH}}$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>25.5 (14.9)</td>
<td>17.4 (9.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Photolysis frequencies (s$^{-1}$)

- $O_3 \rightarrow O^1D$: $0.6 \times 10^{-5}$
- $NO_2 \rightarrow NO + O$: $3.4 \times 10^{-3}$
- HONO $\rightarrow NO + OH$: $5.7 \times 10^{-4}$
- HCHO $\rightarrow H_2 + CO$: $1.3 \times 10^{-5}$
- HCHO $\rightarrow H + HCO$: $1.0 \times 10^{-5}$
- Temperature (°C): 23.1 (27.7)
- Pressure (hPa): 1003 (1003)

* See VOC assignment in Table 3.
Table 3. Measured hydrocarbons and their assignment to RACM-MIM-GK species.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RACM</th>
<th>Observed hydrocarbons from Online GC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ETH</td>
<td>Ethane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETE</td>
<td>Ethene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC3</td>
<td>Propane, (i)-Butane, (n)-Butane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC5</td>
<td>Cyclopentane, (i)-Pentane, (n)-Pentane, 2-Methylpentane, 3-Methylpentane, 2,4-Dimethylpentane, (n)-Hexane, 2,2,4-Trimethylpentane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC8</td>
<td>(n)-Heptane, Cyclohexane, 2-Methylhexane, 3-Methylhexane, (n)-Octane, 2-Methylheptane, 3-Methylheptane, (n)-Nonane, Methylcyclohexane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLT</td>
<td>Propene, 1-Butene, (i)-Butene, 1-Pentene, 3-Methylbutene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLI</td>
<td>trans-2-Butene, cis-2-Butene, trans-2-Pentene, cis-2-Pentene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIEN</td>
<td>1,3-Butadiene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO</td>
<td>Isoprene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOL</td>
<td>Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, (i)-Propylbenzene, (n)-Propylbenzene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XYL</td>
<td>(m,p)-Xylene, (o)-Xylene, Styrene, 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene, 1,2,3-Trimethylbenzene, (p)-Ethyltoluene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Table 4.** Box model simulations examined for the CAREBeijing2006 dataset.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>RACM-MIM-GK, plus OH recycling from (i) reactions of acyl and β-keto peroxy radicals with HO₂ (Jenkin et al., 2007, 2010; Dillon and Crowley, 2008), and (ii) isoprene epoxide formation (Paulot et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>M0, plus radical recycling from RO₂ + X → HO₂ and HO₂ + X → OH (Hofzumahaus et al., 2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>M0, plus radical recycling from HO₂ + Y → OH (Lu et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3a</td>
<td>M0, plus LIM0 scheme with a yield of three OH radicals from the photolysis of HPALDs (Peeters and Müller, 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3b</td>
<td>M3a, but with a factor of 50 reduced isomerization rate of isoprene peroxy radicals (Crounse et al., 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>M0, plus MIM²⁺ scheme with a yield of four OH radicals from the reaction of ISOP + HO₂ (Lelieveld et al., 2008; Butler et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>MCMv3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>M0, plus a primary OH source Q(OH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>M0, plus a primary HO₂ source Q(HO₂)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Fig. 1.** Time series of OH, HO$_2$, HO$_2^*$, OH reactivity, and local wind at the Yufa site during the CAREBeijing2006 campaign. Panel (a): local wind direction and speed. Panel (b–c): experimental values of OH, HO$_2^*$ and OH reactivity denoted by red symbols; corresponding model results are given by blue lines. Panel (c): the cyan line shows modelled HO$_2$ concentrations. Panel (d): the grey line represents OH reactivities derived from measured OH reactant concentrations. Vertical dotted lines denote midnight.
Fig. 2. Time series of measured $j(O^1D)$, $j(NO_2)$, NO$_x$, O$_3$, HONO, CO, Benzene, Isoprene at the Yufa site during the CAREBeijing2006 campaign. On 24 August, the ozone data were clipped by the data acquisition system at a value of 100 ppb.
Fig. 3. Mean diurnal profiles of OH turnover rates (a, b), concentrations of OH (c, d) and 
HO\textsubscript{2} (e, f), and OH reactivities (g, h) on days with southerly (left column) and northerly wind 
(20 August; right column). Experimental and modelled (M0) data are shown as red and blue 
lines, respectively. Panels (c, d): the vertical bars represent 1σ errors. Panels (e, f): the cyan 
lines show modelled HO\textsubscript{2}. Panels (g, h): in addition to total \( k\textsubscript{OH} \), contributions from measured 
NO\textsubscript{x}, CO, hydrocarbons (Table 3) and modelled HCHO + ALD + OISO are cumulatively shown.
Fig. 4. Production (a, b) and loss rates (c, d) of RO\textsubscript{x} (= OH + HO\textsubscript{2} + RO\textsubscript{2}) calculated by the base model (M0) for southerly (left column) and northerly wind (20 August; right column). Panels (a, b): breakdown of primary RO\textsubscript{x} production resulting from photolytic processes and ozonolysis. $P$(OH), $P$(HO\textsubscript{x}), and $P$(RO\textsubscript{x}) denote total, primary production rates of OH, HO\textsubscript{x}, and RO\textsubscript{x}, respectively. Panels (c, d): cumulative plot of RO\textsubscript{x} losses caused by radical termination reactions. The brown solid line denotes the loss rate by OH + NO\textsubscript{y}, the black solid line by OH + NO\textsubscript{y} and RO\textsubscript{2} + NO\textsubscript{x}, etc. The total RO\textsubscript{x} loss rate is given by $L$(RO\textsubscript{x}) (also shown in panels a, b).
Fig. 5. Radical conversion rates of \( \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 \) (a, b), \( \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{RO}_2 \) (c, d), \( \text{RO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HO}_2 \) (e, f), and \( \text{HO}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} \) (g, h), calculated with M0. Cumulative contributions of dominating reactions are presented as explained in Fig. 4. Red solid lines represent the total rates. Panel (g, h): the dotted violet and solid brown lines indicate additionally the total \( \text{OH} \) loss and \( \text{HO}_2 \) production rates, respectively, both confined to radical conversion reactions.
Fig. 6. The $j$(O$^1$D) and NO$_x$ dependence of the observed and modelled (M0) OH concentrations. The symbols denote five minute averaged data. In panel (a), a least square fit to Eq. (5) is shown as a solid line. In panel (c), the OH data were first selected by $j$(O$^1$D) > $0.5 \times 10^{-5}$ s$^{-1}$ and then normalized to $j$(O$^1$D) (see text), thus denoted as OH$_{\text{Jnorm}}$. The red and blue solid lines give the averaged values of measured and modelled OH$_{\text{Jnorm}}$, respectively, over equally spaced log(NO$_x$) intervals.
Fig. 7. NO dependence of the observed-to-modelled OH ratio (OHobs/OHmod) for different mechanistic scenarios at Pearl River Delta (Lu et al., 2012) and Beijing, respectively. Vertical error bars denote combined 1σ accuracies of the modelled (M0) and observed OH concentrations. Total VOC reactivities (M0 case) and their organic speciation (without NOx) are presented by pie charts at different NO intervals (Δln([NO]/ppb) = 0.17) at the top. Abbrev. in the color legend of the pie charts: ALD = higher aldehydes than HCHO, OISO = MACR + MVK + CAR4, DCBs = MGLY + GLY + DCB, Others = degradation products from hydrocarbons that exclude HCHO, ALD, OISO, DCBs.
Fig. 8. Comparison of measured and calculated OH (a), HO$_2^*$ (b), $k_{\text{OH}}$ (c) for six different mechanistic scenarios (M0–M5) at southerly wind conditions. In addition, model results are compared for HO$_2$ (d).
Fig. 9. Comparison of measured and calculated OH (a), HO₂ (b), \( k_{OH} \) (c) for four different mechanistic scenarios (M0 + \( \tau_{8h} \), M5 + \( \tau_{8h} \), M6 + \( \tau_{8h} \), and M7 + \( \tau_{8h} \)) on 20 August. Model results for HO₂ (d) are also shown.