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The rate-limiting process in phase change (PC) optical memories is the extremely rapid (nanosecond time scale) crystallisation of nanosized amorphous “marks” in a polycrystalline layer. Our knowledge of the amorphous and ordered structures of Ge/Sb/Te and Ag/In/Sb/Te alloys has improved significantly in recent years and has led to plausible pictures for the transition between them. Nevertheless, the simulation of the actual crystallisation process is complicated by the need to study large numbers of atoms over time scales that are difficult to attain, even with modern supercomputers. We have performed a series of density functional/ molecular dynamics (DF/MD) simulations on a sample of the prototype PC material Ge$_2$Sb$_2$Te$_5$ (GST-225) with 460 atoms. Simulations at 500 K, 600 K, and 700 K have been performed for up to 600 picoseconds in samples where crystallisation was promoted by fixing the structure of a crystalline “seed”, and for over 4 ns in four samples at 600 K without constraints. A comparison of the last four simulations shows a striking memory effect where crystallisation is favoured in an amorphous sample that had previously been crystallised.

1 Introduction

Phase change (PC) materials are chalcogenide alloys (usually Se, Te) that switch very rapidly between the amorphous (a-) and crystalline (c-) phases. They are used extensively in rewritable high-density data storage, especially in optical recording [Digital Versatile Disc (DVD), Blu-ray Disc]$^1$. Information is stored as rows of nanosized amorphous marks in a polycrystalline layer and accessed via the different optical or electrical properties of the two phases. The most common materials are GeTe-Sb$_2$Te$_3$ pseudobinary compounds and Sb-Te binary compounds with small amounts of In, Ag, and/or Ge. Recrystallisation in the two groups is strikingly different, as shown in Fig. 1: In the first [Group 1, Fig. 1(b)] it proceeds mainly via nucleation inside the marks, in the second [Group 2, Fig. 1(c)] via crystal growth from the rim$^1$. In this report we restrict ourselves to the former.

Close collaboration between theory (density functional/ molecular dynamics simulations) and experiment (particularly x-ray diffraction and EXAFS) has shown that the amorphous structure of Ge$_2$Sb$_2$Te$_5$ (GST-225) and other alloys of Ge, Sb, and Te can be
Figure 1. PC materials and their crystallisation patterns. (a) Commonly used materials for optical recording, (b) group 1: Nucleation-dominated (GST), and (c) group 2: growth-dominated recrystallisation (AIST).

characterised by “ABAB alternation” \((A: \text{Ge,Sb, B: Te})\) with four-membered \(ABAB\) rings being a dominant motif\(^2,3\). Since this pattern is prevalent in the metastable (rock salt) crystalline structure, it is plausible that the rapid amorphous-to-crystalline transition be viewed as a re-orientation (nucleation) of disordered \(ABAB\) squares supported by the space provided by cavities. Knowledge of the amorphous and crystalline structures of an Ag/In/Sb/Te alloy allows us to develop a picture of crystallisation in this case as well\(^4\). Simulations of crystallisation in GST-225 have been carried out on samples with less than 200 atoms with interesting results\(^5,6\), but small samples leave many questions unanswered. We describe here DF/MD simulations of a sample of 460 atoms. In the first simulations, crystallisation is promoted by fixing the structure of a crystalline “seed” (58 atoms, 6 vacancies) throughout. The most recent calculations, referred to below as \textit{run}0–\textit{run}3, there was no seed. In all cases, the densities were adjusted during the simulation to allow for the difference between the amorphous and crystalline forms.

\section{Computational Methods}

The combined DF/MD calculations were performed on GST-225 with the CPMD program package\(^7\), using the approximation of Perdew et al. (PBEsol)\(^8\) for the exchange-correlation energy and scalar-relativistic Troullier-Martins pseudopotentials\(^9\) with a plane wave cutoff energy of 20 Ry. Periodic boundary conditions were used, with a single point \((k=0)\) in the Brillouin zone of the cubic unit cell, and the temperature was controlled by a Nosé-Hoover thermostat.
The initial structures of all simulations were based on the amorphous GST-225 structure of Ref. 3, which agreed well with experimental XRD and XPS measurements. Seven simulations were performed: three with a fixed crystalline seed in the centre of the simulation cell (“fixed-seed runs”)\(^{10}\), one with an unconstrained seed (run\(_0\)), and three without a seed (run\(_1\), run\(_2\), run\(_3\)). The “seeded” starting structure incorporated a \(4 \times 4 \times 4\) crystallite (13 Ge, 13 Sb, 32 Te atoms, 6 vacancies, rock salt structure with lattice constant 3.0 Å) into the amorphous structure\(^3\). The crystallite had the rock salt structure that is often assumed for GST-225, with Te atoms on one sublattice, and randomly distributed Ge, Sb, and vacancies on the other\(^{11}\). The overlapping atoms were removed, and the structure was relaxed with the atoms of the seed fixed throughout.

The initial structure for run\(_1\) was the original amorphous structure, and those for run\(_2\) and run\(_3\) were derived from this by running 500 MD steps with velocity scaling for run\(_2\), and another 500 steps for run\(_3\). The fixed seed runs were performed at 500, 600, and 700 K\(^{10}\), while all other simulations were at 600 K. The simulation box was adjusted to the change in density (\(\sim 7\)% between the amorphous and crystalline densities by reducing the starting box size (24.629 Å) in five steps of 0.114 Å to the final size (24.06 Å), following the number of crystalline atoms.

The order in the sample was studied using several measures: First, “crystalline” atoms were identified with the aid of the order parameter of Steinhardt, Nelson, and Ronchetti\(^{12}\), which has proved to be of value in discussing bond orientation order in disordered systems:

\[
\hat{Q}_l (i) = \sqrt{\frac{4\pi}{2l+1} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} \left| \hat{Q}_{lm} (i) \right|^2}, \tag{1}
\]

where

\[
\hat{Q}_{lm} (i) = \frac{1}{N_b (i)} \sum_{k=0}^{N_b (i)} Q_{lm} (k), \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{lm} (i) = \frac{1}{N (i)} \sum_{j=1}^{N (i)} Y_{lm} (\vec{r}_{ij}). \tag{2}
\]

\(N (i)\) is the number of neighbours for atom \(i\), \(N_b (i)\) includes the atom \(i\) and its neighbours, and \(Y_{lm} (\vec{r}_{ij})\) are spherical harmonics. The first non-zero value of \(\hat{Q}_4\) for cubic structures is for \(\ell = 4\), and we define “crystalline” atom to be those for which \(\hat{Q}_4 \geq 0.6\).

Second, the clustering of such atoms allows us to analyse the individual structures for “percolation”. If we assume a maximum bond length 3.2 Å, a cluster “percolates” if there is a path connecting an atom to its replica in the neighbouring unit cell. We have also studied the changes that occur in the (partial) pair distribution functions, the numbers of “wrong bonds” and ABAB squares, the electronic density of states, and the mean square displacement of the atoms.

### 3 Results and Discussion

The fixed seed simulations at 600 and 700 K crystallised within 600 ps\(^{10}\), and run\(_0\) crystallised fully within 1.2 ns. The fixed seed run at 500 K showed signs of crystal growth but crystallisation was not complete within 600 ps. Simulations run\(_1\)-run\(_3\) showed nucleation, and varying degrees of crystal growth within 4 ns with the degree of crystallinity being more than 50% in run\(_1\) and run\(_2\), and less than 50% in run\(_3\) at that time. More details are provided in Ref. 13.
Figure 2. Three simulations (run 1 − run 3) starting from the amorphous structure of Ref. 3. (a-c) Percolation in x-, y-, and z-directions. Black: fraction of percolating frames in 1 ps windows; coloured background: percolating frames; (d) size of largest cluster; and (e) total energy (normalised for box size). Red: run 1, purple: run 2, blue: run 3.
The early nuclei in runs0-3 differ significantly in size and shape. The initial nucleus in run0 grows steadily until crystallisation is complete, while runs1-3 have subcritical nuclei that fluctuate between 10-50 atoms. The shapes of the nuclei are often stringlike chains of crystalline atoms and isolated ABAB-squares, but more spherical fused blocks of ABAB-cubes (complete or incomplete) are also common. The shapes of the nuclei can differ from the classical nucleation theory picture, and the motifs common in them are AB-alternation and bond angles close to 90 degrees.

In Fig. 2 we plot the crystallisation and percolation properties, as well as the total energies, of runs1-3. Percolation is found in runs0-3 well before the rapid crystallisation phase and can occur with as little as 20% crystallinity. In all these simulations, percolation is initially intermittent in one direction. Sometimes it proceeds along another direction, but in all cases it is more constant later, and extends to two and three dimensions. The rapid crystallisation phase happens during three-dimensional constant percolation in run0, and

![Figure 3. Evolution of the three largest crystalline nuclei of run1 as a function of time. Red: largest cluster; blue: second largest; purple: third largest. Also shown are the largest crystalline nuclei of run1 when the size of the largest cluster had a maximum. After ~ 2 ns, the two largest clusters (red, blue) have a fluctuating interface that affects the cluster labelling.](image-url)
during two-dimensional constant percolation in run1 and run2. In run3 there is constant percolation in one direction after 2.5 ns, and in two directions just before 4 ns.

The evolution of the size of the crystalline nuclei in run1 is shown in Fig. 3. The crystal growth rate is low until the critical nucleus size is reached, and then increases until the fraction of crystalline atoms reaches 30-50%. The crystallisation rate in run2 during the percolating phase is of the order of 1 m/s, which is less than recently measured with differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) at 600 K (2.5-3.0 m/s)\textsuperscript{14}. After this stage, the structure collapses rapidly until all atoms are crystalline. There is one main crystallite in run2, and crystallisation proceeds to completion. In the case of multiple crystallites where none is dominant in size (as in run1), crystallisation is incomplete.

Crystallisation takes place within a few nanoseconds. In run0 there appears to be memory of the ordered seed, since the distribution of bond directions in the simulation cell was higher along the simulation cell axes. The time required for the onset of crystallisation is also lower than in runs1-3, and the rate of crystallisation after onset is higher. Full crystallinity occurred after 1.2 ns in run0. After this time, run1 was at 25%, run2 at 15%, and run3 at 20% crystallinity and there was no percolation in any of the three. In all simulations that crystallised fully, the final structures have “wrong” bonds that do not exist in the undistorted Yamada model\textsuperscript{11}. The number of wrong bonds is highest in the amorphous structures, and decreases during crystallisation. Nevertheless, the final structures have 0.1 – 0.3 wrong bonds/atom.

4 Concluding Remarks

Crystallisation occurs in most disordered systems on time scales that are far beyond the scope of density functional based calculations. Phase change materials used as optical storage and computer memory are exceptional in that the time scale (some nanoseconds) is accessible with modern supercomputers, and the results could provide insight into crystallisation in general. Nevertheless, DF/MD simulations on a nanosecond time scale with adequate sample sizes remain a great computational challenge. Here we have studied the early stages of crystallisation in GST-225 using samples with 460 atoms over simulation times of over 4 ns. In some cases, crystallisation has been promoted by inserting a small crystallite in the cell, and we have been able to follow the process in detail in all cases. The crystalline structures contain many “wrong bonds”, and Te atoms are located on both rock salt sublattices. The commonly accepted picture of a structure with a perfect Te sublattice and random occupancy of Ge, Sb, and vacancies on the other is a substantial oversimplification.

The memory of the order in run0 remained after the seed was no longer evident, and the acceleration of crystallisation in an amorphous bit with a crystalline history should be a consideration in the design of future memory cells. The speed of crystallisation found in run0 and the alignment of the final structure along the axes of the simulation cell were not found in simulations runs1-3. The starting configuration of the latter in each case was the amorphous structure found in Ref. 3, the only differences being in the initial velocity distributions at 600 K. These apparently small differences lead to large differences in the crystallisation process. Nevertheless, they involve many more atoms and much longer times than all previous DF studies of the process, and they raise questions about the findings of most, in particular those of a recent study\textsuperscript{6} that indicated that all cavities segregate...
to the amorphous-crystalline boundary, leaving a cavity-free crystal. There is no evidence for this mechanism in any of our simulations.

The simulation trajectories show crystallisation directions that are unrelated to the axes of the simulation cell, and they show subcritical phases with ordered clusters of 10-50 atoms prior to the onset of crystallisation. Although the onsets differed, the speed of crystallisation from the subcritical phases is similar in all cases, and two of the simulations show multiple clusters and “polycrystalline” final structures. The final structure in all cases show the existence of low-frequency, localised vibrational modes that are not present in the original amorphous structures. Percolation initiates the rapid phase of crystallisation and is coupled to the directional p-type bonding in metastable GST-225. The apparent acceleration of crystallisation in a sample with an ordered history could lead to improved optical storage media.

Each simulation of 4 ns with a time step of ∼ 3 fs requires over 1.3 million self-consistent density functional calculations of energies and forces for a sample of 460 atoms. Even using a computer with the performance of JUQUEEN, this is a task that demands both great computing and human resources.
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