Analysis of the Influence of microRNAs in Lithium Response in Bipolar Disorder
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Bipolar disorder (BD) is a common, highly heritable neuropsychiatric disease characterized by recurrent episodes of mania and depression. Lithium is the best-established long-term treatment for BD, even though individual response is highly variable. Evidence suggests that some of this variability has a genetic basis. This is supported by the largest genome-wide association study (GWAS) of lithium response to date conducted by the International Consortium on Lithium Genetics (ConLiGen). Recently, we performed the first genome-wide analysis of the involvement of miRNAs in BD and identified nine BD-associated miRNAs. However, it is unknown whether these miRNAs are also associated with lithium response in BD. In the present study, we therefore tested whether common variants at these nine candidate miRNAs contribute to the variance in lithium response in BD. Furthermore, we systematically analyzed whether any other miRNA in the genome is implicated in the response to lithium. For this purpose, we performed gene-based tests for all known miRNA coding genes in the ConLiGen...
INTRODUCTION

Bipolar disorder (BD) is a severe neuropsychiatric condition categorized by recurrent episodes of depression and mania. BD is common, with a lifetime prevalence of around 1% in the general population (1). The elevated morbidity and mortality, the typically early age at onset in young adulthood and the chronic course of BD make it a major public health problem, and BD is classified as one of the top 25 leading causes of the global burden of disease (2). Epidemiological and molecular genetic data strongly suggest that BD is a complex disorder (3) which means that both genetic and environmental factors influence illness risk. Based on twin studies the overall heritability of BD has been estimated to be over 70% (4, 5), suggesting a substantial involvement of genetic factors in the development of the disease.

Mood stabilizers are used as the first-line mode of medication in the treatment of BD (6). Amongst these drugs, lithium is used as a preventive agent for manic and depressive episodes (7), suicide attempts, and death by suicide, and shows the greatest support for long-term relapse prevention (8, 9). Consequently, lithium is endorsed as a first-line and best-established long-term treatment for BD, even though individual response is highly variable (6, 8, 10). Evidence suggests that some of the variability in lithium response has a genetic basis (11, 12). This hypothesis is supported by the largest genome-wide association study (GWAS) of lithium response to date, which was conducted by the International Consortium on Lithium Genetics (ConLiGen) (13, 14). The study investigated genomic data of 2,563 BD patients, identifying a genome-wide significant locus on chromosome 2 (1, 15). To create a mature miRNA, a primary miRNA (pri-miRNA, typically 1-1,000 nucleotides in length) is first transcribed, and forms a secondary structure through self-base pairing (16, 17). This is cleaved by the Drosha-DiGeorge syndrome critical region gene 8 (Drosha-DGCR8) complex to create a pre-miRNA of around 70 nucleotides (16). This double stranded RNA is exported from the nucleus, cleaved by Dicer-transactivation-responsive RNA-binding protein (Dicer-TRBP) to form the mature miRNA (16), which can then target complementary messenger RNA (mRNA) transcripts through the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) to regulate expression (e.g., via mRNA degradation or translational repression) (18). Several studies have reported that miRNAs are potential predictors of treatment response in complex genetic disorders (19–21) including lithium response in BD (22). Furthermore, miRNAs are implicated in biological pathways that regulate brain development and synaptic plasticity (23, 24). Indeed, miR-137 has emerged as a key risk gene in schizophrenia, and is known to regulate the expression of several genes that are independently associated with schizophrenia (25, 26). This implies the potential involvement of miRNAs in the pathogenesis of psychiatric disorders including BD. This hypothesis is further supported by the results of a large GWAS of BD (27) where a single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) flanking miR-2113 was amongst the strongest findings.

Our group performed the first genome-wide analysis of the involvement of miRNAs in the development of BD, in a sample of 9,747 patients and 14,278 controls (28) in which we identified nine BD-associated miRNAs that withstood stringent Bonferroni-correction for multiple testing. However, it is largely unknown whether these miRNAs are also associated with lithium response in BD.

Therefore, the aim of the present study was to determine whether common variants at any of the nine BD-associated miRNAs contribute to the variance in lithium response in BD. Furthermore, we systematically analyzed whether any other miRNA is implicated in the response to lithium. For this purpose, we performed window-based association testing for all known miRNA coding genes in the largest GWAS dataset of lithium response so far.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample Description
Analyses were performed using summary statistics from the previously published GWAS of lithium response in BD patients ($n = 2,563$ patients) (14). These GWAS datasets were collected by ConLiGen and combine imputed genotype data from 22 contributing sites from four continents (Europe, America, Asia, and Australia). The study was approved by the respective local ethics committees. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to inclusion. The Alda scale was used to create a dichotomous (good vs. poor response to lithium) and a continuous measure (range 0–10) for the evaluation of long-term treatment response to lithium. Briefly, the Alda scale measures symptom improvement in the course of lithium treatment (A score, range 0–10), which is then weighted against five criteria (B score) that assess confounding factors, each scored 0, 1, or 2. The total score is calculated by subtracting the total B score from the A score. Negative scores are set to 0 by default leading to a total score range from 0 to 10. For the purpose of the present analysis, subjects with a total score of 7 or higher were included. The Alda scale was used since it showed the highest sensitivity with less than 1% false negatives across a variety of investigated gene-level methods (34).

Definition of Candidate and Genome-Wide miRNAs
Information on the nine BD-associated miRNAs was obtained from our previously published genome-wide analysis of miRNAs in BD (28). The chromosomal positions of the miRNAs were obtained from the miRBase database (release 21) (30).

For the genome-wide miRNA association analysis chromosomal positions for all 1,871 remaining miRNAs were obtained from miRBase (release 21). miRNAs which were not located on autosomal chromosomes ($n = 120$) were removed from further analysis. Only miRNA genes which were covered in the summary statistics of lithium response were included, resulting in 1,692 miRNAs which were tested in the genome-wide analysis. For each gene, the entire preprocessed transcript ± 20 kilobase (kb) flanking sequence were analyzed, which would include the majority of the regulatory regions (17).

miRNA-Based Association Tests
For the gene-based tests, we applied a set-based testing approach adapted from the versatile gene-based test for GWAS (VEGAS2) (31, 32) with a minor correction for the top-0.1-test option (33). This algorithm is obtainable upon request. The top-0.1-test was used since it showed the highest sensitivity with less than 1% false positives across a variety of investigated gene-level methods (34). The applied statistical algorithm is described in more detail in the article by Mishra and Macgregor (32). Briefly, we grouped SNPs within the miRNA loci ± 20 kb flanking sequence together and calculated a set-based test statistic as the sum of the $\chi^2$ one degree of freedom association $P$-values within the miRNA. The observed test statistic was compared with simulated test statistics from the multivariate normal distribution with correlation equal to the corresponding LD structure as derived from the 1,000 Genomes phase 3 European population genotypes (35, 36). We calculated an empirical miRNA-based $P$-value as the proportion of simulated test statistics above the observed test statistic. For the purposes of the present study, we used the top-0.1-test option which summarizes the 10% most significant SNPs for each miRNA.

Using the two summary statistics, miRNA-based $P$-values were calculated for all miRNAs. The calculated miRNA-based $P$-values were corrected for multiple testing according to Benjamini-Hochberg.

Enrichment Tests
To test whether nominally significant SNPs were enriched within miRNAs and their flanking regions, we conducted the Fisher’s Exact Test for each summary statistic separately. Additionally, we tested whether the number of cis-miR-eQTL SNPs identified by Huan et al. (37) with a $p$-value of $< 0.05$ was higher than expected using the Fisher’s Exact Test.

RESULTS
Of the nine tested BD-associated miRNAs, miR-499a showed nominally significant $P$-values in both datasets (dichotomous and continuous treatment response, Table 1). Of the remaining 1,692 miRNAs tested for the genome-wide miRNA analysis, 71 miRNAs showed nominally significant associations with the dichotomous and 106 with the continuous treatment response. Fifteen miRNAs revealed nominal significance with both phenotypes. miR-633 showed the strongest association with the continuous phenotype ($p = 9.80E-04$). Regarding the dichotomous phenotype, miR-607 showed the strongest association ($p = 5.79E-04$). No association between miRNAs and treatment response to lithium in BD in either of the tested conditions withstood multiple testing correction (Tables 1, 2).

The number of nominally significant SNPs in both of our GWAS of lithium response located at miRNA loci ($n = 6,321$ and $n = 5,742$ for continuous and dichotomous measurement, respectively) was not significantly higher than expected ($p = 9.96E-01$ and $p = 1$ for continuous and dichotomous measurement, respectively, Fisher’s Exact Test).

The number of cis-miR-eQTL SNPs [identified by Huan et al. (37)] in our summary statistics ($n = 341$ and $n = 318$ for continuous and dichotomous measurement, respectively) were significantly higher than expected ($p = 3.31E-05$ and $p = 6.23E-03$ for continuous and dichotomous measurement, respectively, Fisher’s Exact Test).

DISCUSSION
The current study investigated whether common variants at BD-associated miRNA, or any other miRNA loci, contribute to the differences in lithium response in BD patients. miR-499a showed a nominally significant association with lithium response in the candidate approach. Although the association did not withstand correction for multiple testing, this result provides some evidence that miR-499a might be involved...
TABLE 1 | Results of the window-based tests for the nine BD-associated miRNAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA [position]</th>
<th>n SNPs</th>
<th>p miRNA</th>
<th>pcorr miRNA</th>
<th>Top SNP [position]</th>
<th>p Top SNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A) Dichotomous Treatment Response Measure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-499a [chr20:34990376-34990497]</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>1.71E-02</td>
<td>9.31E-01</td>
<td>rs117616040 [chr20:34977725]</td>
<td>2.27E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-135a-1 [chr3:52294219-52294308]</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>9.29E-02</td>
<td>9.31E-01</td>
<td>rs699465 [chr3:52276426]</td>
<td>1.98E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>let-7g [chr3:52268278-52268361]</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1.04E-01</td>
<td>9.31E-01</td>
<td>rs699465 [chr3:52276426]</td>
<td>1.98E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-708 [chr11:79402022-79402109]</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>3.51E-01</td>
<td>9.50E-01</td>
<td>rs12275848 [chr11:79416285]</td>
<td>7.89E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-1908 [chr11:61815161-61815240]</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.33E-01</td>
<td>9.50E-01</td>
<td>rs61897792 [chr11:61819414]</td>
<td>3.46E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-611 [chr11:61792495-61792561]</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>6.36E-01</td>
<td>9.55E-01</td>
<td>rs17762402 [chr11:61785729]</td>
<td>4.94E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-581 [chr5:53951504-53951599]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.41E-01</td>
<td>9.55E-01</td>
<td>rs72644081 [chr5:53949850]</td>
<td>5.45E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B) Continuous Treatment Response Measure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-499a [chr20:34990376-34990497]</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>3.18E-02</td>
<td>7.52E-01</td>
<td>rs117616040 [chr20:34977725]</td>
<td>3.73E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-708 [chr11:79402022-79402109]</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>7.37E-02</td>
<td>8.02E-01</td>
<td>rs1354523 [chr11:79420556]</td>
<td>2.05E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-611 [chr11:61792495-61792561]</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9.79E-02</td>
<td>8.22E-01</td>
<td>rs174532 [chr11:61781402]</td>
<td>3.30E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-644a [chr20:34466325-34466418]</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.95E-01</td>
<td>8.50E-01</td>
<td>rs7266300 [chr20:34449603]</td>
<td>6.47E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-1908 [chr11:61815161-61815240]</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.45E-01</td>
<td>8.64E-01</td>
<td>rs968567 [chr11:61828092]</td>
<td>3.20E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-640 [chr19:19435063-19435158]</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.69E-01</td>
<td>9.52E-01</td>
<td>rs79954596 [chr11:61828092]</td>
<td>3.17E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>let-7g [chr3:52268278-52268361]</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>6.01E-01</td>
<td>9.81E-01</td>
<td>rs58315325 [chr3:52268182]</td>
<td>2.80E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-135a-1 [chr3:52294219-52294308]</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>7.81E-01</td>
<td>9.86E-01</td>
<td>rs34135146 [chr3:52279416]</td>
<td>6.28E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-581 [chr5:53951504-53951599]</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>9.22E-01</td>
<td>7.52E-01</td>
<td>rs977112 [chr5:53936849]</td>
<td>1.61E-01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

microRNAs (miRNAs) are sorted according to their miRNA-based P-value. Genome build used is GRCh38 (hg38). Abbreviations: miRNA, microRNA; position, genomic position; nSNPs, number of investigated SNPs; p miRNA, miRNA-based P-value; pcorr miRNA, Benjamini-Hochberg corrected miRNA-based P-value; Top SNP, top single-nucleotide polymorphism within gene; p Top SNP, P-value of the Top SNP within gene.

in both development and treatment of BD. A previous study has shown an upregulation of this miRNA in the prefrontal cortex of patients with depression (38). In another study, miR-499a was differentially expressed in the postmortem brains of BD patients compared with controls (39). Furthermore, a recent study by Banach et al. (40) reported lower expression levels of miR-499 in the peripheral blood of BD patients during depressive episodes in comparison to remission, suggesting miR-499 as a potential new biomarker of illness state in BD.

Overall, the results of our candidate approach do not suggest that individual BD-associated miRNAs might have a strong influence on differential responses to lithium treatment in BD as no association withstood multiple testing correction. On the one hand, this might at least in part reflect that the power to detect associations between common variants and lithium response was limited in the present study, even though the ConLiGen GWAS comprised several thousand individuals (41). On the other hand, it might also indicate that the genetic factors that contribute to BD etiology are different from those contributing to treatment response or illness course. That there are such effects in multifactorial diseases is supported by a study in ulcerative colitis in which no SNPs from 163 inflammatory bowel disease susceptibility loci (42) were found to be associated with the disease course (43).
### TABLE 2 | Results of the window-based tests for the top five genome-wide miRNAs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>miRNA [position]</th>
<th>n SNPs</th>
<th>p miRNA</th>
<th>(p_{\text{corr}}) miRNA</th>
<th>Top SNP [position]</th>
<th>(p) Top SNP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>A) Dichotomous Treatment Response Measure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-607 [chr19:96828868-96828764]</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.79E-04</td>
<td>9.31E-01</td>
<td>rs111682442 [chr10:96823685]</td>
<td>2.73E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-8085 [chr19:44758657-44758721]</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2.54E-03</td>
<td>9.31E-01</td>
<td>rs7249244 [chr19:44742441]</td>
<td>1.11E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-451b [chr17:28861371-28861438]</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5.11E-03</td>
<td>9.31E-01</td>
<td>rs34901720 [chr17:28852500]</td>
<td>2.17E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>B) Continuous Treatment Response Measure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-6516 [chr17:77089417-77089497]</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>1.97E-03</td>
<td>8.02E-01</td>
<td>rs2411054 [chr17:77074245]</td>
<td>2.68E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-218-1 [chr4:20528275-20528384]</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>2.13E-03</td>
<td>8.22E-01</td>
<td>rs540146 [chr4:2054433]</td>
<td>6.08E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-7704 [chr2:176188843-176188901]</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>2.20E-03</td>
<td>8.50E-01</td>
<td>rs5789870 [chr2:176208720]</td>
<td>1.26E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>miR-548e [chr10:110988926-110989013]</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2.48E-03</td>
<td>8.64E-01</td>
<td>rs1327551 [chr10:111008438]</td>
<td>1.09E-03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

microRNAs (miRNAs) are sorted according to their miRNA-based P-value. Genome build used is GRCh38 (hg38). Abbreviations: miRNA, microRNA; position, genomic position; nSNPs, number of investigated SNPs; p miRNA, miRNA-based P-value; \(p_{\text{corr}}\) miRNA, Benjamini-Hochberg corrected miRNA-based P-value; Top SNP, top single-nucleotide polymorphism within gene; \(p\) Top SNP, P-value of the Top SNP within gene.

In our systematic, genome-wide analysis of miRNAs, 106 miRNAs revealed nominally significant associations with the continuous and 71 with the dichotomous lithium treatment response.

The intergenic miR-633 located on chromosome 17 showed the strongest association with the continuous phenotype \((p = 9.80E-04)\). To date, few published studies have investigated the function of miR-633. Interestingly, one study reported that miR-633 was differentially regulated in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with multiple sclerosis compared to patients with other neurologic diseases. In addition, miR-633 differentiated relapsing-remitting from secondary progressive multiple sclerosis courses suggesting this miRNA as a potential biomarker for disease course in multiple sclerosis \((44)\).

miR-607, an intergenic miRNA located upstream of the ligand dependent nuclear receptor corepressor \((LCOR)\) gene on chromosome 10, displayed the strongest association with the dichotomous treatment response measure \((p = 5.79E-04)\). The function of this miRNA has been poorly characterized so far, so that we cannot currently speculate about possible disease- and treatment-relevant biological processes. Further research is needed to elucidate the potential role of miR-607 in health or disease.

No association between miRNAs and BD treatment response to lithium in either of the tested conditions withstood multiple testing correction. In addition, we did not observe a significant enrichment for SNPs at all microRNA loci in the present study. Given the limited power of our study, future investigation of miRNAs in larger GWAS samples of BD and lithium response is warranted as better understanding of genetic factors contributing to disease etiology and treatment response might enable the individualization of treatment as well as the identification of novel therapeutic targets \((45)\).

In the present study, we investigated all currently known miRNAs regardless of their tissue or developmental expression patterns. Approximately 70% of ncRNAs are thought to be brain expressed \((23)\) and are dynamically regulated during development and over the lifespan. While the exact mechanisms by which lithium exerts its therapeutic effects remain unclear, pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics highlight the importance of specific tissues \((e.g.,\) brain and kidney) in treatment responsiveness \((46, 47)\). Therefore, an analysis including miRNAs expressed specifically in these tissues would seem to be a rational follow-up step to reduce the multiple testing burden and to narrow-down the miRNAs to those that \(a\) priori may have a greater chance to be involved in lithium response. Unfortunately, a systematic enrichment analyses for miRNAs in particular tissues would be premature, since there are currently no comprehensive expression databases derived from normal tissue covering all known miRNAs investigated in the present study. Data on miRNA expression at various developmental stages is also still limited, as non-polyadenylated transcripts are typically not captured with standard library preparation for RNA sequencing. Furthermore, some miRNAs may only be expressed...
during early developmental stages but can still have an influence on lithium response later on in life, particularly if expression is induced by pharmaceutical treatment. Nevertheless, these aspects remain important and should be considered in future analyses as soon as more comprehensive data on miRNA expression become available.

Using the present approach, we were not able to investigate SNPs with trans-expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) effects on miRNAs. Previous studies suggest that a substantial proportion of the identified miR-eQTLs are trans-eQTLs (48). Therefore, future investigations into the molecular interactions underlying the association between miRNA trans-eQTLs and treatment response to lithium in BD are also warranted. Huan et al. (37) conducted a genome-wide miR-eQTL mapping study and found consistent evidence for 5,269 cis-miR-eQTLs for 76 mature microRNAs. The significant enrichment for cis-miR-eQTL SNPs found in our summary statistics provides some evidence for the importance of cis-miR-eQTLs in lithium response, although we were not able to identify cis-miR-eQTL SNPs in our top findings since those miRNAs were not among the 76 mature microRNAs reported by Huan et al. (37).

Moreover, miRNAs only represent one class of non-coding RNAs. In the ConLiGen GWAS a genome-wide significant locus containing two IncRNAs was identified (14). Further analyses on the contribution of IncRNAs to lithium response are therefore warranted. This was beyond the scope of the present analysis as the current understanding of the predicted structure of lncRNA molecules and their biological functions remains limited (49).

In conclusion, our analyses do not provide strong evidence that miRNAs are involved in individual response to lithium treatment in BD, as no association between miRNAs and lithium response withstood multiple testing correction. Our data should still be interesting for follow-up of independent studies, particularly when sufficient data is available to accurately define the tissue and temporal expression profile of all human miRNAs, which would allow a more targeted analysis of brain-expressed miRNAs, thereby reducing the search space to miRNAs with relevant expression profiles. We did not find any strong effect that could be useful in terms of a personalized treatment for individual patients. This does not exclude a possible (small) effect of miRNAs on lithium response, and further independent and even larger studies should be envisaged to clarify this question. In parallel, the investigation of other biological mechanisms possibly contributing to lithium treatment response may provide insights for individualizing future pharmacotherapy in BD.
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