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Abstract
Compartmentalization represents a ubiquitous principle used by living organisms to optimize metabolic flux and to avoid detrimental interactions within the cytoplasm. Proteinaceous bacterial microcompartments (BMCs) have therefore created strong interest for the encapsulation of heterologous pathways in microbial model organisms. However, attempts were so far mostly restricted to Escherichia coli. Here, we introduced the carboxysomal gene cluster of Halothiobacillus neapolitanus into the biotechnological platform species Corynebacterium glutamicum. Transmission electron microscopy, fluorescence microscopy and single molecule localization microscopy suggested the formation of BMC-like structures in cells expressing the complete carboxysome operon or only the shell proteins. Purified carboxysomes consisted of the expected protein components as verified by mass spectrometry. Enzymatic assays revealed the functional production of RuBisCO in C. glutamicum both in the presence and absence of carboxysomal shell proteins. Furthermore, we could show that eYFP is targeted to the carboxysomes by fusion to the large RuBisCO subunit. Overall, this study represents the first transfer of an α-carboxysomal gene cluster into a Gram-positive model species supporting the modularity and orthogonality of these microcompartments, but also identified important challenges which need to be addressed on the way towards biotechnological application.
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1. Introduction

Spatial organization is used by all living organisms for the optimization of cellular transport and metabolism. The overall concept of encapsulation of metabolic (sub-) pathways has been found in a variety of bacterial species and is realized, for example, by the formation of bacterial microcompartments (BMCs) (Bobik et al., 2015; Kerfeld and Erbilgin, 2015). The spatial separation of distinct cellular processes confers a selective advantage to the particular host, e.g. by avoiding metabolic interference with other cytoplasmic reactions, encapsulation of toxic intermediates, and increasing pathway efficiency by scaffolding of participating enzymes or increasing local substrate concentration.

A typical BMC consists of metabolic enzymes that are encapsulated by proteins forming a shell with selective permeability, which is controlled by specific protein pores within the shell structure. Different studies revealed the presence of more than seven different BMC types in 23 bacterial phyla (Axen et al., 2014; Kerfeld and Erbilgin, 2015). The genomic organization of BMC gene clusters suggests that these genetic modules are frequent targets of horizontal gene transfer (Gupta, 2012). The most extensively studied classes of BMCs are the carboxysomes (Kerfeld and Melnicki, 2016; Rae et al., 2013) as well as the metabolosomes involved in the catabolism of 1,2-propandiol (Pdu), and ethanolamine (Eut) (Bobik et al., 2015; Chowdhury et al., 2014; Frank et al., 2013; Kerfeld and Erbilgin, 2015).

CO₂-fixing carboxysomes are found in almost all cyanobacteria, where they enhance autotrophic growth via the Calvin cycle (Rae et al., 2013). In general, both types of carboxysomes (α and β), which show significant differences in components and assembly, consist of a protein shell of polyhedral shape encapsulating the RuBisCO (ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase) enzyme and the carbonic anhydrase (Kerfeld and Melnicki, 2016; Rae et al., 2013). Whereas one RuBisCO substrate, ribulose bisphosphate, is freely crossing the carboxysomal shell, CO₂ is produced from bicarbonate in the BMC lumen.
by carbonic anhydrase (Badger and Price, 2003). Thus, the protein shell of the carboxysome plays an important role in enhancing RuBisCO activity by increasing the local CO\textsubscript{2} concentration and by acting as a diffusion barrier for O\textsubscript{2}, the substrate of photorespiration.

BMCs are nowadays highly interesting for synthetic biology and bioengineering approaches. Detailed insights into the molecular factors contributing to the functional assembly and organization are the key for an understanding of BMC function and transfer into a heterologous background. The formation of \( \alpha \)- and \( \beta \)-carboxysomes follows different modes: whereas \( \alpha \)-carboxysomes assemble concomitantly with the aggregation of RuBisCO (Iancu et al., 2010), the assembly of \( \beta \)-carboxysomes is initiated by the aggregation of RuBisCO and the CcmM-CcmN complex at the cell poles (Cameron et al., 2013; Kinney et al., 2012). Within the BMC core, RuBisCO is present in a paracrystalline state, which likely contributes to an optimal substrate channeling (Kaneko et al., 2006). The formation of empty compartment shells has been reported for carboxysomes in a \textit{H. neapolitanus} strain lacking the RuBisCO enzyme (Baker et al., 1998; Menon et al., 2008).

Recent studies explored the use of modular BMCs as synthetic nano-bioreactors enhancing small molecule production of microbial strains. As an important groundwork, the \( \alpha \)-carboxysome of \textit{H. neapolitanus} was expressed in \textit{Escherichia coli}: correct assembly of the carboxysome and the presence of RuBisCO activity was demonstrated (Bonacci et al., 2012). Further studies reported on the heterologous production of Pdu and Eut metabolosomes in \textit{E. coli} (Choudhary et al., 2012; Parsons et al., 2008). These studies emphasized BMCs, in general, as orthogonal modules for synthetic biology approaches. However, in all cases, BMC gene clusters where transferred from a \( \gamma \)-proteobacterial donor strain to \textit{E. coli}, which is likewise a member of the Gammaproteobacteria. Only the study of Lin \textit{et al.} provided a first proof-of-concept of the expression and assembly of \( \beta \)-carboxysomes in chloroplasts of the plant \textit{Nicotiana benthamiana} (Lin et al., 2014).
In this study, we transferred the α-carboxysomal gene cluster of *H. neapolitanus* to the Gram-positive soil bacterium *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. This member of the actinobacteria is used for the large-scale industrial production of amino acids and proteins (Eggeling and Bott, 2005; Wendisch, 2014). Metabolic engineering revealed its strong potential for the production of a variety of further value-added compounds including organic acids, polymer building blocks or plant natural products (Eggeling and Bott, 2015; Heider and Wendisch, 2015; Kallscheuer et al., 2016; Wendisch, 2014). Expression and presumably correct assembly of carboxysomes in *C. glutamicum* was demonstrated by purification of the microcompartments and identification of carboxysomal proteins by mass spectrometry. Different microscopy techniques and the measurement of RuBisCO activity provided further hints for the functionality of these compartments. This study provides important groundwork for the use of modular BMCs in bioengineering approaches for the improvement of small molecule production.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Bacterial strains, plasmids and growth conditions

All bacterial strains used in this study are listed in Table 1. *E. coli* DH5α and Stellar™ were used for cloning procedures and cultivated at 37°C in lysogeny broth (LB, (Sambrook and Russell, 2001)). *E. coli* DH5α was also used as a reference strain for carboxysome production. *C. glutamicum* ATCC 13032 was used as wild type and all derivatives thereof were constructed as indicated in Table 1. *C. glutamicum* was cultivated either in brain heart infusion broth (BHI, Difco Laboratories, Detroit, MI, USA) or in CGXII minimal medium (Keilhauer et al., 1993) supplemented with 3,4-dihydroxybenzoate (30 mg/l) as iron chelator and 2% (w/v) glucose as carbon source. As appropriate, kanamycin (50 µg/ml for *E. coli* or 25 µg/ml for *C. glutamicum*) and/or chloramphenicol (34 µg/ml for *E. coli* or 10 µg/ml for *C. glutamicum*) were added to the medium. Chromosomal DNA of *H. neapolitanus* was obtained from the DSMZ and used as PCR template. For the growth experiment a colony from a fresh agar plate was used to inoculate the preculture of 20 ml BHI in baffled shake flasks. The preculture was incubated at 30°C and 120 rpm overnight. On the following day the cells were washed twice with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 4.3 mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, and 1.4 mM KH$_2$PO$_4$, pH 7.3) and used to inoculate the 750 µl main cultures to a starting OD$_{600}$ of 1. The main cultivation was performed in 48-well flower plates (m2p labs, Baesweiler, Germany) in a BioLector microbioreactor system (m2p labs) at 30°C and 1200 rpm.

2.2 Recombinant DNA work and construction of chromosomal insertion strains

Routine methods such as PCR, DNA restriction and ligation were performed using standard protocols (Hanahan, 1983; Sambrook and Russell, 2001; van der Rest et al., 1999). The oligonucleotides used in this study were obtained from Eurofins Genomics (Ebersberg, Germany) and are listed in Table S1. The QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit, or the MinElute Gel
Extraction Kit (both Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) were used for gel purification of digested plasmids and PCR fragments, respectively. The In-Fusion ® HD Cloning Kit was obtained from Clontech Laboratories (Mountain View, Ca, USA) and used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All plasmid sequences were confirmed by sequencing (Eurofins Genomics, Ebersberg, Germany). Details about plasmid constructions are provided in the supplemental material (Text S1). Integration of carboxysomal gene clusters into the chromosome of C. glutamicum was performed by double-crossover as described previously (Baumgart et al., 2013).

### 2.3 Cell cultivation for carboxysome purification

For carboxysome purification 50 ml BHI with kanamycin in a baffled shake flask were inoculated with a single colony from a fresh transformation of ATCC 13032 carrying pAN6-HNC and cultivated at 30°C and 120 rpm overnight. For the main culture, 500 ml BHI with kanamycin in a baffled shake flask were inoculated with 20 ml of the preculture and cultivated at 30°C and 100 rpm. After 1.5 h the production of the carboxysomes was induced with 0.5 mM Isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and the cells were further cultivated at 20°C until they were harvested on the following morning. The co-purification experiment was performed with the same cultivation procedure but chloramphenicol was added additionally to the medium as the strains were carrying two plasmids. For production of carboxysomes in E. coli 50 ml LB with kanamycin in a baffled shake flask were inoculated with a single colony of a fresh transformation of DH5α carrying pAN6-HNC and cultivated at 37°C and 120 rpm overnight. The main culture (500 ml LB with kanamycin in a baffled shake flask) was inoculated with 20 ml of the preculture and cultivated at 37°C and 100 rpm. At an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5 the production of the carboxysomes was induced with 50 µM IPTG. The cells were further cultivated at 20°C until they were harvested on the following morning.
2.4 Purification of carboxysomes by sucrose gradient centrifugation

In principle, the carboxysome purification was performed as described previously (So et al., 2004), except for some adaptations to *C. glutamicum*. The cells of 1 l of *C. glutamicum* cell culture expressing carboxysomes were harvested and washed once with PBS. The pellet was suspended in 25 ml TEMB (5 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM MgCl₂, 20 mM NaHCO₃). Phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF, 0.5 mM final concentration) and Lysozyme (1 mg/ml final concentration) were added and the cell suspension was incubated slightly tilting for 1 h at room temperature. The cells were harvested and the supernatant was discarded. The pellet was suspended again in 25 ml TEMB containing 0.5 mM PMSF, 5 mM CaCl₂ and 50 µg/ml DNAseI. The cells were disrupted by passing five times through a French pressure cell at 15,000 psi. Subsequently, the suspension was further treated 1x1 min and 4x30 sec with two minutes of cooling in between with a Branson Sonifier 250 (Duty Cycle 40 %, Output Control 1). Cell debris and intact cells were separated by centrifugation at 4300g for 15 min at 4°C. The solution was further clarified by centrifugation in a JA-25.50 rotor at 12,096g and 4°C for 20 min. The supernatant was subsequently centrifuged at 45,000g and 4°C for 40 min to sediment the carboxysomes and membranes. The supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in 5 ml TEMB with BugBuster™ (Novagen) and centrifuged again for 40 min at 4°C and 57,000g. The pellet was then resuspended in a small volume (≤ 1.5 ml) TEMB and centrifuged at 1000g for 3 min. The supernatant was subsequently loaded onto a sucrose gradient that had been prepared as following. Sucrose stock solutions with 10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50% (w/v) sucrose in TEMB were prepared and cooled to 4°C. The gradients were prepared in 16x102mm polyallomer centrifuge tubes by adding first 3 ml of the 10% sucrose solution. This layer was sublayered with 3 ml of each of the other solutions with increasing sucrose concentrations using a syringe with a long cannula. In order to get a continuous gradient, the tubes were stored overnight at 4°C in an upright position. The gradient with the carboxysome solution on top was centrifuged for 40 min at 4 °C and
150,000g in a SW-28.1 rotor to separate the carboxysomes from soluble proteins and remaining cell debris. The gradient was fractionated by taking 1 ml fractions from the top using a pipette. The fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, carboxysome containing fractions were pooled, diluted with TEMB to reduce the sucrose content of the sample and centrifuged for 90 min at 100,000g and 4°C to collect the purified carboxysomes. MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry of carboxysomal proteins was performed as described previously (Koch-Koerfges et al., 2012).

2.5 RuBisCO enzyme assay

The precultures were prepared as described for the purification of the carboxysomes. The 50 ml CGXII main cultures were inoculated with 2 ml preculture. After 24 h, the cells were harvested and 25 mL of the cultures were resuspended in 1 mL EME buffer (100 mM EPPS-NaOH, pH 8.2; 10 mM MgCl₂; 1 mM EDTA). The cell lysis was done by 3x 20 s intervalls at 6000 rpm in a Precellys® 24 homogeniser (Bertin instruments, Montigny-le-Bretonneux, France) and the crude extract was obtained by separating cell debris with a centrifugation step at 12,000g for 10 min at 4°C. The activity of RuBisCO was measured in crude extract using a spectrophotometric assay similar as described in (Kubien et al., 2011). In this assay, the RuBisCO reaction yielding 3-phosphoglycerate (3-PGA) is coupled with 3-PGA kinase (phosphorylates 3-PGA to 1,3 bisphosphoglycerate (1,3-BPG) with ATP) and glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAP-DH, reduces 1,3-BPG to glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate (GA3P) with NADH). For each carboxylated molecule of ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP), two molecules of NADH are oxidized which can be followed at 340 nm. All enzymes were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Munich, Germany). First, an enzyme-mix stock solution was prepared. 2,500 U of 3-PGA kinase (supplied as (NH₄)₂SO₄ suspension) were transferred into a reaction tube and centrifuged (10,000g, 4°C, 20 min). The supernatant was discarded and the pellet resuspended in 1.5 ml EED-buffer (50 mM EPPS-NaOH, pH 7.8; 1 mM EDTA; 1
mM DTT (freshly prepared)). 2,500 U of Creatine-phosphate kinase, 25,000 U of carbonic
anhydrase as well as 2,500 U of GAP-DH were added and the solution was dialyzed (2 x 2h
and 1 x overnight) at 4°C against EDD buffer. Afterwards the solution was centrifuged as
above and the supernatant supplemented with glycerol to a final concentration of 20% (v/v).
Aliquots were flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C until used for the assay. The
assay reaction contained 200 µM NADH, 10 µM NaHCO₃, 1 mM ATP, 5 mM
phosphocreatine, 1/50 volume enzyme mix stock solution and 500 µM RubBP in EME buffer.
All stock solutions were prepared in EME buffer. The measurements were performed in an
Amersham Ultrospec 2100 pro spectrophotometer at 30 °C and the reaction was started with
RuBP. The disappearance of NADH (ε = 6200 l mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹) was measured at 340 nm in an
Amersham Ultrospec 2100 pro spectrophotometer at 30 °C. Before the addition of 10 µL
RuBP, the background activity of 10 µL of each undiluted cell lysate in 480 µL assay solution
was recorded for 1 minute. The actual reaction was monitored for 4 minutes after RuBP
addition. One unit (U) of RuBisCO activity is defined as 1 µmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹.

2.6 Western blotting

The cells were cultivated as described for the carboxysome purification and disrupted with
glass beads. The protein content of the crude cell extracts was measured with the Pierce™
BCA Protein Assay Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, USA). Bovine serum albumine
was used as protein standard. SDS-PAGE was performed with 4–20% Mini-PROTEAN®
TGX™ Precast Protein Gels for detection of CbbL in RuBisCO assay samples and 10 %
Tris/glycine handcast gels for the detection of CbbL-eYFP after copurification with
carboxysomes. The samples were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane and probed with
antibodies. As primary antibodies, Anti-RbcL (Agrisera, Vännas, Sweden) and Anti-GFP
(ab290, Abcam, Cambridge, UK) were used. The alkaline phosphatase conjugated anti-Rabbit
secondary antibody (GE Healthcare, Munich, Germany) was detected using a ECL™ Select
Western Blotting Detection Reagent (GE Healthcare) following the manufacturer's instructions.

2.7 Transmission electron microscopy (TEM)
For the analysis of cells expressing carboxysomes by TEM, samples were prepared as following. The cells were cultivated as described for the carboxysome purification procedure. *C. glutamicum* was harvested after overnight cultivation and *E. coli* 4 h after induction. 1 ml cell suspension was centrifuged for 1 min at 16,000g and the supernatant was discarded. The bacteria were resuspended in 1 ml PBS and fixed with 3% (v/v) glutaraldehyde (Agar Scientific, Wetzlar, Germany) in PBS for at least 4 h. Embedding in epon, staining with uranyl acetate as well as TEM picture collection was performed as described previously (Baumgart et al., 2016).

2.8 Fluorescence microscopy
For fluorescence microscopy, 5 ml BHI with the required antibiotics in a test tube were inoculated with a single colony from a fresh agar plate and incubated at 30°C and 170 rpm overnight. On the following day 100 µl of this preculture were used to inoculate the main culture, 5 ml CGXII-medium with the required antibiotics and 10 µM IPTG. The main culture was incubated at the same conditions as the preculture and cell samples were analyzed by fluorescence microscopy at different time points. The cells were analyzed on agarose pads using a Zeiss Axio Imager M2 microscope that was equipped with an AxioCam MRm camera and a Plan-Apochromat 100x, 1.40 Oil phase contrast oil-immersion objective. Digital images were acquired and analyzed with AxioVision 4.8 software (Zeiss, Göttingen, Germany).
3. Results & Discussion

3.1 Heterologous expression of carboxysomes in *C. glutamicum*

*C. glutamicum* ATCC 13032 is intensively engineered as platform for the production of a variety of value-added compounds in biotechnological processes (Eggeling and Bott, 2015; Heider and Wendisch, 2015). Therefore, the encapsulation of heterologous pathways might represent an elegant approach to minimize detrimental metabolic interactions within the cytoplasm and to enhance productivity. The genome sequences of published *C. glutamicum* strains do not reveal any obvious BMC clusters. Here, we set out to express and assemble functional carboxysomes in *C. glutamicum*. For this purpose, the carboxysome encoding operon of *H. neapolitanus* (Fig. 1A) was cloned into a *C. glutamicum* expression plasmid under control of the IPTG inducible $P_{lac}$ promoter and expressed both in *C. glutamicum* as well as in *E. coli* as a heterologous reference strain where functional assembly was already shown in a previous study (Bonacci et al., 2012). Comparative phenotypical analyses revealed that *C. glutamicum* seems to tolerate the carboxysomes rather well and exhibited normal cell morphology (Figs. S1A and S1B), whereas the *E. coli* strain expressing the carboxysomal operon was growing very slowly even without induction and formed strongly elongated cells with inclusion bodies which are visible as refractive particles within the cell (Figs. S1C and S1D). This drastic impact on growth and cell morphology is in line with the previous work of Bonacci and coworkers who also reported the formation of inclusion bodies in *E. coli* upon stronger induction of the gene cluster (Bonacci et al., 2012).

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) of *C. glutamicum* wild type cells revealed several intracellular structures which likely represent volutin granules composed of polyphosphate as reported in previous studies (Pallerla et al., 2005) (Figs. 1B and S2). Although these were usually roundish and larger than the expected carboxysomes they nevertheless hampered the clear identification of carboxysomes within cells by TEM. *C. glutamicum* cells expressing the
carboxysomal operon from a plasmid (pAN6-HNC or pAN6-HNC+CsoS1D) revealed BMC-like structures, some of which were of hexagonal shape (Figs. 1C and S3). Even in thin sections up to six carboxysome-like structures were visible. These structures were similar to the BMCs observed by Bonacci et al. in *E. coli* (Bonacci et al., 2012) but more irregularly shaped compared to the native host (So et al., 2004). Cells with the chromosomal carboxysome gene cluster showed only structures that looked very much alike those observed in the wild type (data not shown), probably due to rather low expression and a limited number of cells studied.

### 3.2 Purification of carboxysomes from *C. glutamicum* and *E. coli*

To test for the correct assembly of the carboxysomal components, carboxysomes were purified from *C. glutamicum* cells expressing the *H. neapolitanus* operon (Fig. 1A). In contrast to *E. coli*, the cell disruption of *C. glutamicum* represented a major challenge of the purification procedure because of the rigid cell wall architecture hampering cell disruption without destroying the carboxysomes. The final carboxysome yield was much lower compared to *E. coli*. Overall, the purification procedure included several centrifugation steps and is shown schematically in Fig. 2A (So et al., 2004). During the final sucrose gradient centrifugation soluble proteins (stay on top) as well as aggregated proteins and other impurities (sediment to the bottom) are separated from the carboxysomes, which are usually located approximately in the middle of the gradient. The fact, that carboxysomal proteins were identified in the gradient strongly suggested the formation of BMCs, as inclusion bodies and large aggregates would sediment under the applied conditions. (Upadhyay et al., 2012). SDS-PAGE revealed carboxysomal proteins in several fractions of the gradient (Fig. S4). The bands were subjected to MALDI-TOF analysis and all carboxysomal proteins except for CsoS4A and CsoS4B were identified in the *C. glutamicum* sample (Fig. 2B) confirming their expression and presumably the assembly of the carboxysomes.
Although the same plasmid was used for carboxysome production, the protein pattern differed between the two expression hosts. In contrast to *E. coli* (Bonacci et al., 2012), CsoS3 was identified in the *C. glutamicum* sample in two bands at the theoretical molecular weight of about 57.3 kDa (Fig. 2B). While there were two prominent bands for CsoS2 in the *E. coli* carboxysomes, there is only one major CsoS2 band in the *C. glutamicum* sample. The appearance of CsoS2 in different bands has also been reported previously for carboxysomes purified from *E. coli* (Bonacci et al., 2012) or the native host (Baker et al., 1999; So et al., 2004). While it was first hypothesized that the two bands result from different posttranslational modifications (Baker et al., 1999), it was recently proposed that the lower band corresponds to a C-terminally truncated version of CsoS2 (Cai et al., 2015). The fact that the lower CsoS2 band is hardly visible in the *C. glutamicum* carboxysome preparation suggests that the processing of this protein is somehow different in *C. glutamicum* which may also influence the size or shape of the carboxysomes in this species.

TEM analysis of carboxysomes purified from *C. glutamicum* and *E. coli* (Figs. 2C and 2D) revealed that the microcompartments from *C. glutamicum* where much smaller than those from *E. coli* and their shape appeared to be more round than hexagonal. The compartments look different to previously published pictures of carboxysomes (Bonacci et al., 2012) but this might be a result from the different preparation techniques used. Considering the differences in cell wall architecture, larger BMCs of *C. glutamicum* might have become a victim of the cell disruption protocol. Alternatively, differences in the processing and/or modification of carboxysomal proteins (e.g. CsoS2, see above) might influence the BMC morphology. We also tested the co-expression of the *csoSID* gene which may be relevant for pore formation and significantly improved the carboxysome quality in *E. coli* (Bonacci et al., 2012). But this apparently did not stabilize the carboxysomes in *C. glutamicum* (data not shown). Overall, the
purification via the sucrose gradient adds a further piece of evidence for the successful assembly of heterologous carboxysomes in *C. glutamicum*.

### 3.3 Chromosomal integration of the carboxysomal gene cluster

In order to be independent of plasmid-based expression of carboxysomes in further studies, *C. glutamicum* strains with chromosomally integrated gene-clusters were constructed. Resulting strains contained either the whole carboxysome operon (Fig. 1A) or just the genes for the shell proteins under control of the constitutive promoter *P*\textsubscript{tuf}. It has been reported that *H. neapolitanus* carboxysomal proteins are able to form empty microcompartments in the absence of the RuBisCO proteins CbbLS (Menon et al., 2008). Western blot analysis of crude cell extracts with anti-RbcL-antibodies showed that RuBisCO was expressed by the strains with the chromosomal or plasmid encoded native carboxysome operon (Fig. 3A). However, the RuBisCO amount was much lower compared to the plasmid based expression of only *cbbLS* upon induction (pAN6-HNcbbLS).

### 3.4 RuBisCO activity in carboxysome producing strains

The activity of RuBisCO was assayed in different strains to assess, whether the enzyme is produced in an active state in *C. glutamicum*. The crude extract of *C. glutamicum* carrying plasmid pAN6-HNcbbLS displayed an activity of 100.6 ± 11.2 mU/mg cell extract (Fig. 3B) confirming the functional production of the heterologous RuBisCO enzyme in *C. glutamicum*. In the strain expressing the whole carboxysome operon from a plasmid, the activity was 0.6 ± 0.4 mU/mg cell extract without induction and 14.3 ± 3.8 mU/mg cell extract after induction with IPTG. This activity is clearly above the background level (0.1 ± 0.1 mU/mg cell extract) and, thus, confirms the enzymatic activity of the RuBisCO when co-expressed with the other carboxysomal proteins. The strain carrying the chromosomal carboxysome operon shows a RuBisCO activity of 3.6 ± 1.4 mU/mg cell extract which is also significantly above
background. In all samples, the measured RuBisCO activity correlated well with the protein amount as demonstrated by Western blotting (Fig. 3A).

3.5 Influence of carboxysome expression on growth of C. glutamicum

In further experiments, the impact of carboxysome expression on growth was monitored. While the chromosomal integration had no significant effect on growth (Fig. 4A) there was a slight effect on growth when the carboxysomes were expressed from a plasmid, but the cells reached almost the same final backscatter compared to the empty plasmid control (Fig. 4B). For *E. coli* it was shown that the expression of carboxysomes rescues the growth defect of a strain expressing phosphoribulokinase (PRK) by counteracting the irreversible production of D-ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate, but this strain still grows worse than the wild type (Bonacci et al., 2012). Attempts to construct a *C. glutamicum* strain expressing *prkA* were extremely hindered already at the cloning stage by the high toxicity of PrkA in the absence of RuBisCO (data not shown).

3.6 Localization studies with carboxysomal proteins fused to fluorescent proteins

Fusion proteins of carboxysomal and fluorescent proteins have previously been used to analyze and visualize carboxysome formation in living cells (Bonacci et al., 2012; Savage et al., 2010). To further investigate the assembly of carboxysomes in *C. glutamicum*, several fusions of carboxysomal proteins to fluorescent proteins were constructed and analyzed in different strains by fluorescence microscopy. In a first attempt, the carboxysomal shell protein CsoS1A was fused C-terminally to CFP. In the wild type, CsoS1A-CFP was evenly distributed in the cell (Fig. 5A) which is in contrast to the distribution of CsoS1A-GFP in *E. coli* were this fusion protein was reported to form large polar aggregates even in the absence of any other carboxysomal protein (Bonacci et al., 2012). When the same construct was used in the carboxysome encoding strains, several foci appeared suggesting that the fusion protein was targeted to the carboxysomal shell (Fig. 5A). As control, *cfp* alone was expressed in the
strains expressing carboxysomal proteins and it was evenly distributed in all cases (data not shown). In *E. coli*, the co-expression of CsoS1A-GFP with carboxysomes did not abolish inclusion body formation but led to the appearance of faint, additional cytoplasmic foci (Bonacci et al., 2012). Similar results to CsoS1A-CFP were obtained for CsoS2-eYFP (Fig. 5B). This fusion was further analyzed by single molecule localization microscopy (SMLM), revealing fluorescent foci of different size and distribution (60 – 250 nm large) in about 40% of the cells (Text S1, Fig. S5, Table S2).

Constructs of *H. neapolitanus* carbonic anhydrase HNCA, CbbL and CbbS fused to eYFP already formed foci in the wild type without any additional carboxysomal proteins suggesting the formation of protein aggregates (Fig. S6 and data not shown). However, the amount of CbbS-eYFP foci was increased in the presence of the other carboxysomal proteins (strain ATCC 13032::HNC-whole; on average 1.34 foci per cell, n=179) in comparison to a strain lacking the operon (0.85 foci per cell, n=146).

In further experiments, CsoS1A-CFP was combined with CsoS2-eYFP, CbbL-eYFP or CbbS-eYFP within one cell to study their co-localization (Fig. 5C). In these experiments the proteins already formed some foci when co-expressed in the wild type, but the share of cells with foci was always increased when whole carboxysomes or just the shell proteins were present (Fig. S7). In the wildtype, about 20% co-localization was observed for the combination of CbbL-eYFP with CsoS1A-CFP but not for the other combinations (Fig. 5D). In the presence of carboxysomal proteins, the frequency of co-localization increased to 40-100% (Fig. 5D). Taking these results together, we could show that the presence of carboxysomal proteins significantly increased the frequency of foci formation of fusion proteins that are evenly distributed when expressed alone (CsoS1A-CFP and CsoS2-eYFP). Furthermore, the production of carboxysomal proteins enforced the co-localization of different carboxysomal proteins. However, in several cells the appearance of large polar...
structures was observed suggesting the formation of protein aggregates in cells expressing the carboxysomal gene cluster. Therefore, further attempts described in the following paragraph focused on the optimization of the expression level.

3.7 Impact of induction level on carboxysome formation

Previous studies in *E. coli* showed that the best carboxysome formation was observed at low or medium induction levels (Bonacci et al., 2012). To study the influence of induction on carboxysome formation in *C. glutamicum* we constructed a plasmid containing a csoS1A-eYFP fusion under control of a constitutive promoter (P_{acn}, promoter of the *C. glutamicum* aconitase gene). This plasmid was used to transform ATCC 13032::HNC-whole carrying the chromosomal carboxysome operon under control of a constitutive promoter as well as strain ATCC 13032 carrying the plasmid encoded and inducible carboxysome operon (pAN6-HNC). This setup allowed analyzing the impact of the expression level of the carboxysomal gene cluster on carboxysome formation while the amount of CsoS1A-eYFP was kept constant. Whereas in cells with low induction level or chromosomally encoded carboxysomes only a few fluorescent foci (0-2) were observed. Induction of ATCC 13032 pAN6-HNC correlated with an increase of fluorescent foci in number and size over time (Fig. 6 and Fig. S8). Here, the appearance of larger foci at high induction levels likely reveals the appearance of protein aggregates. Upon high induction levels, cells typically elongated showing the formation of intracellular inclusion bodies visible as refractive particles in the phase contrast. Imaging of stationary cells (at 25 h) indicated the formation of aggregated CsoS1A-eYFP protein, which was evenly distributed in the exponential phase (Fig. S9). Therefore, we focused on the analysis of different induction levels on carboxysomes formation in exponentially growing cells.
3.8 Co-purification of CbbL-eYFP with carboxysomes

For the use of microcompartments as nano-bioreactors it is essential to incorporate enzymes of interest into the carboxysomal shells. Early studies proposed that the shell forms first and RuBisCO is subsequently transported into the carboxysomes (Price and Badger, 1991). However, more recent results argue against this model and suggest that shell assembly and RuBisCO happens simultaneously and is more likely driven by protein-protein interactions than by special signal sequences (Iancu et al., 2010). To further investigate whether proteins fused to carboxysomal proteins are targeted to our carboxysomes, we tested whether CbbL-eYFP can be purified together with whole carboxysomes. As control, a strain expressing the carboxysome operon and eyfp alone was used. Carboxysomes were purified as described above and samples of the sucrose gradient were probed with antibodies against eYFP or CbbL. While the amount of carboxysomes purified from both samples was very similar, only the sample of the strain expressing the fusion protein displayed a band of about 80 kDa corresponding to the molecular weight of CbbL-eYFP (Fig. 7). These data suggest that proteins fused to CbbL were targeted to the heterologous BMCs.

4. Conclusion

Carboxysomes represent the currently best-studied proteinaceous bacterial microcompartments. Their overall modularity provoked strong interests for application in synthetic biology, most importantly for the encapsulation and scaffolding of heterologous pathways. The potential use of carboxysomes as nano-bioreactors for biotechnological application requires a detailed knowledge of the assembly and cell biology in their native hosts. Regarding the heterologous production of bacterial microcompartments, all studies so far focused on E. coli (Bonacci et al., 2012), with one exception where β-carboxysomes were introduced into chloroplasts of the plant Nicotiana benthamiana (Lin et al., 2014). Several recent studies report on the modular character of BMC and their potential for improving the
performance of synthetic metabolic pathways. However, an implementation into metabolic
engineering approaches demands for the successful assembly of BMC shells within the
particular host system. With this study, we highlight several challenges affecting the transfer
and heterologous establishment of BMCs into a well-characterized model organism such as C.
glutamicum. Notably, the expression of carboxysomal gene clusters slightly affected cell
morphology and growth but this effect is less severe than the effect caused by the expression
of the same gene cluster in E. coli. However, a strong piece of evidence for the assembly of
BMC-like structures – at least in some of the cells - is the presence of almost all
carroxsomal proteins in the middle of the sucrose gradient. Furthermore, we observed
significant differences when comparing the two model strains E. coli and C. glutamicum in
terms of the overall cell morphology and growth as well as in processing/modification of
carroxsomal shell proteins (e.g. differences in the band pattern for CsoS2). In E. coli, the
additional expression of the phosphoribulokinase gene (prkA) enabled the establishment of a
synthetic carbon fixing organelle (Bonacci et al., 2012). However, our attempts in C.
glutamicum revealed significant challenges already at the cloning stage due to the high
toxicity of the prkA gene (data not shown). Obviously, the successful production of synthetic
microcompartments depends on extensive adaption to achieve optimal functionality in the
particular host system. Optimization of BMC assembly and functionality will include
balancing of the stoichiometry of BMC proteins, consideration of interactions with the
cytoskeleton, additional assembly factors and efficient targeting of cargo proteins into the
BMC lumen (Cheng et al., 2012; Parsons et al., 2010; Rae et al., 2012). Consequently, further
studies are required for benchmarking the potential value of synthetic BMCs for
biotechnological production by weighing the pros and cons for the particular process.
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### Table 1

**Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or plasmid</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Source or Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5α</td>
<td>F– endA1  Φ80d/lacZΔM15  Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169 recA1  relA1  hsdR17 (rK’/m’K”)  deoR  supE44  thi-1  gyrA96  phoA  λ–; strain used for general cloning procedures and carboxysome expression</td>
<td>(Hanahan, 1983)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stellar™</td>
<td>F– endA1  Φ80d/lacZΔM15  Δ(lacZYA-argF)U169 recA1  relA1  supE44  thi-1  gyrA96  phoA  Δ(mrr-rsdRMS-mcrBC)  ΔmcrA  λ–; strain used for InFusion cloning</td>
<td>Clontech Laboratories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>C. glutamicum</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC 13032</td>
<td>Biotin-auxotrophic wild type</td>
<td>(Kinoshita et al., 1957)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC 13032::HNC-whole</td>
<td>Derivative of ATCC 13032 with a chromosomal insertion of the carboxysomal gene cluster under control of P_{tuf} between cg1121 and cg1122.</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC 13032::HNC-shell</td>
<td>Derivative of ATCC 13032 with a chromosomal insertion of the carboxysomal shell gene cluster under control of P_{tuf} between cg1121 and cg1122.</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H. neapolitanus</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM 15147</td>
<td>Wildtype, DNA obtained from the DSMZ</td>
<td>(Kelly and Wood, 2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAN6</td>
<td>Kan^R;  <em>C. glutamicum</em>/E. coli shuttle plasmid for regulated gene expression using P_{lac} (P_{lac} lac^R pBL1 oriV_{Ec} pUC18 oriV_{Ec})</td>
<td>(Frunzke et al., 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAN6-HNC</td>
<td>Kan^R; derivative of pAN6 containing the <em>H. neapolitanus</em> carboxysome gene cluster</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAN6-HNC-shell</td>
<td>Kan^R; derivative of pAN6 containing the <em>H. neapolitanus</em> carboxysome gene cluster without the genes for RuBisCO and carbonic anhydrase</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAN6-HNC+CsoS1D</td>
<td>Kan^R; derivative of pAN6-HNC with additional csoS1D of <em>H. neapolitanus</em></td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pAN6-HNcbbLS</td>
<td>Kan^R; derivative of pAN6 containing the <em>H. neapolitanus</em> RuBisCO</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pK18-mobsacB-int1</td>
<td>Kan^R; plasmid for integration of foreign DNA into the intergenic region between cg1121-cg1122 (oriV_{E.c.}, sacB, lacZa)</td>
<td>(Baumgart et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid Name</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Source/Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pK18-HNC-int</td>
<td>Kan^R, derivative of pK18-int1 for the chromosomal integration of the whole <em>H. neapolitanus</em> carboxysomal gene cluster under control of P_{tuf}</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pK18-HNC-shell-int</td>
<td>Kan^R, derivative of pK18-int1 for the chromosomal integration of the <em>H. neapolitanus</em> carboxysomal shell gene cluster under control of P_{tuf}</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEC-XC99E</td>
<td>Cm^R; <em>C. glutamicum/E. coli</em> shuttle plasmid for regulated gene expression using P_{Int}(P_{trc} lac^R pGA1 oriV_{Cp} oriV_{Ec})</td>
<td>(Kirchner and Tauch, 2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEC-eYFP</td>
<td>Cm^R, derivative of pEC-XC99E containing <em>eyfp</em> with artificial RBS, under control of P_{trc}</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEC-HNcbbL-eYFP</td>
<td>Cm^R; derivative of pEC-XC99E, contains the coding sequence of <em>H. neapolitanus cbbL</em> with RBS fused C-terminally to <em>eyfp</em></td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEC-cbbS-eYFP</td>
<td>Cm^R; derivative of pEC-XC99E, contains the coding sequence of <em>H. neapolitanus cbbS</em> with RBS fused C-terminally to <em>eyfp</em></td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEC-HNCA-eYFP</td>
<td>Cm^R; derivative of pEC-XC99E, contains the coding sequence of <em>H. neapolitanus CsoS3</em> (carbonic anhydrase) with RBS fused C-terminally to <em>eyfp</em></td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEC-CsoS2-eYFP</td>
<td>Cm^R; derivative of pEC-XC99E, contains the coding sequence of <em>H. neapolitanus csoS2</em> with RBS fused C-terminally to <em>eyfp</em> under control of the constitutive <em>C. glutamicum</em> aconitase promoter P_{acn}</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEC-Pacn-CsoS1A-eYFP</td>
<td>Cm^R; derivative of pEC-XC99E, contains the coding sequence of <em>H. neapolitanus csoS1A</em> with RBS fused C-terminally to <em>eyfp</em></td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEKEx2</td>
<td>Kan^R; <em>C. glutamicum/E. coli</em> shuttle vector for regulated gene expression using P_{tac} (P_{tac} lac^R pBL1 oriV_{Cp} pUC18 oriV_{Ec})</td>
<td>(Eikmanns et al., 1991)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEKEx2-eyfp</td>
<td>Kan^R, pEKEx2 containing <em>eyfp</em> with artificial RBS, under control of P_{tac}</td>
<td>(Hentschel et al., 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEKEx2-CFP</td>
<td>Kan^R; derivative of pEKEx2, contains the <em>cfp</em> coding sequence with RBS</td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pEKEx2-CsoS1A-CFP</td>
<td>Kan^R; derivative of pEKEx2, contains the coding sequence of <em>H. neapolitanus csoS1A</em> with RBS fused C-terminally to <em>cfp</em></td>
<td>This work</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Figure legends**

**Fig. 1.** Heterologous expression of carboxysomes in *C. glutamicum*. The carboxysome gene cluster of *H. neapolitanus* (A) with *cbbLS* encoding the large and the small subunit of the RuBisCO enzyme and *csoS3* encoding carbonic anhydrase. *csoS1CAB, csoS2* as well as *csoS4AB* encode shell proteins. The genes correspond to Hneap_0922 to Hneap_0914, data taken from (Alm et al., 2005). (B) and (C), TEM pictures of *C. glutamicum* wild type (B) and *C. glutamicum* expressing carboxysomes from plasmid pAN6-HNC (C) at a magnification of $\times46,000$. 

---

$\text{A} \quad \text{cbbL} \quad \text{cbbS} \quad \text{csoS2} \quad \text{csoS3} \quad \text{csoS4AB} \quad \text{csoS1CAB}$

$\text{C} \quad \text{A} \quad \text{B}$
Fig. 2. Carboxysome purification using sucrose gradient centrifugation and TEM pictures of carboxysomes purified from *C. glutamicum* and *E. coli*. (A) Scheme of the carboxysome purification from *C. glutamicum*. For details on the purification procedure, see section 2.4. SN, supernatant. (B) Carboxysomes purified from *E. coli* and *C. glutamicum* carrying pAN6-HNC after the sucrose gradient centrifugation. Presented is an SDS-PAGE of one sample of the middle of the gradient. Positions of marker proteins are indicated. Full pictures of the gradients are presented as Fig. S4. The carboxysomal proteins were identified by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. (C) TEM pictures of carboxysomes purified from *C. glutamicum* (C) and *E. coli* (D) at a magnification of ×80,000.
Fig. 3. Production and enzymatic activity of RuBisCO in *C. glutamicum*. The plasmid-based protein production of ATCC 13032 pAN6-HNC and ATCC 13032 pAN6-HNcbbLS under control of $P_{tac}$ was induced with 500 µM IPTG. The expression of the HNC operon in ATCC 13032::HNC-whole was under control of the constitutive promoter $P_{tuf}$. ATCC 13032 without plasmid was used as control (WT). The cells were harvested after 24 h of cultivation in CGXII medium with 2% (w/v) glucose. (A) 20 µg protein of each cell lysate as well as 4 µg RuBisCO were separated by SDS-PAGE (upper panel) and further analyzed by Western blot (lower panel) with antibodies against CbbL. The black arrows indicate the size corresponding to the large subunit of RuBisCO, CbbL (53 kDa). (B) Specific activity of RuBisCO in crude cell extracts. The activities are the mean of three biological replicates. Mean values were compared to the WT (two-tailed Student’s t test, $n = 3$, $^*p < 0.05$, $^{**}p < 0.01$, $^{***}p < 0.001$). One unit is defined as 1 µmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$. 
Fig. 4. Growth of *C. glutamicum* expressing carboxysomes either chromosomally (A) or plasmid based (B). The cells were precultivated in BHI medium overnight, washed in PBS buffer and used to inoculate the main cultures consisting of 750 µl CGXII with 2% (w/v) glucose (A) and additionally kanamycin and IPTG as indicated (B).
Fig. 5. Localization of carboxysomal proteins fused to fluorescent proteins in cells expressing the native carboxysome operon or only carboxysomal shell genes. After precultivation in BHI media over night the cells were diluted 1:50 into CGXII glucose medium with the required antibiotics and 10 µM IPTG. Fluorescence microscopy was performed after 4-6 h of cultivation. (A) and (B) show the results of cells with a single fusion protein. (C) shows the results of cells expressing two different fusion proteins (CbbS-eYFP and CsoS1A-CFP). (D) summarizes the frequency of co-localized CsoS1A-CFP foci when eYFP foci are present in a cell in different strains. Besides the CbbS-eYFP that is also shown in (C), the localization of CbbL-eYFP and CsoS2-eYFP in combination with CsoS1A-CFP was analyzed. Scale bars 5 µm.
Fig. 6. Influence of different induction levels on foci formation in cells expressing the carboxysomal gene cluster. All strains are carrying plasmid pEC-Pacn-CsoS1A-eYFP encoding a fusion protein of CsoS1A and eYFP under control of a constitutive promoter. This plasmid was used to transform ATCC 13032::HNC-whole carrying the chromosomal carboxysome operon under control of a constitutive promoter, ATCC 13032 with the plasmid encoded and inducible carboxysome operon (pAN6-HNC) as well as ATCC 13032 carrying the empty plasmid pAN6 as control. The cells were cultivated as described in the legend of Fig. 5 but chloramphenicol was added to all cultures and kanamycin to all cultures except for ATCC 13032::HNC-whole. Pictures were taken 2 h after start of the main culture. The IPTG amount used for induction of the carboxysomal gene cluster is given on the right. Scale bar 5 µm.
Fig. 7. Co-purification of CbbL-eYFP with carboxysomes. ATCC 13032 was transformed with pAN6-HNC and either pEC-cbbL-eYFP or pEC-eYFP as control. SDS PAGEs (upper panels) and Western blots (lower panels) of carboxysomes purified from both strains. Presented is for each strain a fraction from the middle of the sucrose gradient. The upper arrow corresponds to the size of CbbL-eYFP with 80 kDa, the lower arrow indicates the size of CbbL with 53 kDa. For the blot probed with Anti-GFP-antibodies, 20 µg proteins were used. For the detection of CbbL, 5 µg proteins were loaded per lane.