Investigating Neutron Polarizabilities through Compton Scattering on \(^{3}\)He
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We examine manifestations of neutron electromagnetic polarizabilities in coherent Compton scattering from the helium-3 nucleus. We calculate \(\gamma\)\(^{3}\)He elastic scattering observables using chiral perturbation theory to next-to-leading order \([\mathcal{O}(e^2Q)]\). We find that the unpolarized differential cross section can be used to measure neutron electric and magnetic polarizabilities, while two double-polarization observables are sensitive to different linear combinations of the four neutron spin polarizabilities.
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The theory that describes the internal dynamics of the neutron is quantum chromodynamics (QCD). The neutron has zero charge, but higher electromagnetic moments encode the strong-interaction dynamics which governs its structure. These quantities therefore provide tests of our understanding of QCD. For example, an early success of the \(SU(3)\) quark picture was its prediction of magnetic moments, \(\mu\), for the neutron and other strongly interacting particles (hadrons). Magnetic moments are a first-order response to an applied magnetic field. In this Letter we will be concerned with electromagnetic polarizabilities, which quantify the second-order response of a particle to electromagnetic fields. The two most basic polarizabilities

\[
-2\pi\left[-\gamma_1 - \gamma_3 \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{E} \times \vec{E} + \gamma_4 \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B} \times \vec{B} - (\gamma_2 + \gamma_4)\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\nabla_i E_j + \nabla_j E_i)B_j + \gamma_3 \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\nabla_i B_j + \nabla_j B_i)E_j\right].
\]

The coefficients \(\gamma_1-\gamma_4\) are the “spin polarizabilities.” This Letter will argue that for the neutron, the most basic and stable neutral hadron, \(\alpha\), \(\beta\), and \(\gamma_1-\gamma_4\) can be extracted from Compton scattering on \(^{3}\)He.

Polarizabilities such as those in Eqs. (1) and (2) can be accessed in Compton scattering because the Hamiltonian (1) yields an amplitude for Compton scattering from a neutron target of the form

\[
T_{\gamma n} = \sum_{i=1,\ldots,6} A_i^{(n)}(\omega, \theta)t_i.
\]

Here \(t_1-\ldots-t_6\) are invariants constructed out of the photon momenta and polarization vectors (\(\vec{e}\) and \(\vec{e}'\)), and, in the case of \(t_3-\ldots-t_6\), the neutron spin, e.g., \(t_3 = \vec{e}' \cdot \vec{e}\) and \(t_5 = i\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{e}' \times \vec{e})\). The \(A_i\)'s are Compton structure functions. The \(\omega^2\) terms of \(A_1\) and \(A_2\) involve \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) [2], while the \(\omega^3\) terms of \(A_3-A_6\) depend on \(\gamma_1-\gamma_4\) in various combinations.

For the proton, an expression similar to Eq. (3) but supplemented by the Thomson term \(-(e^2/M)e' \cdot \vec{e}\) applies. The larger cross sections that result from the addition of this term lend themselves to low-energy measurements from which \(\alpha^{(p)}\) and \(\beta^{(p)}\) can be extracted. A considerable number of \(\gamma p\) experiments over the past decade had this as their goal [3]. A combined analysis of their low-energy differential cross section (DCS) data yields

\[
\alpha^{(p)} = [12.1 \pm 1.1(\text{stat})^{+0.3\%}_{-0.5\%}(\text{th}) \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3,
\]

\[
\beta^{(p)} = [3.4 \pm 1.1(\text{stat})^{+0.1\%}_{-0.1\%}(\text{th}) \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3
\]

No elastic Compton scattering measurement of the \(\gamma_i^{(p)}\)'s has yet been performed, but they affect double-polarization observables. Of these, \(\Delta_e\) and \(\Delta_x\) are defined by taking the beam helicity to be along \(\hat{z}\); then \(\Delta_e\) (\(\Delta_x\)) is the difference between the DCS when the target is spin polarized along \(+\hat{z}\) (\(+\hat{x}\)) and along \(-\hat{z}\) (\(-\hat{x}\)). For \(\omega < m_e\) the \(\gamma_i^{(p)}\)'s affect \(\Delta_e\) and \(\Delta_x\) because of interference between \(A_3^{(p)}, \ldots, A_6^{(p)}\) and \(A_1^{(p)}\) in the expressions for these observables [5]. An experiment which exploits this interference to probe \(\gamma_1^{(p)},\gamma_2^{(p)}\) has been proposed for the High-Intensity Gamma-ray Source (HIγS) at TUNL [6].

However, neither polarized nor unpolarized Compton scattering experiments can be directly performed on the neutron, since it is not a stable target. A variety of techniques have been proposed to extract \(\alpha^{(n)}\) and \(\beta^{(n)}\), including neutron scattering from the Coulomb field of \(^{208}\)Pb and Compton scattering on the deuteron—both elastic and...
quasifree. The most accurate numbers come from the last technique and yield (in units of \(10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3\)) [7]

\[
\alpha^{(n)} - \beta^{(n)} = [9.8 \pm 3.6(\text{stat}) \pm 2.2(\text{mod})^{+0.1}_{-0.1}(\text{syst})].
\]  

(6)

These numbers represent a fascinating interplay of long-distance \((r \sim 1/m_\pi)\) and short-distance \((r \sim 1/\Lambda)\) dynamics. The dominant piece of \(\alpha^{(n)}\) is due to the "cloud" of virtual pions that surrounds the neutron. But there are also significant contributions from short-distance physics—especially in \(\beta^{(n)}\). This interplay can be systematically computed in baryon chiral perturbation theory (\(\chi PT\)), a low-energy effective theory that encodes the low-energy symmetries of QCD and the pattern of their breaking (see Ref. [5] for a review). Observables in \(\chi PT\) are computed in an expansion in powers of \(Q = p, m_\pi/\Lambda\), where \(\Lambda\) is the excitation energy of the lightest state not explicitly included in the theory. At \(O(e^2Q)\) there are no contributions to the \(\gamma n\) amplitude from a short-distance \(\gamma n\) operator. The prediction for the \(\gamma n\) amplitude comes from nucleon-pole, pion-pole, and one-pion-loop diagrams, with the latter capturing the dominant piece of the "pion cloud." This \(O(e^2Q)\) calculation yields the entire dependence of \(A_1^{(n)} - A_6^{(n)}\) on photon energy and scattering angle up to corrections of \(O(Q^2)\). The \(O(\omega^2)\) and \(O(\omega^3)\) nonpole pieces of \(A_1^{(n)} - A_6^{(n)}\) then give [5,8]

\[
\alpha^{(n)} = 10 \beta^{(n)} = \frac{5e^2g_A^3}{384\pi f^2_{\pi}m_\pi} = 12.2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^3, 
\]  

(7)

\[
\gamma_1^{(n)} = 2\gamma_2^{(n)} = 4\gamma_3^{(n)} = -4\gamma_4^{(n)} = 4.4 \times 10^{-4} \text{ fm}^4. 
\]  

(8)

(The \(\gamma_i^{(n)}\)'s can also be written in terms of \(g_A, f_\pi,\) and \(m_\pi\).) The contributions of short-distance physics to Eq. (7) are suppressed by one power of \(Q\), and to Eq. (8) are suppressed by two powers of \(Q\). In addition, \(\chi PT\) predicts that \(\alpha^{(p)}, \beta^{(n)}\), and the \(\gamma_i^{(p)}\)'s are the same as the corresponding neutron quantities—at this order. These \(O(e^2Q)\) predictions of \(\chi PT\) agree with the numbers in Eqs. (4) and (6) within the experimental error bars.

We now examine how the predictions of Eqs. (7) and (8) can be tested in elastic \(\gamma^3\text{He}\) scattering. The scattering amplitude is written as

\[
M = \langle \Psi_f | \hat{O} | \Psi_i \rangle, 
\]  

(9)

with \(|\Psi_i\rangle\) and \(|\Psi_f\rangle\) being the antisymmetrized \(^3\text{He}\) wave functions. The results quoted in this Letter have been calculated using a wave function obtained from the Idaho-N3LO chiral potential [9] together with the NNLO chiral \(3N\) force [10]. For reviews of \(\chi PT\) applied to nuclear forces, see Ref. [11]. Note, however, that aspects of this power counting are still under discussion [12].

The operator \(\hat{O}\) in Eq. (9) is the irreducible amplitude for elastic scattering of real photons from the \(3N\) system, calculated in \(\chi PT\) up to \(O(e^2Q)\). This is next-to-leading order (NLO), a lower order than was used to obtain \(|\Psi\rangle\), and so our calculation is chirally consistent only to NLO.

At NLO \(\hat{O}\) has a one-body part

\[
\hat{O}^{1B} = \hat{O}^{1B}(1) + \hat{O}^{1B}(2) + \hat{O}^{1B}(3),
\]  

(10)

with \(\hat{O}^{1B}(a)\) being the \(\gamma N\) amplitude where the external photon interacts with nucleon "\(a\)." \(\hat{O}^{1B}(a)\) (supplemented by what turn out to be very small corrections for the boost from the \(\gamma N\) c.m. frame to the \(\gamma NN\) c.m. frame) follows from Eq. (3) and can be found in Refs. [5,13]. Meanwhile the two-body part of \(\hat{O}\) is

\[
\hat{O}^{2B} = \hat{O}^{2B}(1, 2) + \hat{O}^{2B}(2, 3) + \hat{O}^{2B}(3, 1),
\]  

(11)

and it represents a sum of two-body mechanisms where the external photons interact with the pair "\((a, b)\)." At \(O(e^2Q)\) this operator encodes the physics of two photons coupling to a single pion exchange inside the \(^3\text{He}\) nucleus. (We do not have to include any irreducible three-body Compton mechanisms in our calculation because they appear at the earliest at \(O(e^2Q^3)\).) We use the expression for \(\hat{O}^{2B}\) given in Ref. [13]. This incorporates the few-nucleon physics that corresponds to the pion-cloud dynamics which yields Eqs. (7) and (8). As such it must be included on an equal footing with the polarizability effects that are our focus. The resulting \(\hat{O}^{2B}\) gives a significant contribution to the \(\gamma d\) cross section, and is an important piece of the \(\chi PT\) calculations that provide a good description of the extant \(\gamma d\) DCS data [4,13–15]. We now simplify Eq. (9) to

\[
M = 3|\Psi_f\rangle |\langle \Psi_i| [\hat{O}^{1B}(1) + \hat{O}^{1B}(2)] + \hat{O}^{2B}(1, 2)|\Psi_f\rangle, 
\]  

(12)

using the Faddeev decomposition of \(|\Psi\rangle\). The structure of the calculation is then similar for the one- and two-body parts. We calculate \(M\) on a partial-wave Jacobi basis. Convergence of the results with respect to the angular-momentum expansion was confirmed. For details on the calculational procedure, see Ref. [16].

The amplitude (12) is now used to calculate observables. In Fig. 1 we plot our \(O(e^2Q)\) \(\chi PT\) DCS predictions for coherent \(\gamma^3\text{He}\) scattering. The two panels are for \(\omega = 60\) and 120 MeV. Both show three different DCS calculations—\(O(e^2)\), impulse approximation (IA), and \(O(e^2Q)\). The \(O(e^2)\) calculation includes only the proton Thomson term, since that is the \(\gamma N\) amplitude in \(\chi PT\) at that order.

![FIG. 1](color online). Comparison of different c.m.-frame DCS calculations at 60 MeV (left panel) and 120 MeV (right panel).
The IA calculation is done up to $O(e^2 Q)$ but does not have any two-body contribution. As expected, we see that there is a sizable difference between the IA and the $O(e^2 Q)$ DCS: the two-body currents are important and cannot be neglected. Also, we see that the difference between $O(e^2 Q)$ and $O(e^2 Q)$ is very small at 60 MeV—showing that $\chi$PT may converge well there—and gradually increases with energy. This is partly because the fractional effect of $\alpha^{(n)}$ and $\beta^{(n)}$ increases with $\omega$.

To quantify this, in Fig. 2 we plot the $O(e^2 Q)$ DCS at 80 MeV obtained when we add shifts, $\Delta \alpha^{(n)}$ and $\Delta \beta^{(n)}$, to the $O(e^2 Q)$ values of the neutron electric and magnetic polarizabilities (7). We take $\Delta \alpha^{(n)}$ in the range $(-4,\ldots,4) \times 10^{-4}$ fm$^3$ and $\Delta \beta^{(n)}$ between $(-2,\ldots,6) \times 10^{-4}$ fm$^3$. This allows us to assess the impact that one set of higher-order mechanisms has on our $O(e^2 Q)$ predictions. Two features of Fig. 2 are particularly notable. First, sensitivity to $\beta^{(n)}$ vanishes at $\theta = 90^\circ$ because $\alpha^{(n)}$ and $\beta^{(n)}$ enter $A_i^{(n)}$ in the combination $\alpha^{(n)} + \beta^{(n)} \cos \theta$. Thus, $\alpha^{(n)}$ and $\beta^{(n)}$ can be extracted independently from the same experiment. Second, the absolute size of the shift in the DCS due to $\Delta \alpha^{(n)}$ and $\Delta \beta^{(n)}$ is roughly the same for all energies. This suggests that measurements could be done at $\omega = 80$ MeV, where the count rate is higher, and the contribution of higher-order terms in the chiral expansion should be smaller.

We have estimated the uncertainty due to short-distance physics in the three-nucleon system by using a variety of $^3\text{He}$ wave functions generated using various NN interactions with and without a corresponding $3N$ force. This produced changes of $\pm 15\%$ in the DCS at 120 MeV.

Before examining double-polarization observables in $\gamma^3\text{He}$ scattering we try to develop some intuition for the $^3\text{He}$ amplitude. Since $^3\text{He}$ is a spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ target the matrix element (12) can be decomposed in the same fashion as was the neutron’s Compton matrix element in Eq. (3).

\[
T_{\gamma^3\text{He}} = \sum_{i=1,..,6} A_i^{3\text{He}}(\omega, \theta) t_i; \quad A_i^{3\text{He}} = A_i^{1B} + A_i^{2B},
\]

where $A_i^{1B}$ ($A_i^{2B}$) comes from considering the matrix element of the one-body (two-body) operators in Eq. (12), and the structures $t_1$–$t_6$ now involve the nuclear—not the neutron—spin. However, in $^3\text{He}$ the two proton spins are—to a good approximation—antialigned, so the nuclear spin is largely carried by the unpaired neutron [17]. We find that the $O(e^2 Q)$ two-body currents $A_1^{2B}$ and $A_2^{2B}$ are numerically sizable, but $A_3^{2B}$ is negligible. Hence, to the extent that polarized $^3\text{He}$ is an effective neutron, we expect $A_i^{3\text{He}} = A_i^{(n)}$ for $i = 3$–6. Using Eq. (13) to translate this into predictions for $\Delta_x$ and $\Delta_\chi$, we see that the effects of $\gamma_1^{(n)} - \gamma_4^{(n)}$ will be enhanced in these observables by interference with $A_1^{3\text{He}}$. But $A_1^{3\text{He}}$ is—at least at $\omega = 80$ MeV—dominated by the contribution of the two protons, and so we anticipate a more marked signal from the neutron spin polarizabilities than is predicted for the corresponding $\gamma d$ observables [18].

We emphasize that these arguments are meant only as a guide to the physics of our exact $O(e^2 Q)$ calculation. Our $^3\text{He}$ wave function is obtained by solving the Faddeev equations with NN and 3N potentials derived from $\chi$PT. All of the effects due to neutron depolarization and the spin-dependent pieces of $O^{2B}$ are included in our calculation of the amplitude (9). This yields the results for $\Delta_x$ and $\Delta_\chi$ shown in Figs. 3 and 4. There we have proceeded analogously to our computations of the $\gamma^3\text{He}$ DCS, this time varying the neutron spin polarizabilities and seeing the effect on $\Delta_x$ and $\Delta_\chi$. Figure 3 indicates that $\Delta_x$ is quite sensitive to $\gamma_1$, $\gamma_2$, and $\gamma_4$. With the expected photon flux at an upgraded HI$\gamma$S such effects can be measured [19]. If this can be done as a function of $\theta$ we can extract the combination $\gamma_1^{(n)} - (\gamma_2^{(n)} + 2\gamma_4^{(n)}) \cos \theta$. Turning to $\Delta_\chi$, Fig. 4 shows that varying $\gamma_1$ or $\gamma_4^{(n)}$ produces appreciable...
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