

MODE.behave: A Python Package for Discrete Choice Modeling

Julian Paul Reul ^{1,2}, Thomas Grube ¹, Jochen Linßen ¹, and Detlef Stolten ^{1,2}

¹ Institute for Techno-economic Systems Analysis (IEK-3), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Germany ² Chair for Fuel Cells, RWTH Aachen University, Germany  Corresponding author

DOI: [10.21105/joss.05265](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.05265)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Sebastian Benthall](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@abhiramm7](#)
- [@fabmio](#)

Submitted: 11 November 2022

Published: 14 August 2023

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

MO|DE.behave is a Python-based software package for the estimation and simulation of discrete choice models. The purpose of this software is to enable the rapid quantitative analysis of survey data on choice behavior, utilizing advanced discrete choice methods. Therefore, MO|DE.behave incorporates estimation routines for conventional multinomial logit models, as well as for mixed logit models with nonparametric distributions ([McFadden & Train, 2000](#); [Train, 2009](#)). As the estimation of this type of mixed logit model can be computationally-expensive, the software makes use of latin hypercube sampling to increase the efficiency of the expectation maximization algorithm during the estimation process in order to decrease computation time. Furthermore, MO|DE.behave contains a set of post-processing tools for visualizing estimation and simulated results. Additionally, pre-estimated discrete choice simulation methods for transportation research are included to enrich the software package for this specific community.

Statement of Need

The analysis of choice behavior is an important element in economic research, as well as in related fields, such as the other social sciences or civil engineering. Discrete choice theory is the mathematical foundation for the analysis of individual and aggregate choice behavior, which became widely established following the publication of seminal theoretical works in the 1970s in the context of transport-related research questions ([Ben-Akiva, 1973](#); [Ben-Akiva & Lerman, 1985](#); [McFadden, 1976](#); [Train, 1985](#)). Examples of typical choice situations are the choice of a household's energy supplier, the purchase of a new car or the evaluation of political sentiment. In recent years, a new modeling approach in the field of discrete choice theory became popular – the mixed logit model ([Train, 2008, 2016](#)). Conventional discrete choice models only have a limited capability to describe the heterogeneity of choice preferences within a base population, i.e., the divergent choice behavior of different individuals or consumer groups can only be studied to a limited degree. Mixed logit models overcome this deficiency and allow for the analysis of preference distributions across base populations. Nonparametric mixed logit models bear the specific advantage - in contrast to parametric mixed logit models - that the form of the studied preference distribution, e.g. a normal distribution, is not exogenously defined by the researcher, but endogenously derived from the data.

MO|DE.behave complements already available Python ([Arteaga et al., 2022](#); [Bierlaire, 2020](#); [BIOGEME, 2003](#); [Brathwaite, 2020](#)) and R ([Croissant, 2020](#); [Hess et al., 2021](#); [Molloy et al., 2021](#)) software packages for the estimation of discrete choice models. However, it is the first to provide functionality for the estimation of mixed logit models with nonparametric distributions. Additionally, it incorporates post-processing tools to enable the rapid analysis and application of the estimated choice models, as well as ready-to-apply simulation methods for the specific

field of transportation research.

Use Cases and Outlook

Until now, MO|DE.behave has only been used internally at the Institute for Techno-economic Systems Analysis (IEK-3) at the Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH (Juelich Research Center). Primary applications have been in the field of transportation research (Reul et al., 2022, 2023). However, the software's use is not limited to this research field. MO|DE.behave is a generally applicable framework for the rapid quantitative analysis of choice behavior, based on discrete choice theory. A typical use case is the analysis of survey data, and includes the following steps:

1. Preparation of the survey data according to the input format, specified in the repository's documentation (long data format).
2. Selection of model attributes and specification of the model's parameters.
3. Estimation of a multinomial or mixed logit model.
4. Analysis and visualization of the estimated model parameters and simulation results.

The publication of MO|DE.behave aims to ease the application of discrete choice models, especially regarding the less documented mixed logit model with nonparametric design, for any researcher with an interest in choice modeling. Further developments of the presented software package will integrate estimation routines for other discrete choice models, such as mixed logit with parametric distributions (e.g., normal distribution). We encourage active participation in the software development process to adapt it to user needs.

Typical Visualizations

The presented software package contains an application script (`mode_behave_public/Deployments/example_estimation.py`), which exemplifies the workflow for the estimation of a multinomial and mixed logit model based on a subsample of survey data, describing the car purchasing behavior of households with respect to different drive technologies. The original data was collected in autumn 2021 in a nationally representative survey among 451 German households that indicated a willingness to buy a new vehicle. The available choice alternatives are internal combustion engines vehicles (ICEVs), plug-in hybrid-electric vehicles (PHEVs), battery-electric vehicles (BEVs) and fuel cell-electric vehicles (FCEVs).

Figures 1 and 2 were created using a post-processing method (`.forecast()`), which is included in the software package.

Figure 1 visualizes the simulated choice probabilities for each drivetrain technology, as well as the choice probabilities according to the survey (base) data itself. The bar in light petrol green (left bar for each choice alternative) indicates the choice probabilities simulated by a multinomial logit model on the base data, whereas the blue bar (middle bar for each choice alternative) visualizes the choice probabilities for a scenario with decreased purchasing costs of electric vehicles. The simulation results indicate increased choice probabilities for the electrified drivetrain technologies of BEVs and FCEVs in the case of reduced purchasing costs for electric vehicles.




Figure 1: Simulated choice probabilities for a conventional multinomial logit model in comparison to a scenario variation with decreased purchasing costs for electric vehicles and the survey (base) data.

Figure 2 shows the simulated multinomial logit choice probabilities for two identified consumer groups (C1 and C2) in petrol green (the two left bars for each choice alternative) relative to the choice probabilities of a combined latent class model in blue (third bar from the left for each choice alternative) and the survey (base) data in gray. The latent class model indicates the average multinomial logit choice probabilities of the identified consumer groups, weighted by their size. In turn, the choice preferences of the consumer groups C1 and C2 are derived from a preceding cluster analysis of a preference distribution, found by a mixed logit model with nonparametric design. According to the visually-depicted simulation results, consumer group C1 indicates significantly higher choice probabilities for the electric drivetrain technologies of BEVs and FCEVs compared to consumer group C2, the latent class model and the base data.




Figure 2: Simulated choice probabilities for two identified consumer groups (C1 & C2), a latent class model and the survey (base) data. The latent class model indicates the average choice probabilities of the identified consumer groups, weighted by their size

Electric vehicle adoption is a prominent example of behavioral modeling in socio-technical

systems, with recent research being conducted in the German context by Gnann et al. (2022) and Reul et al. (2023).

Acknowledgements

The contributions to this paper are listed according to CRediT-taxonomy.

Julian Reul: Methodology, software, validation, formal analysis, data curation, writing – original draft.

Dr. Thomas Grube: Conceptualization, writing – review and editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

Prof. Dr. Jochen Linßen: Conceptualization, writing – review and editing, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

Prof. Dr. Detlef Stolten: Conceptualization, supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Funding: This work was supported by the Helmholtz Association of German Research Centers.

References

- Arteaga, C., Park, J., Beeramoole, P., & Paz, A. (2022). Xlogit: An open-source python package for GPU-accelerated estimation of mixed logit models. *Journal of Choice Modelling*, 42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocm.2021.100339>
- Ben-Akiva, M. (1973). *Structure of passenger travel demand models*. MIT University Press.
- Ben-Akiva, M., & Lerman, S. (1985). *Discrete choice analysis: Theory and application to travel demand*. MIT University Press.
- Bierlaire, M. (2020). *A short introduction to PandasBiogeme*. biogeme. <https://biogeme.epfl.ch/documents.html>
- BIOGEME: A free package for the estimation of discrete choice models. (2003). [Computer software].
- Brathwaite, T. (2020). Pylogit. In *GitHub repository*. GitHub. <https://github.com/timothyb0912/pylogit>
- Croissant, Y. (2020). Mlogit: Multinomial logit models. CRAN. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=mlogit>
- Gnann, T., Speth, D., Seddig, K., Stich, M., Schade, W., & Vilchez, J. J. G. (2022). How to integrate real-world user behavior into models of the market diffusion of alternative fuels in passenger cars - an in-depth comparison of three models for germany. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2022.112103>
- Hess, S., Palma, D., & Hancock, T. (2021). *Apollo: Tools for choice model estimation and application*. CRAN. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=apollo>
- McFadden, D. (1976). A mathematical theory of demand models. In P. S. und Meyburg A. (Ed.), *Behavioral travel demand models* (pp. 305–314). Lexington.
- McFadden, D., & Train, K. (2000). Mixed MNL models for discrete response. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 15(5), 447–470. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2678603>

- Molloy, J., Becker, F., Schmid, B., & Axhausen, K. (2021). Mixl: An open-source r package for estimating complex choice models on large datasets. *Journal of Choice Modelling*, 39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocm.2021.100284>
- Reul, J., Chapman, A., Grube, T., Linßen, J., & Stolten, D. (2023). A simulation of the market diffusion of electric passenger cars in japan and germany. *Zenodo Preprint*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7742056>
- Reul, J., Grube, T., Linßen, J., & Stolten, D. (2022). Modeling behavioral change in transport futures. *SSRN Preprint*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4230060>
- Train, K. (1985). *Qualitative choice analysis: Theory, econometrics, and an application to automobile demand*. MIT University Press.
- Train, K. (2008). EM algorithms for nonparametric estimation of mixing distributions. *Journal of Choice Modelling*, 1(1), 40–69. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1755-5345\(13\)70022-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1755-5345(13)70022-8)
- Train, K. (2009). Mixed logit. In *Discrete choice methods with simulation* (pp. 76–93). Cambridge University Press.
- Train, K. (2016). Mixed logit with a flexible mixing distribution. *Journal of Choice Modelling*, 19, 40–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocm.2016.07.004>