

Nonreciprocity in Magnon Mediated Charge-Spin-Orbital Current Interconversion

José Omar Ledesma-Martin, Edgar Galindez-Ruales, Sachin Krishnia,* Felix Fuhrmann, Minh Duc Tran, Rahul Gupta, Marcel Gasser, Dongwook Go, Akashdeep Kamra, Gerhard Jakob, Yuriy Mokrousov, and Mathias Kläui*



Cite This: *Nano Lett.* 2025, 25, 3247–3252



Read Online

ACCESS |



Metrics & More




Article Recommendations



Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: In magnetic systems, angular momentum is carried by spin and orbital degrees of freedom. Nonlocal devices, comprising heavy-metal nanowires on magnetic insulators like yttrium iron garnet (YIG), enable angular momentum transport via magnons. These magnons are polarized by spin accumulation at the interface through the spin Hall effect (SHE) and detected via the inverse SHE (iSHE). The processes are generally reciprocal, as demonstrated by comparable efficiencies when reversing injector and detector roles. However, introducing Ru, which enables the orbital Hall effect (OHE), disrupts this reciprocity. In our system, magnons polarized through combined SHE and OHE and detected via iSHE are 35% more efficient than the reverse process. We attribute this nonreciprocity to nonzero spin vorticity, resulting from varying electron drift velocities across the Pt/Ru interface. This study highlights the potential of orbital transport mechanisms in influencing angular momentum transport and efficiency in nonlocal spintronic devices.

KEYWORDS: Orbital torques, Spin-orbitronics, Nonlocal magnon detection, Orbital Hall effect



Spin current generation/detection mechanisms and spin transport in magnetic systems have been a key research area over the past decade due to their possible applications and the fundamental understanding of these mechanisms.^{1,2} The spin Hall effect (SHE) in the bulk of the heavy metal^{3–6} and the Rashba-Edelstein effect (REE)^{7–9} at inversion asymmetric interfaces involving high spin–orbit interactions, have been intensively investigated to generate spin currents and a nonequilibrium spin density from a charge current. The spin current and spin accumulation may diffuse and exert a spin–orbit torque on an adjacent magnetic layer.^{2,4,6,10,11} The reciprocal process involves spin currents generated by magnetization precession, diffusing into a heavy-metal layer and detected as a voltage via the inverse SHE (iSHE) and/or inverse REE (iREE) effects.^{12–15} Notably, the direct (SHE, REE) and inverse (iSHE, iREE) spin-current conversion efficiencies have been found to be similar to each other. Devices studied include nonlocal devices, where spin angular momentum transfer differs based on whether magnetic layers are conducting or insulating.^{15–17} In metallic ferromagnets, spin transport involves conduction electrons,⁶ while insulating magnets (e.g., YIG/Pt structures) require spin transport through magnon creation and annihilation.^{17–20}

Spin current-induced magnon dynamics in insulating magnets interfaced with Pt have been widely studied over the past decade.^{18,20,21} In particular, YIG, a magnetic insulator, known for its low magnetic damping²² and long-distance

magnon propagation,¹⁷ is ideal for magnonic studies. The interaction of YIG with spin currents injected from or into thin Pt nanowires generates and detects magnons electrically via nonlocal measurements, bypassing the need for charge flow within the insulator. This spin current creates spin accumulation at the YIG interface, manipulating its magnetic states through spin angular momentum transfer to control magnon generation and propagation. The inverse process, where magnons from YIG are converted back into electrical signals in Pt via the iSHE, exhibits crucial bidirectional reciprocity of the conversion.¹⁷


Recent experimental studies and theoretical models have revealed that charge currents can induce orbital accumulation, generating transverse orbital currents via the orbital Hall and orbital Rashba-Edelstein effects (OHE and OREE),^{23–27} analogous to spin counterparts. These effects stem from orbital textures in momentum space and can occur without spin–orbit coupling (SOC).²⁸ Such phenomena have been predicted in materials like transition metals,^{29–33} metal-oxide

Received: November 27, 2024

Revised: February 5, 2025

Accepted: February 6, 2025

Published: February 14, 2025



wire was measured using a Keithley 2182A nanovoltmeter. The Delta mode measures the voltage for pulses of the same amplitude but opposing polarity, eliminating any thermal offset signals and being equivalent to first harmonic lock-in measurements. We define a nonlocal resistance (R_{nl}) of the detector wire as

$$R_{nl} = \frac{V_{det}}{I_{inj}} \quad (1)$$

where V_{det} is the voltage measured at the detector wire, and I_{inj} is the current applied to the injector wire. Given the symmetry constraints of the SHE and iSHE mechanisms, the magnon injection/detection process only occurs when there is a component of the spin accumulation that is collinear with the magnetization.¹⁷ Therefore, we measure the dependence of R_{nl} on the angle between the magnetization and current direction (α) by placing the sample in an 0.1 T in-plane rotating field (greater than the anisotropy field of YIG).

In Figure 2(a), we present the dependence of R_{nl} as a function of α for a fixed $I_{inj} = 0.3$ mA, in a device with a gap of




Figure 2. (a) Nonlocal resistance (R_{nl}) as a function of the angle (α) between the charge current and the magnetization direction. The red data points correspond to the current injected in the 13 nm thick Pt wire and nonlocal voltage measurement in the 7 nm thick Pt wire ($Pt_{13\text{ nm}} \rightarrow Pt_{7\text{ nm}}$). Whereas the green points correspond to the current injected in the 7 nm thick Pt wire and nonlocal voltage measurement in the 13 nm thick Pt wire ($Pt_{7\text{ nm}} \rightarrow Pt_{13\text{ nm}}$). Solid lines represent the sinusoidal fit. An offset baseline has been removed so the nonlocal resistance values are zero at $\alpha = 0$ degrees. (b) The detected power $P_{det} = V_{det}^2/R_{det}$ (where R_{det} is the resistance of the detector wire) as a function of injected power $P_{inj} = I_{inj}^2 R_{inj}$ (where R_{inj} is the resistance of the injector wire) for a device with 1 μm spacing between the two wires.

1 μm between 13 nm and 7 nm thick Pt wires. Note that the current also induces Joule heating, which can generate magnons; however, in this work, we focus only on magnons generated by spin currents by measuring the odd components of voltages for two different current polarities in delta mode. As expected, the R_{nl} shows a typical $\sin(2\alpha)$ dependence (up to an offset and a scaling constant) in contrast to thermal magnons, which show a $\sin(\alpha)$ dependence (up to an offset and a scaling constant).⁴¹ It shows that the maximum amplitude corresponds to the generation/detection of magnons when the spin polarization and magnetization are collinear and the minimum when they are perpendicular. Next, we verify the reciprocity of magnon transport by interchanging the current and voltage contacts of the injector and detector wires. For identical-thickness Pt wires, we find the expected reciprocity, as shown in the Supporting Information (S1). To make sure that the reciprocity is not only found for nominally identical wires, we use Pt wires with different thicknesses and resistances: 13 and

7 nm, with resistances of 5 k Ω and 13 k Ω , respectively, as injector and detector. This approach also allows us to rule out the possibility that the effect is only observed for certain resistance combinations.

The measured R_{nl} for the two directions, $Pt_{7\text{ nm}} \rightarrow Pt_{13\text{ nm}}$ (in green) and $Pt_{13\text{ nm}} \rightarrow Pt_{7\text{ nm}}$ (in red), as well as sinusoidal fits for R_{nl} , is shown in Figure 2(a). Here, the subscripts 7 nm and 13 nm stand for the thickness of the Pt wires to distinguish them from each other. From the measurement and corresponding fits, we find $R_{nl}(Pt_{7\text{ nm}} \rightarrow Pt_{13\text{ nm}}) \approx R_{nl}(Pt_{13\text{ nm}} \rightarrow Pt_{7\text{ nm}}) = 451\ \mu\Omega \pm 5.4\ \mu\Omega$. As R_{nl} exhibits similar amplitudes within the error bars in both measurements, we thus conclude that reciprocity is maintained between magnon generation and detection via the SHE and iSHE in our Pt $\rightarrow$ Pt device.

Additionally, we perform these measurements for several injected currents and compare the injected power with the detected power between the two Pt wires of different resistances. As shown in Figure 2(b), the detected power increases linearly with the injected power and it exhibits a similar slope ($6.51 \times 10^{-16} \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-18}$ for $Pt_{13\text{ nm}} \rightarrow Pt_{7\text{ nm}}$ and $6.57 \times 10^{-16} \pm 4.47 \times 10^{-17}$ for $Pt_{7\text{ nm}} \rightarrow Pt_{13\text{ nm}}$) when the injector and detector are interchanged. Based on this observation, we adopt a power-to-power comparison approach hereafter.

Furthermore, the measurements on identical Pt wires (in terms of thickness and resistance) further confirm that magnon generation and detection are reciprocal processes. The details are provided in the Supporting Information (S1).

Next, we analyze whether the reciprocity holds for magnon generation/detection when the magnon current is generated via the recently discovered OHE mechanisms. To this end, we replaced one of the 13 nm thick Pt wires with an orbital current generation electrode, i.e., the Ru wire. Note that the spin-charge interconversion processes in the Ru wire exhibits a dominating OHE as demonstrated in our previous work.^{30,42} We expect the generation of orbital currents in Ru and its efficient conversion to a spin current in the adjacent 1.5 nm Pt layer. The resulting spin accumulation at the interface with YIG polarizes the magnons, which are detected at the 7 nm Pt detector wire as a voltage generated via the iSHE mechanism, as explained previously. In Figure 3(a), we show the R_{nl} dependence as a function of α for a current $I_{inj} = 0.2$ mA in injector Ru wire (blue circle). The R_{nl} shows the expected angular dependence with an amplitude $R_{nl} = 2.7\ \text{m}\Omega \pm 17\ \mu\Omega$. Next, we reverse the position of the injector and detector, i.e., we inject the current into the Pt wire, detect the voltage in the Ru wire, and repeat the same measurement, as shown in Figure 3(a) (red). The magnons are polarized by the spin accumulations at the YIG/Pt interface and propagate toward the Ru electrode. These magnons accumulate underneath the Ru wire and create a spin current that flows into the 1.5 nm Pt (below the Ru layer) and is converted into an orbital current. As the thickness of Pt is smaller than the orbital diffusion length, the converted orbital current further diffuses into the Ru layer, where it is converted into a voltage via the inverse OHE; at the same time, the Pt layers are in the range of the spin diffusion length (1.8–2.5 nm in similar systems)^{27,30} ensuring high efficiency of spin to orbital conversion and avoiding the injection of spin current in the Ru layer. The amplitude of R_{nl} for magnon injection via pure SHE and detection via iSHE and iOHE is found to be $R_{nl} = 2.1\ \text{m}\Omega \pm 28\ \mu\Omega$ for an injected current $I_{inj} = 0.3$ mA in the Pt electrode.




Figure 3. (a) Comparison of the nonlocal resistance as a function of the angle between the injected current and magnetization direction, when current is injected into Pt (Ru) wire and voltage is detected in Ru (Pt) wire, depicted by red circles (blue squares). The injected current is adjusted to account for differences in the resistance of the two electrodes by maintaining the same injected power at approximately 1.1 mW. (b) Linear fits for power injected (P_{inj}) to power detected (P_{det}) between wires 800 nm apart for Ru $\rightarrow$ Pt ($1.68 \times 10^{-15} \pm 1.50 \times 10^{-17}$) and Pt $\rightarrow$ Ru ($1.24 \times 10^{-15} \pm 4.58 \times 10^{-18}$). The data for other spacing between the injector and the detector are shown in the Supporting Information (S2).

Since the resistance of the detector influences the measured voltage, one should take into account the difference in electrical resistance between the injector and detector when comparing the two processes. To account for this difference between the nanowires ($R_{Pt} = 12.3 \text{ k}\Omega$ and $R_{Ru} = 29.3 \text{ k}\Omega$), the current is adjusted so that the electrical power applied to both injectors is the same in both cases (1.1 mW). Here, we highlight again that the signal detected at the detector in our experiments solely arises from the magnons polarized by the injector. The voltage signal arising from thermal magnons is not responsible for the measured R_{nl} . We also emphasize that the magnitude of R_{nl} differs depending on whether the magnons are generated solely by the SHE in Pt and detected by iOHE and iSHE at the Ru/Pt wire or generated by the OHE and SHE in a Ru/Pt wire and detected via iSHE in Pt.

To better understand the asymmetry in the magnon generation/detection process, we plotted P_{det} as a function of P_{inj} for Pt to Ru (red) and Ru to Pt (blue), as shown in Figure 3(b). The measurements are performed for different distances between the injection and detection wires and for different injected powers P_{inj} for each measurement; the data is fitted to a sinusoidal function, the value of the amplitude is extracted for each P_{inj} in each device, and $P_{det}(P_{inj})$ is fitted to the line $P_{det}^{fit}(P_{inj})$. The efficiency from P_{inj} to P_{det} is defined as

$$\xi = \frac{\partial P_{det}^{fit}(P_{inj})}{\partial P_{inj}} \quad (2)$$

These efficiencies show a clear difference ($\xi_{Pt \rightarrow Ru} = 1.24 \times 10^{-15} \pm 4.58 \times 10^{-18}$ compared to $\xi_{Ru \rightarrow Pt} = 1.68 \times 10^{-15} \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-17}$), and the respective linear fits can be seen in Figure 3(b) for Pt $\rightarrow$ Ru (red) and Ru $\rightarrow$ Pt (blue).

We have demonstrated nonreciprocal generation and detection of magnons in YIG, attributed to the introduction of a Ru layer in one of our electrodes, by reversing the roles of injector and detector in our experiments. To explain this, we note that a similar nonreciprocity in charge-spin current mutual interconversion has been predicted and experimentally observed in NiFe/CuOx samples due to additional spin current generation via spin vorticity coupling.⁴³ This effect

arises from a resistivity gradient along the thickness of CuOx, leading to a nonuniform spatial distribution in the drift velocity of conduction electrons. Such an inhomogeneous drift velocity can be seen as uniform motion upon which a rotation has been superimposed, the latter being captured via the so-called vorticity.^{44,45} Thus, the inhomogeneous drift induces a nonzero motional orbital angular momentum which is transferred to the spin through the spin-vorticity coupling.^{44,45} Consequently, the process of charge-spin conversion has an additional vorticity contribution. In our Ru/Pt bilayers, a similar variation in the drift velocity emerges around the Pt/Ru interface due to different mobilities in the two materials. Thus, our observed nonreciprocity can be understood in an analogous fashion and is well represented by the bilayer model considered in the Supporting Information of ref 43. We further note that while our experiments observe a nonreciprocity in the spin-orbital-charge conversion, similar to ref 43., Onsager reciprocity is not violated by our results. The emergence of an additional drive - vorticity - in one of our electrodes causes our standard injection-detection measurement protocol to simultaneously demonstrate multiple elements of the Onsager response matrix, leading to an observed nonreciprocity despite a symmetric or reciprocal Onsager response matrix.

In conclusion, we have identified nonreciprocal processes in a nonlocal device with Ru that exhibits a strong OHE. We have found that the reciprocity observed in Pt $\rightarrow$ Pt, irrespective of the wire thickness and resistance, where SHE and iSHE are means of magnon generation and detection, does not hold once Ru is included. We compare the situation where the magnon generation (detection) involves both OHE and SHE (iOHE and iSHE), first by measuring the change in R_{nl} while applying the same power in both Pt $\rightarrow$ Ru and Ru $\rightarrow$ Pt and then measuring the P_{det} in the detector while applying different P_{inj} in the injector and calculating the power to power efficiency in both directions. We obtain a strongly nonreciprocal efficiency $\xi_{Pt \rightarrow Ru} = 0.73 \xi_{Ru \rightarrow Pt}$. This strong nonreciprocity is thus a salient feature when the interconversion among charge, spin, and orbital angular momentum occurs. We consider the emergence of vorticity around the Ru/Pt interface as a plausible mechanism underlying our observed nonreciprocity.

Note: We note that during the preparation of the manuscript, we became aware of a related work by J.A. Mendoza-Rodarte et al.,⁴⁶ which, however, relies on a comparison of the spin injection and the thermal spin generation as two separate effects.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c06056>.

Experiments on identical Pt wires and experiments on the power-to-power efficiency dependence on the distance between wires (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Sachin Krishnia — Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany;
Email: krishnia@uni-mainz.de

Mathias Kläui – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany; Graduate School of Excellence Materials Science in Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany; Department of Physics, Center for Quantum Spintronics, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 7491 Trondheim, Norway; Max Planck Graduate Center Mainz, 55122 Mainz, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0002-4848-2569; Email: klaui@uni-mainz.de

Authors

José Omar Ledesma-Martin – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany; Max Planck Graduate Center Mainz, 55122 Mainz, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0001-8421-7300

Edgar Galindez-Ruales – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany

Felix Fuhrmann – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany

Minh Duc Tran – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany

Rahul Gupta – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany

Marcel Gasser – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany

Dongwook Go – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany; Peter Grünberg Institut and Institute for Advanced Simulation, Forschungszentrum Jülich and JARA, 52425 Jülich, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0001-5740-3829

Akashdeep Kamra – Department of Physics and Research Center OPTIMAS, Rheinland-Pfälzische Technische Universität Kaiserslautern-Landau, 67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany

Gerhard Jakob – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany; Max Planck Graduate Center Mainz, 55122 Mainz, Germany; orcid.org/0000-0001-9466-0840

Yuriy Mokrousov – Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, 55099 Mainz, Germany; Peter Grünberg Institut and Institute for Advanced Simulation, Forschungszentrum Jülich and JARA, 52425 Jülich, Germany; Max Planck Graduate Center Mainz, 55122 Mainz, Germany

Complete contact information is available at:

<https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.nanolett.4c06056>

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the DFG (Spin+X (A01, A11, A12, B02) TRR 173-268565370 and Project No. 358671374), the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme of the European Commission under FETOpen Grant Agreement No. 863155 (s-Nebula), the European Research Council Grant Agreement No. 856538 (3D MAGiC), and the Research Council of Norway through its Centers of Excellence funding scheme, Project No. 262633 "QuSpin". The study also has been supported by the European Horizon Europe Framework Programme under an EC Grant Agreement No. 101129641 "OBELIX". This work was supported by the Max Planck Graduate Center with the Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz (MPGC). This research is part of the TOPOCOM

project, which is funded by the European Union's Horizon Europe Programme Horizon.1.2 under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (MSCA), Grant Agreement No. 101119608.

REFERENCES

- (1) Hirohata, A.; Yamada, K.; Nakatani, Y.; Prejbeanu, I.-L.; Diény, B.; Pirro, P.; Hillebrands, B. Review on spintronics: Principles and device applications. *J. Magn. Magn. Mater.* **2020**, *509*, 166711.
- (2) Manchon, A.; Železný, J.; Miron, I. M.; Jungwirth, T.; Sinova, J.; Thiaville, A.; Garello, K.; Gambardella, P. Current-induced spin-orbit torques in ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2019**, *91*, No. 035004.
- (3) Hirsch, J. E. Spin Hall Effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1999**, *83*, 1834–1837.
- (4) Liu, L.; Lee, O. J.; Gudmundsen, T. J.; Ralph, D. C.; Buhrman, R. A. Current-Induced Switching of Perpendicularly Magnetized Magnetic Layers Using Spin Torque from the Spin Hall Effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *109*, No. 096602.
- (5) Miron, I. M.; Garello, K.; Gaudin, G.; Zermatten, P.-J.; Costache, M. V.; Auffret, S.; Bandiera, S.; Rodmacq, B.; Schuhl, A.; Gambardella, P. Perpendicular switching of a single ferromagnetic layer induced by in-plane current injection. *Nature* **2011**, *476*, 189–193.
- (6) Sinova, J.; Valenzuela, S. O.; Wunderlich, J.; Back, C. H.; Jungwirth, T. Spin Hall effects. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **2015**, *87*, 1213–1260.
- (7) Manchon, A.; Koo, H. C.; Nitta, J.; Frolov, S. M.; Duine, R. A. New perspectives for Rashba spin–orbit coupling. *Nat. Mater.* **2015**, *14*, 871–882.
- (8) Bychkov, Y. A.; Rashba, É. I. Properties of a 2D electron gas with lifted spectral degeneracy. *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.* **1984**, *39*, 78.
- (9) Edelstein, V. Spin polarization of conduction electrons induced by electric current in two-dimensional asymmetric electron systems. *Solid State Commun.* **1990**, *73*, 233–235.
- (10) Liu, L.; Pai, C.-F.; Li, Y.; Tseng, H. W.; Ralph, D. C.; Buhrman, R. A. Spin-Torque Switching with the Giant Spin Hall Effect of Tantalum. *Science* **2012**, *336*, 555–558.
- (11) Thiery, N.; et al. Nonlinear spin conductance of yttrium iron garnet thin films driven by large spin-orbit torque. *Phys. Rev. B* **2018**, *97*, No. 060409.
- (12) Rojas Sánchez, J. C.; Vila, L.; Desfonds, G.; Gambarelli, S.; Attané, J. P.; De Teresa, J. M.; Magén, C.; Fert, A. Spin-to-charge conversion using Rashba coupling at the interface between non-magnetic materials. *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2944.
- (13) Sandweg, C. W.; Kajiwar, Y.; Chumak, A. V.; Serga, A. A.; Vasyuchka, V. I.; Jungfleisch, M. B.; Saitoh, E.; Hillebrands, B. Spin Pumping by Parametrically Excited Exchange Magnons. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2011**, *106*, 216601.
- (14) Mosendz, O.; Pearson, J. E.; Fradin, F. Y.; Bauer, G. E. W.; Bader, S. D.; Hoffmann, A. Quantifying Spin Hall Angles from Spin Pumping: Experiments and Theory. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *104*, No. 046601.
- (15) Ciccarelli, C.; Hals, K. M. D.; Irvine, A.; Novak, V.; Tserkovnyak, Y.; Kurebayashi, H.; Brataas, A.; Ferguson, A. Magnonic charge pumping via spin–orbit coupling. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2015**, *10*, 50–54.
- (16) Rojas-Sánchez, J.-C.; Reyren, N.; Laczowski, P.; Saverio, W.; Attané, J.-P.; Deranlot, C.; Jamet, M.; George, J.-M.; Vila, L.; Jaffrès, H. Spin Pumping and Inverse Spin Hall Effect in Platinum The Essential Role of Spin-Memory Loss at Metallic Interfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *112*, 106602.
- (17) Cornelissen, L. J.; Liu, J.; Duine, R. A.; Youssef, J. B.; van Wees, B. J. Long-distance transport of magnon spin information in a magnetic insulator at room temperature. *Nat. Phys.* **2015**, *11*, 1022–1026.
- (18) Kajiwar, Y.; Harii, K.; Takahashi, S.; Ohe, J.; Uchida, K.; Mizuguchi, M.; Umezawa, H.; Kawai, H.; Ando, K.; Takanashi, K.; Maekawa, S.; Saitoh, E. Transmission of electrical signals by spin-wave interconversion in a magnetic insulator. *Nature* **2010**, *464*, 262–266.

- (19) Wang, H. L.; Du, C. H.; Pu, Y.; Adur, R.; Hammel, P. C.; Yang, F. Y. Scaling of Spin Hall Angle in 3d, 4d, and 5d Metals from $\text{Y}_3\text{Fe}_5\text{O}_{12}$ /Metal Spin Pumping. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2014**, *112*, 197201.
- (20) Lebrun, R.; Ross, A.; Bender, S. A.; Qaiumzadeh, A.; Baldrati, L.; Cramer, J.; Brataas, A.; Duine, R. A.; Kläui, M. Tunable long-distance spin transport in a crystalline antiferromagnetic iron oxide. *Nature* **2018**, *561*, 222–225.
- (21) Collet, M.; de Milly, X.; d'Allivy Kelly, O.; Naletov, V. V.; Bernard, R.; Bortolotti, P.; Ben Youssef, J.; Demidov, V. E.; Demokritov, S. O.; Prieto, J. L.; Muñoz, M.; Cros, V.; Anane, A.; de Loubens, G.; Klein, O. Generation of coherent spin-wave modes in yttrium iron garnet microdiscs by spin-orbit torque. *Nat. Commun.* **2016**, *7*, 10377.
- (22) Onbasli, M. C.; Kehlberger, A.; Kim, D. H.; Jakob, G.; Kläui, M.; Chumak, A. V.; Hillebrands, B.; Ross, C. A. Pulsed laser deposition of epitaxial yttrium iron garnet films with low Gilbert damping and bulk-like magnetization. *APL Materials* **2014**, *2*, 106102.
- (23) Go, D.; Jo, D.; Lee, H.-W.; Kläui, M.; Mokrousov, Y. Orbitronics: Orbital currents in solids. *Europhys. Lett.* **2021**, *135*, 37001.
- (24) Go, D.; Jo, D.; Kim, C.; Lee, H.-W. Intrinsic Spin and Orbital Hall Effects from Orbital Texture. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2018**, *121*, No. 086602.
- (25) Choi, Y.-G.; Jo, D.; Ko, K.-H.; Go, D.; Kim, K.-H.; Park, H. G.; Kim, C.; Min, B.-C.; Choi, G.-M.; Lee, H.-W. Observation of the orbital Hall effect in a light metal Ti. *Nature* **2023**, *619*, 52–56.
- (26) Lyalin, I.; Alikhah, S.; Berritta, M.; Oppeneer, P. M.; Kawakami, R. K. Magneto-Optical Detection of the Orbital Hall Effect in Chromium. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2023**, *131*, 156702.
- (27) Ding, S.; Ross, A.; Go, D.; Baldrati, L.; Ren, Z.; Freimuth, F.; Becker, S.; Kammerbauer, F.; Yang, J.; Jakob, G.; Mokrousov, Y.; Kläui, M. Harnessing Orbital-to-Spin Conversion of Interfacial Orbital Currents for Efficient Spin-Orbit Torques. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2020**, *125*, 177201.
- (28) Salemi, L.; Berritta, M.; Nandy, A. K.; Oppeneer, P. M. Orbitaly dominated Rashba-Edelstein effect in noncentrosymmetric antiferromagnets. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 5381.
- (29) Krishnia, S.; Sassi, Y.; Ajejas, F.; Sebe, N.; Reyren, N.; Collin, S.; Denneulin, T.; Kovács, A.; Dunin-Borkowski, R. E.; Fert, A.; George, J.-M.; Cros, V.; Jaffrès, H. Large Interfacial Rashba Interaction Generating Strong Spin–Orbit Torques in Atomically Thin Metallic Heterostructures. *Nano Lett.* **2023**, *23*, 6785–6791.
- (30) Bose, A.; Kammerbauer, F.; Gupta, R.; Go, D.; Mokrousov, Y.; Jakob, G.; Kläui, M. Detection of long-range orbital-Hall torques. *Phys. Rev. B* **2023**, *107*, 134423.
- (31) Sala, G.; Gambardella, P. Giant orbital Hall effect and orbital-to-spin conversion in 3d, 5d, and 4f metallic heterostructures. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2022**, *4*, No. 033037.
- (32) Sala, G.; Wang, H.; Legrand, W.; Gambardella, P. Orbital Hanle Magnetoresistance in a 3d Transition Metal. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2023**, *131*, No. 156703.
- (33) Lee, S.; Kang, M.-G.; Go, D.; Kim, D.; Kang, J.-H.; Lee, T.; Lee, G.-H.; Kang, J.; Lee, N. J.; Mokrousov, Y.; Kim, S.; Kim, K.-J.; Lee, K.-J.; Park, B.-G. Efficient conversion of orbital Hall current to spin current for spin-orbit torque switching. *Commun. Phys.* **2021**, *4*, 234.
- (34) Ding, S.; Liang, Z.; Go, D.; Yun, C.; Xue, M.; Liu, Z.; Becker, S.; Yang, W.; Du, H.; Wang, C.; Yang, Y.; Jakob, G.; Kläui, M.; Mokrousov, Y.; Yang, J. Observation of the Orbital Rashba-Edelstein Magnetoresistance. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2022**, *128*, No. 067201.
- (35) Krishnia, S.; Bony, B.; Rongione, E.; Vicente-Arche, L. M.; Denneulin, T.; Pezo, A.; Lu, Y.; Dunin-Borkowski, R. E.; Collin, S.; Fert, A.; George, J.-M.; Reyren, N.; Cros, V.; Jaffrès, H. Quantifying the large contribution from orbital Rashba–Edelstein effect to the effective damping-like torque on magnetization. *APL Materials* **2024**, *12*, No. 051105.
- (36) Costa, M.; Focassio, B.; Canonico, L. M.; Cysne, T. P.; Schleder, G. R.; Muniz, R. B.; Fazzio, A.; Rappoport, T. G. Connecting Higher-Order Topology with the Orbital Hall Effect in Monolayers of Transition Metal Dichalcogenides. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2023**, *130*, 116204.
- (37) Bhowal, S.; Vignale, G. Orbital Hall effect as an alternative to valley Hall effect in gapped graphene. *Phys. Rev. B* **2021**, *103*, 195309.
- (38) Pezo, A.; García Ovalle, D.; Manchon, A. Orbital Hall physics in two-dimensional Dirac materials. *Phys. Rev. B* **2023**, *108*, No. 075427.
- (39) El Hamdi, A.; Chauleau, J.-Y.; Boselli, M.; Thibault, C.; Gorini, C.; Smogunov, A.; Barreteau, C.; Gariglio, S.; Triscone, J.-M.; Viret, M. Observation of the orbital inverse Rashba–Edelstein effect. *Nat. Phys.* **2023**, *19*, 1855–1860.
- (40) Santos, E.; Abrão, J.; Go, D.; de Assis, L.; Mokrousov, Y.; Mendes, J.; Azevedo, A. Inverse Orbital Torque via Spin-Orbital Intertwined States. *Phys. Rev. Appl.* **2023**, *19*, No. 014069.
- (41) Guckelhorn, J.; Wimmer, T.; Geprags, S.; Huebl, H.; Gross, R.; Althammer, M. Quantitative comparison of magnon transport experiments in three-terminal YIG/Pt nanostructures. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2020**, *117*, 182401.
- (42) Gupta, R.; Bouard, C.; Kammerbauer, F.; Ledesma-Martin, J. O.; Bose, A.; Kononenko, I.; Martin, S.; Usé, P.; Jakob, G.; Drouard, M.; Kläui, M. Harnessing orbital Hall effect in spin-orbit torque MRAM. *Nat. Commun.* **2025**, *16*, 130.
- (43) Okano, G.; Matsuo, M.; Ohnuma, Y.; Maekawa, S.; Nozaki, Y. Nonreciprocal Spin Current Generation in Surface-Oxidized Copper Films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2019**, *122*, 217701.
- (44) Kobayashi, D.; Yoshikawa, T.; Matsuo, M.; Iguchi, R.; Maekawa, S.; Saitoh, E.; Nozaki, Y. Spin Current Generation Using a Surface Acoustic Wave Generated via Spin-Rotation Coupling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, *119*, No. 077202.
- (45) Matsuo, M.; Ieda, J.; Harii, K.; Saitoh, E.; Maekawa, S. Mechanical generation of spin current by spin-rotation coupling. *Phys. Rev. B* **2013**, *87*, 180402.
- (46) Mendoza-Rodarte, J. A.; Cosset-Chéneau, M.; van Wees, B. J.; Guimarães, M. H. D. Efficient Magnon Injection and Detection via the Orbital Rashba-Edelstein Effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2024**, *132*, 226704.