Heavy Physics Contributions to Neutrinoless Double Beta Decay from QCD
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Observation of neutrinoless double beta decay, a lepton number violating process that has been proposed to clarify the nature of neutrino masses, has spawned an enormous world-wide experimental effort. Relating nuclear decay rates to high-energy, beyond the standard model (BSM) physics requires detailed knowledge of nonperturbative QCD effects. Using lattice QCD, we compute the necessary matrix elements of short-range operators, which arise due to heavy BSM mediators, that contribute to this decay via the leading order $π^- → π^+$ exchange diagrams. Utilizing our result and taking advantage of effective field theory methods will allow for model-independent calculations of the relevant two-nucleon decay, which may then be used as input for nuclear many-body calculations of the relevant experimental decays. Contributions from short-range operators may prove to be equally important to, or even more important than, those from long-range Majorana neutrino exchange.
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Introduction.—Neutrinoless double beta decay ($0νββ$) is a process that, if observed, would reveal violations of symmetries fundamental to the standard model and would guarantee that neutrinos have nonzero Majorana mass [1,2]. Such decays can probe physics beyond the electroweak scale and expose a source of lepton number violation that may explain the observed matter-antimatter asymmetry in the Universe [3,4]. Existing and planned experiments will constrain this novel nuclear decay [5–16], but the interpretation of the resulting decay rates or limits as constraints on new physics poses a tremendous theoretical challenge.

The most widely discussed mechanism for $0νββ$ is that of a light Majorana neutrino, which can propagate a long distance within a nucleus. However, if the mechanism involves a heavy scale $M_{ββ}$, the resulting $L$-violating process can be short ranged. While naively short-range operators are suppressed compared to long-range interactions, due to the heavy mediator propagator, in the case of $0νββ$, the long-range interaction requires a helicity flip and is proportional to the mass of the light neutrino. In a standard seesaw scenario [17–21], this light neutrino mass is similarly suppressed by the same large mass scale, so the relative importance of long-versus short-range contributions is dependent upon the particle physics model under consideration and, in general, cannot be determined until the nuclear matrix elements for both types of processes are computed.

Both long- and short-range mechanisms present substantial theoretical challenges if we hope to connect high-energy physics with experimentally observed decay rates. The former case is difficult because one must understand long-distance nuclear correlations. In the latter case, the short-distance physics is masked by QCD effects, requiring nonperturbative methods to match few-nucleon matrix elements to standard model operators.

Effective field theory (EFT) arguments show that, at leading order (LO) in the standard model, there are nine local four-quark operators that can contribute to $0νββ$ decays [22,23]. Further matching to a nuclear EFT [22] shows that, at lowest order, there are up to three important processes—a negatively charged pion in the nucleus can be converted to a positively charged pion, releasing two electrons ($π^−ee$ operators), or a neutron can be converted...
to a proton plus a positively charged pion, also releasing two electrons (\(N\bar{N}ee\) operators), and finally, two neutrons can be converted to two protons plus two electrons (\(NN\bar{N}ee\) operators). As long as the LO \(\pi ee\) operators are not forbidden by symmetries, the LO contribution to the nuclear \(0\beta\beta\) transition matrix element in the Weinberg counting scheme [24,25] will be given by the \(\pi ee\) operators within the pion-exchange diagram shown in the left panel of Fig. 1. More recent EFT analyses for operators relevant to \(0\beta\beta\) have indicated that the contact operators \(NN\bar{N}ee\) may be enhanced, in which case they would also appear at LO [26].

In this Letter, we determine the matrix elements of the relevant \(\pi ee\) operators and their associated low-energy constants (LECs) for chiral perturbation theory (\(qPT\)) using lattice QCD (LQCD), a nonperturbative numerical method with fully controllable systematics. We perform extrapolations in all parameters characterizing deviations from the physical point, including quark mass and lattice spacing \(a\), which controls effects from the discretization of space and time.

Method.—Using the EFT framework, it is not necessary to calculate the full \(nn \to ppee\) transition shown in the left panel of Fig. 1. Instead, we can perform the much more computationally tractable calculation of the on shell \(\pi^- \to \pi^+\) transition in the presence of external currents (four-quark operators). Once the LECs are determined, calculating the true off shell process can be dealt with naturally within the EFT framework. From a LQCD perspective, this single pion calculation is computationally far simpler than the two-nucleon calculation due to the absence of a signal-to-noise problem [27] and complications in accounting for scattering states in a finite volume [28,29].

We calculate matrix elements for the following relevant four-quark operators described in Ref. [22]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}^\dagger_{1+}^e &= (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)[\bar{q}_R \gamma^\nu q_R], \\
\mathcal{O}^\dagger_{2+}^e &= (\bar{q}_R \gamma^\mu q_L)[\bar{q}_L \gamma^\nu q_R] + (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_R)[\bar{q}_R \gamma^\nu q_L], \\
\mathcal{O}^\dagger_{3+}^e &= (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)[\bar{q}_L \gamma^\nu q_R] + (\bar{q}_R \gamma^\mu q_L)[\bar{q}_R \gamma^\nu q_R],
\end{align*}
\]

where the Takahashi bracket notation \(\ll\) or \(\gg\) indicates which color indices are contracted together [30]. We have omitted parity odd operators that do not contribute to the \(\pi^- \to \pi^+\) transition, as well as the vector operators that are suppressed by the electron mass, as discussed in Ref. [22]. In addition, we calculate the color-mixed operators that arise through renormalization from the electroweak scale to the QCD scale [23],

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{O}^\dagger_{1+}^c &= (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)[\bar{q}_R \gamma^\nu q_R], \\
\mathcal{O}^\dagger_{2+}^c &= (\bar{q}_L \gamma^\mu q_L)[\bar{q}_L \gamma^\nu q_R] + (\bar{q}_R \gamma^\mu q_R)[\bar{q}_R \gamma^\nu q_L].
\end{align*}
\]

The analogous color-mixed operator \(\mathcal{O}^\dagger_{3+}^c\) is identical to \(\mathcal{O}^\dagger_{3+}^e\) and is therefore omitted.

To determine the matrix elements for the \(\pi ee\) operators, we have performed a LQCD calculation using the publicly available highly improved staggered quark (HISQ) [31] gauge field configurations generated by the MILC collaboration [32,33]. The set of configurations used is shown in Table I. With this set, we perform extrapolations in the lattice spacing, pion mass, and volume. On these configurations, we chose to produce Möbius domain wall quark propagators [34–36] due to their improved chiral symmetry properties, which suppress mixing between operators of different chirality. To further improve the chiral properties, we first performed a gradient flow method to smooth the HISQ configurations [37–39] (see Ref. [40] for details). This action has been successfully used to compute the nucleon axial coupling \(g_A\) with 1% precision [41–43]. For each ensemble, we have generated quark propagators using both wall and point sources on approximately 1000 configurations.

The calculation of the matrix elements proceeds along the same lines as calculations of \(K^0\) [44–52], \(B^0\) [50,53], and \(B^{0(*)}\) meson mixing [54–57] or \(NN\) oscillations [58–60] and involves only a single light quark inversion from an smeared point source at the time where the four-quark operator insertion occurs. The propagators are then contracted to produce a pion at an earlier time (source) and later time (sink). Because no quark propagators connect the source to the sink, we can exactly project both source and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(m_x \sim 310) MeV</th>
<th>(m_x \sim 220) MeV</th>
<th>(m_x \sim 130) MeV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha(\text{fm}))</td>
<td>(V) (m_x L)</td>
<td>(V) (m_x L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>16.3 (\times) 48</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>24.3 (\times) 64</td>
<td>3.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>24.3 (\times) 64</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>32.3 (\times) 96</td>
<td>4.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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sink onto definite momentum (allowing only zero momentum transfer at the operator) without the use of all-to-all propagators.

Results.—In Fig. 2, we show representative plots on the near-physical pion mass ensemble \((V = 48^3 \times 64, a = 0.12\) fm, \(m_\pi \sim 130\) MeV), of the ratio

\[
R_i(t) \equiv C_{3pt}^{\pi^+}(t, \tau) / [C_\pi(t)C_\pi(T-t)],
\]

(3)

where \(C_{3pt}^{\pi^+}\) is the three-point function with a four-quark operator labeled by \(i\) at \(t = 0\) and the sink (source) at time \(t_f = 1 (t_i = T-t)\),

\[
C_{3pt}^{\pi^+}(t_f, t_i) = \sum_{x,y,a} \langle \alpha | \Pi^+(t_f, x) \Pi(0, y) \Pi^+ (t_i, y) | \alpha \rangle e^{-E_\pi T}
\]

(4)

where \(\alpha\) labels QCD eigenstates, and the pion interpolating field is \(\Pi^+ = (\Pi^-)^* = d_\pi \bar{u} \bar{d}_\gamma\). \(C_\pi\) is the pion correlation function. Using relativistic normalization,

\[
C_\pi(t) = \sum_x \sum_\alpha \langle \alpha | \Pi^+(t, x) \Pi^0 (0, \mathbf{0}) | \alpha \rangle e^{-E_\pi T} = \sum_n \langle Z_\pi^n \rangle e^{-E_\pi t} + e^{-E_\pi (T-t)} + \cdots,
\]

(5)

where \(\langle Z_\pi^n \rangle = \langle \Omega | \Pi^+ | n \rangle\), \(\Omega\) represents the QCD vacuum, and the ellipses represent thermally suppressed terms. One can show that the ratio correlation function is given in lattice units by

\[
R_i(t) = \frac{d^4 \langle \pi | O_{i,\pi}^{\pi^+} | \pi \rangle}{(a^2 Z_\pi^n)^2} + R_{ES}(t),
\]

(6)

where \(|\pi\rangle\) is the ground state pion and the excited state (ES) contributions are suppressed exponentially by their mass gap relative to the pion mass, \(R_{ES}(t) \propto e^{-[(E_\pi-E_\pi^E)]t}\). The overlap factors \(Z_\pi^n\) are determined in the analysis of the two-point pion correlation functions. For brevity we henceforth write the matrix elements of these operators as \(O_i = (\pi | O_{i,\pi}^{\pi^+} | \pi \rangle\) and attach a prime as appropriate.

We find excellent signals on nearly all ensembles, requiring only a simple fit to a constant. This is likely due to the fact that, in the ratio defined in Eq. (3), the contribution from the lowest thermal pion state is eliminated, which we find to be the leading contamination to the pion correlation function within the relevant time range. We also find little variation of the ratio using either wall or point sources. This gives us additional confidence that excited state contamination is negligible within the time range plotted in the left panel of Fig. 2. A preliminary version of this analysis was presented in Ref. [61]. Excited state contamination is studied further in the Supplemental Material [62].

After extracting the matrix elements on each ensemble, we perform extrapolations to the continuum, physical pion mass, and infinite volume limits. It is straightforward to include these new operators in \(\chi PT\) [84] and to derive the virtual pion corrections that arise at next-to-leading order (NLO) in the chiral expansions,

\[
O_1 = \frac{\beta_1 \Lambda_\chi^4}{(4\pi)^2} (1 + c_\pi^2 (\ln(e_\pi^2) - 1 + c_1)),
\]

\[
O_2 = \frac{\beta_2 \Lambda_\chi^4}{(4\pi)^2} (1 + c_\pi^2 (\ln(e_\pi^2) - 1 + c_2)),
\]

\[
O_3 = \frac{\beta_3 \Lambda_\chi^4}{(4\pi)^2} (1 - c_\pi^2 (3 \ln(e_\pi^2) + 1 + c_3)),
\]

(7)

as described in some detail in the Supplemental Material [62]. In these expressions,

\[
\Lambda_\chi = 4\pi F_\pi, \quad e_\pi = \frac{m_\pi}{\Lambda_\chi},
\]

(8)

where \(F_\pi = F_\pi (m_\pi)\) is the pion decay constant at a given pion mass, normalized to \(F_\pi^{phys} = 92.2\) MeV at the physical pion mass, \(\Lambda_\chi\) is the chiral symmetry breaking scale and \(e_\pi^2\) is the small expansion parameter for \(\chi PT\). The pion matrix elements for \(O_{1,\pi}^{1+}\) and \(O_{2,\pi}^{1+}\) have an identical form to \(O_{1,\pi}^{1+}\) and \(O_{2,\pi}^{1+}\), respectively, but have independent LECs \(\beta_i\) and \(c_i\), which describe the pion mass dependence. These expressions can be generalized to incorporate finite lattice spacing corrections [85] arising from the particular lattice action we have used [40] and finite volume corrections [86], which arise from virtual pions that are sensitive to the finite periodic volume used in the calculations. Details of the derivation of the formula in \(\chi PT\) and the extension to incorporate these lattice QCD systematic effects are presented in the Supplemental Material [62]. In addition to the matrix elements \(O_i\), the various LECs \(\beta_i\) and \(c_i\) are determined in this Letter.

FIG. 2. An example of our lattice results for different operators on the near-physical pion mass ensemble with \(a \approx 0.12\) fm.
TABLE II. Resulting matrix elements extrapolated to the physical point, renormalized in RI/SMOM and \( \overline{\text{MS}} \), both at \( \mu = 3 \) GeV.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
O_i [\text{GeV}] & \text{RI/SMOM } \mu = 3 \text{ GeV} & \overline{\text{MS}} \mu = 3 \text{ GeV} \\
O_1 & -1.91(13) \times 10^{-2} & -1.89(13) \times 10^{-2} \\
O_1' & -7.22(49) \times 10^{-2} & -7.81(54) \times 10^{-2} \\
O_2 & -3.68(31) \times 10^{-2} & -3.77(32) \times 10^{-2} \\
O_2' & 1.16(10) \times 10^{-2} & 1.23(11) \times 10^{-2} \\
O_3 & 1.85(10) \times 10^{-4} & 1.86(10) \times 10^{-4}
\end{array}
\]

The lattice QCD results are renormalized nonperturbatively following the Rome-Southampton method [87] with a nonexceptional kinematics-symmetric point [88]. More precisely, we compute the relevant \( Z \) matrix in the RI/SMOM \( (\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu) \) scheme [89]. We implement momentum sources [90] to achieve a high statistical precision and nonperturbative scale evolution techniques [91,92] to run the \( Z \) factors to the common scale of \( \mu = 3 \) GeV. Further details about the renormalization procedure are provided in the Supplemental Material [62]. One advantage of our mixed-action setup is that the renormalization pattern is the same as in the continuum (to a very good approximation) and does not require the spurious subtraction of operators of different chirality.

The renormalized operators, extrapolated to the continuum, infinite volume, and physical pion mass (defined by \( m_\pi^{\text{phys}} = 139.57 \) MeV and \( F_\pi^{\text{phys}} = 92.2 \) MeV) limits are given in Table II in both RI/SMOM and \( \overline{\text{MS}} \) schemes at \( \mu = 3 \) GeV. An error breakdown for the statistical and various systematic uncertainties is given in the Supplemental Material [62].

The correlation between these RI-SMOM matrix elements are given in the Supplemental Material [62]. The extrapolations of these operators to the physical point are presented in Fig. 3, with the dashed vertical line representing the physical pion mass. The small value of \( O_1 \) reflects the fact that the \( O_{1+}^{3+} \) operator is suppressed in the chiral expansion, vanishing in the chiral limit. In addition to the full mixed-action EFT extrapolations (including infinite volume), we performed further extrapolations without including mixed-action and/or finite volume effects and found all results to be consistent, indicating that mixed-action and finite volume effects are mild. These various analysis options are all available in Ref. [93]. Loss function minimization is performed using Ref. [94].

We can compare the values of the matrix elements determined here in \( \overline{\text{MS}} \) to those in Ref. [95], which used SU(3) flavor symmetry to determine the values, including estimated SU(3) flavor-breaking corrections at NLO in SU(3) \( \chiPT \). Noting the differences in operator definition pointed out in footnote 5 of Ref. [95], we find the values of the matrix elements tend to agree at the one- to two-sigma level, as measured by the O(20%-40%) uncertainties in Ref. [95], indicating the SU(3) chiral expansion is reasonably well behaved. With the \( \sim 1000 \) measurements per ensemble in the LQCD calculation presented here, the uncertainties have been reduced to O(5%-9%). The resulting LECs are reported in Table III in the Supplemental Material [62] and the full covariance between them is provided in Ref. [93].

From the matrix element \( O_3 \) we can determine the value of \( B_x \), the bag parameter of neutral meson mixing in the standard model, \( B_x = O_3 / (8/3 m_\pi^2 F_\pi^2) = 0.420(23) [0.421(23)] \) in the RI/SMOM \( \overline{\text{MS}} \) scheme at \( \mu = 3 \) GeV. This is a rather low value, indicating a large deviation from the vacuum saturation approximation. However, this is expected from the chiral behavior as discussed, for example, in Ref. [96–98]. As displayed in Fig. 5 in the Supplemental Material [62], the value of \( B_x \) increases at larger pion masses, as expected.

Discussion.—We have performed the first LQCD calculation of hadronic matrix elements for short-range operators contributing to \( 0_\tau \beta \beta \). This calculation is complete
for matrix elements contributing to leading order in $\chi$PT, including extrapolation to the physical point in both lattice spacing and pion mass. We have also performed calculations directly at the physical pion mass.

Given these $\pi^- \to \pi^+$ matrix elements, the nuclear beta decay rate can be determined by constructing the $nn \to pp$ potential that they induce. The strong contribution to this potential for the matrix elements $O_i$ for $i = 1, 2$ is given by

$$V_i^{nn-pp}(|q|) = -O_i P_{1+} P_{2+} \frac{\partial}{\partial m_\pi^2} V_{1,2}^\pi(|q|)$$

$$= -O_i \frac{g_A^2}{4F_\pi} \tau_1^+ \tau_2^+ \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q \sigma_2 \cdot q}{(|q|^2 + m_\pi^2)^2},$$

where $V_{1,2}^\pi(|q|) = -\tau_1 \cdot \tau_2 \sigma_1 \cdot q \sigma_2 \cdot q / (|q|^2 + m_\pi^2)$ is the long-range pion-exchange potential between two nucleons (labeled 1 and 2) and $P_{1+} P_{2+}$ project onto the isospin raising operator for each nucleon. For $O_3$, the potential is

$$V_3^{nn-pp}(|q|) = -O_3 \frac{g_A^2}{4F_\pi} \tau_1^+ \tau_2^+ \frac{m_\pi^2 \sigma_1 \cdot q \sigma_2 \cdot q}{(|q|^2 + m_\pi^2)^2} \times \left( \frac{\sigma_1 \cdot q \sigma_2 \cdot q}{|q|^2 + m_\pi^2} \right).$$

up to relativistic corrections. These potentials need to be multiplied by the electrons $\bar{e}e^-$, the overall prefactor $G_F^2 / 4\pi \beta \beta$, and the Wilson coefficient of the effective standard model operators for a given heavy physics model to determine the full $nn \to pp ee^{-}e^{-}$ amplitude. These matrix elements, once incorporated into nuclear decay rate calculations, can be used to place limits on the various beyond the standard model (BSM) mechanisms that give rise to $0\nu\beta\beta$ (see, for example, [22,23,99–108]). The limits on the BSM mechanisms must also account for the running of these short-distance operators, which can modify their strength by an amount comparable to the current uncertainties on the nuclear matrix elements themselves [109].

Modern analyses use effective field theory [22,23,107,108], for which this contribution is the leading order short-range correction. To go beyond leading order in $\chi$PT, additional calculations are necessary. For planned experiments probing $0^+ \to 0^+$ nuclear transitions, all next-to-leading order diagrams of type $NN\pi\pi ee$ vanish due to parity [22]. At next-to-next-to-leading order there exist both $NN\pi\pi ee$ diagrams and $NNNNee$ contact diagrams. Calculation of the $NNNNee$ contact contribution may prove important, as diagrams involving light pion exchange may need to be summed nonperturbatively in the EFT framework, causing the contact to be promoted to LO (as was found for the light neutrino exchange diagrams in Ref. [26]). While computing the $NNNNee$ contact interaction will prove challenging, it is, in principle, calculable with current technology and resources [110]. Finally, in order to disentangle long- and short-range $0\nu\beta\beta$ effects, investigation of quenching of the axial coupling $g_A$ in multinucleon systems [111–113], as well as the isotensor axial polarizability [114,115], will also be useful.

Our results can, in principle, be used to determine contributions from any BSM model leading to short-range $0\nu\beta\beta$ to leading order in $\chi$PT. However, these results must first be incorporated into nuclear physics models capable of describing large nuclei. Currently, there is sizable discrepancy between different models and uncertainty quantification remains difficult, challenges that will need to be overcome in order to faithfully connect experiment with theory.
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