

Origin of Incommensurate Magnetic Order in the $RAISi$ Magnetic Weyl Semimetals ($R = \text{Pr, Nd, Sm}$)

Juba Bouaziz,^{1,*} Gustav Bihlmayer,¹ Christopher E. Patrick,² Julie B. Staunton,³ and Stefan Blügel¹

¹*Peter Grünberg Institut and Institute for Advanced Simulation,
Forschungszentrum Jülich & JARA, D-52425 Jülich, Germany*

²*Department of Materials, University of Oxford, Parks Road, Oxford OX1 3PH, United Kingdom*

³*Department of Physics, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, United Kingdom*

(Dated: April 25, 2024)

We investigate rare-earth magnetic Weyl semimetals through first-principles simulations, analyzing the connection between incommensurate magnetic order and the presence of Weyl nodes in the electronic band structure. Focusing on PrAlSi , NdAlSi , and SmAlSi , we demonstrate that the reported helical ordering does not originate from the nesting of topological features at the Fermi Surface or the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction. Instead, the helical order arises from frustrated isotropic short-range superexchange between the $4f$ moments facilitated by pd -hybridization with the main group elements. Employing a spin Hamiltonian with isotropic exchange and single-ion anisotropy we replicate the experimentally observed helical modulation.

Magnetic Weyl semimetals form an exciting class of topological materials [1], owing to the possibility they offer of combining nontrivial topology in both reciprocal momentum space and the space of magnetic order parameters. This raises the prospect of identifying new topological invariants which characterize novel and intriguing physical response properties. In momentum space, Weyl nodes emerge as topologically nontrivial points of contact or crossings between two Kramers' degeneracy-lifted bands, acting as sinks and sources of diverging Berry curvature [2, 3]. These correspond to magnetic monopoles strongly affecting electronic response and transport properties, such as anomalous Hall [4] or Nernst effects, magnetoresistance [5, 6] or optical properties [7], if they are in the vicinity of the Fermi surface (FS). These emergent Weyl fermions are either a consequence of time-reversal symmetry or spatial-inversion symmetry breaking (ISB) in presence of the spin-orbit interaction (SOI) [8].

Weyl materials are of great interest magnetically owing to the complex magnetic textures such as spin-spirals they can exhibit which can be turned into topological nontrivial textures such as skyrmions with the application of external magnetic fields. ISB, SOI, and magnetism are also the necessary ingredients for chiral Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya magnetic interactions (DMI) [9, 10]. DMI can compete with exchange interactions, giving rise to chiral spin-spiral ground states [11] and chiral magnetic skyrmions [12] when magnetic fields are applied. This hints at a relation between the Weyl points and skyrmion formation.

All the more surprising is the recent observation of spiral magnetism in magnetic rare-earth (R) $RAISi$ Weyl semimetals and an apparent link to nesting between topologically nontrivial Fermi surface pockets [13–18]. This suggests that the Weyl points are directly related to the much stronger exchange interaction, rather than the weaker DMI, and are the determinants for the magnetic phases. A correlation between band structure topology,

i.e. the Weyl points, and the emergence of an incommensurate magnetic order has been found for NdAlSi [13]. The observed helical magnetic order is characterized by a wave vector \mathbf{q} that matches the vector connecting the topological features observed in the FS. The incommensurate order in NdAlSi transforms to a commensurate ferrimagnetic one [13] at low temperatures, attributed to the magnetic anisotropy originating from crystal field effects [19]. For SmAlSi [14] helical magnetic order has been identified to persist to lower temperatures and include a topological Hall effect characteristic of the A -phase [20] in skyrmion materials. PrAlSi exhibits both ferromagnetic and also possibly spin glass or ferromagnetic cluster glass behavior [15]. Thus, $RAISi$ compounds have garnered significant interest to be ideal systems for the exploration of the interplay between nontrivial valence band structures and chiral magnetic textures.

The relation between the FS nesting vectors and the helical incommensurate order is suggestive, this assumption is valid in presence of an indirect exchange or Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interactions [21–23]. In general, several exchange mechanisms are present in complex magnetic materials, including superexchange (SX) [24, 25] and double exchange [26]. A quantitative evidence including all the aforementioned exchange mechanisms which shows a correlation between the topological features at the FS and the magnetic order in the $RAISi$ family is still missing.

In this letter, for three specific rare-earth semi-metallic candidates, PrAlSi , NdAlSi , and SmAlSi , we explore to what extent the RKKY interactions and the topology of the threadbare FSs can contribute to the materials' magnetic orders. Moreover, we also assess whether short-ranged but frustrated SX interactions are preeminent owing to the presence of a quasi-band gap. To this end, we examine the magnetic interactions between the rare-earth atoms employing a first-principles approach, which is unbiased as to the exchange mechanism.




FIG. 1. (a) The maximal eigenvalues $J(\mathbf{q})$, indicating a competition between ferromagnetic ($q = 0$) and helical order at q_p in [110] direction, for PrAlSi, NdAlSi, and SmAlSi. The inset highlights the 4-fold degenerate peak for SmAlSi. (b) Real-space isotropic exchange interactions in SmAlSi and SmAl₂ between $4f$ atoms at distance R , showing different regimes of SX and RKKY. The full (dotted) lines represent intra-layer (inter-layer) interactions, the inset depicts the $J(\mathbf{q})$ for SmAl₂. (c) A comparison of $J(\mathbf{q})$ for different approximations: SmAlSi compound with ordered Si and Al atoms (black), including the DMI (red), without SOI (green), and with a disordered Si and Al distribution, restoring centrosymmetry, with SOI (blue).

In order to extract some generic insights about the magnetic interactions prevalent in these $4f$ magnetic Weyl semi-metal materials, we study a GdAlSi prototype (see Supplementary Material [27]) whose lattice parameters are set to match each RAlSi material [21, 22]. This enables us to discriminate between RKKY-like magnetic interaction mechanisms, reliant on electronic structure near the Fermi energy in metals, or SX-like mechanisms inherent in magnetic insulators. We find generic competing ferromagnetic and incommensurate helical order interactions. We then perform *ab initio* crystal field theory calculations of single-ion anisotropies [28] to determine the specific magnetic ordered structures.

We employ Hubbard U -corrected density functional theory (DFT+ U) [29] calculations to investigate the magnetic interactions and electronic band structures. The calculations are performed with the all-electron full-potential Korringa-Kohn-Rostoker (KKR) Green function method [30], including scalar relativistic effects and spin-orbit coupling self-consistently [31]. We compute the magnetic interactions between the $4f$ magnetic atoms using the infinitesimal rotation method [32–34]. The real space exchange interactions tensor and the corresponding lattice Fourier transforms are carefully inspected, unveiling the different exchange mechanisms at play. The lattice constants employed in the calculations are taken from experiment (Supplementary Material [27]).

A minimal spin Hamiltonian, \mathcal{H}_m , for a non-centrosymmetric crystal, with the magnetic interactions, which we have calculated *ab initio*, is given by:

$$\mathcal{H}_m = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} J_{ij} \mathbf{m}_i \cdot \mathbf{m}_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} D_{ij} \cdot (\mathbf{m}_i \times \mathbf{m}_j) - \sum_i K_i (\mathbf{e}_n \cdot \mathbf{m}_i)^2. \quad (1)$$

\mathbf{m}_i is the direction of the magnetic moment at a R -site i , and \mathbf{e}_n is the direction of the (effective) easy axis speci-

fied with respect to the crystal structure. The first term refers to the isotropic Heisenberg interactions, the second the anti-symmetric Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interactions (DMI) [9, 10], which promote chiral spin textures [35], and the third the crystal field single-ion anisotropy at the rare-earth sites (K_i). We can safely neglect the two-ion anisotropy due to its small size compared to K_i . In order to find the origin of the helical order observed in the RAlSi family, we inspect the Fourier transform $\mathcal{J}_{mn}^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q})$ of the magnetic exchange interactions. m and n denote the atomic indices in the unit cell labelling the two magnetic atoms in RAlSi, α and β indicate the $\{x, y, z\}$ components. The maximal eigenvalue $J(\mathbf{q})$ of the Fourier transform matrix $\mathcal{J}_{mn}^{\alpha\beta}(\mathbf{q})$ provides information on the magnetic order and an estimate of the transition temperature T_N . $J(\mathbf{q})$ is depicted in Fig. 1(a) for our Gd prototype with the lattice structures of PrAlSi, NdAlSi and SmAlSi, respectively (supplemental note 3). In each case, we see two peaks of roughly comparable magnitude at $\mathbf{q} = 0$, indicative of intra-layer ferromagnetic correlations, and at $\mathbf{q} = \frac{2\pi}{a}(q, q, 0)$ with $q \approx 0.3$ (a being the in-plane lattice constant), which describes single- q spiroidal magnetic correlations [22, 23]. The dominant peak determines the magnetic order that will form below the transition temperature.

For PrAlSi, the maximum peak occurs at $\mathbf{q}_p = (0, 0, 0)$ and the ferromagnetic order within each layer is favored as observed experimentally in Ref. [36], while for the NdAlSi case, the maximum peak occurs at $\mathbf{q}_p = \frac{2\pi}{a}(q_p, q_p, 0)$ with $q_p = 0.273$ in agreement with the experimental value of $q_p^{\text{exp}} = \frac{1}{3} + \delta$ [13]. However, the small energy difference $\Delta E = 0.07$ meV between the spiral and ferromagnetic states, $J(\mathbf{q}_p) - J(\mathbf{0})$, is not sufficient to overcome the magnetic anisotropy energy caused by the crystal field, suppressing the helical ordering at low temperature and enforcing a ferromagnetic order intra-layer [13]. For the case of SmAlSi, the maximum peak occurs once more at a finite- q with $\mathbf{q}_p = \frac{2\pi}{a}(q_p, q_p, 0)$




FIG. 2. (a) The complex FS of semi-metallic SmAlSi, with the high-symmetry points and Brillouin zone indicated. The red arrow indicates the non-trivial nesting vector at the FS discussed in Ref. [14]. (b) Schematic representation of the low semimetallic density of states (DOS) at E_F displaying the sp -band and the hybridization with spin-polarized d -electrons. (c) A simplified two-parameter phase diagram incorporating nearest neighbor intra-layer ($J_{||}$) and inter-layer (J_{\perp}) interactions (see inset in Fig. 2c), illustrating the emergence of spin-spiral (SS) order within each rare-earth layer under antiferromagnetic ($J_{||} < 0$) intra-layer exchange interactions. Phases with (anti)ferromagnetic order within each layer are labeled with FM (AFM). The yellow star indicates the value of the nearest neighbors' exchange interactions calculated *ab initio*.

and $q_p = 0.283$, very close to the experimental value $q_p^{\text{exp}} = 0.33$ [14]. Compared to the NdAlSi case, the energy difference here is much larger, $\Delta E = 0.36$ meV, and together with the reduction of the crystal field effects owing to the lanthanide lattice contraction of heavier $4f$ elements (see discussion below), this results in a persisting incommensurate order at low temperatures [14]. At these temperatures quantum effects play a role in determining the transition temperatures. Nonetheless, estimating T_N using a mean-field, classical spin prescription, $T_N = J(\mathbf{q}_p)/3k_B$, with k_B being the Boltzmann constant, we find $T_N \simeq 25$ K, which is of the same order of magnitude as the experimentally measured T_N [14].

To determine the dominant exchange contribution in RAlSi, we examine Fig. 1(b), where the black curves represent the isotropic exchange interactions J_{ij} as functions of inter-atomic distance. The full (dotted) line represents short-range, antiferromagnetic intra-layer (inter-layer) interactions indicating a SX mechanism [24] over a weak RKKY exchange. Despite the coexistence with RKKY-like interactions, the scarcity of electronic states near E_F and the threadbare FS (Fig. 2(a)) favor the dominance of SX interactions.

Figure 2(a) displays the FS of the Gd-prototype (with the SmAlSi lattice constant) obtained using the FLEUR code [37] (see Supplementary Material [27]). The FS occupies only a small portion of the Brillouin zone owing to the low density of states near the Fermi energy (E_F) *i.e.* the semi-metallic nature of SmAlSi. The suggested nesting between the non-trivial Fermi pockets is indicated by a red arrow in Fig. 2(a). However, the FS sheets are not parallel and exhibit a three-dimensional dispersion, which does not fulfill the nesting condition required to stabilize incommensurate ordering. This observation aligns with recent findings in Ref. [38], where the computation of the Lindhard susceptibility, based on the FS, does not indicate a finite- q helical ordering.

To further elaborate on this balance between RKKY

and SX interactions, we analyze a related but metallic compound GdAl₂ with the same lattice constants (SmAlSi). Substituting Si with Al removes one electron from the system, shifting E_F below the semi-metallic gap (see Supplementary Material [27]). The resulting magnetic interactions are shown in Fig. 1(b). In contrast to GdAlSi, they exhibit an oscillatory long-range behavior, indicating that the RKKY interactions dominates over SX in this metallic regime, favoring a spiroidal state (see inset of Fig. 1(b)). The SX mechanism in RAlSi compounds can be understood through an analysis of the density of states, as depicted schematically in Fig. 2(b). In these compounds, the $4f$ electrons induce a local Zeeman magnetic field, causing spin polarization of the rare-earth's $5d$ -electrons. These induced $5d$ magnetic moments interact with the p electrons at different sites through the non-magnetic Si and Al atoms. The SX interactions can be described in terms of a charge-transfer model similar to the transition-metal oxides one [39]: $J_{ij} \propto -t_{pd}^4/\Delta^3$, where t_{pd} represents the hopping integral between the d and p orbitals, and Δ is the charge-transfer energy. A comparable scenario arises in Gd monopnictides where SX competes with RKKY interactions [40]. Lastly, while maintaining the same crystalline configuration but substituting Gd with Eu (EuAlSi), the R valence $5d$ electrons are removed (the rare earth atoms are divalent rather than trivalent), leaving only sp -electrons that scatter off the localized $4f$ electrons. This ultimately leads to an RKKY interaction among the $4f$ moments (Supplementary Material [27]), once again emphasizing the significant role of $5d$ -electrons in generating the SX mechanism.

Although our analysis thus far indicates a dominant role for SX, we now investigate the interplay between the Weyl points and the incommensurate helical ordering by considering two distinct cases: firstly excluding SOI, where the band structure contains nodal lines [38, 41], and secondly including SOI which introduces gaps in the

nodal lines, and generates Weyl points at specific symmetry dictated positions in k -space [41]. Fig. 1(c) depicts $J(\mathbf{q})$ of SmAlSi for these two cases - including (black curve) and excluding the SOI (green curve). While $J(\mathbf{q})$ differs slightly near $(q_p, q_p, 0)$, the finite- q peak structure remains and incommensurate order is still favored over ferromagnetism with an energy barrier $\Delta E = 0.10$ meV. This demonstrates that a stable helical order can form in the absence of Weyl points. Moreover, despite the presence of Weyl nodes in the electronic band structure of PrAlSi, $J(\mathbf{q})$ has the maximal value at $\mathbf{q} = (0, 0, 0)$ favoring a collinear order even without the inclusion of single-ion anisotropy (see Fig. 1(a)).

We now inspect the role of inversion-symmetry breaking on the magnetic order of SmAlSi. The centrosymmetry can be restored using a 50%-50% alloy of Al and Si species at each site, *i.e.* $\text{Sm}(\text{Al}_{0.5}\text{Si}_{0.5})_2$. This is achieved computationally using the coherent potential approximation [42]. The electronic band structure obtained for this centrosymmetric SmAlSi alloy is given in the Supplementary Material [27]. Centrosymmetry leads to the removal of the Weyl points (note that the DMI is suppressed as well) and the disorder smears out the electronic bands near the Fermi energy [43]. The resulting $J(\mathbf{q})$, shown in Fig. 1(c) (blue curve), supports ferromagnetic order prevailing in the alloy ($\Delta E = -0.17$ meV), but the finite- q peak persists indicating that the helical order does not originate from inversion symmetry breaking or the Weyl points. Lastly, inclusion of DMI (red curve) is found to have a minimal effect owing to its weak magnitude - while it breaks the $\pm q$ reciprocity reducing the four-fold degeneracy (inset Fig. 1(a)), it does not alter the position or the magnitude of the finite- q peak in $J(\mathbf{q})$ which is purely driven by isotropic exchange.

The crystal field effects play an important role in the RAlSi family. The $4f$ electrons' electronic configuration in RAlSi has an atomic-like behavior in accordance with Hund's rules [14], which in turn determines the shape of the $4f$ charge cloud. This charge is subjected to the crystal field (CF) originating from the valence electrons and surrounding ions. Considering that the non-collinear order is driven by the isotropic exchange interactions and not crystal fields effects, the tetragonal uniaxial magnetic anisotropy constant K_i is computed from fitting the classical CF energy differences [44] while rotating the $4f$ moment from the c -axis to the a -axis. The crystal field parameters are obtained within the yttrium analogue approach [28] (see Supplementary Material [27]). Both PrAlSi and NdAlSi display an out-of-plane anisotropy, in agreement with the experimental observation [13]: PrAlSi has a high value of $K_i = 2.593$ meV, while the NdAlSi constant is one order of magnitude smaller $K_i = 0.218$ meV. On the other hand, SmAlSi prefers a canted easy anisotropy axis \mathbf{e}_n along the $(\theta_n, \phi_n) = (60^\circ, 45^\circ)$ direction with respect to tetragonal basis vectors, with an anisotropy constant of $K_i = 0.13$




FIG. 3. (a) Helical magnetic structure of SmAlSi in the presence of frustrated isotropic exchange interactions and a canted magnetic anisotropy, the colors indicates the m_z component, blue: $m_z = -1$, red: $m_z = 1$, white: $m_z = 0$. The green dashed square denotes magnetic unit cell. (b) Same as in (a), including sub-lattice two. (c) Same as in (a) including an external magnetic field ($B = 4$ Tesla) along the easy axis ($60^\circ, 45^\circ$). (d) Stacked antiferromagnetic state along the c -axis with higher energy compared to (b).

meV.

We extract the following minimal atomistic spin model in reciprocal space to understand the formation of a helical order in RAlSi from the short-ranged, antiferromagnetic interactions ($J < 0$)

$$\begin{cases} J_{\text{nn}}^{\parallel}(\mathbf{q}) = 2J_{\parallel}(\cos q_x a + \cos q_y a) \\ J_{\text{nn}}^{\perp}(\mathbf{q}) = 2J_{\perp}(\cos(q_x a/2) + \cos(q_y a/2)) \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Hereby, we consider an isotropic Heisenberg model with nearest-neighbor intra-layer (J_{\parallel}) and inter-layer (J_{\perp}) interactions as depicted in the inset of Fig. 2(c). We construct the phase diagram shown in Fig. 2(c) by varying J_{\parallel} and J_{\perp} , and identifying the in-plane \mathbf{q} which maximizes the eigenvalue $J(\mathbf{q})$. Within each rare-earth layer three phases emerge, namely a ferromagnetic (FM), antiferromagnetic (AFM) and spin spiral (SS) phase. For $J_{\parallel} < 0$, the AFM phase switches to a SS phase as the magnitude of J_{\perp} increases. For SmAlSi, the J_{\parallel} and J_{\perp} parameters lie in the region of the SS phase as can be seen from the first-principles results in Fig. 1(b).

Now, for the case of SmAlSi, considering (short range) magnetic interactions J_{ij} in real space up to $2.5 a$, with $K_i = 0.13$ meV, $\mathbf{e}_n = (60^\circ, 45^\circ)$, and neglecting the DMI, we minimize the Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_m (1) by solving the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation as implemented in the Spirit code [45]. The resulting helical order for the first sub-lattice is depicted in Fig. 3(a) (top view), featuring a propagation vector $\mathbf{q} = \frac{2\pi}{a}(q, q, 0)$ with $q = 0.33$ consistent with the maximum of $J(\mathbf{q})$ for SmAlSi. Fig. 3(b)

illustrates the helimagnetic structure where both sublattices show a similar magnetic order but with a positional shift owing to the stacking along the c -axis. Besides the helical order, a solution slightly higher in energy ($\Delta E = 0.05$ meV) features moments antiferromagnetically coupled along the c -axis, as depicted in Fig. 3(d). The helical order can transform to this antiferromagnetic state under high-field conditions or with thermal fluctuations. To explore the emergence of non-collinear spin textures, we apply a magnetic field along the easy-axis [23] e_n . The resulting state is shown in Fig. 3(c). We observe a canting of the moments towards the $+z$ direction, but no skyrmion lattice phases can form owing to the short period of the magnetic structure (3a). The helical order remains the most stable one.

Coming back to the above mentioned non-conventional contribution to the Hall signal observed experimentally for SmAlSi when a magnetic field is applied [14], we conjecture that this signal can be interpreted in terms of the recently introduced non-collinear Hall effect [46]. This effect emerges from the interference between non-collinear magnetism and spin-orbit interactions in a non-centrosymmetric environment, without invoking the presence of magnetic skyrmions. Likely, this unconventional Hall signal originates from the scattering of Weyl fermions on the helical magnetic background.

In summary, we have examined three members from the RAlSi family, recently proposed as materials where Weyl-mediated RKKY interactions generate helical ordering. Our findings demonstrate that neither the Weyl points nor the RKKY interactions provide the predominant mechanism owing to the presence of a low density of states near the Fermi energy in these semi-metals. Instead, we find a significant p - d antiferromagnetic SX contribution. The competition of these isotropic SX interactions between different atoms leads to a helical order with a short period of approximately three lattice constants, all without the assistance of DMI. Our *ab initio* calculations reveal a strong magnetic anisotropy arising from crystal field effects for PrAlSi and NdAlSi, locking the moments into a collinear configuration. In contrast, the magnetic anisotropy in SmAlSi is much lower, which allows the formation of a helical incommensurate order at low temperatures. Lastly, the short period of the spin spiral does not permit the emergence of a skyrmion lattice when external magnetic fields are applied.

For future prospects, our *ab initio* computed crystal field coefficients serve as a theoretical input for ongoing experimental investigations using inelastic neutron scattering to understand the complex magnetic structures and crystal field excitations of the RAlSi compounds. Meanwhile, our analysis of the Fermi surface and electronic band structure in different configurations, including chemically ordered, paramagnetic, and chemically disordered, provides very useful insights to interpret experimental photo-emission data [38]. Finally, alloying Al

and Si with other elements from the same family or applying strain may drive the exchange parameters of the compound closer to the SS-FM phase boundaries of the phase diagram (Fig. 2(b)), leading to longer-period helical orders which may be turned into a skyrmion lattice by applying an external magnetic field. This provides an ideal platform to study the interplay between the topology of the magnetic textures in real space and that of Weyl fermions in reciprocal space.

We thank Carsten Timm, Collin L. Broholm, Nikolai Kiselev, and Andy Knoll for fruitful discussions. J.B. and S.B. acknowledges financial support from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (Grant No. 856538, project "3D MAGiC"). S.B. acknowledges financial support from Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) through CRC 1238 (Project No. C01). J.B.S. acknowledges support from UK EPSRC Grant No. EP/M028941/1. J.B., S.B., and G.B. acknowledge computing time granted by the JARA-CSD and VSR Resource Allocation Board provided on the supercomputers CLAIX at RWTH Aachen University and JURECA at Jülich Supercomputer Centre under grants nos. jara0219 and jiff13.

* j.bouaziz@fz-juelich.de

- [1] Nitesh Kumar, Satya N Guin, Kaustuv Manna, Chandra Shekhar, and Claudia Felser, "Topological quantum materials from the viewpoint of chemistry," *Chemical Reviews* **121**, 2780–2815 (2020).
- [2] M Zahid Hasan, Guoqing Chang, Ilya Belopolski, Guang Bian, Su-Yang Xu, and Jia-Xin Yin, "Weyl, Dirac and high-fold chiral fermions in topological quantum matter," *Nature Reviews Materials* **6**, 784–803 (2021).
- [3] Binghai Yan and Claudia Felser, "Topological materials: Weyl semimetals," *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **8**, 337–354 (2017).
- [4] Daniel Destrz, Lakshmi Das, Stepan S Tsirkin, Yang Xu, Titus Neupert, J Chang, A Schilling, Adolfo G Grushin, Joachim Kohlbrecher, Lukas Keller, et al., "Magnetism and anomalous transport in the Weyl semimetal PrAlGe: possible route to axial gauge fields," *npj Quantum Materials* **5**, 5 (2020).
- [5] Xiaochun Huang, Lingxiao Zhao, Yujia Long, Peipei Wang, Dong Chen, Zhanhai Yang, Hui Liang, Mianqi Xue, Hongming Weng, Zhong Fang, et al., "Observation of the chiral-anomaly-induced negative magnetoresistance in 3D Weyl semimetal TaAs," *Physical Review X* **5**, 031023 (2015).
- [6] Andy Knoll, Carsten Timm, and Tobias Meng, "Negative longitudinal magnetoconductance at weak fields in Weyl semimetals," *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 201402 (2020).
- [7] Naoto Nagaosa, Takahiro Morimoto, and Yoshinori Tokura, "Transport, magnetic and optical properties of Weyl materials," *Nature Reviews Materials* **5**, 621–636 (2020).
- [8] Andy Knoll and Carsten Timm, "Classification of Weyl

- points and nodal lines based on magnetic point groups for spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ quasiparticles,” *Phys. Rev. B* **105**, 115109 (2022).
- [9] I. Dzyaloshinsky, “A thermodynamic theory of “weak” ferromagnetism of antiferromagnetics,” *Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids* **4**, 241–255 (1958).
 - [10] T. Moriya, “Anisotropic superexchange interaction and weak ferromagnetism,” *Physical Review* **120**, 91 (1960).
 - [11] I. Dzyaloshinsky, “Theory of helicoidal structures in antiferromagnets,” *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics (U.S.S.R.)* **74**, 992–1002 (1964).
 - [12] A. Bogdanov and D. Yablonski, “Thermodynamically stable “vortices” in magnetically ordered crystals. The mixed state of magnets,” *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **95**, 178 (1989).
 - [13] Jonathan Gaudet, Hung-Yu Yang, Santu Baidya, Baozhu Lu, Guangyong Xu, Yang Zhao, Jose A Rodriguez-Rivera, Christina M Hoffmann, David E Graf, Darius H Torchinsky, *et al.*, “Weyl-mediated helical magnetism in NdAlSi,” *Nature Materials* **20**, 1650–1656 (2021).
 - [14] Xiaohan Yao, Jonathan Gaudet, Rahul Verma, David E. Graf, Hung-Yu Yang, Faranak Bahrami, Ruiqi Zhang, Adam A. Aczel, Sujun Subedi, Darius H. Torchinsky, Jianwei Sun, Arun Bansil, Shin-Ming Huang, Bahadur Singh, Peter Blaha, Predrag Nikolić, and Fazel Tafti, “Large Topological Hall Effect and Spiral Magnetic Order in the Weyl Semimetal SmAlSi,” *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 011035 (2023).
 - [15] Meng Lyu, Junsen Xiang, Zhenyu Mi, Hengcan Zhao, Zhen Wang, Enke Liu, Genfu Chen, Zhian Ren, Gang Li, and Peijie Sun, “Nonsaturating magnetoresistance, anomalous Hall effect, and magnetic quantum oscillations in the ferromagnetic semimetal PrAlSi,” *Physical Review B* **102**, 085143 (2020).
 - [16] Pascal Puphal, Vladimir Pomjakushin, Naoya Kanazawa, Victor Ukleev, Dariusz J Gawryluk, Junzhang Ma, Muntaser Naamneh, Nicholas C Plumb, Lukas Keller, Robert Cubitt, *et al.*, “Topological magnetic phase in the candidate Weyl semimetal CeAlGe,” *Physical Review Letters* **124**, 017202 (2020).
 - [17] Hung-Yu Yang, Bahadur Singh, Jonathan Gaudet, Baozhu Lu, Cheng-Yi Huang, Wei-Chi Chiu, Shin-Ming Huang, Baokai Wang, Faranak Bahrami, Bochao Xu, *et al.*, “Noncollinear ferromagnetic Weyl semimetal with anisotropic anomalous Hall effect,” *Physical Review B* **103**, 115143 (2021).
 - [18] Hao-Ran Chang, Jianhui Zhou, Shi-Xiong Wang, Wen-Yu Shan, and Di Xiao, “RKKY interaction of magnetic impurities in Dirac and Weyl semimetals,” *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 241103 (2015).
 - [19] J. Jensen and Allan R. Mackintosh, *Rare earth magnetism: structures and excitations* (Clarendon Oxford, 1991).
 - [20] A. Neubauer, C. Pfleiderer, B. Binz, A. Rosch, R. Ritz, P. G. Niklowitz, and P. Böni, “Topological Hall Effect in the A Phase of MnSi,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 186602 (2009).
 - [21] I. D. Hughes, M. Däne, A. Ernst, W. Hergert, M. Lüders, J. Poulter, J. B. Staunton, A. Svane, Z. Szotek, and W. M. Temmerman, “Lanthanide contraction and magnetism in the heavy rare earth elements,” *Nature* **446**, 650–653 (2007).
 - [22] E. Mendive-Tapia and J. B. Staunton, “Theory of magnetic ordering in the heavy rare earths: Ab initio electronic origin of pair- and four-spin interactions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 197202 (2017).
 - [23] Juba Bouaziz, Eduardo Mendive-Tapia, Stefan Blügel, and Julie B. Staunton, “Fermi-Surface Origin of Skyrmion Lattices in Centrosymmetric Rare-Earth Intermetallics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 157206 (2022).
 - [24] P. W. Anderson, “Antiferromagnetism. theory of superexchange interaction,” *Phys. Rev.* **79**, 350–356 (1950).
 - [25] I. V. Solovyev and K. Terakura, “Zone boundary softening of the spin-wave dispersion in doped ferromagnetic manganites,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 2959–2962 (1999).
 - [26] I. V. Solovyev, “Optimized effective potential for the extended hubbard model,” *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 8550–8558 (1999).
 - [27] The Supplementary Material contains further details on: the Gd-prototype approach, the Fourier transform of the magnetic interactions, electronic density of states, electronic band structures of SmAlSi for various cases (chemically ordered, chemically disordered and paramagnetic state), Fermi surfaces, and derivation of the single ion anisotropy using crystal field theory. The Supplementary Material also includes references [9, 10, 14, 22, 28, 29, 33, 34, 41, 42, 44, 45, 47–64].
 - [28] Christopher E Patrick and Julie B Staunton, “Crystal field coefficients for yttrium analogues of rare-earth/transition-metal magnets using density-functional theory in the projector-augmented wave formalism,” *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter* **31**, 305901 (2019).
 - [29] S. L. Dudarev, P. Liu, D. A. Andersson, C. R. Stanek, T. Ozaki, and C. Franchini, “Parametrization of LSDA+*U* for noncollinear magnetic configurations: Multipolar magnetism in UO₂,” *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **3**, 083802 (2019).
 - [30] Philipp Rössmann, David Antognini Silva, Guillaume Gérardon, David S. G. Bauer, Paul Baumeister, Paul F. Bornemann, Juba Bouaziz, Sascha Brinker, Jonathan Chico, Peter H. Dederichs, Bernhard H. Dritter, Flaviano Dos Santos, Manuel dos Santos Dias, Nicolas Essing, Ilias Klepetsanis, Adamantia Kosma, Nguyen H. Long, Samir Lounis, Phivos Mavropoulos, Eduardo Mendive Tapia, Cem Oran, Nikos Papanikolaou, Elias Rabel, Benedikt Schweflinghaus, Nikolaos Stefanou, Alexander R. Thiess, Rudolf Zeller, Bernd Zimmermann, and Stefan Blügel, “JuDFTteam/JuKKR: v3.6; v3.6,” (2022).
 - [31] D. S. G. Bauer, “Development of a relativistic full-potential first-principles multiple scattering Green function method applied to complex magnetic textures of nanostructures at surfaces,” *Forschungszentrum Jülich* (2014).
 - [32] A. I. Liechtenstein, M. I. Katsnelson, and V. A. Gubanov, “Exchange interactions and spin-wave stiffness in ferromagnetic metals,” *Journal of Physics F: Metal Physics* **14**, L125 (1984).
 - [33] H. Ebert and S. Mankovsky, “Anisotropic exchange coupling in diluted magnetic semiconductors: Ab initio spin-density functional theory,” *Phys. Rev. B* **79**, 045209 (2009).
 - [34] I. V. Solovyev, “Exchange interactions and magnetic force theorem,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 104428 (2021).
 - [35] S. Heinze, K. Von Bergmann, M. Menzel, J. Brede, A. Kubetzka, R. Wiesendanger, G. Bihlmayer, and S. Blügel, “Spontaneous atomic-scale magnetic skyrmion lattice in two dimensions,” *Nature Physics* **7**, 713–718 (2011).
 - [36] Hung-Yu Yang, Bahadur Singh, Jonathan Gaudet,

- Baozhu Lu, Cheng-Yi Huang, Wei-Chi Chiu, Shin-Ming Huang, Baokai Wang, Faranak Bahrami, Bochao Xu, Jacob Franklin, Ilya Sochnikov, David E. Graf, Guangyong Xu, Yang Zhao, Christina M. Hoffman, Hsin Lin, Darius H. Torchinsky, Collin L. Broholm, Arun Bansil, and Fazel Tafti, “Noncollinear ferromagnetic Weyl semimetal with anisotropic anomalous Hall effect,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 115143 (2021).
- [37] Daniel Wortmann, Gregor Michalick, Nadjib Baadji, Markus Betzinger, Gustav Bihlmayer, Jens Bröder, Tobias Burnus, Jussi Enkovaara, Frank Freimuth, Christoph Friedrich, Christian-Roman Gerhorst, Sebastian Granberg Cauchi, Uliana Grytsiuk, Andrea Hanke, Jan-Philipp Hanke, Marcus Heide, Stefan Heinze, Robin Hilgers, Henning Janssen, Daniel Aaron Klüppelberg, Roman Kovacik, Philipp Kurz, Marjana Lezaic, Georg K. H. Madsen, Yuriy Mokrousov, Alexander Neukirchen, Matthias Redies, Stefan Rost, Martin Schlipf, Arno Schindlmayr, Miriam Winkelmann, and Stefan Blügel, “Fleur,” (2023), [10.5281/zenodo.7891361](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7891361).
- [38] Yichen Zhang, Yuxiang Gao, Xue-Jian Gao, Shiming Lei, Zhuoliang Ni, Ji Seop Oh, Jianwei Huang, Ziqin Yue, Marta Zonno, Sergey Gorovikov, et al., “Kramers nodal lines and weyl fermions in smalsi,” *Communications Physics* **6**, 134 (2023).
- [39] Vladimir A. Gubanov, Alexander I. Liechtenstein, and Andrei V. Postnikov, *Magnetism and the electronic structure of crystals*, Vol. 98 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2012).
- [40] Chun-Gang Duan, Renat F Sabiryanov, Wai-Ning Mei, Peter A Dowben, SS Jaswal, and Evgeny Y Tsymbal, “Magnetic ordering in Gd mononictides: Indirect exchange versus superexchange interaction,” *Applied physics letters* **88**, 182505 (2006).
- [41] Guoqing Chang, Bahadur Singh, Su-Yang Xu, Guang Bian, Shin-Ming Huang, Chuang-Han Hsu, Ilya Belopolski, Nasser Alidoust, Daniel S. Sanchez, Hao Zheng, Hong Lu, Xiao Zhang, Yi Bian, Tay-Rong Chang, Horng-Tay Jeng, Arun Bansil, Han Hsu, Shuang Jia, Titus Neupert, Hsin Lin, and M. Zahid Hasan, “Magnetic and non-centrosymmetric weyl fermion semimetals in the $RAI\text{Ge}$ family of compounds (R = rare earth),” *Phys. Rev. B* **97**, 041104 (2018).
- [42] B. L. Gyorffy, “Coherent-potential approximation for a nonoverlapping-muffin-tin-potential model of random substitutional alloys,” *Phys. Rev. B* **5**, 2382–2384 (1972).
- [43] Hubert Ebert, Diemo Koedderitzsch, and Jan Minar, “Calculating condensed matter properties using the KKR-Green’s function method—recent developments and applications,” *Reports on Progress in Physics* **74**, 096501 (2011).
- [44] Christopher E Patrick, George A Marchant, and Julie B Staunton, “Spin orientation and magnetostriction of $\text{Tb}_{1-x}\text{Dy}_x\text{Fe}_2$ from first principles,” *Physical Review Applied* **14**, 014091 (2020).
- [45] Gideon P. Müller, Markus Hoffmann, Constantin Dißelkamp, Daniel Schürhoff, Stefanos Mavros, Moritz Sallermann, Nikolai S. Kiselev, Hannes Jónsson, and Stefan Blügel, “Spirit: Multifunctional framework for atomistic spin simulations,” *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 224414 (2019).
- [46] J. Bouaziz, H. Ishida, S. Lounis, and S. Blügel, “Transverse transport in two-dimensional relativistic systems with nontrivial spin textures,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 147203 (2021).
- [47] J. P. Perdew and Alex Zunger, “Self-interaction correction to density-functional approximations for many-electron systems,” *Phys. Rev. B* **23**, 5048–5079 (1981).
- [48] M. Lüders, A. Ernst, M. Däne, Z. Szotek, A. Svane, D. Ködderitzsch, W. Hergert, B. L. Gyorffy, and W. M. Temmerman, “Self-interaction correction in multiple scattering theory,” *Phys. Rev. B* **71**, 205109 (2005).
- [49] P. Larson, Walter R. L. Lambrecht, Athanasios Chantisi, and Mark van Schilfgaarde, “Electronic structure of rare-earth nitrides using the LSDA + u approach: Importance of allowing $4f$ orbitals to break the cubic crystal symmetry,” *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 045114 (2007).
- [50] Jin-Feng Wang, Qing-Xin Dong, Zhao-Peng Guo, Meng Lv, Yi-Fei Huang, Jun-Sen Xiang, Zhi-An Ren, Zhi-Jun Wang, Pei-Jie Sun, Gang Li, et al., “NdAlSi: A magnetic Weyl semimetal candidate with rich magnetic phases and atypical transport properties,” *Physical Review B* **105**, 144435 (2022).
- [51] A. I. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, “Density-functional theory and strong interactions: Orbital ordering in mott-hubbard insulators,” *Phys. Rev. B* **52**, R5467–R5470 (1995).
- [52] Eric Bousquet and Nicola Spaldin, “ j dependence in the LSDA + u treatment of noncollinear magnets,” *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 220402 (2010).
- [53] S. L. Dudarev, G. A. Botton, S. Y. Savrasov, C. J. Humphreys, and A. P. Sutton, “Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An lsda+ u study,” *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 1505–1509 (1998).
- [54] Tai Wang, Yongquan Guo, and Cong Wang, “Structure and magnetic properties of $RA\text{Si}$ (R = light rare earth),” *Chinese Physics B* **30**, 075102 (2021).
- [55] Daniel Wortmann, Gregor Michalick, Nadjib Baadji, Markus Betzinger, Gustav Bihlmayer, Jens Bröder, Tobias Burnus, Jussi Enkovaara, Frank Freimuth, Christoph Friedrich, Christian-Roman Gerhorst, Sebastian Granberg Cauchi, Uliana Grytsiuk, Andrea Hanke, Jan-Philipp Hanke, Marcus Heide, Stefan Heinze, Robin Hilgers, Henning Janssen, Daniel Aaron Klüppelberg, Roman Kovacik, Philipp Kurz, Marjana Lezaic, Georg K. H. Madsen, Yuriy Mokrousov, Alexander Neukirchen, Matthias Redies, Stefan Rost, Martin Schlipf, Arno Schindlmayr, Miriam Winkelmann, and Stefan Blügel, *FLEUR*, Tech. Rep. (2023).
- [56] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, “Generalized Gradient Approximation made simple,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 3865–3868 (1996).
- [57] S. Pikus, E. Olszewska, I. Mel’nyk, and R. E. Gladyshevskii, “X-ray powder diffraction analysis and initial Rietveld characterization of SmAlSi and SmAlGe ,” *Powder Diffraction* **19**, 359–361 (2004).
- [58] A. Kokalj, “XCrySDen – a new program for displaying crystalline structures and electron densities,” *J. Mol. Graph. Model.* **17**, 176–179 (1999).
- [59] B. L. Gyorffy, A. J. Pindor, J. Staunton, G. M. Stocks, and H. Winter, “A first-principles theory of ferromagnetic phase transitions in metals,” *Journal of Physics F: Metal Physics* **15**, 1337 (1985).
- [60] Manuel Richter, “Band structure theory of magnetism in 3d-4f compounds,” *Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics* **31**, 1017 (1998).
- [61] Jussi Enkovaara, Carsten Rostgaard, J. Jørgen Mortensen, Jingzhe Chen, M. Dulak, Lara Ferrighi, Jeppe Gavnholt, Christian Glinsvad, V. Haikola,

- HA Hansen, et al., “Electronic structure calculations with gpaw: a real-space implementation of the projector augmented-wave method,” *Journal of physics: Condensed matter* **22**, 253202 (2010).
- [62] Ralph Skomski, Simple models of magnetism (Oxford University Press on Demand, 2008).
- [63] Attila Szilva, Yaroslav Kvashnin, Evgeny A. Stepanov, Lars Nordström, Olle Eriksson, Alexander I. Lichtenstein, and Mikhail I. Katsnelson, “Quantitative theory of magnetic interactions in solids,” *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **95**, 035004 (2023).
- [64] Simon Streib, Attila Szilva, Vladislav Borisov, Manuel Pereiro, Anders Bergman, Erik Sjöqvist, Anna Delin, Mikhail I. Katsnelson, Olle Eriksson, and Danny Thonig, “Exchange constants for local spin hamiltonians from tight-binding models,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**, 224413 (2021).