Role of Berry phase theory for describing orbital magnetism: From magnetic heterostructures to topological orbital ferromagnets
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We address the importance of the modern theory of orbital magnetization for spintronics. Based on an all-electron first-principles approach, we demonstrate that the predictive power of the routinely employed “atom-centered” approximation is limited to materials like elemental bulk ferromagnets, while the application of the modern theory of orbital magnetization is crucial in chemically or structurally inhomogeneous systems such as magnetic thin films, and materials exhibiting nontrivial topology in reciprocal and real space, e.g., Chern insulators or noncollinear systems. We find that the modern theory is particularly crucial for describing magnetism in a class of materials that we suggest here—topological orbital ferromagnets.
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Magnetism is an elementary property of materials, and it is composed of spin and orbital contributions. In contrast to the concept of spin magnetization, which has been relatively well understood and extensively researched in the course of the past decades, our understanding of orbital magnetism in solids has been poor so far, and an ability to describe it reliably has been missing until recently. Both spin and orbital magnetization (OM) are accessible separately, e.g., by means of magnetome-
circular dichroism measurements (OM) are accessible separately, e.g., by means of magnetome-

Both spin and orbital magnetization (OM) are accessible separately, e.g., by means of magnetomet-
circular dichroism measurements [2–4], but the orbital contribution to the magnetization of solids is usually overshadowed by the spin counterpart, owing to the orbital moment quenching. However, in certain systems the OM yields an equally important contribution, which can even result in a spin-orbital compensation of magnetization [5–7]. Its influence on spin-dependent transport [8–11], magnetic susceptibility [11], orbital magnetoelectric response [12–14], magnetic anisotropy [15], and Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction [16] renders the OM crucial for understanding basic properties of magnets. A spontaneous OM in ferromagnets is a key manifestation of the spin-orbit interaction (SOI), lifting in part the quenching mechanism. This interpretation applies to most materials but it fails to explain orbital magnetism in systems where a finite topological OM emerges even without SOI as a result of a nontrivial real-space distribution of spins [17].

Addressing the OM in solids is a subtle point as the position operator \( r \) is ill-defined in the basis of extended Bloch states. To circumvent this problem in \textit{ab initio} calculations, the evaluation of the angular momentum operator \( L \) is typically restricted locally in space to atom-centered spheres. This atom-centered approximation (ACA) is widely used to study orbital magnetism in solids even nowadays. Rather recently, a rigorous theory of OM was established through three independent approaches [18–21]. In this so-called modern theory [22–24] the OM is expressed as a genuine bulk property evaluated from the ground-state wave functions:

\[
m = \frac{e}{2\hbar} \Im \int \left| \mathbf{k} \right| \left( \partial_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}n} \right) \times \left( H_{\mathbf{k}} + \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}n} - 2\mathcal{E}_F \right) \partial_{\mathbf{k}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}n}.
\]

(1)

where \( \mathbf{k} \) is the crystal momentum, \( \left| \mathbf{k} \right| \) stands for \( \sum_{\text{occ}} \mathbf{k} / (2\pi)^3 \), \( \left| \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{k}n} \right| \) is an eigenstate of the lattice-periodic Hamiltonian \( H_{\mathbf{k}} = e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} H e^{i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}} \) to the band energy \( \mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}n} \), \( \mathcal{E}_F \) is the Fermi energy, and \( e > 0 \) is the elementary positive charge. At zero temperature, the summation is restricted to all occupied bands \( n \) below the Fermi energy. In contrast to the ACA, Eq. (1) naturally and unambiguously accounts for nonlocal contributions to the OM [25].

How important is the modern framework for accessing the orbital magnetism in systems which are of great interest in today’s spintronics, e.g., metallic magnetic thin films [26], topologically nontrivial materials such as Chern insulators [27], or magnetically complex systems such as frustrated spin lattices and skyrmions [28,29]? Although the modern theory of OM has already been implemented in several first-principles electronic-structure codes based on pseudopotentials, its comparison to the widely used and computationally cheap ACA applied in all-electron methods has been performed only for bulk Fe, Co, Ni, and several perovskite transition-metal oxides [25,30,31]. And while in the latter case the modern theory does not significantly alter the values of the OM, the ACA was found to underestimate the OM in bcc Fe by up to 50%. However, the relatively modest magnitude of the OM in elemental Fe leaves open the question of relevance of the modern theory in wide classes of materials explored today. In particular, until now, a strong justification for the computationally very challenging modern-theory description by all-electron approaches is missing, leading to significant doubts on the wider relevance of the modern theory of OM in magnetic systems.

Here, based on first-principles calculations we evaluate the importance of the modern theory of OM in elemental bulk ferromagnets, magnetic thin films, Chern insulators, and systems with noncollinear magnetism. We do this by contrasting the ACA with the modern theory of OM in these
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systems. Eq. (1) We demonstrate that using the modern theory is essential for complex magnetic materials, and while ACA performs well in elemental bulk ferromagnets, it breaks down completely in a chemically and structurally heterogeneous system of a Mn monolayer deposited on W(001). Furthermore, the correct description of the OM in the Chern insulating phase, modeled here by a system of graphene decorated by 5d transition-metal adatoms, requires the modern theory. Finally, we demonstrate the crucial role that the modern theory plays for correctly describing the magnetism in the class of materials the discovery of which we display in this work—topological orbital ferromagnets. In these materials, exemplified here by the $\bar{3}Q$ state of Mn/Cu(111), the macroscopic spin magnetization is completely replaced by its orbital counterpart, prominent even without spin-orbit interaction.

Within a common ansatz, the orbital moment in the unit cell (uc) $m^\mu_{\text{uc}} = -\frac{1}{2mc^2} \langle |r_{\mu}|L^\mu |\psi_{kn}\rangle$ associated with a state $|\psi_{kn}\rangle$ is obtained by integrating the angular momentum operator over the unit cell. Here, $m_\mu$ is the electron mass. In all-electron methods the space is often partitioned into muffin-tin spheres centered around the atoms and the interstitial region between the atoms. Typically, the evaluation of the orbital moment is given by the ACA, $m^\mu_{\text{uc}} = -\frac{1}{2mc^2} \langle |r_{\mu}|L^\mu |\psi_{kn}\rangle_{\text{MT}},$ i.e., only by the contribution of the muffin-tin (MT) sphere of atom $\mu$. Here, $L^\mu = r_{\mu} \times p$ where $r_{\mu}$ is the position operator with respect to the center of atom $\mu$ and $p$ is the momentum operator. The OM in ACA is computed by summing up the individual contributions over all occupied states and atoms in the unit cell, and dividing by the unit-cell volume $\Omega$.

$$m = \frac{1}{N_k \Omega} \sum_k \sum_n \sum_\mu m^\mu_{kn},$$  

(2)

where $N_k$ is the number of $k$ points. In this work, Eq. (2) is contrasted with its modern-theory counterpart, Eq. (1).

To converge efficiently the $k$ summation in the expressions for $m$ in Eqs. (1) and (2), we employ the Wannier interpolation technique [31–33], realized within the accurate all-electron full-potential linearized augmented plane-wave (FLAPW) code FLEUR [34]. Using this code, we perform self-consistent density functional theory calculations including SOI in second variation and using the PBE functional, unless stated otherwise [33,35–39].

**Bulk ferromagnets.** We begin by considering the bulk ferromagnets bcc Fe, hcp Co, and fcc Ni. The magnetization direction is aligned along the experimental easy axis, which is (001) for Fe, (0001) for Co, and (111) for Ni. In Fig. 1 we present the OM as a function of the Fermi energy $\Delta E_F$ with respect to the true Fermi energy $E_F^0$, $\Delta E_F = E_F - E_F^0$. At $\Delta E_F = 0$, we obtain in ACA the values 0.0451 $\mu_B$/Fe, 0.0767 $\mu_B$/Co, 0.0499 $\mu_B$/Ni, and 0.0693, 0.0727, 0.0460 in the modern theory. The latter results agree well with previous pseudopotential calculations (0.0761, 0.0838, 0.0467) [31]. Clearly, the agreement between the modern theory and ACA is good for Ni and Co over the whole range of energies. However, in the case of Fe the modern theory correctly the OM by more than 50% around the Fermi level, which could be attributed to a larger degree of delocalization of the Bloch states in this material as compared to Co and Ni. Compared to experiment (0.081, 0.133, 0.053) [1], the modern theory particularly improves the OM value in Fe.

**Heterogeneous systems.** In heterogeneous materials like thin magnetic films, the local moments contributing to the OM in ACA can vary strongly in real space, and compensate each other. Therefore, nonlocal effects are expected to play a significant role for the OM in these systems. To prove this point, as an example, we consider an asymmetric slab of a Mn monolayer deposited on nine atomic layers of bcc W, Mn/W(001). The structural parameters taken from Ref. [40] were adopted for the magnetic interface. Although Mn/W(001) exhibits in reality a long-wavelength spin-spiral ground state [41], the collinear ferromagnetic case is studied here.

Our first-principles results, presented in Fig. 2, reveal a drastic difference between the modern theory and ACA for the out-of-plane OM ($m_z$). Not only does the modern theory alter the magnitude of the OM, but even its sign is different from that obtained in ACA over wide regions of energy. The
ACA moment is dominated by the local atomic moments in the first two layers, i.e., the Mn overlayer and the first layer of W atoms (W1). These moments compensate partly leading to an underestimation of orbital magnetism. Noticeably, the effect is pronounced near the Fermi level where the OM is drastically reduced by an order of magnitude as compared to its modern-theory value. Since magnetism originates primarily in Mn, while only W exhibits strong SOI, nonlocal effects become important in this material. As a consequence, the ACA performs particularly poorly with respect to the modern theory. The manifestly nontrivial, rapidly oscillating behavior of the modern theory OM with energy, typical for properties driven by the Berry curvature in reciprocal space, manifests the complexity of the orbital magnetism in magnetic thin films, and calls for revisiting our understanding of orbital physics at surfaces.

Chern insulators. Here we test the importance of the modern theory for OM in realistic systems which exhibit topologically nontrivial gaps in their spectrum. Previous work has shown that 5d transition-metal adatoms deposited on graphene support strong magnetoelectric response and Chern insulator band gaps due to SOI [42]. As an example, we consider the system of ferromagnetically coupled W adatoms with a spin moment of 1 μB deposited on graphene in a 4 × 4 geometry. W is placed at the hollow sites of free-standing graphene, with the magnetization out-of-plane (along the z axis). Upon considering SOI, as a consequence of complex hybridization between the d states of W and graphene p states, a global band gap opens directly at the Fermi level and approximately 0.27 eV below it. Due to the topologically nontrivial nature of these gaps, the Chern number \( C_1 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \Omega_{xy} d\mathbf{k} \) takes the quantized values of +2 and −2, respectively, and the anomalous Hall conductivity (AHC) is \( \sigma_{xy} = -\frac{\pi}{e} C_1 \). Here, \( \Omega_{xy} = -2\text{Im} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial_{k_i} u_{kn}) (\partial_{k_i} u_{kn}) \) is the only nonvanishing component of the Berry curvature tensor of all occupied states below the respective gap.

It follows from Eq. (1) that \( \frac{\sigma_{xy}}{\Delta \varepsilon_F} = \frac{\pi}{e} C_1 \) in the Chern insulator phase [22].

In Fig. 3 the performance of the modern theory with respect to ACA is presented. By inspecting the shaded regions of the topologically nontrivial gaps in this figure, we observe that the modern theory OM is perfectly linear in \( \Delta \varepsilon_F \) as expected, and even changes its sign around the Fermi level [cf. Fig. 3(b)]. This is in sharp contrast to the ACA, which predicts a constant value of the OM at the Fermi level [cf. Fig. 3(b)]. This so-called 3Q state (cf. Fig. 4) exhibits no net spin magnetization and it is the ground-state spin structure of a Mn monolayer deposited on Cu(111), Mn/Cu(111) [43], for which we study the orbital magnetism here.

In contrast to typical interfacial systems, which often exhibit chiral magnetic states due to SOI-mediated Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction [16,44], the 3Q state of Mn/Cu(111) is a result of competing isotropic higher-order exchange interactions, and it is practically not altered upon considering SOI. The total spin magnetization in the unit cell is zero. Assuming locally a collinear alignment of orbital and spin moment in the presence of SOI, also the total OM is expected to be zero. We show below, however, that this is not the case. Since the electronic structure of Cu around the Fermi level is dominated by s electrons and the 3d-3d hybridization between the overlayer and the substrate is small, we modeled the system as an unsupported Mn(111) monolayer at the lattice constant of Cu(111). In Fig. 4 we present our results for the out-of-plane (\( \sigma_z \)) component of the OM which is the only nonvanishing one. Strikingly, we observe that the OM does not follow the direction of the spin moment, but is determined by the symmetry of the film, and that the ACA serves as a very crude approximation to the OM, resulting in large differences when compared to the modern-theory values. In particular near the Fermi level, a large underestimation of the OM by the ACA is apparent, with the ACA giving rise to an OM at the Fermi energy which is four times smaller than the modern-theory

\[ \sum_{\text{occ}} \langle \hat{u}_{kn} \rangle \parallel \langle \hat{u}_{kn} \rangle \]

FIG. 3. (a) Orbital magnetization \( m_z \) according to modern theory and atom-centered approximation (ACA) for the W-graphene hybrid system. The shaded regions highlight nontrivial Chern insulator gaps. (b) Zoom to the region near the Fermi level. (c) Orbital magnetization for Ir deposited in 2 × 2 geometry on graphene. A nontrivial band gap with the Chern number +2 opens about 2.65 eV below the Fermi level.

1The atomic coordinates and computational parameters from Ref. [42] were used.
The origin of the latter topological OM (TOM) can be attributed to the presence of an “emergent” magnetic field, which roots in the noncoplanarity of the neighboring spins [47], and which plays also a crucial role in the physics of skyrmions [45,48–52]. The emergent field couples to the orbital degrees of freedom and is identified as an alternative mechanism lifting the orbital degeneracy [17]. As TOM is a consequence of the complex noncollinear structure of the delocalized Bloch wave functions in real space, the importance of the nonlocal contributions in this case calls for a proper modern-theory description of orbital magnetism in noncollinear structures such as multi-Q states and skyrmions.

The emergence of a ferromagnetic ordering of large TOM as we predict in these zero-spin-magnetization magnets opens a path to intriguing physics as orbital moments couple to external magnetic fields, optical perturbations, and orbital currents. For example, it is known that the chiral correlation between the spins on a lattice can display high stability with respect to fluctuations (see, e.g., [53]), and we speculate that the long-range ferromagnetism of TOFs can survive the ordering temperature of the spin state. In addition, effective spin Hamiltonians used to describe the phase diagrams of TOFs in an external magnetic field require an amendment by the orbital Zeeman energy. The latter interaction of TOM with external magnetic fields can be also utilized to control the chirality of the spin texture owing to the close correlation between the spin structure and TOM; indeed, interchanging the green and blue atoms in Fig. 4 reverses the sign of the emergent field and the orbital moment, but does not change the energy of the 3Q state.

To summarize, we explored the relevance of the modern theory for OM in a set of representatives of diverse classes of materials, currently under scrutiny in spintronics. Our main message is that outside of the realm of elemental bulk ferromagnets, employing the modern theory description is crucial for understanding orbital magnetism in noncollinear, topologically nontrivial, as well as structurally and chemically heterogeneous systems.
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