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ABSTRACT: The catalytic activity of Src-family kinases is regulated by association with its SH3 and SH2 domains. Activation requires displacement of intermolecular contacts by SH3/SH2 binding ligands resulting in dissociation of the SH3 and SH2 domains from the kinase domain. To understand the contribution of the SH3–SH2 domain pair to this regulatory process, the binding of peptides derived from physiologically relevant SH2 and SH3 interaction partners was studied for Lck and its relative Fyn by NMR spectroscopy. In contrast to Fyn, activating ligands do not induce communication between SH2 and SH3 domains in Lck. This can be attributed to the particular properties of the Lck SH3–SH2 linker which is shown to be extremely flexible thus effectively decoupling the behavior of the SH3 and SH2 domains. Measurements on the SH32 tandem from Lck further revealed a relative domain orientation that is distinctly different from that found in the Lck SH32 crystal structure and in other Src kinases. These data suggest that flexibility between SH2 and SH3 domains contributes to the adaptation of Src-family kinases to specific environments and distinct functions.

 Src family tyrosine kinases are implicated in a large number of cellular processes including cell adhesion and spreading, focal adhesion formation and disassembly, cell migration, cell cycle progression, apoptosis, cell differentiation, and gene transcription (1, 2). Mutations in these proteins can also lead to cancer (3). All members of the family (Src, Blk, Fgr, Fyn, Hck, Lck, Lyn, Yes, Yrk) have a common molecular architecture that includes regulatory SH3 and SH2 domains and a kinase domain. In its inactive state, the kinase is inhibited by binding of the SH2 domain to a conserved tyrosine in the C-terminal regulatory tail and by binding of the SH3 domain to the SH2-kinase linker region (4–6). Dephosphorylation of this conserved tyrosine (7) or binding of competing ligands to the SH2 or SH3 domains (8) activates the kinase. Young et al. (9) suggested that coupling between SH2 and SH3 is important in controlling activation, because mutations of residues in the SH3–SH2 linker activated c-Src. Molecular dynamics simulations also suggested that, in the inactive state, the coupling between the SH3–SH2 dynamics was tight, and that this no longer applies when the regulatory tail is detached from the SH2 domain (9). Hence, they proposed that the SH3–SH2 kinase assembly represented an “inducible snap lock”.

While the crystal structures of c-Src and Hck have provided a wealth of structural information on the closed, inactive state of Src-kinases, the open state is much less well characterized, in terms of both structure and dynamics. Crystal structures have been obtained for the SH3–SH2 domain pairs of Lck (10) and Fyn (11). These fragments might be expected to behave in a similar way to the domains when they are detached from the kinase in the activated state. There has, therefore, been some previous interest in studying the solution behavior of SH3–SH2 domain pairs including those of Src (12), Abl (13), and Fyn (14). Comparison of the domain orientation observed in the Fyn SH32 crystal structure with the domain orientation of peptide bound Fyn SH32 observed in solution showed a relatively modest average domain reorientation along one rotation axis. This is consistent with a situation that is intermediate between a rigid and a completely decoupled interdomain interface (14). Intriguingly, the orientation between the SH3 and SH2 domains in the crystal structure of Lck SH32 is very different from that observed for other Src-family members. Here solution NMR spectroscopy is used to determine the domain orientation of Lck SH32 in solution and to characterize the dynamics of this domain pair. Binding of peptides derived from physiologically relevant ligands to both Fyn SH32 and Lck SH32 is also investigated to provide further insight into
the contribution made by SH3–SH2 domain pairs to the regulation of Src kinases.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Protein Production and Peptide Ligands.** Expression and purification of the SH3–SH2 domain pairs of human Lck (Lck SH32; residues 57–225) and Fyn (Fyn SH32; residues 81–247 with C239S, C240S, and C246S) were performed as described previously (11, 14, 15). Minimal medium with 13C,15N,Cl and if necessary D2O instead of H2O was used to produce 15N-labeled Lck SH32 and 13C,15N,H-labeled Fyn SH32. Purity of the sample was confirmed by SDS–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and concentration was assessed by the optical density at 280 nm, using extinction coefficients calculated from the amino acid sequence.

Peptide ligands for SH3 and SH2 binding experiments were purchased from Biosyntan (Berlin, Germany) and Coring (Gernsheim, Germany) and contained blocked end groups. The Tip peptide comprises residues 167–199 of the tyrosine-kinase interacting (Tip) protein from Herpesvirus saimiri strain C488 and the phosphorylated HMTA peptide the CQ(pY)EEIP sequence from the middle T antigen of hamster polyomavirus. The P → C point mutation at the N-terminal sequence position of the investigated peptide was shown to have no effect on SH2 binding affinity (Hofinger and Sticht, unpublished).

**NMR Sample Preparation and Data Acquisition.** Protein solutions were prepared in the following buffer conditions: 100 mM K2HPO4/KH2PO4, pH 6.5, 20 mM NaCl for Lck SH32 and 50 mM K2HPO4/KH2PO4, pH 7.0, 200 mM Na2SO4 for Fyn SH32. For the peptide titrations, aliquots of peptide of known concentration were first dried using a Speed-Vac apparatus and successive aliquots were then dissolved in the sample solution.

Polyacrylamide gels were prepared as described by Chou et al. (16) and were squeezed into an open-ended NMR tube by application of pressure, using a home-built squeezeer. For recording residual dipolar couplings in liquid crystalline medium, a sample of 450 µM Lck SH32 in monododecylpentadecaethylene-glycol-ether/hexanol (molar ratio 0.95; 3% (wt) C12E5/H2O) was used that was prepared as described in Rückert & Otting (17). For all systems, proper alignment was checked by measuring the quadrupolar splitting of the deuterium resonance of D2O. NMR experiments were performed on spectrometers operating at 1H frequencies of 500, 600, and 750 MHz. Unless otherwise stated, all spectra were recorded at 25 °C. Backbone resonance assignments of Lck SH32 and Fyn SH32 have been described previously (11, 15).

**Measurement and Interpretation of Relaxation Data.** Two-dimensional [1H]–15N heteronuclear NOE experiments and a series of 1H–15N correlation spectra for the determination of 15N T1 and T2 relaxation time constants were acquired using previously described methods incorporating pulsed field gradients for coherence pathway selection and water suppression (18–20). 15N-T2 constants were measured using a spin–echo sequence with a Carr–Purcell–Meiboom–Gill (CPMG) delay of 419.4 µs. Dipolar and chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) cross-correlation occurring during delay periods were removed by applying 1H 180° pulses. This was performed once in the middle of the CPMG delay block for 15N-T2 experiments and every 5 ms in the delay period of 15N-T1 experiments (21, 22). The total sample heating for different experiments was equalized by application of a “heat” pulse train to keep the total number of 15N 180° pulses constant for all experiments. Each series of T1 and T2 measurements consisted of 8 autocorrelation spectra with increasing 15N relaxation time delays, chosen to sample approximately the entirety of the observed intensity decays. All spectra were processed with mild resolution enhancement and linear prediction in the indirect dimension using Felix 2.3 (Biosym, San Diego, CA). After zero filling, the digital resolution of the spectra was 6.1 Hz/point in the 1H dimension and 1.3 Hz/point in the 15N dimension. Relaxation parameters were estimated from two parameter exponential fits to the intensity decays in the series of T1, T2 correlation spectra. Errors were estimated using the baseline noise (23).

To test initially for the aggregation state of free Lck SH32, experimental T1 and T2 relaxation times were compared with calculated relaxation times as a function of isotropic correlation time and order parameter (S2) using the Lipari and Szabo model (24). For Lck SH32 correlation times and diffusion tensors were derived using the T1 and T2 values of residues that are part of secondary structure elements and whose values were inside the S2 = 1 envelope of the Lipari–Szabo model. The principal axes, Dx, Dy, and Dz, of the diffusion tensor were determined by global least-squares fits of the T1/T2 ratios derived from the spectral density functions of a diffusing particle to the experimental values (25–27). Three models of increasing complexity were tested: a sphere [Dx = Dy = Dz = (Dx + Dy + Dz)/3], a symmetric top [Dx = Dz, and Dz = (Dx + Dz)/2], and a fully asymmetric tensor (Dx ≠ Dy ≠ Dz) (28). The analysis was performed with programs written in-house. The NH bond length of backbone amides was assumed to be 1.02 Å, and the chemical shift anisotropy (CSA) was assumed to be −170 ppm with the CSA tensor taken to be collinear with the dipolar vector. Model comparisons were performed by using the F-test and calculation of the probability Q to obtain this F by chance (29). Generally, Q values below 5% were assumed to justify the use of the more complex model.

**Domain Orientation from Residual Dipolar Couplings.** Apparent JSH constants of 15N-labeled Lck SH32 were obtained using the in-phase, antiphase (IPAP) scheme (30). Two sets of data were collected at temperatures of 25 °C and 20 °C from an aligned gel sample and a sample containing a liquid crystalline phase (as described above), respectively. All spectra were processed with resolution enhancement in both 13N and 1H dimensions and linear prediction in the indirect dimension using Felix 2.3. After zero filling the digital resolution of the spectra was 0.45 and 7.81 Hz for the 13N and 1H dimensions, respectively.

The residual dipolar 15N–1H coupling (RDC) was calculated from the apparent JSH constants for spectra collected for the aligned and isotropic media: RDC = JSH,aligned − JSH,Isotropic. The error in the RDCs was estimated from the ratios of the line widths and signal-to-noise values in each spectrum using error propagation. Nonlinear optimization of the fit of the experimental residual dipolar couplings to calculated values, as a function of alignment tensor parameters, was performed using the program MODULE (31) and routines written in-house. Only dipolar couplings from residues in regular secondary structure elements were used.
for analysis. Best fits were determined by \( \chi^2 \) minimization, where \( \chi^2 \) is defined as

\[
\chi^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \frac{(RDC_{\text{experiment},i} - RDC_{\text{simulated},i})^2}{\sigma^2}
\]

\( \sigma^2 \) was taken to be the error in the measured RDCs, estimated to be 2.0 Hz from the ratios of the line width and signal-to-noise values in each spectrum using error propagation.

**Ligand Binding Studies.** The binding of Tip(167–199) and HMTA to Lck SH32 and Fyn SH32 was monitored by chemical shift perturbations in a series of \(^1\H-\)\(^{15}\N{H}SQC experiments upon titration of unlabeled ligand to the \(^{15}\N{H} labeled SH32 domains. All titrations were performed to an average of the measured \( K_d \) values for individual nuclei at different peptide concentrations by nonlinear curve fitting (32) according to the equation

\[
\Delta = \Delta_0 \frac{K_d + [L] + [P] - \sqrt{(K_d + [L] + [P])^2 - 4[L][P]}}{2[P]}
\]

where \( \Delta \) is the chemical shift change, \( \Delta_0 \) is the chemical shift change at saturation, \( K_d \) is the binding constant, and [L] and [P] are the concentrations of peptide ligand and protein, respectively. This formula assumes a simple [protein] + [ligand] \( \rightleftarrows \) [complex] binding model. An estimate of the binding constant \( K_d \) for the entire complex was made as the average of the measured \( K_d \) values for individual nuclei. The error in \( K_d \) was estimated as the standard deviation of the sampled \( K_d \) values.

Since intermediate and slow exchange phenomena on the NMR time scale were observed for several resonances in the Tip(167–199):Lck SH32 titration experiment, the binding constant was determined by fluorescence measurements on an identical fashion as described for Tip(168–187) and Lck SH3 (33). The W170L point mutation present in the Tip peptides used for fluorescence measurements was previously shown to have no effect on the Tip–Lck affinity (33). Since the concentration of Lck SH32 was always low compared to the ligand concentration, the experimental data were fitted to the equation \( F = F_{\text{max}}[L]/(K_d + [L]) \), where [L] is the final ligand concentration at each measurement point, \( F \) is the measured protein fluorescence intensity at the particular peptide concentration, and \( F_{\text{max}} \) is the observed maximal fluorescence intensity of the protein when saturated with the peptide. Nonlinear regression curve fitting was carried out to fit the experimental data to the equation, with \( F_{\text{max}} \) and \( K_d \) as fitted parameters. The change in protein concentration that occurred as a result of peptide addition was properly corrected.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**SH3 and SH2 Domain Communication by Ligand-Binding to Lck SH32 and Fyn SH32.** Two peptides that are specific for SH3 and SH2 domain binding respectively were used to investigate the effect on interdomain communication in Lck SH32 and Fyn SH32.

The herpesviral tyrosine kinase interacting protein (Tip) contains a proline-rich sequence [Tip(167–199)] that binds to the SH3 domains of several Src-family kinases (33), and this interaction was shown to be sufficient for a moderate activation of Lck (34). Importantly, Fyn is not activated by Tip (35) even though both Lck and Fyn are expressed in T-lymphocytes.

HMTA is derived from the middle T-antigen of hamster polyomavirus. It contains a phosphotyrosine site and is the strongest Lck SH2 ligand known (\( K_d \sim 140 \text{ mM} \)). HMTA activates a range of Src-family kinases including both Lck and Fyn (39–42).

Chemical shift changes induced by titrating a protein solution with a ligand were used to identify local environmental changes caused by complex formation. With respect to domain–domain interactions, chemical shift changes in the domain that is not involved in direct binding of the respective ligand are of particular interest, because these indicate possible propagation of structural changes from one domain to the other. Such changes were indeed found for the interaction of the SH32 domain pairs of Src (12) and Fyn (14) with peptides derived from natural ligands. Communication of this kind between domains was suggested to be important in the activation of Src-kinases (9, 14) and may be involved in kinase regulation.

Tip(167–199) binding to Lck SH32 and Fyn SH32 was monitored by changes in \(^1\H \) and \(^{15}\N{H} \) chemical shifts of the proteins. The dissociation constant, \( K_d \), for the Tip(167–199):Lck SH32 complex was determined to be 3.8 ± 0.2 \text{ mM} \) using fluorescence spectroscopy. Both the chemical shift changes and the \( K_d \) are similar to the results for the interaction of an almost identical Tip-peptide with the Lck SH3 domain alone (33). No chemical shift changes could be observed in the SH2 domain. Hence, Tip(167–199) binding to the SH3 domain of Lck SH32 does not appear to induce structural changes in the SH2 domain of this domain pair (Figure 1a).

The dissociation constant of the Tip(167–199):Fyn SH32 complex was 64 ± 18 \text{ mM} \). This affinity is about 15 times lower than for the Tip(167–199):Lck SH32 complex and about 2-fold lower than for a focal adhesion kinase derived SH3 ligand that activates Fyn (14). Only one noticeable chemical shift change is found in the SH2 domain (residue Leu163). Thus, generally no structural change seems to be transmitted through the SH3–SH2 linker upon Tip(167–199) binding (Figure 1a,b). Since such changes were typically observed in experiments with ligands that do activate Fyn (14), communication between domains may be a critical feature of natural partners of Fyn. This feature is not shared by Tip(167–199).

The interdomain communication in Lck SH32 was further investigated by monitoring the chemical shift changes upon titration of the SH2 specific ligand HMTA. This did not lead to any chemical shift changes in the SH3 domain, suggesting the absence of structural communication between domains even for this very high affinity Lck SH2 ligand (Figure 1c). In contrast, binding of HMTA to both Src SH32 (12) and Fyn SH32 (14) did confer chemical shift changes in the respective SH3 domains. This suggests that Lck differs from other Src-kinases in that it has no facility for structural communication through the SH3–SH2 linker, possibly
resulting in regulation pathways distinct from other members of the family.

Domain Orientation of Lck SH32 in Solution. In aqueous solution, through-space dipolar interactions are averaged to zero by isotropic tumbling and are no longer observed. However, it is possible to observe residual dipolar couplings (RDCs) in a weakly aligned medium (43). A set of such couplings provides orientational constraints that allow the determination of a preferred orientation of molecules or domains in the anisotropic medium. Comparing the alignment tensors of individual domains in domain pairs provides a sensitive measure of interdomain orientation (44).

To assess the SH3−SH2 domain orientation of Lck in solution, we measured its 1H-15N RDCs (Figure 2) in an aligned polyacrylamide gel medium (PAG). Alignment tensors were then determined separately for the SH3 and SH2 domains using the published crystal structure (pdb code 1lck). A good fit was obtained for the SH3 domain and a reasonable one for the SH2 domain, showing that the structure of the isolated domains is similar in solution and in the crystal. $R$ values calculated according to Clore et al. (45) were 0.23 for the SH3 domain and 0.35 for the SH2 domain. The worse quality of the fit for the SH2 domain is likely to be caused by structural differences induced by the presence of a phosphotyrosine peptide bound to the SH2 domain in the crystal structure.

The alignment tensors corresponding to the SH3 and SH2 domains, however, have significantly different orientations suggesting that the SH3−SH2 domain orientation in solution is different from that found in the crystal state (Table 1). We generated model solution structures by performing rigid body rotations of the SH2 and SH3 domains that achieve alignment of the individual alignment tensors. Residue E123 in the Lck SH32 linker was chosen as a hinge for these
rotations because, in heteronuclear NOE, experiments revealed an exceptionally high flexibility (see below). Alignment tensors are degenerate with respect to 180° rotations around their axes, giving rise to four different degenerate solutions. Two of these models were incompatible with the connectivity of the molecule or resulted in prohibitive steric clashes. To identify the correct model of the two remaining models a second set of RDCs in a different alignment medium was measured and subjected it to the same analysis. Comparison of the pairwise RMSDs between all the resulting models revealed that only two of the resulting orientations were reasonably close (backbone RMSD 2.6 Å) while the others were quite different (backbone RMSD > 5.0 Å). The structure favored from the two alignment media was termed Lck-RDC. In this model the interdomain orientations differ considerably from the orientations present in the crystal structures of either Lck SH32 or Fyn SH32, or indeed in the inactive state of Src kinases (Figure 3; Table 2).

The alignment data allowed the determination of the average relative SH3–SH2 domain orientation in solution, but it cannot give unambiguous information whether the two domains are mobile with respect to each other or not. The axial and rhombic components of the alignment tensor of the SH3 and SH2 domain are quite similar (Table 1), which might indicate a rigid domain orientation, but this observation alone is not sufficient to rule out interdomain dynamics. For that reason we performed a comprehensive relaxation analysis which is considered to be more sensitive for elucidating dynamic behavior of molecules.

**Interdomain Dynamics of Lck SH32.** NMR relaxation of nuclei in a protein in solution is mediated by rotational diffusion. Analysis of transversal ($T_1$) and longitudinal ($T_2$) relaxation times allows determination of characteristic tumbling times of the domains in the protein. Furthermore, the diffusion tensors to the corresponding molecules or domains can be determined reflecting the shape of the molecule. In addition, the heteronuclear {1}H{15}N NOE is a relaxation parameter that is particularly sensitive to local motion on a subnanosecond time scale. The lower the value for the heteronuclear {1}H{15}N NOE, the greater the local flexibility of the protein.

We measured the {1}H{15}N heteronuclear NOE for Lck SH32 to determine sites of enhanced local flexibility (Figure 4). The SH3–SH2 linker region turned out to be extremely flexible. Residue E123 has a negative NOE value indicative of a high degree of mobility. This flexible hinge region was retained in Tip-bound Lck SH32 (data not shown). Heteronuclear NOE data gathered for Fyn SH32 (11) also indicate

---

**Table 1: Alignment Tensors of the SH3 and SH2 Domains of Lck SH32**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>$A_x$ [10^{-3} $^b$]</th>
<th>$A_y$ [10^{-3} $^b$]</th>
<th>$\alpha$ [deg] $^c$</th>
<th>$\beta$ [deg] $^c$</th>
<th>$\gamma$ [deg] $^c$</th>
<th>$\chi_{total}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SH3</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>112 ± 14</td>
<td>59 ± 5</td>
<td>157 ± 5</td>
<td>6.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH2</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>149 ± 9</td>
<td>108 ± 3</td>
<td>141 ± 5</td>
<td>21.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ For the fit on the Lck SH32 crystal structure 19 RDCs were included in the fit for the SH3 domain and 27 for the SH2 domain. Since the axes of the Lck SH3 and SH2 alignment tensors are not collinear, domain reorientation is necessary to obtain alignment and therefore no fit was performed for the SH32 domain pair of the crystal structure. $^b$ $A_x$ and $A_y$ are the axial and rhombic components of the alignment tensor. $^c$ $\alpha$, $\beta$, and $\gamma$ are Euler angles for the rotation of the alignment tensor into the molecular frame.

**Figure 4:** The backbone {15}N{1}H NOE of unbound Lck SH32 at a {1}H Larmor frequency of 50 MHz. Secondary structure elements are indicated by black bars. The negative value measured for E123 which is located in the SH3–SH2 linker region indicates increased backbone flexibility at this sequence position.

Thus, the alignment data allowed the determination of the average relative SH3–SH2 domain orientation in solution, but it cannot give unambiguous information whether the two domains are mobile with respect to each other or not. The axial and rhombic components of the alignment tensor of the SH3 and SH2 domain are quite similar (Table 1), which might indicate a rigid domain orientation, but this observation alone is not sufficient to rule out interdomain dynamics. For that reason we performed a comprehensive relaxation analysis which is considered to be more sensitive for elucidating dynamic behavior of molecules.
NOEs were found within calculated limits at 25°C. Sample of free Lck SH3 domain residues were found to lie on the Lck-RDC structure (data not shown).

The individual SH3 and SH2 domains were determined using the Lck-RDC model as structural input, but the fits were generally worse compared to the Lck-RDC structure (Table 3). The same calculations were also performed using the Lck SH32 crystal structure as input, but the fits were generally worse compared to the Lck-RDC structure (data not shown).

A fairly flexible SH3–SH2 linker, but no negative {1H}15N NOEs were found.

15N T1 and T2 relaxation time constants were determined for Lck SH32 and compared with calculated relaxation times as a function of isotropic correlation time and order parameter (S2) using the isotropic Lipari–Szabo model. For a 0.44 mM sample of free Lck SH32 most residues were found to lie within calculated limits at 25°C indicating the absence of aggregation (Figure 5a).

The diffusion tensors of the Lck SH32 domain pair and the individual SH3 and SH2 domains were determined using the Lck-RDC model as structural input (Table 3). The same calculations were also performed using the Lck SH32 crystal structure as input, but the fits were generally worse compared to the Lck-RDC structure (data not shown).

The SH3 domain is best described by a prolate diffusion tensor with a correlation time of 7.6 ns and an orientation of $-17^\circ$ and $-1^\circ$ while the SH2 domain is best described by an asymmetric diffusion tensor with axial ratios of 1.47 and 1.24 and orientations of $21^\circ$, $21^\circ$, and $3^\circ$ with respect to the same molecular frame (Table 3). These differences in correlation times and diffusion tensor orientations suggest that the domains should be treated independently. Consequently, the statistical significance of the improvement in the interpretation of the data when treating the domains independently was assessed (Table 4). According to this analysis the overall best description of the rotational diffusion of Lck SH32 treats the SH3 domains and SH2 as independent with a prolate diffusion tensor for the SH3 and an asymmetric tensor for SH2 domain. This indicates that tumbling of the SH3 and SH2 domains is partially decoupled and that there is a considerable degree of interdomain flexibility. This is consistent with the difference in correlation times of the individual domains ($\tau_c = 7.64 \pm 0.06$ ns for the SH3 and $8.03 \pm 0.02$ ns for the SH2 domain) and the comparatively small axial ratios (about 1.4 of the diffusion tensors) (Table 3). This is also consistent with the difference of the averages $\langle T_1 \rangle$, $\langle T_2 \rangle$ of the SH3 and SH2 domains (Figure 4). The presence of significant interdomain motion in Lck SH32 means that in solution this domain pair is best described as an ensemble of many possible domain orientations. The data obtained from two orienting media, however, is not sufficient to allow quantitative statements on the relative population of different conformations within this ensemble. A qualitative analysis shows that the solution state domain orientation is roughly intermediate between that of the crystal structure of Lck SH32 and that present in the inactive state of Src kinases (Figure 3). Compared to the conformations present in the crystal structures, the SH3–SH2 domain interface in Lck-RDC is approximately 3–4 times smaller, suggesting a decrease in stabilizing interactions. This favors a model in which the more stable conformations observed in crystal structures are also populated for Lck SH32 in solution and a conformational switching takes place between them. This suggests that the interdomain orientation as determined by RDC measurements (Figure 3) should rather be considered as a type of average orientation.

**Biological Implications.** In light of similarities and differences in structure and function of Lck and Fyn it is interesting to compare the present work to previous results of similar experiments performed on Fyn SH32 bound to high affinity ligands (14). Measurements on Fyn SH32 bound to either SH2 or SH3 binding ligands indicate that structural changes can be transmitted through the linker and correlate with kinase activation (9, 14).

In comparison Lck SH32 behaves quite differently. Lck SH32 exhibits a considerably larger interdomain flexibility compared to Fyn SH32 (14). Moreover, in Lck SH32 neither the high affinity SH2-binding ligand HMTA nor the medium...
affinity SH3-binding ligand Tip(167–199) induced any chemical shifts in the adjacent domain despite being able to activate Lck (34, 42).

The greater flexibility of the linker region in Lck SH32 as compared to Fyn SH32 (14), and the absence of interdomain coupling, can be rationalized by the different composition of its linker. Lck has a proline-X-proline motif in the SH2–SH3 linker region, while Src, Hck, and Fyn contain a glutamate in the linker that can form electrostatic interactions with a lysine of the SH3 domain (11), thus stabilizing a linker conformation that is compatible with a domain orientation close to that found in the inactive state of Src kinases.

Considered on its own, the relative flexibility of the Lck SH32 domain pair would also be expected to shift the equilibrium between the active and inactive state of Lck in favor of the active state. This is of course only one of many factors contributing to the finely tuned control of this allosteric switch.

Fyn and Lck are both expressed in T-lymphocytes and share a pairwise sequence identity of 54%. While Lck expression is essentially confined to this type of cell, Fyn is expressed in a broader range of cells, taking part in diverse signaling pathways. Both have been implicated in T-cell activation and differentiation, and it has been suggested that Fyn can act as a backup for Lck to some extent (3). Nonetheless, some functions have been identified that are unique to Lck. Examples are the positive selection of CD4 single-positive cells and the proliferation of T-cells in lymphopenic environments (46). The significant differences in SH2–SH3 linkage observed here may help to adapt Lck and Fyn to their specific surroundings and to achieve their functional differences.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Sabine Schwarz for helpful discussion on the manuscript.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION AVAILABLE

One figure showing an overlay of the domain orientations determined from the two anisotropic media and one figure showing an overlay of the best-fitting diffusion tensors mapped on the Lck SH32 structure. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

REFERENCES

crk by the leukocyte common antigen (CD45), *Oncogene* 5, 809–813.


