A search for low-energy neutrinos correlated with gravitational wave events GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104 with the Borexino detector

We present the results of a low-energy neutrino search using the Borexino detector in coincidence with the gravitational wave (GW) events GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104. We searched for correlated neutrino events with energies greater than 25 keV within a time window of ±500 s centered around the GW detection time. A total of five candidates were found for all three GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104. This is consistent with the number of expected solar neutrino and
background events. As a result, we have obtained the best current upper limits on the GW event neutrino fluence of all flavors ($\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau$) in the energy range (0.5 – 5.0) MeV.

I. INTRODUCTION

The observation of two gravitational wave events GW150914 and GW151226 and the candidate LVT151012 by the LIGO experiment [1–3] triggered an intensive follow-up campaign in neutrino detectors [4–7]. Čerenkov neutrino telescopes (ANTARES, IceCube [4]) and Pierre Auger Observatory [5] have searched for high energy neutrinos above 100 GeV and 100 PeV respectively. KamLAND has searched for inverse beta decay (IBD) antineutrino events with energies in the range (1.8 – 111) MeV [6] and Super-Kamiokande has reported the results for neutrino signals in neutrino energy range from 3.5 MeV to 100 PeV [7]. The neutrino and antineutrino events within a time window of ±500 seconds around the gravitational wave detection time were analyzed in the detectors mentioned above, but no evidence for an excess of coincident neutrino events was reported.

Electromagnetic detectors of photons, including X- and $\gamma$-rays [8–13] also did not show any counterpart for various wavelengths of electromagnetic radiation except a weak coincident excess above 50 keV and 0.4 s after GW150914 claimed by Fermi gamma-ray burst monitor [12, 14]. Data from the detectors listed above would be very important in determining the location of the GW source in the sky.

Combination of data from gravitational, neutrino and electromagnetic detectors forms a new multi-messenger approach leading to a more complete understanding of astrophysical and cosmological processes through combination of information from different probes.

Recently LIGO and Virgo Collaboration reported the observation of GW170104, a gravitational-wave signal measured on January 4, 2017 and produced by 50-solar mass binary black hole coalescence [15]. Here we report the results of a search for signals with visible energy above 0.25 MeV in the Borexino detector in coincidence with GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104 events. We look for neutrino signals from $\nu_e, \nu_x=\mu, \tau$ and antineutrinos $\bar{\nu}_e, \bar{\nu}_x=\mu, \tau$ originated in GW events that scatter on electrons. We also search for signals of $\bar{\nu}_e$ that induce IBD on protons. Using the unique features of the Borexino detector – outstanding low background level, large scintillator mass and low energy threshold – new limits on low-energy neutrino fluence correlated with detected GW events have been obtained.

II. BOREXINO DETECTOR

Borexino is a liquid scintillator detector located underground at 3400 meters of water equivalent in the Gran Sasso Laboratory, Italy.
The detector was carefully purified with various liquid handling procedures including water extraction campaign and shows exceptionally low level of radioactive impurities in the bulk of the inner vessel fluid [17].

A detailed description of the detector could be found elsewhere [17–29].

Borexino first detected and then precisely measured the flux of the $^7$Be solar neutrinos [20, 21, 30], has ruled out any significant day-night asymmetry of their interaction rate [26], has made the first direct observation of pep neutrinos [27], has made the first spectral measurement of pp-neutrinos [28] and has set the best upper limit on the flux of solar neutrinos produced in the CNO cycle [27]. The uniquely low background level of the Borexino detector made it possible to set new limits on the effective magnetic moment of the neutrino [21], on the stability of the electron for decay into a neutrino and a photon [31], on the heavy sterile neutrino mixing in $^8$B decay [32], on the possible violation of the Pauli exclusion principle [33], on the flux of high energy solar axions [34], on antineutrinos from the Sun and other unknown sources [35], on Gamma-Ray bursts neutrino and antineutrino fluences [36] and on some other rare processes.

### III. DATA SELECTION

The aim of data selection is to provide maximum exposure with minimum background contribution. Since the electron neutrino scattering searched in the current analysis has no interaction signature, background reduction has to be performed in a generic manner as a reduction of the detector count rate per unit of exposure. Thus, one should take the following background sources into consideration:

- Short-lived cosmogenic backgrounds ($\tau \lesssim 1$ s) produced within the detector fiducial volume, such as $^{12}$B, $^8$He, $^9$C, $^7$Li etc.
- Other cosmogenic backgrounds, produced within the detector fiducial volume, such as $^{11}$Be, $^{10}$C, $^{11}$C etc.
- Backgrounds of the inner nylon vessel, such as $^{210}$Pb and Uranium/Thorium decay chains.
- Natural backgrounds contained in the bulk of the detector fluid such as $^{14}$C, $^{85}$Kr, $^{210}$Bi and $^{210}$Pb.

These backgrounds can be suppressed by using information coming from the processed detector data such as ID/OD coincidences and position reconstruction. Cosmogenic backgrounds can be reduced by applying the detector temporal veto after each muon that could be discriminated through coincidence with outer veto as well as by pulse-shape discrimination [25]. A veto length of 0.3 s after muons is applied to suppress $^{12}$B to a statistically non-significant level and reduce $^8$He, $^9$C and $^7$Li by factor of 3 with a live time loss of 1 %.

Backgrounds contained in the bulk can not be avoided since they can not be localized neither spatially nor temporally. Nevertheless, the number of counts can be reduced by setting a cut on visible energy. This is important specifically due to the presence of $^{14}$C in the scintillator. $^{14}$C produces a beta-spectrum with an endpoint of 0.156 MeV and has activity of roughly 110 Bq in the whole inner vessel.

The presence of this spectral component sets the lower threshold of the analysis to 0.25 MeV of visible energy. An additional threshold of 0.4 MeV of visible energy is

---

1 Visible energy spectrum of $^{14}$C is broadened up to this value due to the detector energy resolution.
also used to reduce the $^{210}$Po and $^{210}$Bi background decays and the $^7$Be solar neutrino scattering on electrons.

Backgrounds contained in the nylon of IV can not be removed by any kind of purification and are therefore of the order of $10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$ times higher than within the bulk of the scintillator. The most dangerous components are $^{214}$Bi and $^{208}$Tl decays. These nuclides undergo $\beta$ and $\beta + \gamma$ decay processes with a continuous spectrum overlapping with the region used by this analysis. The only way to overcome this kind of background is to perform a geometrical cut on events, selecting those within a fiducial volume. The fiducial volume is defined such that all events within and further than 75 cm away from the IV are kept which corresponds to 3 standard deviations of position reconstruction uncertainty at the lowest energy threshold. The corresponding fiducial volume has a mass of 145 t.

The energy spectra after applying these data selection cuts for both weeks containing GW events are shown in Fig. 2. The spectrum is dominated by $^{14}$C in the region below 0.250 MeV of visible energy, electron recoil from solar $^7$Be neutrinos in 0.25 − 1 MeV, by cosmogenic $^{11}$C in 1 − 2 MeV region and by external gamma-quanta of $^{214}$Bi and $^{208}$Tl in 2 − 3 MeV region. All these components can not be significantly reduced by any available data selection techniques without serious exposure loss. The final rates of background events are shown in Table I.

IV. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

The observations of GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104 events were made on 14 September and 26 December 2015, and 4 January 2017 respectively, at times when the Borexino detector was taking data. The detection time and visible energy of Borexino events passing all data selection cuts in ±3000 s windows around GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104 are shown in Fig. 3.

A time window of ±500 s around the GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104 detection times is applied for further analysis. This time window covers the possible delay of a neutrino which propagates slower than GW (for a claimed distance of d ≈ 440 Mpc for GW151226 and the delay reaches 440 s for a 0.5 MeV neutrino with 70 meV mass), as well as possible earlier emission of neutrinos due to poorly constrained details of black hole - black hole (BH-BH) merger. Moreover, the choice is consistent with the time window chosen in [4-7].

Two visible energy ranges are used in this analysis, the first is from 0.25 MeV to 15 MeV and the second extends from 0.4 to 15 MeV. The lower threshold of 0.25 MeV allows us to register neutrinos with energy as low as 0.41 MeV via neutrino-electron elastic scattering.

Figure 3: Borexino events between 0.25 MeV and 15 MeV visible energy occurring within ±3000 s of the GW150914 (black circles), the GW151226 (red squares) and the GW170104 (blue triangles) detection times. The closest events with energy 0.267 MeV, 0.485 MeV and 0.700 MeV occurred at 265 s, 291 s and 270 s after the GW150914, the GW151226 and GW170104, correspondingly. All events are consistent with the expected solar neutrino and background count rate.

Applying the selection cuts listed above leaves five candidates within ±500 s search window around the GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104 detection time respectively(Fig. 3). The closest events with energy 0.267 MeV, 0.485 MeV and 0.700 MeV occurred at 265 s, 291 s and 270 s after the GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104, respectively. One should note there are no extra events below 1 MeV within an extended window of ±1000 s. A delay of 1000 s corresponds to a 70 meV neutrino which has traveled 990 Mpc (the distance from GW170104 is 880 Mpc) with the minimal detectable en-
energy of 0.41 MeV.

According to Borexino data from weekly runs containing the GW events, the total number of neutrino and background events expected in three 1000-second time windows is (6.5±0.1)×10^3 s 145 t^-1 and (5.1±0.1)×10^3 s 145 t^-1 for energy intervals (0.25 – 15) MeV and (0.4 – 15) MeV, respectively (Table I).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GW event</th>
<th>Threshold (MeV)</th>
<th>Count rate (ev/1000 s)</th>
<th>Detected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GW150914</td>
<td>0.25/0.4</td>
<td>2.07±0.06/1.68±0.06</td>
<td>2/0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW151226</td>
<td>0.25/0.4</td>
<td>2.15±0.06/1.72±0.06</td>
<td>1/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GW170104</td>
<td>0.25/0.4</td>
<td>2.28±0.07/1.72±0.06</td>
<td>2/1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I: Average Borexino count rate in 7 day runs containing the GW events in terms of events per 1000 s interval for 0.25 MeV and 0.4 MeV thresholds. The number of registered events inside ±500 s interval is shown in the rightmost column.

The upper limits on the fluence without oscillation for monoenergetic (anti-)neutrinos with energy Eν are calculated as follows:

\[
\Phi = \frac{N_{90}(E_\nu, n_{obs}, n_{bkg})}{\epsilon N_e \sigma(E_{th}, E_\nu)} \tag{1}
\]

where \(N_{90}(E_\nu, n_{obs}, n_{bkg})\) is the 90 % C.L. upper limit on the number of GW-correlated events in \((E_{th}, E_\nu)\) range per single GW event, \(\epsilon\) is the recoil electron detection efficiency, \(N_e = 4.79 \times 10^{31}\) is the number of electrons in the Borexino fiducial volume, \(\sigma(E_{th}, E_\nu)\) is the total neutrino-electron cross-section integrated over the \((E_{th}, E_\nu)\) interval. The recoil electron detection efficiency equals 1 with precision of fiducial volume definition of 4%. The upper limit \(N_{90}(E_\nu, n_{obs}, n_{bkg})\) is calculated for the total number of observed events \(n_{obs}\) and for the known mean background \(n_{bkg}\) in accordance with the procedure [39]. The total cross-section \(\sigma(E_{th}, E_\nu)\) is obtained by integrating the \((\nu, e)\)-scattering cross-section \(d\sigma(E_\nu)/dE_e\) over recoil electron energies \(E_e\) between the electron threshold energy \(E_{th}\) and the neutrino energy \(E_\nu\):

\[
\sigma(E_{th}, E_\nu) = \int \frac{d\sigma(E_\nu, E_e)}{dE_e} dE_e \tag{2}
\]

The limits obtained for various neutrino energies are summarized in Table I along with the results from SuperKamiokande [41]. Borexino has set the best limits in the neutrino energy interval (0.5 – 5) MeV.

Since electron antineutrinos with energies greater than 1.8 MeV can interact with protons via IBD, we calculate their fluence upper limits for monoenergetic antineutrinos using relation [41] but replacing \(N_e\) with number of protons \(N_p\). The analysis is similar to a geo-neutrino search by Borexino based on (5.5±0.3)×10^{31} protons × yr exposure. Only 77 antineutrino candidates were registered within 1842 live-time days of data taking [41]. IBD interactions were detected by coincidence of a positron and then a delayed neutron with detection efficiency of 84.2±1.5 %. No IBD interactions were observed in ±500 s time windows around GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104 where the expected background is close to zero, so the 90% C.L. upper limits on the number of GW correlated events \(N_{90}(E_\nu, n_{obs}, n_{bkg})\) is 2.44 [39]. The IBD cross-section for antineutrinos was calculated according to [42]. The results are shown on Fig. 4 line 5 and in table I column 6.

![Figure 4: Borexino 90% C.L. fluence upper limits obtained through neutrino-electron elastic scattering for \(\nu_e\) (line 1), \(\bar{\nu}_e\) (line 2), \(\nu_\mu,\tau\) (line 3), \(\bar{\nu}_\mu,\tau\) (line 4) and through inverse beta-decay for \(\bar{\nu}_e\) (line 5). Given are also the limits obtained by SuperKamiokande (line 6, 7, 8) and KamLAND (line 9).](image-url)
Since there is no reliable theory for the low-energy part of neutrino emission spectrum for BH-BH mergers, we calculate the fluence limits for two variants of neutrino spectrum \( \phi(E_\nu) \). The first variant we considered is a standard power source model. Since the neutrino energies that Borexino is sensitive to are relatively low, we drop the \( E^{-2} \) dependence that is expected for high (>100 MeV) energy neutrinos and adopt the flat spectrum also used in [6]. Additionally, we calculate the limits for the spectrum given by the normalized Fermi-Dirac (F-D) distribution for effective neutrino temperature \( T \), connected with average neutrino energy as \( \langle E \rangle \simeq 3 T \) and zero chemical potential (\( \eta = 0 \)).

\[
\phi(E_\nu, T) \propto \frac{(E_\nu)^2}{1 + \exp(E_\nu/T - \eta)}
\]

Although usage of the Fermi-Dirac distribution for approximation of the neutrino spectrum is only well motivated for a thermal neutrino flux (e.g. in SN collapse case [43, 44]), whereas outflowing energy released during BH-BH mergers produces non-thermal radiation, it could still have a similar neutrino component.

Substituting the flat normalized distribution for neutrino energies between 0 and 75 MeV \( \phi(E_\nu) = \text{const} \) into (3) and integrating over the analyzed electron recoil energy interval \( (E_1, E_2) = (0.4, 15.0) \) MeV one gets the limits on the total electron neutrino fluence per single GW event:

\[
\Phi(\nu_e) \leq 2.3 \times 10^{10} \text{ cm}^{-2}
\]

Limits obtained for other neutrino flavors are shown in Table III.

Limits on the fluence in the case of Fermi-Dirac distributions within the energy range \( (0 - 500) \) MeV were calculated for different temperatures in steps of 0.5 MeV. The obtained limits are shown in Fig. 5 The obtained fluence constraints for the flat neutrino spectrum and Fermi-Dirac distribution with a temperature of 5 MeV are shown in Table III. For comparison the limit on \( \nu_e \) fluence in the case of a flat neutrino energy spectrum in the range \( (3.5 - 75) \) MeV is \( 1.2 \times 10^9 \) cm\(^{-2} \) [3] and the limit on \( \bar{\nu}_e \) fluence for F-D neutrino spectra at \( T = 4 \) MeV is \( 3.6 \times 10^9 \) cm\(^{-2} \) [3].

The fluence upper limits can be converted into upper limits on the total energy radiated in the form of neutrinos for a BH-BH merger \( (E(\text{BH-BH} \rightarrow \nu_{e,x}, \bar{\nu}_{e,x})). \)

Here, we consider only the energy radiated by electron neutrinos under the assumption of flat neutrino spectrum in the range \( (0-75) \) MeV and isotropic angular distribution. Usage of the LIGO-determined distance for GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104 and relation [43] gives \( E(\text{BH-BH} \rightarrow \nu_e) \leq 4.0 \times 10^{56} \text{ erg} \). This value could be compared with the energy emitted in the GW chan-

\begin{table}[ht]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\( E_\nu, \text{ MeV} \) & \( \nu_e \) & \( \nu_x \) & \( \bar{\nu}_e \) & \( \bar{\nu}_x \) & \( \bar{\nu}_e \) IBD \\
\hline
0.5 & 50 & 178 & 452 & 211 & - & \\
1.0 & 6.5 & 31 & 23 & 37 & - & \\
2.0 & 1.4 & 7.2 & 3.8 & 8.6 & 2.54 & \\
3.0 & 0.52 & 2.8 & 1.4 & 3.4 & 0.32 & \\
4.0 & 0.36 & 2.0 & 0.9 & 2.4 & 0.13 & \\
5.0 & 0.28 & 1.6 & 0.69 & 1.9 & 0.067 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The upper limits on fluence per single GW event for all neutrino flavors in \( 10^{12} \) cm\(^{-2} \) units at 90% C.L. calculated for monochromatic neutrino lines.}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[ht]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Spectrum & \( \nu_e \) & \( \nu_x \) & \( \bar{\nu}_e \) & \( \bar{\nu}_x \) & \( \bar{\nu}_e \) IBD \\
\hline
Flat distribution & 0.23 & 1.2 & 0.34 & 1.3 & 0.15 & \\
F-D (T=5 MeV) & 1.4 & 7.8 & 2.9 & 9.1 & 0.04 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{The upper limits on GW event neutrino fluence in \( 10^{11} \) cm\(^{-2} \) units for two cases of neutrino spectrum (90% C.L.). Row 2 – flat neutrino spectrum in the range 0–75 MeV, Row 3 – Fermi-Dirac spectrum for \( T = 5 \) MeV.}
\end{table}

Figure 5: 90% C.L. upper limits on fluence for all neutrino flavors obtained for Fermi-Dirac neutrino spectrum with respect to effective neutrino temperature \( T \). The inset shows Fermi-Dirac neutrino spectrum in case of \( T = 5 \) MeV.
V. CONCLUSION

We searched for an excess in the number of events detected by Borexino due to neutrino-electron scattering or IBD on protons correlated to the GW signals observed by the twin Advanced LIGO. We found no statistically significant increase in the number of events with an energy greater than 0.25 MeV in the detector during time windows of ±500 s around the GW150914, GW151226 and GW170104 gravitational events. As a result, new limits on the fluence of monochromatic neutrinos of all flavors were set for neutrino energies (0.5–15) MeV. These are the strongest limits for $\nu_\alpha$ and $\bar{\nu}_\mu$, $\bar{\nu}_\tau$ for the neutrino energy range (0.5–5.0) MeV and the constraint on electron antineutrino fluence based on $(\nu_e, e^{-})$-scattering is the strongest in the (0.5 – 2.0) MeV energy range.
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