The spatial structure of dendritic macromolecules
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A low-resolution ab initio shape determination was performed from small-angle neutron and X-ray scattering (SANS and SAXS) curves from solutions of polycarbosilane dendrimers with the three-functional and the four-functional branching centre of the fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth generations. In all cases, anisometric dendrimer shapes were obtained. The overall shapes of the dendrimers with the three- and four-functional branching centres were oblate ellipsoids of revolution and triaxial ellipsoids, respectively. The restored bead models revealed a pronounced heterogeneity within the dendrimer structure. The density deficit was observed in the central part and close to the periphery of the dendrimers. The fraction of the overall volume of the dendrimers available for solvent penetration was about 0.2–0.3. These results may help in the design of new practical applications of dendrimer macromolecules.

1. Introduction

Detailed knowledge of the spatial structure of dendritic (tree-like, highly branched) macromolecules is important in order to design challenging new practical applications (Fréchet & Tomalia, 2001) based on the principles of host-guest and supramolecular chemistry. At present, no consensus model of dendritic structure formation is available (Ozerin et al., 2003). There are many unresolved problems in the structure determination of such nano-sized objects, even for the case of dendritic macromolecules of the regular chemical structure (dendrimers). The main reason is that the interpretation of the experimental results is highly dependent on the a priori model selected to represent the spatial structure. This is why, for example, the density distribution within the dendrimers of different generations and in particular of the dendrimer interactions in solution remain the subject of intensive investigations (Topp et al., 1999; Likos et al., 2001; Prosa et al., 2001; Ramzi et al., 2002; Mallamace et al., 2002). It is thus important to use approaches working with the experimental information ab initio (Ozerin et al., 2004) to build a model-independent picture of the internal dendrimer structure.

The present paper presents the results of the restoration of the low-resolution shape and internal structure of polycarbosilane dendrimers in solution from small-angle neutron scattering (SANS) and small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) data, made without a priori information on the dendrimer's structure (ab initio). A new model of the dendrimer spatial structure is proposed, taking into account the possibility of solvent-molecule penetration inside the dendrimer macromolecules.

2. Experimental

The synthesis and characterization of the polycarbosilane dendrimers has been described elsewhere (Ponomarenko et al., 1998; Tatarinova et al., 2004). The fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth generations (G4–G8) were investigated for the two types of dendrimers: (i) with the three-functional phenyl-substituted silicone core [G(3)5–G(3)7] and (ii) with the four-functional silicone core [G(4)4–G(4)8]. All of the above dendrimers were purified by a preparative SEC (size exclusion chromatography) device consisting of a isocratic high-pressure pump ‘Stayer’ (Acvilon), Phenogel 10 µm preparative columns, 30 × 21.2 mm, of 10 × 3 Å or 10 × 5 Å pore size (Phenomenex Ltd), and a RIDK-102 refractometer detector (Ecom). Tetrahydrofuran was used as eluent. Thus the chromatographic purity of all the samples under investigation was provided. The structure of the dendrimers for the (smaller than investigated) generations G(3)3 and G(4)3 is shown schematically in Fig. 1 in a two-dimensional representation, to give more insight into the spatial arrangement of the higher generations G4–G8 (the latter would be more difficult to draw in two dimensions).

The chemical compositions of the dendrimers could be realised from the molecular formulae and the molecular mass values shown in Table 1.

The bulk density of the dendrimers, measured by a bottle method, ranged from 0.88 g cm−3 to 0.91 g cm−3 (Kuklin et al., 2003).

The SANS experiments for the G(3)5–G(3)7 and G(4)5–G(4)7 dendrimers were performed at the YuMO instrument, equipped with a two-detector system (Kuklin et al., 2002), at the IBR-2 reactor of FLNPh JINR (Dubna). The time-of-flight...
counting method was used, with the first detector placed at a sample–detector distance of 5.28 m and the second one at 13.04 m to give the overall range of momentum transfer of $0.08 < s = 4\pi \lambda^{-1} \sin \theta < 4.26$ nm$^{-1}$. The averaged scattering patterns were corrected with respect to detector response, absorption, solvent scattering and instrumental background, and converted into the elastic scattering cross section on the absolute intensity scale $I(s)$ using vanadium as a standard.

The SANS measurements were performed with diluted solutions (1–2 wt%) of the dendrimers in benzene-$d_6$ at 298 K. The neutron scattering length density $\rho_1$ of the solvent was $5.44 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ (benzene-$d_6$). The neutron scattering length densities $\rho_0$ of the dendrimers calculated from their chemical structure and the bulk density values amounted to $-0.06 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ [G(3)5–G(3)7] and $-0.37 \times 10^{10}$ cm$^{-2}$ [G(4)4–G(4)8]. The solutions were placed into standard cuvettes (Hellma) with a thickness of 1 mm in the direction of the neutron beam.

In addition to the SANS measurements, SAXS measurements for the G(4)4 and G(4)8 dendrimers were made using a KRM-1 camera (Bourevestnik Inc.) with a slit collimation system (Cu $K\alpha$ radiation, Ni filter, scintillation counter), giving an overall range of momentum transfer of $0.07 < s = 4\pi \lambda^{-1} \sin \theta < 4.26$ nm$^{-1}$. The SAXS measurements were performed with diluted solutions (2 wt%) of the dendrimers in hexane at 298 K. The dilute solutions of the dendrimers in hexane were placed in glass capillaries (Hilgenberg GmbH) for SAXS measurements.

Prior to the analysis, the data were smoothed, corrected for absorption, solvent scattering, instrumental background and slit-length smearing, utilizing the program packages SYRENA and GNOM (Feigin & Svergun, 1987; Svergun, 1991, 1992). SANS and SAXS measurements are complementary to each other for the studied dendrimers, as was shown previously (Kuklin et al., 2003).

The influence of the interparticle interference was found to be negligible for the diluted (1–2 wt%) solutions of the studied dendrimers (Kuklin et al., 2002, 2003; Ozerin et al., 2004) and the coherent scattering intensity $I(s)$ was defined in this case mainly by the rotationally averaged scattering intensity from a single particle (Feigin & Svergun, 1987). The radius of gyration values, $R_g$, calculated with the program GNOM (Svergun, 1992) from the small-angle scattering (SAXS) data, are indicated in Table 1.

The programs PRIMUS (Konarev et al., 2003), GNOM (Svergun, 1991, 1992), MASHA (Konarev et al., 2001), SASHA (Svergun et al., 1996) and DAMMIN (Svergun, 1999) of the ATSAS2.0 program package (available from www.embl-hamburg.de/ExternalInfo/Research/Sax) were used for the data treatment and structure evaluation.

Table 1
Characteristics of the dendrimers.

$M$ = calculated molecular mass; $R_g$ = radius of gyration (nm) calculated with the program GNOM from SANS and SAXS; $a:b:c$ = semi-axes of the equivalent ellipsoid restored with the program SASHA; $V_{env}$ = volume of the equivalent ellipsoid (nm$^3$) restored with the program DAMMIN.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>$M$</th>
<th>$R_g$</th>
<th>$a:b:c$</th>
<th>$V_{env}$</th>
<th>$V_{DAM}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G(3)5</td>
<td>Si$<em>9$C$</em>{66}$H$_{132}$</td>
<td>11971</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.7:1.7:1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G(3)6</td>
<td>Si$<em>{150}$C$</em>{2338}$H$_{2666}$</td>
<td>24093</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2:1.8:1</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G(3)7</td>
<td>Si$<em>{355}$C$</em>{2063}$H$_{3554}$</td>
<td>48337</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.8:1.8:1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G(4)4</td>
<td>Si$<em>{6}$C$</em>{133}$H$_{1116}$</td>
<td>8796</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.4:1:1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G(4)5</td>
<td>Si$<em>{125}$C$</em>{2032}$H$_{4572}$</td>
<td>17904</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4:1:1</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G(4)6</td>
<td>Si$<em>{1255}$C$</em>{2035}$H$_{5752}$</td>
<td>36120</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.3:1:1</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G(4)7</td>
<td>Si$<em>{125}$C$</em>{630}$H$_{18396}$</td>
<td>72554</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.4:1:6:1</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G(4)8</td>
<td>Si$<em>{1122}$C$</em>{630}$H$_{18396}$</td>
<td>145421</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.3:1:6:1</td>
<td>207</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1
Schematic structure of G(3)3 (a) and G(4)3 (b) dendrimers in a two-dimensional representation.
The program PRIMUS performs manipulations with experimental SAS data files (such as averaging, subtraction, merging, extrapolation to zero concentration and curve fitting) and evaluates the integral parameters from Guinier and Porod plots (such as radius of gyration, Porod’s volume, zero intensity and molecular weight). The program GNOM is an indirect transform program for SAS data processing. The program SASHA implements an envelope determination technique. This program was run in a batch mode using the default parameters without symmetry restrictions (P1). For SASHA, the multipole resolution of $L = 3$ and $L = 4$ was used, where $L$ is the maximum order of the spherical harmonics. The Monte Carlo type simulated-annealing program DAMMIN was used to reconstruct the shapes of the dendrimers. The program was run in a batch mode using the default parameters. The shapes were reconstructed without symmetry (P1) and also with different symmetry restrictions (point groups P2, P22, P23, P3, P32, P422, P432). The DAMMIN calculations were made in a ‘fast’ (fewer beads) as well as in a ‘slow’ (more beads) mode. For automated analysis of independent DAMMIN reconstructions, the program package DAMAVER, based on the program SUPCOMB (Kozin & Svergun, 2001), was used. For each model body, at least ten independent DAMMIN reconstructions were analysed by DAMAVER. The programs MASSHA and RasMol (v.2.7.1.1; http://www.bernstein-plus-ons.com/software/Rasmol_2.7.1.1) were used for three-dimensional rendering and manipulation of the low-resolution models, represented as smooth envelopes or ensembles of beads.

The $\chi^2$ factor value was used to estimate goodness-of-fit of the experimental data against the scattering model intensity $I_{\text{cal}}$ by calculating

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{I(s_i) - I_{\text{cal}}(s_i)}{\Delta I(s_i)} \right]^2 \frac{1}{M - M_{\text{par}}} = \chi^2,$$

where $M$ is the number of points at which the experimental intensities $I(s_i)$ were measured with error values $\Delta I(s_i)$, and $M_{\text{par}}$ is the number of optimized parameters, including linear dimensions of the scattering particle, the coefficient for the background intensity subtraction and the adjustable scale factor.

3. Results and discussion

Fig. 2 represents the experimental SANS and SAXS curves for the dendrimers studied.

Only a few parameters of the scattering particle (radius of gyration, volume, maximum particle diameter) can be directly evaluated from the SANS and SAXS data. As a rule, further analysis by trial-and-error modelling requires a priori information and can by no means guarantee uniqueness. For example, the scattering curves from the model bodies in Fig. 2 [uniform ball and ‘core–shell’ model with the same value of $R_g = 2.2$ nm as for the $G^{(3)}7$ dendrimer] are different and reveal only the local approximation of the experimental SANS curve, while having the same $\chi^2$ factor. In turn, the calculated scattering curves from the system of polydisperse particles with the well known form factors (sphere, ellipsoid, prism) never fitted the experimental scattering curves in the region of the form-factor maxima in Fig. 2, even for the best fits. Taking into account that the polydispersity in the molecular weight of the studied dendrimers was adequately eliminated during the sample preparation (Tatarinova et al., 2004), further calculations and fits were made under the assumption of scattering from an ensemble of non-interacting (diluted) monodisperse particles. Recently, the modern ab initio shape determination methods in small-angle scattering were employed successfully in practice and checked on the uniqueness of shape determination (Volkov & Svergun, 2003). Starting with this approach, the method of angular envelope functions implemented in the computer program SASHA (Svergun et al., 1996) and a bead modelling method implemented in the ab initio Monte Carlo type simulated-annealing program DAMMIN (Svergun, 1999; Volkov & Svergun, 2003) were used for the purpose of dendrimer shape determination in this work.

The results of the shape determination for the entire set of studied dendrimers, which are consistent with the preliminary results for the $G^{(3)}7$ and $G^{(4)}7$ dendrimers published recently (Ozerin et al., 2004), are shown in Figs. 3 and 4.

All dendrimers were found to have essentially anisometric shapes by both ab initio programs, SASHA and DAMMIN
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SAS curves for $G^{(3)}5$ (1), $G^{(4)}6$ (2), $G^{(3)}7$ (3), $G^{(4)}4$ (4), $G^{(4)}5$ (5), $G^{(4)}6$ (6), $G^{(4)}7$ (7) and $G^{(4)}8$ (8) dendrimers in diluted solutions. (1)–(3) and (5)–(7): SANS; (4) and (8): SAXS. Solid lines represent the fits of SAS data by the scattering of the model bodies restored by the programs SASHA and DAMMIN. Dashed and dotted lines correspond to the scattering from a uniform ball ($R = 2.8$ nm) and from a ‘core–shell’ model ($R_1 = 0.8, R_2 = 2.8$ nm), respectively.
(except when running DAMMIN with symmetry $P432$; see below). This finding agrees with our earlier result (Kuklin et al., 2003). The shape of an oblate ellipsoid of revolution was revealed for the $G^{(3)}$ type of dendrimers and that of a triaxial ellipsoid for the $G^{(4)}$ type of dendrimers (see Figs. 3 and 4, and Table 1 for details). The bead models of the dendrimers in Figs. 3 and 4 allow direct determination of their maximal ($R_{\text{max}}$) and minimal ($R_{\text{min}}$) linear dimensions and calculation of the appropriate moments of inertia ($I_{\text{max}}$ and $I_{\text{min}}$). The calculated anisometric factors ($R_{\text{max}}/R_{\text{min}}$) and ($I_{\text{max}}/I_{\text{min}}$) are presented in Fig. 5.

The excluded particle volumes given by the program DAMMIN can be used for further validating the obtained models against the additional information. Fig. 6 displays the comparison of the restored excluded volume with the values calculated from the experimental bulk density and the molecular masses (Table 1) for the same dendrimers. As one can see from Fig. 6, the values provided by DAMMIN correlate well with the values predicted from the density measurements. This adds further credit to the models and numerical characteristics of the dendrimers reconstructed \textit{ab initio}.

It is worth mentioning here that all the studied dendrimers never reached their upper limiting generation number. The excluded volume values for all the dendrimers were well below the limiting volume of a ball with the radius equal to the length of a fully straightened dendrimer’s branch (see dotted line in Fig. 6).

**Figure 3**
Shape determination for $G^{(3)}$ dendrimers. From left to right: $G^{(3)}5$, $G^{(3)}6$, $G^{(3)}7$. From top to bottom: equatorial cross section, front view, side view (DAMMIN); shape envelope (SASHA).

**Figure 4**
Shape determination for $G^{(4)}$ dendrimers. From left to right: $G^{(4)}4$, $G^{(4)}5$, $G^{(4)}6$, $G^{(4)}7$ and $G^{(4)}8$. From top to bottom: equatorial cross section, front view, side view (DAMMIN); shape envelope (SASHA).
In an attempt to verify the anisometric shapes of the dendrimers, their shape reconstruction was performed imposing different symmetry restrictions on the possible model. In all cases that included the lack of symmetry (group $P1$), the reconstructed shapes were similarly anisometric, the only exception being the cubic group with the symmetry $P432$ [see results in Fig. 7 for the $G(4)^7$ dendrimer as an example].

In this special case, the calculated SAS curves fitted the experimental curves with the same accuracy as for the anisometric shapes. However, the dendrimer shape restored under the assumption of the symmetry $P432$ appears to be rather artificial and could hardly be rationalized in terms of a dendrimer assembly. Moreover, it contradicts the hydrodynamic behaviour of the dendrimers in solution (Scherenberg et al., 1998; Sagidullin et al., 2002), which is indicative of a compact (space-filling) structure and not of a loose permeable one. Given these data, the symmetric model in Fig. 7 can definitely be discarded. The possibility of building such a model compatible with the scattering data, is, however, an interesting example underlying the ambiguity of SAXS/SANS data interpretation and the necessity of accounting for additional information to reach unambiguous conclusions.

In the models generated, the interior of the dendrimers is potentially available for solvent molecule penetration (inclusion). Indeed, the overall envelope volumes of the equivalent ellipsoid (SASHA) exceeded the partial volumes of the bead-model body ($DAMMIN$) for all the dendrimers studied in this work (see Figs. 3 and 4, and Table 1). This leads to the conclusion that a fraction of about 0.2 of the overall volume for the $G^{(3)}$-type dendrimers and of about 0.3 for the $G^{(4)}$-type dendrimers is not filled with the beads, forming holes inside the dendrimer structure. This fraction of the overall volume is thus available for solvent penetration. Earlier, the value of 0.3–0.4 was estimated for the same parameter from the absolute intensity measurements of SANS and from the precise solution density measurements for $G^{(3)}$-type dendrimers studied in this work (Kuklin et al., 2002, 2003). Somewhat higher values of the same parameter (0.43–0.58) were calculated in the study of the effect of solvent quality on the molecular dimensions of PAMAM dendrimers (Topp et al., 1999), for the rather enlarged eighth generation of the PAMAM-type dendrimers.

The cross-section views of the models restored by $DAMMIN$ revealed a pronounced heterogeneity within the dendrimer structure (Figs. 3 and 4). The density deficit was observed in the central part and also close to the periphery of the $G^{(3)}$-type and the $G^{(4)}$-type structures. We therefore believe that the usual assumption of radial symmetry of the density distribution inside the dendrimer structure is a rather coarse approximation. Neglecting the angular dependence of the density distribution may lead to oversimplification of the results.

Nevertheless, it is interesting to see to what extent the heterogeneous shape of the dendrimers restored in this work correlates with the averaged characteristics reported for the dendrimer architecture earlier (while neglecting the angular dependence of the density distribution inside dendrimer).

The results in Fig. 8 present the averaged radial distribution functions $\rho(R)$ for the studied dendrimers calculated by direct counting of the beads inside the volume of an appropriate spherical layer for the model bodies in Figs. 3 and 4. One can recognize that the averaged radial density distribution functions in Fig. 8 are consistent with the results of the comprehensive investigation published elsewhere for the PAMAM-type dendrimers (Prosa et al., 2001). The revealed non-uniform radial density distribution (see curves 5–8 in Fig. 8), referred to as ‘erratic behaviour’ in the above-cited paper, appears to be a general feature of the dendrimers of different

---

**Figure 5**
Anisometry factor for $G^{(3)}(1, 2)$ and $G^{(4)}(3, 4)$ dendrimers of different generations found from $R_{\text{max}}/R_{\text{min}}$ (dashed lines) and $I_{\text{max}}/I_{\text{min}}$ (solid lines).

**Figure 6**
Dendrimer volume for $G^{(3)}(1, 1’)$ and $G^{(4)}(2, 2’)$ dendrimers of different generations found from bulk density measurements (1, 2) and $DAMMIN$ (1’, 2’). The upper curve (3) presents the limiting volume of a ball with a radius equal to a fully straightened dendrimer’s branch calculated from the known chemical structure (inset).

**Figure 7**
Shape determination for the $G^{(4)^7}$ dendrimer. From left to right: equatorial cross section, front view and diagonal view. Prepared using $DAMMIN$. Predefined symmetry $P432$. 

---

chemical structure. Besides, the density profile for the G(4)4
dendrimer (curve 4 in Fig. 8) has a Gaussian form similar to
that reported in another comprehensive study (Likos et al.,
2002). In our case, the Gaussian-like density distribution
profile is the simple consequence of the density distribution
averaging for the anisotropic spatial structure [G(4)4 in Fig. 4].

To extend our comparison, the correlation function of the
particle $\gamma(R)$ was determined by calculation of the averaged
self-convolutions of the $\rho(R)$ functions from Fig. 8,

$$
\gamma(R) = \langle \rho(R)\rho(-R) \rangle,
$$
as well as the pair distance distribution functions of the scattering
particles,

$$
\rho(R) = R^2 \gamma(R).
$$

The pair distance distribution functions, $\rho(R)$, of some of the
dendrimers are presented in Fig. 9.

It seems that the shape of the $\rho(R)$ functions in Fig. 9 is
consistent with the well known shape in the literature (Rebrov
et al., 1998; Prosa et al., 2001) for the other types of dendri-
mers. The bell-shaped $\rho(R)$ functions in Fig. 9 are typical for
uniform globular or ball-like scattering particles (Feigin &
Svergun, 1987). At the same time, one should recognize that
the $\rho(R)$ functions in Fig. 9 found for the heterogeneous model
bodies in Figs. 3 and 4 do not reflect the peculiarities of their
inner spatial structure. Thus, the conclusion of a ball-like view
of a single dendrimer in solution may be oversimplified. A
more complex dendrimer structure lacking the radial
symmetry gives more insight into the real dynamics and
potential practical applications of the dendrimer macro-
molecules.

It is evident that the simple model of radial growth of the
dendrimer branches cannot explain the observed anisometric
shape and heterogeneity of the dendrimers, both with three
and with four branches. At the same time, the peculiarities of
the dendrimer structure for the two cases can be easily
explained if one assumes the possibility of a branch director
divergence from the ideal radial direction. Such a divergence
looks like a spiralization of the dendrimer branches and can be
treated in common terms of a ‘persistent’ flexibility of
macromolecules. The scheme of such an arrangement has been
published elsewhere (Ozerin et al., 2004).

Of course, the restored shape of the dendrimer corresponds
to an averaged structure including possible fluctuations in
shape, in particular for the low dendrimer generations
(Harries et al., 2003; Ballauff & Likos, 2004). It is currently
difficult to involve the notion of fluctuations in SANS and
SAXS data treatment, as these computations are based on
molecular dynamics or Monte Carlo calculations and not on
real experiments on the dynamical behaviour of dendrimers
(such as spin-echo or dynamic light scattering measurements).
Regarding the question ‘to what extent could fluctuations or
sample heterogeneity influence the results’, one should note
that the ab initio SANS/SAXS models are low-resolution
models reflecting the (averaged) overall shape. Given the
resolution, the modelling employed does not require ideal
ordering (identity of the dendrimers at the atomic level) and
the models are thus fully compatible with the fluctuating
dendrimers. The ab initio dendrimer models presented here
are not ‘snapshots’ of the individual dendrimer structure (and
this is the limitation of the low-resolution SANS/SAXS
method), but emerge from the average over the ensemble. As
the present modelling does not employ a priori information, it
provides an unbiased picture of the overall organization of the
dendrimers, which, in particular, suggests a considerable non-
spHERicity of the dendrimer molecules.

The observed shape asymmetry of the fluctuating dendri-
mers could be readily rationalized by assuming that due to
specific interactions with solvent molecules the stretching
mode of a dendrimer’s branch deformation is not equivalent
to the reverse compressing mode (similar to the well known
anharmonicity in vibrational transitions). The equilibrium
point would then be shifted from a spherical symmetric model
to a non-symmetric one. Recent publications accounting for
explicit dendrimer–solvent interactions (Lin et al., 2005) yield
results on different dynamics of the buried solvent molecules
well inside of the dendrimer surface, and those well outside of
the dendrimer, giving the physical background to suppose
non-equivalence of the stretching and compressing deforma-
tion modes.
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**Figure 8**
Radial density distribution functions $\rho(R)$ calculated for the bead-model bodies in Figs. 3 and 4: $G(3)^{5}$ (1), $G(3)^{6}$ (2), $G(3)^{7}$ (3), $G(4)^{4}$ (4), $G(4)^{5}$ (5), $G(4)^{6}$ (6), $G(4)^{7}$ (7) and $G(4)^{8}$ (8). The horizontal dotted line represents the relative hexagonal packing density of the beads inside model bodies.
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**Figure 9**
Distance distribution functions $\rho(R)$ of the dendrimers: $G(3)^{5}$ (1), $G(3)^{7}$ (2), $G(4)^{5}$ (3), $G(4)^{7}$ (4).
In recent publications of Maiti et al. (2004, 2005), comprehensive molecular dynamics simulations were performed on the structure of PAMAM dendrimers for generations 1 to 11. The computed models display an overall shape and anisometry similar to ours. To make a more quantitative comparison, we have digitized the earlier published SAS data from G8 and G9 PAMAM dendrimers (Prosa et al., 1997; Nisato et al., 2000) and reconstructed the shapes \textit{ab initio} by the same procedure as described above for the polycarbosilane dendrimers. The shapes of the PAMAM dendrimers restored by \textit{DAMMIN} are compared with the instantaneous snapshots after long molecular dynamics simulations in Fig. 10. The snapshots, calculated as described by Maiti et al. (2004), were kindly provided by Dr P. K. Maiti.

The astonishingly good agreement between the independent theoretical and experimental models constitutes a strong argument in favour of the results presented here and further underlines the potential of \textit{ab initio} modelling as applied to the study of dendrimers.

4. Conclusion

In the present study, low-resolution shapes of polycarbosilane dendrimers with the three-functional and the four-functional branching centre reconstructed \textit{ab initio} from small-angle scattering data reveal anisometric structures permeable to the solvent.

The angular dependence of the mass distribution function inside the dendrimers appears therefore to be important in accounting for the earlier reported controversy between the dendrimer structure and dynamics observed by various experimental methods and numerical calculations. Until now, the experimental results were mostly interpreted assuming the dendrimer structure to be radially symmetric leading to either the so-called ‘dense-shell’ model or to the ‘dense-core’ or uniform model. These models, as suggested by our results, may be an oversimplification of the real situation.

The above measurements of the solvent amount located in a ‘confined geometry’ inside the dendrimer structure allow one to devise new experiments on practical applications of the dendrimers based on the principles of host–guest and supramolecular chemistry.
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