



Correction to: Success rates of intensive aphasia therapy: real-world data from 448 patients between 2003 and 2020

Dorothea Peitz¹ · Beate Schumann-Werner^{1,2} · Katja Hussmann¹ · João Pinho¹ · Hong Chen³ · Ferdinand Binkofski³ · Walter Huber¹ · Klaus Willmes¹ · Stefan Heim^{1,4,5} · Jörg B. Schulz^{1,6,7} · Bruno Fimm¹ · Cornelius J. Werner^{1,8} 

Published online: 14 September 2024
© The Author(s) 2024

Correction to: Journal of Neurology

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-024-12429-7>

In the original version of this article, In Fig. 3, the gray shades indicating the confidence intervals are missing. In Table 3, for the Repetition subtest, the effect size has been lost in the article (only the 95%CI is in brackets). The effect size should be 0.64. The correct Table 3 should have been.

The original article has been corrected.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00415-024-12429-7>.

✉ Cornelius J. Werner
cornelius.werner@rwth-aachen.de

¹ Department of Neurology, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University, Pauwelsstrasse 30, 52074 Aachen, Germany

² Institute of Cognitive Neurology and Dementia Research, Otto-von-Guericke-University, Magdeburg, Germany

³ Section Clinical Cognitive Sciences, Department of Neurology, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

⁴ Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Medical Faculty, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

⁵ Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine (INM-1), Research Centre Jülich, Jülich, Germany

⁶ JARA-BRAIN Institute Molecular Neuroscience and Neuroimaging, Research Centre Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany

⁷ JARA-BRAIN Institute Molecular Neuroscience and Neuroimaging, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

⁸ Department of Neurology and Geriatrics, Johanniter Hospital Stendal, Hansestadt Stendal, Germany

Table 3 Comparison of pre- and post-treatment AAT subtests and profile level with raw scores after correction for spontaneous recovery and unadjusted raw scores

	Raw scores corrected for spontaneous recovery			Unadjusted raw scores		
	<i>z</i> value	<i>p</i> value	Effect size (95% CI)	<i>z</i> value	<i>p</i> value	Effect size (95% CI)
Subtests and profile level						
Token test	4.75	<0.001*	0.22 (0.11–0.32)	4.40	<0.001*	0.27 (0.17–0.37)
Repetition	11.53	<0.001*	(0.55–0.71)	12.30	<0.001*	0.64 (0.60–0.75)
Written language	9.46	<0.001*	0.55 (0.47–0.63)	10.91	<0.001*	0.61 (0.53–0.69)
Naming	11.51	<0.001*	0.66 (0.58–0.73)	12.23	<0.001*	0.69 (0.62–0.75)
Comprehension	7.77	<0.001*	0.43 (0.33–0.53)	8.70	<0.001*	0.48 (0.39–0.57)
Profile level	13.42	<0.001*	0.74 (0.66–0.80)	14.69	<0.001*	0.80 (0.74–0.85)
Spontaneous speech subscales						
Communicative behavior ^a	–	–	–	9.06	<0.001*	0.25 (0.19–0.30)
Automatized language ^a	–	–	–	5.30	<0.001*	0.22 (0.13–0.30)
Articulation and prosody ^a	–	–	–	10.04	<0.001*	0.17 (0.11–0.23)
Semantic structure ^a	–	–	–	7.36	<0.001*	0.26 (0.20–0.32)
Phonologic structure ^a	–	–	–	8.10	<0.001*	0.21 (0.13–0.28)
Syntactic structure ^a	–	–	–	9.44	<0.001*	0.20 (0.15–0.26)

Comparisons with non-parametric Wilcoxon signed-rank tests, one-tailed. Test statistics and effect sizes (matched-pairs rank biserial correlation coefficients r_c) calculated according to King et al. [30]. CI: confidence interval

^aNo correction for spontaneous recovery for the spontaneous speech subscales

* $p < 0.001$