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ABSTRACT: We have studied by small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) the crystallization of syndiotactic polypropylene (sPP) homopolymer in dilute hydrocarbon solution in parallel with the self-assembling behavior of crystalline–amorphous block copolymers of (syndiotactic propylene)-block-poly(ethylene-co-propylene) [sPP–P(E-co-P)] and atactic–isotactic multiblock polypropylene copolymers (aPP–iPP). Homopolymers and diblock copolymers of various molecular weights and volume fractions were investigated in decane over a wide temperature range (between 160 and −20 °C) while the temperature evolution of the aPP–iPP aggregates was studied in dodecane starting from 175 °C. The homopolymer crystallizes by forming platelets of a thickness of about 60–85 Å, independent of the molecular weight or polymer volume fraction in solution. The diblock copolymers aggregate by forming a complex morphology that shows a multilevel structure. This was investigated with SANS and ultra-SANS (USANS) over 3 orders of magnitude in size scale. At small scale (tens of angstroms) an overall 2-dimensional structure seems to be formed. It evolves at intermediate scale (hundreds of angstroms) into a rodlike structure. The rods associate in bundles that at a micrometric scale form supramolecular structures like networks. Such an association could be promoted by the PE sequences present within the P(E-co-P) block. The multiblock copolymer forms very open rodlike aggregates and demonstrates that the general morphology of self-assembling materials with multiple alternating crystalline–amorphous blocks appears to be 1-dimensional.

1. Introduction

The self-assembly of crystalline–amorphous poly(co-olefins) in dilute solution has been shown via small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) to yield either plate-like or needle-like aggregates. The resultant supramolecular structures are dependent upon the copolymer architecture. The crystalline–amorphous diblock copolymers yield self-assembled hairy plates while the corresponding random copolymers yield one-dimensional needle-shaped aggregates. Such polymers have demonstrated that they can serve as potent “pour point” depressants in refined fuels or high wax containing crude oils. Homopolymers and diblock copolymers of various molecular weights and volume fractions were investigated in decane over a wide temperature range (between 160 and −20 °C) while the temperature evolution of the aPP–iPP aggregates was studied in dodecane starting from 175 °C. The homopolymer crystallizes by forming platelets of a thickness of about 60–85 Å, independent of the molecular weight or polymer volume fraction in solution. The diblock copolymers aggregate by forming a complex morphology that shows a multilevel structure. This was investigated with SANS and ultra-SANS (USANS) over 3 orders of magnitude in size scale. At small scale (tens of angstroms) an overall 2-dimensional structure seems to be formed. It evolves at intermediate scale (hundreds of angstroms) into a rodlike structure. The rods associate in bundles that at a micrometric scale form supramolecular structures like networks. Such an association could be promoted by the PE sequences present within the P(E-co-P) block. The multiblock copolymer forms very open rodlike aggregates and demonstrates that the general morphology of self-assembling materials with multiple alternating crystalline–amorphous blocks appears to be 1-dimensional.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Samples. The syndiotactic polypropylene homopolymer, sPP, and the crystalline–amorphous diblock polymers, sPP–P(E-co-P), were prepared via a living polymerization in toluene at 0 °C using a bis(salicylaldiminato)titanium complex. All air- and moisture-sensitive chemistry was carried out in a Braun Labmaster drybox or using standard Schlenk line techniques. The solvents were dried on solvent columns containing molecular sieves, alumina, and activated copper. Propylene (Matheson, Polymer Grade) was purified by a column containing molecular sieves and alumina. Ethylene (Matheson, Polymer Grade) was used as received. Methylaluminoxane (MAO-IP, 12.9 wt % Al in toluene, Akzo Nobel) was dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 24 h. The alternating aPP/iPP multisegment polypropylene was made with a hafnium tert-butyl catalyst with the structure [(2-(3,5-(t-Bu)2Ph)Ind)2HfCl4]. The polymerization was performed in liquid propylene under pressure at 50 °C using MAO with [Al/Mf] = 1000. The polymer 1H NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 500 MHz spectrometer and referenced to nondeuterated propylene.
solvent shifts. The preliminary molecular weights (M_w and M_n) and polydispersity indices (M_w/M_n) were measured by a Waters Alliance GPCV 2000 size exclusion chromatograph (SEC). The SEC columns (four Waters HT 6E and one Waters HT 2) were eluted with 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene containing 0.01 wt % di-tert-butylhydroxytoluene (BHT) at 1.0 mL/min at 140 °C. DSC analysis was performed on a TA Instruments Q1000 equipped with a liquid nitrogen cooling system and automated sampler. Typical DSC experiments were made in crimped aluminum pans under nitrogen with a heating rate of 10 °C/min from 50 to +200 °C. The reported DSC data from the second heating run were processed with the TA Q Series software. The T_g values of the amorphous P(E-co-P) segments were around ~59 °C.

The sPP in the bulk crystalline state assumes a helical chain conformation containing alternating dimer “trans-gauche” conformers. Figure 1 shows the two stereochemical configurations of polypropylene. The morphology of sPP when formed around 148 °C, a very wide temperature range was investigated, between the single coil (at 160 °C) and aggregation regime (below 40 °C). For the multiblock material the upper limit of the temperature investigation was even higher (175 °C). Generally, the lowest limit of the measurement was established at 0 °C although the diblock copolymer solutions have been investigated to ~20 °C. The gap between the melting point and the self-assembly event in solution is quite large (more than 100 °C for some of the investigated polymers), particularly when compared to that of high-density polyethylene where the corresponding temperature gap is ~30 °C. An additional point of distinction is that the sPP crystallites remain suspended in solution, and the samples do not show any sedimentation down to at least ~20 °C while polyethylene precipitates from solutions as soon as crystallization sets in (ca. 30 °C below the melting point). This failure of the sPP aggregates to precipitate appears to facilitate the preparation of the near-monodisperse molecular weight distributions from the living catalytic system.

The majority of the SANS measurements were done at the KWS I instrument at the FRJ-2 research reactor (I Úlich). The data were corrected for the scattering from empty cell and solvent and then calibrated in absolute units by using a Lupolen secondary standard according to

$$\frac{d\Sigma}{dQ} = L^2 T^2 MON^2(Q)(d\Sigma(0))^2 \frac{dQ}{d\Sigma}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)$$

Here S refers to the standard, MON denotes the total amount of monitor counts, h is the sample thickness, L is the sample-to-detector distance, and T is the transmission. I(Q) is the scattered intensity, and I(0) is the measured intensity of the standard at Q = 0. The value of d2(0)/d3 for the Lupolen standard has been calibrated with vanadium to be 1.78155 cm⁻¹.

The measurements were typically done by using a neutron wavelength of 7 Å with three detector-to-sample distances. Hence, the Q range between 0.002 and 0.14 Å⁻¹ was accessible. The SANS patterns taken at different detector positions were always fitted together. Thus, the measurements were done on solution of the same concentration. For some samples, whose structural features require a lower Q range (to 0.001 Å⁻¹), additional measurements were performed with a neutron wavelength of 12 Å (see Table 1). For the case of the higher molecular weight diblock copolymer (38.4K/106.6K) one measurement was done at the KWSII ultra-SANS (USANS) instrument at room temperature to “increase” the Q range to 0.0001 Å⁻¹. This permitted access to the power law behavior characteristic of aggregate structures having large length scales. The principle of this instrument is based on a production of a one-to-one image of an entrance aperture on a detector by neutrons passing through a double-focusing ellipsoidal mirror. The entrance slit is placed in one focus of the mirror while the detector occupies the other. Combining the scattering patterns obtained at these two instruments, data were obtained on the multilevel morphology of aggregates formed by the sPP-(E-co-P) copolymers in solution. Structures of size

![Figure 1. Stereochemical configurations of polypropylene.](image)

### Table 1. Polyolefin Molecular Characteristics and SANS Experimental Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>M_w x 10^{-3} (g mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>M_w/M_n</th>
<th>mole fraction ethylene</th>
<th>T_m(°C)</th>
<th>T_g(°C)</th>
<th>(R_0/0) (Å)</th>
<th>Instrumental conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sPP-1</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>~148</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>KWS2, λ = 7 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPP-2</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>~148</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>KWS2, λ = 7 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPP-3</td>
<td>85.0</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>~148</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>KWS2, λ = 7 Å</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPP-(E-co-P)-1</td>
<td>22.3-47.0° (65.1)</td>
<td>0.190.267</td>
<td>135b</td>
<td>-34°</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>KWS2, λ = 7 Å</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sPP-(E-co-P)-2</td>
<td>38.4-106.6° (138.9)</td>
<td>0.111.12</td>
<td>131b</td>
<td>-45°</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>KWS2, λ = 7 Å</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aPP-iPP</td>
<td>107.0</td>
<td>~2</td>
<td>0.156</td>
<td>~0</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>KWS2, λ = 7 Å</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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- via SEC; - determined using 13C NMR; - measured by DSC; - via SANS; - via SEC for the sPP segment; - via SEC for the diblock P(E-co-P) segment; - The first number denotes the ethylene mole fraction for the entire diblock copolymer while the second number is the ethylene mole fraction for the P(E-co-P) segment; - sPP block; - P(E-co-P) segment.
scales between 10 and 10,000 Å could be semiquantitatively resolved.

Working with fully protonated polymers the maximum contrast and the minimum incoherent background were achieved by choosing a fully deuterated solvent (d-22). In the case of the diblocks, the scattering length densities for the materials composing the samples were \( \rho_{pp} = -0.33 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-2} \), \( \rho_{spp} = -0.31 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-2} \), and \( \rho_{spp} = 6.58 \times 10^{10} \) cm\(^{-2} \). Thus, both copolymer blocks are virtually matched with the only contrast occurring between them and the solvent.

2.3. Optical Microscopy. The sPP (61.4K) and sPP-P(E-co-P) (38.4K–106.6K) solutions in decane were examined by phase-contrast microscopy with a Zeiss Axiosplan 2 microscope. All observations were performed at room temperature after a prior heating of the samples to 160 °C followed by a slow cooling process spread over several hours. Micrographs of the structures and morphologies (formed by the crystallized or self-assembled materials) were captured by using a low light interacting camera. The images presented here were obtained at different magnifications. The scale bars are shown in the microscopy figures.

3. Theory

At high temperatures, the polymers completely dissolve in the alkane solvent and thus yield scattering characteristics typical of single coils. Upon a decrease in temperature, the crystallization process results in the formation of large objects with a characteristic morphol. The scattering from these objects is often characterized by a power law:13

\[
I(Q) \approx Q^{-\alpha}
\]

where the exponent \( \alpha \) reflects the spatial arrangements of the polymers. In the case of single coils, \( \alpha = 1/\nu \), where the Flory exponent assumes \( \nu = 5/3 \) for swollen and \( \nu = 1/2 \) for Gaussian chains. Beaucage has proposed a general form for the cross section of a fractal object combining both the Guinier regime and the fractal regime in a smooth way.14 For polymer chains it reads

\[
\frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(Q) = G \exp(-Q^2 R_g^2/3) + B \{(\text{erf}(wQR_g^{1/2}))^2/Q\}^{1/2}
\]

with an \( Q^{-1/\nu} \) asymptote, a crossover length \( R_g \) (equal to the end-to-end distance of the chain), and \( w = 1.06 \). \( R_g \) thereby is the radius of gyration of the chain. The amplitudes \( G \) and \( B \) are related to each other: \( G = G_r R_g^{1/3} \Gamma(\nu) \) with \( \Gamma \) (the Gamma function). \( G \) is the classical Guinier prefactor:

\[
G = \Phi(1 - \Phi)V_w(\rho_p - \rho_s)^2
\]

where \( V_w \) is the chain volume.

The objects resulting from the aggregation process may assume different shapes like rods, platelets, fractal structures, and so on. The scattering from a rodlike structure is characterized by an exponent \( \alpha = 1 \) in eq 2. Using the Guinier approximation, the cross section for such aggregates has the form

\[
\frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(Q) = \Phi_{rod}(1 - \Phi_{rod}) x F_{rod} \rho_{rod}^2 \exp(-a^2 Q^2/4)/Q
\]

where \( F_{rod} = \pi a^2 \) is the perpendicular area of the rod, \( a \) is the rod radius, \( \Phi_{rod} \) is the volume fraction of rods, and \( \rho_{rod} \) is the contrast between the rod region and the solvent. The scattering of platelets with constant thickness leads to a \( Q^{-2} \) power law. Here, the Guinier approximation has the following form:

\[
\frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(Q) = \Phi_{pl}(1 - \Phi_{pl})2\pi d\Delta \rho_{pl}^2 \exp(-d^2 Q^2/12)/Q^2 \quad (6)
\]

where \( d \) is the plate thickness, \( \Phi_{pl} \) is the volume fraction of the plates, and \( \Delta \rho_{pl} \) is the contrast between the plate region and the solvent. Aggregates representing 3-dimensional large objects with sharp interfaces and \( QR_g \gg 1 \) give rise to Porod scattering:

\[
\frac{d\Sigma}{d\Omega}(Q) = \Phi_{agg} 2\pi \rho_{agg}^2 S_v^4 Q^{-4} = P_d Q^{-4} \quad (7)
\]

where \( S_v \) is the surface, \( V \) is the volume, and \( \Phi_{agg} \) is the volume fraction of the aggregates. Exponents \( \alpha < 3 \) and \( 3 < \alpha < 4 \) in eq 2 relate to mass or surface fractals, respectively, while \( \alpha > 4 \) is characteristic for diffuse interfaces.

It is known3,4,15 that block copolymers having A–B crystalline–amorphous segments show a domain morphology consisting of alternating crystalline and amorphous regions. Thus, for such systems in the aggregation regime the scattering intensity may be described in terms of partial structure factors \( P_{ij}(Q) \) according to

\[
I(Q) = (\rho_s - \rho_p)^2 P_{AA}(Q) + 2(\rho_s - \rho_p)(\rho_p - \rho_s)P_{AB}(Q) + (\rho_p - \rho_s)^2 P_{BB}(Q) \quad (8)
\]

When both A and B blocks are visible, then the measured scattering patterns provide only information about the conformation of the copolymer as a whole while the particular structure of each block cannot be resolved. For such a purpose partially deuterated copolymers are needed to match one block with the solvent and to keep the other one visible.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. sPP Homopolymers. Figure 2 displays scattering profiles measured at different temperatures from the 0.5% solution of sPP-2 in d-22. The power law exponent specific for the characteristic structures formed by the polymer is also indicated. At 160 °C all polymers are dissolved as single coils. The single-chain regime extends over a wide temperature range. The sPP homopolymers show a weak tendency to self-assemble at around 60 °C. However, the process is prominently developed below this temperature. At 40 °C the scat-
The analysis of the single-chain properties from the data has been performed in terms of the Zimm approximation.
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with a = 14.5 Å, b = 11.2 Å, and c = 7.4 Å, and for the stable, high-temperature grown crystals (around 130 °C), the chains are fully antichirally packed along both the a and b axes (strict alternation of right- and left-handed helices). In decreasing the crystallization temperature, a structural disorder becomes more prominent. This produces a shift of sPP layers and errors in the alternation of helical hands in the bc plane and thus a progressive incorporation of isochiral packing defects.20 Lamellae of a thickness between 60 and 200 Å showing a smooth and regular faceting are obtained with preferred growth along the crystallographic b-axis. The sPP lamellae are made by chain folding and show amorphous surfaces containing loops and folds. The preferential growth direction is along the crystallographic b-axis with loops at the lamella face preferentially pointing in the same direction. Possibly this preferred growth together with the evolving loop structure prevents growth into the third dimension and may explain the formation of extended isolated platelets from dilute solutions and the lack of sedimentation observed in our samples. On the other hand, despite the well-known structural features of the sPP crystals grown from melt, the tendency of different Mw sPP polymers to form in solution lamellae of the same thickness are not yet understood. A study of the chain folding behavior and the thermodynamics and kinetics of platelet formation may answer this question.

Figure 5 shows micrographs collected at room temperature from a solution of 0.5% sPP-2 polymer in decane. Open structure aggregates with sizes between 5 and 15 μm made by radially disposed smaller entities can be observed. The spherulitic morphology is formed by the radiating lamellae as reported for the typical crystallization from the melt.10 In this case the polymeric platelets remain well-defined at smaller scale but associate in larger open structures which may give rise to an increase of the scattering intensity at much lower Q. From the SANS results we may conclude that the average distance between plates needs to be larger than dmin = 2π/Qmin = 3000 Å. Though the size scales are much larger than those of the platelets identified by SANS, these aggregates support the notion of platelet association and confirm the absence of polymer growth into massive 3d crystals characteristic of polyethylene.

4.2. sPP–P(E-co-P) Diblock Copolymers. The scattering profiles measured at different temperatures from the 0.5% polymer solution sPP–P(E-co-P)-1 are displayed in Figure 6a. The power law exponents specific for different characteristic structures are indicated. At 160 °C all polymers are dissolved as single coils, and this regime extends over a very wide temperature range, until somewhere below room temperature. The Zimm analysis of the single-chain properties provides a value of 108 Å for the radius of gyration Rg. The forward scattering yields the diblock molecular weight of 65.1 × 10^3 g mol⁻¹, in good agreement with that from SEC (see Table 1).

Within the aggregation regime the scattering patterns indicate a complex morphology of the self-assembled objects exhibiting a multilevel structure (at least two levels). At intermediate Q the power law behavior of Q⁻² was tentatively identified. The power law may relate to a platelet-like substructure of the larger aggregates. However, because of the narrow Q range over which the Q⁻² gradient holds and because of the superposition of different signals in this Q range, the interpretation is still at a level of a supposition, and a Guinier analysis in terms of eq 6 would provide a suspect result. As one can see in eq 8, when both A and B components (or blocks) are visible, the scattering intensity is a result of all three terms from the right-hand section. Since the s-PP block self-assembles in decane, while P(E-co-P) does not, different form factors and hence different architectures are seemingly involved. For a clear identification of the PP and P(E-co-P) distribution within the aggregates partially deuterated diblocks are needed.

At low Q the power law (Q⁻¹) indicates larger objects with a rodlike shape. Since the power-law regime extends to the lowest Q values, their size cannot be evaluated. The intensity drop at higher Q is too sharp to be explained by a rod form factor. Therefore, we may assume the presence of some extra intensity due to weak correlations between the aggregates. The lateral dimension of these platelet aggregates, which in this case is buried by the correlation effect, may be accessed from measurements of solutions with much smaller polymer concentrations. Also, the evolution of the correlation peak may be followed by studying higher polymer concentrations. Figure 6b presents a summary of the scattering patterns collected at −20 °C for different polymer volume fractions. Combining measurements with neutron wavelengths of 7 and 12 Å enlarged the available Q range. At the lowest polymer concentration (Φpol = 0.1%) the 1-d characteristics and the rod-Guinier regime are clearly revealed while the peak evolution is easily observed for the higher polymer contents (Φpol ≥ 1%). Analyzing the lowest Φpol data in terms of the 1-d
Guinier approximation (eq 6), a rod radius \( a = 220 \, \text{Å} \) and a forward scattering \( Qd\xi(0)/d\Omega = 0.14 \, \text{cm}^{-1} \, \text{Å}^{-1} \) were evaluated (Figure 7).

To obtain information about the polymer density inside the rods, the forward scattering may be interpreted in a similar way as it was done in the case of 1-d aggregates formed by the PEB-11 random copolymer in decane.\(^2\) We note that since no independent information on the polymer volume fractions within the rod and in the remaining solution is available, only functional relations may be given. We, thus, have to distinguish between polymers in the aggregates and those remaining in solution:

\[
\Phi_{\text{pol}} = \Phi_{\text{pol}}^{agg}\Phi_{\text{rod}} + \Phi_{\text{pol}}^{sol}(1 - \Phi_{\text{rod}}) \tag{10}
\]

\( \Phi_{\text{pol}}^{agg} \) is the polymer volume fraction in the rods, \( \Phi_{\text{rod}} \) is the volume fraction occupied by the rods, and \( \Phi_{\text{pol}}^{sol} \) is the volume fraction in the rod-free solution. According to eq 5, the forward scattering from aggregates is proportional to the perpendicular area of the aggregates \( F_{\text{rod}} \) and the contrast between the rod region and the solvent \((\Delta \Phi_{\text{rod}})^2\). The latter is a product between \( \Phi_{\text{pol}}^{agg} \) and \( \Phi_{\text{pol}}^{sol} \), the polymer contrast known from the molecular constitution, and \( \Delta \Phi \), the difference between the aggregated and free polymer volume fractions:

\[
\Delta \Phi = (\Phi_{\text{pol}}^{agg} - \Phi_{\text{pol}}^{sol}) - (\Phi_{\text{pol}} - \Phi_{\text{pol}}^{sol})/\Phi_{\text{rod}} \tag{11}
\]

Since both copolymer blocks exhibit the same solvent contrast, a rearrangement of eq 5 gives

\[
\Delta \Phi = \left(\sqrt{Qd\xi(0)/(\pi F_{\text{rod}}\Delta \Phi_{\text{pol}}^2)}\right)/\{\sqrt{\Phi_{\text{rod}}(1 - \Phi_{\text{rod}})}\} \tag{12}
\]

This is plotted in Figure 8a as a function of the aggregated fraction \( \Phi_{\text{rod}} \) together with \( \Delta \Phi \) obtained for different \( \Phi_{\text{pol}}^{agg} \) from eq 11.

Figure 8b shows the dependence of the polymer volume fractions in solution and in the rod structures, following from eqs 11 and 12. Depending on the rod
volume fraction, the polymer density within rods is very low. The maximum possible volume fraction of rods is a little higher than 2%—in this case all polymers would be concentrated within the rods ($\Phi_{pol} = 0$) and $\Phi_{pol} = 7\%$. The smallest rod volume fraction leads to a maximum polymer content of around 25%. These results show that the polymer rods are open objects. This conclusion is reasonable if we consider that the rods must be composed of compact sPP and swollen P(E-co-P) sequences.

From the analysis of the peak evolution in Figure 6 one can observe that its position changes only slightly with the pronounced increase (1–20%) of the polymer concentration. For monodisperse homogeneously distributed particles, $Q_{\max} \sim \phi^{1/3}$ or $\phi^{1/2}$ in the case of spherical or rodlike objects. The observed behavior does not fulfill any of these scaling relations. It may relate to a nonuniform density of rodlike objects in solution possibly due to a macroaggregation in clusters or bundles.

Figure 9 displays the scattering profiles measured at different temperatures from the 0.5% polymer solution of the higher molecular weight sPP–P(E-co-P)-2 sample. The patterns look quite similar to those from the lower $M_w$ copolymer with the only difference that the structural features are shifted in temperature and $Q$ range. Thus, for the higher $M_w$ copolymer the single-chain regime was found only at high $T$. The self-assembling event commences below 85 $^\circ$C. A Zimm analysis of the single-chain profile at 160 $^\circ$C provided a radius of gyration $R_g \approx 146$ Å and a molecular weight of 139 kg/mol, again in good agreement with the SEC value (see Table 1). The SANS results taken within the aggregation regime reveal structural features at much lower $Q$ than for the low-$M_w$ sample. Also, the size scales for the aggregates are significantly larger. The $Q^{-1}$ power law that is easily revealed in the previous case at low $Q$ is only hinted, while, at least for $T = 20 ^\circ$C, the $Q^{-2}$ power law extends over a wide intermediate $Q$ range.

To better reveal the specific features of the large-scale aggregates and to identify clearly the specific power laws by using incident neutrons at $\lambda = 12$ Å, we extended the $Q$ range down to $1 \times 10^{-3}$ Å$^{-1}$ (Figure 11). Scattering cross sections collected at $0 ^\circ$C for two copolymer volume fractions (0.5% and 0.1%) at low $Q$ clearly revealed a $Q^{-1}$ behavior and thus the presence of rods. In this case the perpendicular dimension of the rod could not be accessed even for a polymer concentration as low as 0.1%. It seems that weak correlation effects are present just within the $Q$ range where the Guinier regime is reached and give raise to a “shoulder”-like structure at around $Q^* = (3.5–5) \times 10^{-3}$ Å$^{-1}$. This value corresponds to a correlation distance ($2\pi/Q^*$) of about 1500–1800 Å. Although the shoulder buries the form factor effect of the rod’s lateral size, we estimate from the deviation from $Q^{-1}$ behavior a rod radius of about 400 Å.

Figure 9. Scattering of sPP–P(E-co-P)-2 in decane ($\Phi_{pol} = 0.5\%$) at different temperatures.

Figure 10. Scattering cross sections from sPP–P(E-co-P)-2 diblock copolymer in d-22 for two polymer volume fractions at 0 $^\circ$C.

Figure 11. Micrographs of 0.5% solution of sPP–P(E-co-P)-2 in decane. The scale bar represents 2 $\mu$m (a) and 20 $\mu$m (b).
corresponding block as $L_d \approx N_{\text{PEP}}^{9/11}$. The sPP–P(E-co-P) diblocks are made from an sPP crystalline core and an amorphous P(E-co-P) brush. The molecular weights of the two P(E-co-P) blocks scale as $107/47 = 2.28$ (see Table 1) while the radii of the corresponding rods ($a_{\text{small}} = 220 \text{ Å}, a_{\text{large}} \approx 400 \text{ Å}$) lead to a relation of the brush sizes of $(400 - 30)/(200 - 30) \approx 1.95$ (30 Å is half the size of the sPP core), in reasonable agreement with the above scaling relation.

From the micrographs collected at room temperature from the pinhole USANS and the optical focusing SANS (see text), we may add that by a visual examination of the sample at room temperature aggregates resembling snowflakes have been observed. The morphology at the local scale could not be exactly resolved by using the present fully protonated copolymers. To distinguish separately the conformation of both blocks inside the aggregates will be a matter of future studies involving partially deuterated diblock copolymers.

From a comparison with the well-known morphology of the polyethylene–poly(ethylene–propylene) (PE–PEP) diblock aggregates in decane, we may conclude that the present propylene-containing copolymers behave differently. For PE–PEP the hairy platelet morphology was well established at the local scale: a PE crystalline core surrounded on both sides by amorphous PEP brushes. For higher $M_w$ copolymers highly extended hairy platelets (tens of thousands of angstroms) were revealed. They assemble in stacks due to van der Waals interaction which in this case of large flat surfaces provide a significant attraction between platelets. The platelet stacks at a larger scale show a 1-d morphology. For lower $M_w$ copolymers no stacking effect was observed, and accordingly, much smaller single platelets have been seen.

In the case of the sPP–P(E-co-P) copolymer the self-assembling mechanism appears to be different. The rods display diameters of many hundreds of angstroms diameter (440 and about 800 Å for the low- and high-$M_w$ copolymers, respectively). Thus, their inner morphology cannot be that of stacked hairy platelets because for the attractive van der Waals interaction to become effective would require much more extended platelets. More likely, the sPP–P(E-co-P) rodlike objects are similar to the columnar supramolecular architectures formed by the rod–coil block systems (e.g., like those consisting of a molecular rod and a poly(ethylene oxide) coil). Figure 13 displays a schematic representation of such a morphology with an inner crystalline sheetlike rod made by sPP surrounded by amorphous brushes made by PEP. This configuration agrees with the absence of any correlation peak within the intermediate and high $Q$ range as in the case of platelets stack and also justifies the $Q^{-2}$ behavior of the scattering patterns observed in this range because at local scale a hairy platelet-like morphology is reflected. A valida-
tion of such a model at the first-level structure may be easily done by studying copolymers made by protonated sPP and deuterated P(E-co-P) blocks where the amorphous part will be matched with the solvent and the crystalline one is kept visible.

Concerning the self-assembling behavior revealed by the two sPP-P(E-co-P) copolymers investigated here, again some questions arise: (i) What is the self-assembling mechanism behind the observed structures? (ii) What is the reason for the very different self-assembling temperatures for the copolymers with different molecular weight? (iii) Why is there no relation to the crystallization properties of pure sPP? Again the answer to these questions relates them with each other. The aggregation behavior of the two diblocks seems to be initiated by different mechanisms. The self-assembling of the higher and lower M\(_w\) copolymer occurs at higher and much lower temperatures than the crystallization of sPP, respectively, and it does not follow the M\(_w\) independence of the sPP homopolymers. Thus, a rationalization must refer to the effect of the P(E-co-P) blocks.

The synthesis of the P(E-co-P) block occurs by adding ethylene to the propylene solution used for building up the initial sPP segment. In this system the headgroup reactivity ratios are preferential toward ethylene. Thus, to incorporate a significant amount of propylene, reaction conditions must be adjusted, i.e., the propylene pressure. Small deviations from ideal reaction conditions as well as spatial heterogeneities in the reaction vessels could lead to the formation of prolonged ethylene units (20 or more backbone methylene carbons lead to crystallization\(^{30}\)). With higher molecular weight, and consequently higher solution viscosity, the occurrence of extended ethylene sections would seem to become more likely (stirring conditions and related effects). These PE segments will cause chain aggregation at elevated temperature and thus give rise to an increased scattering intensity at temperatures higher than the crystallization point of sPP. Small crystallites will be formed that link together the block copolymer chains that will, in a second self-assembly step, form the aggregates discussed above. In such a case, independent of concentration, bundles of rods will be observed. This could be the case for the higher molecular weight copolymer.

On the other hand, the lower molecular weight copolymer undergoes aggregation below room temperature and provides qualitatively the same scattering patterns as the higher molecular weight self-assembled copolymer structure. This leads to the conclusion that in both cases hairy rods are formed by the self-assembling mechanism of the crystalline–amorphous diblocks. However, only in the case of the higher molecular weight sample does the self-assembly of rods in bundles occur. As noted, this could be due the presence of extended PE sequences in the P(E-co-P) block. Bundles of rods are also formed in the case of the lower molecular weight copolymer but only at higher polymer concentrations and at low temperatures.

**4.3. iPP–aPP Multiblock Copolymers.** Figure 14 displays the cross sections of a 0.5% dodecane solution of the aPP–iPP multiblock copolymer with a regular alternation of aPP and iPP segments at different temperatures between the single coil and aggregation regimes. The single-chain regime extends over a wide temperature range until the aggregation event starts somewhere between 110 and 85 °C. The Zimm analysis provides a radius of gyration R\(_g\) = 156 Å and a “forward” scattering \(d\Sigma/dQ(0) = 4.9 \text{ cm}^{-1}\). This corresponds to a molecular weight of \(107.7 \times 10^3 \text{ g mol}^{-1}\).

The scattering patterns collected at temperatures within the aggregation regime indicate a gradual self-assembling process with decreasing temperature and again a formation of 1-d objects (Q\(^{-1}\) power law behavior). At high Q, though the polymer chains are aggregating, the intensity profile remains constant and always displays the same Q\(^{-5/3}\) behavior characteristic for swollen chains.

Qualitatively, the scattering results resemble those of the self-assembling of PEB-11 random ethylene–butene copolymers in decane.\(^2\) In the case of those polymers with decreasing temperature the scattering intensity is increasing at low Q, where the scattering patterns are dominated by an extended range of a Q\(^{-1}\) power law. At high Q for all temperatures, a tendency toward a Q\(^{-5/3}\) asymptote was observed. The intensity level of this asymptote has little temperature dependence. It was concluded that though the polymers aggregate in rods these must be very open structures exhibiting single-chain structures at a local scale.

From the 1-d Guinier regime the lateral size of the rods was evaluated (1-d Guinier approximation, eq 4). Figure 15 presents the lower temperature data in such a plot. The evaluated rod radii range between 105 Å at 60 °C and 120 Å at 0 °C. Using the same approach as for the self-assembly of the sPP-PE/sP rods, the relation between rod volume fraction \(\phi_{\text{rod}}\) and polymer density inside rods \(\phi_{\text{rod}}^{(\text{ins})}\) was quantified at 0 °C. The maximum
possible volume fraction of the polymer inside rods is 32% while the minimum is 7.2%. In the latter case all polymers would be inside the rods which would occupy a maximum volume fraction of \( \phi_{\text{col}} = 8.2\% \).

The evolution of the scattering patterns with decreasing temperature indicates a saturation of the intensity level at low T. Therefore, at low temperature almost all polymers must be inside the aggregates. In that case, in analogy with Figure 8, the lowest polymer density inside the rods applies (7.2%) At a local scale this leads to the typical scattering behavior of polymer coils. From these results we may conclude that the aPP−iPP multiblock copolymer in dilute hydrocarbon solution displays similar self-assembling features like the PEB-n random copolymers for which the 1-d morphology is well established.\(^2,7\) Thus, the general morphology of self-assembling materials with multiple alternating crystalline and amorphous blocks appears to be rodlike instead of 2-dimensional. The latter are favored by the crystalline−amorphous diblock architectures.

5. Conclusion

Information on the self-assembly and crystallization of syndiotactic poly(propylene) homopolymer and two syndiotactic poly(propylene)−poly(ethylene−propylene) diblock copolymers of different molecular weights have been obtained by SANS. While the homopolymer crystallizes by forming plates with a thickness of about 60−65 Å, independent of molecular weight and concentration, the diblock copolymers show a more complex behavior, yielding very large one-dimensional aggregates with radii of about 440 and 800 Å for the lower and higher \( M_W \) materials, respectively. They contain substructures and associate by forming supramolecular structures at a larger scale. The self-assembling event is highly influenced by the presence of PE sequences within the P(E-co-P) block which promotes also the association in supramolecular structures like bundles and networks with sizes of tens of microns. The presently investigated diblocks display different self-assembled morphologies than the PE-based diblock copolymers where two-dimensional stacked aggregates were observed. Because only fully protonated copolymers were investigated, the conformation of blocks inside the aggregates could not be observed separately. Finally, the multiblock iPP−aPP copolymer forms one-dimensional aggregates and in dilute hydrocarbon solutions reveals a very similar self-assembling behavior as the low crystalline content PEB-n random copolymers. This demonstrates that the preferred self-assembled structure of crystalline−amorphous polymers is the 1-dimensional architecture.\(^2,6,7\)

The larger chain dimensions of the sPP-containing materials, compared to the corresponding iPP- and aPP-containing polymers, may lend sPP, P(E-co-P), and sPP−P(E-co-P) to applications as viscosity index modifiers of lubricating oils. The larger sPP chain dimensions should translate to a higher thickening efficiency than where isotactic or atactic formats predominate. Thus, for a given polymer concentration (where the molecular weights are identical) the syndiotactic material would be more effective at raising the solution viscosity than its atactic or isotactic counterparts. Also not to be overlooked is the potential for the dual function for wax crystal control in lube oils at low temperatures.
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