Impact of tau and amyloid burden on glucose metabolism in Alzheimer’s disease
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Abstract

In a multimodal PET imaging approach, we determined the differential contribution of neurofibrillary tangles (measured with [18F]AV-1451) and beta-amyloid burden (measured with [11C]PiB) on degree of neurodegeneration (i.e., glucose metabolism measured with [18F]FDG-PET) in patients with Alzheimer’s disease. Across brain regions, we observed an interactive effect of beta-amyloid burden and tau deposition on glucose metabolism which was most pronounced in the parietal lobe. Elevated beta-amyloid burden was associated with a stronger influence of tau accumulation on glucose metabolism. Our data provide the first in vivo insights into the differential contribution of Ab and tau to neurodegeneration in Alzheimer’s disease.

Introduction

Extracellular beta-amyloid plaques (Ab) and intracellular neurofibrillary tangles (tau) are the primary pathological hallmarks of Alzheimer’s disease (AD). Postmortem studies have suggested that Ab deposition is abundantly distributed in the cortex already early in the course of the disease, whereas tau formation, synaptic loss, and gliosis progress to advanced stages of AD.1 The temporal dynamics of these neuropathologic processes may be one explanation for the close correlation between tau pathology and dementia severity, whereas Ab shows no additive predictive value.2 Interestingly, animal studies – more appropriate to investigate the temporal relationship of protein accumulation than postmortem studies – recently suggested a synergistic effect of Ab-mediated tau propagation and neuronal loss.3 However, the relative contributions of Ab and tau pathology to AD-related neurodegeneration in humans remain elusive.

PET imaging studies have not observed a distinct regional relationship between Ab-deposition and neurodegeneration.4 With the advent of a novel PET tracer ([18F]AV-1451 aka T807) that shows high affinity to intracellular tau tangle pathology,5 it has now become possible to examine the potential interactive relationship between protein aggregation and neurodegeneration in vivo. Understanding the contribution of tau and Ab pathology to neurodegeneration in AD will greatly advance our knowledge of disease mechanisms and could become paramount in identifying or evaluating therapeutic approaches.
To this end, we assessed the relationship of tau and Aβ pathology to global and regional patterns of hypometabolism in AD patients using trimodal PET (i.e., [11C]PiB PET, [18F]AV-1451, [18F]FDG-PET) and tested the degree to which Aβ and tau deposition contribute to neurodegeneration on AD.

Methods

Participants
Participants (N = 10; MeanAge = 67.2 years, SDAge = 7.3; MeanMMSE = 22 SDMMSE = 4) were clinically diagnosed with typical AD in the interdisciplinary center for memory disorders of the University Hospital Cologne and the Department of Psychiatry, University Hospital Bonn using the recommended diagnostic guidelines,6 and supported by the diagnostic PET imaging results evaluated by the experts from the Department of Nuclear Medicine (J. H., T. v. E., A. D.). All participants underwent a trimodal PET imaging protocol at the University Hospital Cologne, including [11C]PiB PET, [18F]AV-1451, [18F]FDG-PET in the course of their clinical work-up for dementia. Patients signed an informed consent regarding the scientific evaluation and possible publication of their data. The study was performed with approval of the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine of the University of Cologne.

PET imaging protocol and analysis
All PET scans were performed at the Department of Nuclear Medicine, University Hospital Cologne, Germany, with a Siemens Biograph mCT Flow 128 Edge scanner (Siemens, Knoxville, TN).

PET imaging protocol
All PET scans were iteratively reconstructed using a 3-D OSEM algorithm (four iterations, 12 subsets, Gaussian filter: 5 mm Full width at half maximum (FWHM), 128 × 128 matrix, slice thickness of 3 mm). PiB PET data were acquired for 30 min, 41 ± 15 min after injection of 305 ± 107 MBq of [11C]For FDG PET, a ten minute acquisition scan was performed 39 (±) 18 min after injection of 217, 5 (±) 16 [18F]FDG-PET. Tau PET was acquired for 15 min, 94 ± 15 min after injection of 248 ± 58 MBq of [18F]AV-1451.

Image analysis
All image analyses were conducted using SPM8 (Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging). First, PiB and AV-1451 scans were coregistered to the FDG image of each patient. Second, we spatially normalized coregistered PET scans to an aging and dementia-specific [18F]FDG PET template.7 Standardized uptake values ratio (SUVR) images were created by dividing each image by the nonspecific binding of an automated anatomical labeling (AAL)-modified cerebellar region, excluding the peduncles.

Creation of z-transformed deviation images (z-maps)
To assess AD-related differences in tau deposition and glucose hypometabolism, we computed voxel-wise mean (μ) and standard deviation (σ) of SUVR values for healthy controls. The healthy control groups were provided by AVID Radiopharmaceuticals ([18F]AV-1451; N = 15, AgeMean = 64.4, MMSE=29.5), from the Alzheimer’s Disease Imaging database (http://adni.loni.usc.edu; [18F]FDG PET N = 18, AgeMean = 65.8, MMSE = 29.1*; *MMSE scores for three healthy controls were not available from the ADNI database), and 15 healthy controls (AgeMean = 64.0, MMSE = 29.2) who underwent a [11C] PiB PET imaging protocol.8 We then computed z-score deviations for every voxel (x) using the formula (z = x−μ/σ). The deviation images were overlaid on the lateral surface of the left and the right hemisphere using FreeSurfer 5.3 (http://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu).

ROI analysis
A structure-based parcellation of cortical and hippocampal areas (AAL) was used and z-scores were extracted. For a region-specific analysis, we selected the region of...
interests (ROIs) that fall into six cortical structures (i.e., frontal, temporal, parietal, occipital lobe, insular cortex/cingulum, and hippocampus). Z-scores were averaged on the group level for each ROI to assess the regional pattern of FDG hypometabolism and its correspondence with regional tau and Aβ pathology.

**Statistical analysis**

We performed uni- and multivariate analyses to assess the relationship of tau and Aβ burden to measure hypometabolism. Additionally, we examined the reliability of the individual correlation of FDG hypometabolism with tau and Aβ deviation across patients using the Wilcoxon signed rank test. We performed all analyses in R and Systat 13 (Systat Software, Inc., Chicago, IL) and multivariate analyses were corrected for multiple comparisons.

**Results**

We observed a close spatial correspondence of the pattern of mean FDG deviation and mean tau deviation, whereas a widespread and diffuse pattern of amyloid was seen in the amyloid deviation map (see Fig. 1).

Across brain regions, we observed a strong positive relationship of mean tau deposition and hypometabolism ($r = 0.71, P < 0.001$; see Fig. 2A), whereas the pattern of Aβ burden and hypometabolism was negatively related ($r = -0.41, P < 0.001$; see Fig. 2B).

The multivariate analysis yielded an interactive effect of tau deposition and Aβ burden predicting measures of AD-related hypometabolism, such that regions with higher PiB uptake showed a stronger relationship of tau and hypometabolism, compared to regions with lower Aβ burden ($\text{Tau} \times \text{Aβ} t = 2.003, P = 0.04$; see Table 1C, Fig. 2C).

![Figure 2.](image-url) (A) Significant relationship of the pattern of FDG hypometabolism and the pattern of tau deposition across brain regions in cortical and subcortical AAL regions. (B) A positive relationship of the pattern of FDG hypometabolism and the pattern of Aβ burden in cortical and subcortical AAL regions. (C) Regression plane of the interaction of tau (y-axis) and Aβ (x-axis) deposition on hypometabolism (z-axis). AAL, automated anatomical labeling.
In the region-specific analyses, we found significant associations of hypometabolism and tau deposition for all regions except insular cortex/cingulum and hippocampus (for details, see Table 1A). Interestingly, regional Aβ burden was only negatively related to hypometabolism in frontal and insular/cingulate areas (see Table 1B). The multivariate analysis approach detected a significant main effect of tau deposition on regional hypometabolism in all regions. Importantly, Aβ burden, when considered in the presence of tau, did not exert an effect on regional hypometabolism, except for the parietal lobe, where an interactive effect was observed (see Table 1C). Finally, the correlation indices of tau and FDG ($r_{\text{mean}} = 0.46$) across participants significantly differed from the correlation of amyloid and FDG ($r_{\text{mean}} = -0.28$) ($Z_{\text{WicoxonSignedRankTest}} = -2.80$, $P = 0.005$). Furthermore, the probability for a positive correlation between tau and FDG was significant ($P_{\text{signTest}} = 0.002$).

**Discussion**

Here, we report the first evidence for an in vivo marker of tau pathology as measured with [$^{18}$F]AV-1451 that relates to the pattern of neurodegeneration as measured with [$^{18}$F]FDG in a sample of clinically diagnosed cases of AD. While the pattern of hypometabolism across brain regions was predicted by the interactive effect of Aβ and tau, regional hypometabolism was consistently associated with the presence of tau but not Aβ, except for the parietal region where an interaction was observed.

Our results are in support of accumulating evidence derived from in vivo animal models and in vitro studies, that neurodegenerative processes are related to an interactive effect of soluble forms of Aβ and hyperphosphorylated tau.\(^9\)\(^-\)\(^11\) Although current PET tracers are insensitive to soluble oligomeric forms of Aβ, studies have shown that an increasing pathological burden of fibrillar monomeric Aβ is associated with a higher prevalence of oligomeric forms.\(^12\) Therefore, it is conceivable that Aβ burden in AD, measured with in vivo PET tracers, may indirectly relate to the toxic oligomeric forms of Aβ and in concert with tau deposition, contribute to patterns of neurodegeneration in AD.

The observed regional dissociation favoring the role of regional tau pathology on patterns of regional hypometabolism may be indicative of tau-mediated neurodegeneration that occurs independent of the presence of Aβ. Although it is still under debate if neurodegeneration underlies tau-dependent Aβ toxicity or Aβ-dependent tau toxicity,\(^13\) there is convincing evidence that the amount and distribution of tau correlates with the severity and the duration of dementia,\(^14\) underscoring the independent contribution of tau pathology.

Our results offer insights into the dynamic interaction of tau pathology and amyloid burden with hypometabolism in typical AD. Most regions showed a direct relationship of tau pathology and hypometabolism, irrespective of Aβ. However, in the parietal region, an early site of amyloid deposition and decreased hypometabolism in AD, an interactive effect of Aβ and tau was observed. This result may be indicative of the joint downstream effect of both protein pathologies in regions affected early in the course of the disease. To further evaluate the contribution of both molecular hallmarks to neurodegeneration, atypical AD representations may be an optimal population to examine the distinct spatial overlap of pathology and hypometabolism.

The lack of a significant relationship between the pattern of tau pathology and hypometabolism in the hippocampus, an early site of tangles deposition in AD\(^15\) is at least at first sight somewhat surprising. Consistent with the literature,\(^16\)\(^17\) we found moderate levels of

---

**Table 1.** Result of univariate (A and B) and multivariate analysis (C) on the relationship among tau and amyloid burden and hypometabolism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>$P$</td>
<td>$r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal lobe</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal lobe</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal lobe</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital lobe</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insular cortex/cingulum</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>-0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1A.** Coefficient Table (A) for the correlation between tau and hypometabolism.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>SE $b$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$P$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporal hypometabolism</td>
<td>Tau deposition</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amyloid deposition</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tau × amyloid</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal hypometabolism</td>
<td>Tau deposition</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amyloid SUVR</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal hypometabolism</td>
<td>Tau deposition</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amyloid deposition</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital hypometabolism</td>
<td>Tau deposition</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amyloid deposition</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tau × amyloid</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1B.** Coefficient Table (B) for the correlation between amyloid and hypometabolism.

**Table 1C.** Coefficient Table (C) for the multivariate analysis.
hypometabolism in the hippocampus of AD patients, while hippocampal tau deposition did not differ from normal age-matched controls. Therefore, hippocampal tau deposition may not be sensitive enough to distinguish normal-aged individuals from AD patients, as tau is present in medial temporal lobe regions in almost all individuals over the age of 65 and maybe representative of primary or additive age-related tauopathy.

Our results offer new avenues for future research: From a spreading perspective, it could be argued, that temporal tau burden spreads to adjacent parietal regions, where elevated levels of Aβ accelerate the independent propagation of tau to other regions. Future approaches including longitudinal studies may test this hypothesis.

Taken together, our data provide the first evidence of the differential contribution of Aβ and tau to patterns of neurodegeneration in AD measured in vivo, which may prove to be critical in the design of disease modifying interventions.
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