





# Annual Report 2005

## Institut für Kernphysik / COSY

### DIRECTORS AT THE IKP:

Accelerator Division:

Experimental Nuclear Physics I:

Experimental Nuclear Physics II:

Theoretical Physics:

Prof. Dr. Rudolf Maier

Prof. Dr. James Ritman

Prof. Dr. Hans Ströher (managing director IKP)

Prof. Dr. Ulf-G. Meißner

### EDITORIAL BOARD:

Dr. Markus Büscher

Dr. Christoph Hanhart

Prof. Dr. Hartmut Machner

Prof. Dr. Rudolf Maier

Prof. Dr. Ulf-G. Meißner

Prof. Dr. James Ritman

Dr. Peter v. Rossen

Prof. Dr. Hans Ströher

### Cover picture:

Ideogram of the  $\eta$ -mass based on the compilation of the Particle Data Group and the recently published value of the GEM collaboration. The disagreement of the NA48 result with all other data triggered the precision measurement of the GEM collaboration making use of the high brilliance COSY beam matched with the high resolution magnetic spectrograph BIG KARL. The present GEM result defines the width of the lower mass branch while the upper one is given by the NA48 result.



## Preface

The year 2005 has been a challenging one for the institute: as a consequence of the “mixed results” of the first review in February 2004 within the Research Programme “Physics of Hadrons and Nuclei” in the Research Field “Structure of Matter” of the Helmholtz Centres, IKP was faced with substantial cuts in resources for 2005. An even more serious threat ensued with possible further reductions for the years 2006 – 2009, depending, however, on the outcome of an “in-depth” evaluation of the IKP activities.

The review on “COSY and FZJ contributions to FAIR”, conducted by an international committee, and headed by Peter Paul (BNL, USA), took place on two days in April 2005. IKP presented its strategy for the further use of COSY and, in close collaboration with GSI (Darmstadt), the cooperation towards the construction of the FAIR facility. In its report, issued later last year, the committee almost fully supported our plans, and as a result and the financial agreements, which have been negotiated in the meantime, we now have a solid basis for the remainder of the first funding period (2006 – 2009). — I would like to take this opportunity to thank all who contributed to this great success in one way or the other.

One of the explicit recommendations of the committee was to give green light for the relocation of the WASA detector from CELSIUS (Uppsala, Sweden) to COSY. The detector dismount at TSL and transfer to FZJ was completed in 2005, and we are now in the process of installing WASA at an internal target station of COSY — more details are given in the main text. Commissioning of WASA is scheduled to begin in the second half of 2006 and first experiments are expected to start in 2007.

In connection with the WASA-at-COSY project, the IKP had decided to reduce the amount of COSY beamtime for users in 2005 by about 2000 hours. The increased downtime is needed to install WASA in the COSY ring, but also has the positive side-effect that the cost-savings will be used to finance necessary maintenance and upgrades of WASA detector components and electronics. This was part of the financing concept which has been worked out between the IKP directorate and the FZJ board of management.

On the scientific frontier, IKP has made a number of important contributions (details can again be found in the main text):

- The ANKE collaboration has found indications for a heavy hyperon state  $Y^{0*}(1480)$  in the analysis of  $pp \rightarrow pK^+\pi X$  at a beam momentum of 3.65 GeV/c.
- The GEM collaboration has determined the mass of the  $\eta$  meson with unprecedented accuracy, exploiting the reaction  $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$  and simultaneously  $pd \rightarrow t\pi^+$  at the BIG KARL magnetic spectrometer (see cover picture and corresponding article).
- At COSY the efficiency for flipping the proton spin by means of a small rf dipole has been pushed to more than 99.9%.
- The intensity of the polarized ion source for  $\text{H}^-$  was raised to  $50\mu\text{A}$ , thus doubling its original specification.

The involvement of the IKP in the FAIR project to be realized at the GSI was fully honored by the committee. Our efforts are focused on the design and construction of the High Energy Storage Ring (HESR) and significant contributions to the PANDA detector. We are dedicated to make this endeavor a success being aware of its great potential to advance hadron physics and connected fields during the next decades.

We have been able to meet the challenges of 2005 not only due to our own efforts, but because we could rely on the support and advice of many people. I like to express our gratitude to all of them, the colleagues from the infrastructure of our Research Center (FZJ) in particular ZAM, ZAT, and ZEL, the people from the universities and research centers from Germany and all over the world and, last but not least, the CANU community.

Jülich, February 2006

Hans Ströher

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Physics at COSY .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>External Experiments .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Theoretical Investigations.....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>COSY Operation and Developments</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Preparations for FAIR.....</b>	<b>25</b>

### Appendix

<b>A</b>	<b>Councils .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Personnel .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Teaching Positions.....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Publications 2005.....</b>	<b>38</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>Beam Time at COSY 2005 .....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>Contents of the Attached CD.....</b>	<b>48</b>




# 1 Physics at COSY

## 1.1 Overview

Currently, COSY harbors four experiments at internal target stations — **ANKE**, **COSY-11**, **EDDA** and **PISA** — as well as two external facilities — **BIG KARL** (with **ENSTAR**, **GEM** and **HIRES**) and **COSY-TOF**.

**ANKE** and **COSY-11** are magnetic spectrometers with a wide momentum acceptance for charged particles. They are both well suited to study meson-production processes close to thresholds with particle emission into forward direction. At the non-magnetic **EDDA** detector  $\bar{p}p$  elastic scattering has been studied and the device is now being used as a beam polarimeter. **PISA** has been used for the investigation of spallation processes induced by GeV protons. **BIG KARL** is a high resolution magnetic spectrometer which is supplemented by the **GEM** and **ENSTAR** detectors at larger emission angles. The non-magnetic spectrometer **COSY-TOF** measures the velocity and direction of charged particles with large angular acceptance thus allowing for the kinematically complete reconstruction of events with up to one neutral particle. So far all detectors at COSY are “photon-blind”. This will change with the **WASA** detector which is planned to be commissioned at COSY in fall 2006. **WASA**, **ANKE** and **COSY-TOF** will be the only detector systems at COSY after 2006.



## 1.2 Major Physics Results at COSY

### 1.2.1 Precision measurement of the $\eta$ -mass

Compared to other light mesons, the mass of the  $\eta$  is surprisingly poorly known. Though in 2004 the Particle Data Group (PDG) quotes a value of  $m_\eta = 547.75 \pm 0.12 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ , this error hides differences of up to  $0.7 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  between the results of some of the modern counter experiments. The PDG average is in fact dominated by the result of the CERN NA48 experiment,  $m_\eta = 547.843 \pm 0.051 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ , which is based upon the study of the kinematics of the six photons from the  $3\pi^0$  decay of  $110 \text{ GeV}$   $\eta$ -mesons. In the other experiments employing electronic detectors, which typically suggest a mass  $\sim 0.5 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  lighter, the  $\eta$  was produced much closer to threshold and its mass primarily determined through a missing-mass technique where, unlike the NA48 experiment, precise knowledge of the beam momentum plays an essential role. In the experiment at Rutherford Laboratory, the momentum of the pion beam in the  $\pi^- + p \rightarrow n + \eta$  reaction was fixed macroscopically using the floating wire technique. In the photoproduction reaction  $\gamma + p \rightarrow p + \eta$ , the energy of the electrons that were the source of the bremsstrahlung photons was fixed to a relative precision of  $2 \times 10^{-4}$  by measuring the distance of the beam paths in the third race track microtron of the MAMI accelerator. In the Saclay SATURNE experiment a high resolution, but small acceptance, spectrometer was used and, through an ingenious series of measurements on different nuclear reactions, the beam energy and spectrograph settings were both calibrated. The value of the  $\eta$  mass was then extracted from the missing-mass peak in the  $p + d \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} + X$  reaction. The BIG KARL spectrograph and the high brilliance beam at COSY are ideally suited to perform a high precision experiment in a self calibrating experiment. Three reaction products were measured at the same time with one setting of the spectrometer and one setting of the beam momentum. The reactions were

$$p + d \rightarrow t + \pi^+ \quad (1)$$

$$p + d \rightarrow \pi^+ + t \quad (2)$$

$$p + d \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} + \eta \quad (3)$$

It was always the first particle produced which was measured. Input are the well known masses of the proton, deuteron,  $\pi^+$ , triton and  ${}^3\text{He}$ . For a beam momentum close to  $1641 \text{ MeV}/c$  all three particles are within the acceptance of the spectrograph. The pion is used to deduce the absolute beam momentum. Then the triton is used to fix the spectrograph setting and finally from the  ${}^3\text{He}$  one obtains the mass of the  $\eta$  meson. In the analysis the target thickness, as measured from the triton momentum, was studied as function of measuring time. It was found that it increased with time most probably due to freezing out of air.

This is shown in the upper part of Fig. 1 which displays the variation of the target thickness as deduced from the measurement of tritons (Reaction 1). The lower part




Fig. 1: Upper part: variation of the target thickness with time as deduced from the triton momenta. Lower part: same as above but for values of the  $\eta$  mass as deduced from the measured  ${}^3\text{He}$  measurements. The thick line is the statistical mean value and the thin lines indicate the  $\pm 1\sigma$  band.

shows the value of the  $\eta$  mass as deduced from the detected  ${}^3\text{He}$  ions (Reaction 3). A thinner target at approximately the middle of the beam time coincides with a cleaning of the target windows. In order to investigate the properties of the spectrograph a series of calibration runs were performed. These include sweeping with the primary beam over the focal plane without a target at a beam momentum of  $793 \text{ MeV}/c$ . This corresponds to a reaction  $p + 0 \rightarrow p + 0$ . Then the full kinematic ellipse of deuterons from the reaction  $p + p \rightarrow d + \pi^+$  at the same beam momentum was measured. Finally, pions from the reaction  $p + p \rightarrow \pi^+ + d$  were measured at  $1640 \text{ MeV}/c$ , again sweeping the deuteron loci over the whole focal plane. Then the following procedure was adopted. It is assumed that the spectrograph is known. The three calibration reactions were now used to fix the beam momentum, the target thickness and the  $\eta$  mass. In a second step the assumption (known spectrograph) was studied by determining the missing mass of the unobserved particle in the calibration runs. These are the masses  $0$ ,  $\pi^+$  and  $d$ . Deviations from PDG values have an uncertainty of  $\sigma = \pm 28 \text{ keV}/c^2$ , which is the main contribution to the total systematical error of  $32 \text{ keV}/c^2$ . The missing mass measurement yields a statistical error of the same size.

The final result is:

$$m(\eta) = 547.311 \pm 0.028(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.032(\text{syst.}) \text{ MeV}/c^2 .$$

### 1.2.2 Evidence for an Excited Hyperon $Y^{0*}(1480)$

The reaction  $pp \rightarrow pK^+Y$  has been studied at a beam momentum of 3.65 GeV/c at the ANKE spectrometer in order to investigate hyperon production. The missing mass spectra  $MM(pK^+)$  have been analyzed and compared with extensive Monte Carlo simulations. A final state comprising a proton, a positively charged kaon, a pion of either charge together with an unidentified residue  $X$  was investigated in the reaction  $pp \rightarrow pK^+Y \rightarrow pK^+\pi^\pm X^\mp$ . In a first step of the analysis we have assumed that the measured missing mass  $MM(pK^+)$  spectra can be explained by the production of well established hyperon resonances and non-resonant contributions. In Monte Carlo simulations the production of ( $\Sigma^0(1385), \Lambda(1405)$  and  $\Lambda(1520)$ ) and non-resonant contributions like  $pp \rightarrow pK^+\pi X$  and  $pp \rightarrow pK^+\pi\pi X$  —  $X$  denotes any hyperon which could be produced in the experiment — was included. The comparison of measured and simulated missing mass distributions shows a necessity to include an additional excited hyperon  $Y^{0*}$  with a (fitted) mass of  $M(Y^{0*}) = (1480 \pm 15) \text{ MeV}/c^2$  and width  $\Gamma(Y^{0*}) = (60 \pm 15) \text{ MeV}/c^2$ , see Fig. 2.




Fig. 2: Missing-mass  $MM(pK^+)$  spectra for the reaction  $pp \rightarrow pK^+\pi^+X^-$  (upper) and  $pp \rightarrow pK^+\pi^-X^+$  (lower). Experimental data points with statistical errors are compared to the fitted overall Monte-Carlo simulations (shaded histogram, blue). The contribution from the  $Y^{0*}$  resonance with a mass of  $M(Y^{0*}) = (1480 \pm 15) \text{ MeV}/c^2$  and a width  $\Gamma(Y^{0*}) = (60 \pm 15) \text{ MeV}/c^2$  is shown as a solid (red) histogram.

The production cross section of the  $Y^{0*}$  is of the order of few hundred nanobarns. Since the  $Y^{0*}$  hyperon is neutral, it can be either a  $\Lambda$  or  $\Sigma^0$  hyperon. On the basis of available data we cannot decide whether it is a 3-quark baryon or an exotic state. However, it seems to be difficult to validate that a  $Y^{0*}(1480)$  hyperon is a  $3q$ -baryon.

### 1.2.3 Investigation of scalar resonances $a_0/f_0(980)$

Fundamental properties of the scalar resonances  $a_0/f_0(980)$ , like their masses, widths and couplings to  $K\bar{K}$ , are poorly known. In particular, precise knowledge of the latter quantity would be of great importance since it can be related to the  $K\bar{K}$  content of these resonances.

$a_0/f_0$  production is studied at COSY in  $pp$ ,  $pn$  and  $dd$  interactions, via their strange ( $K\bar{K}$ ) and the nonstrange ( $\pi\eta/\pi\pi$ ) decays. The first channel can be measured with ANKE, while for the second the WASA detector, which will be available for measurements in 2007, can be used. As a first step, isovector  $K\bar{K}$  production has been measured in the reaction  $pp \rightarrow dK^+\bar{K}^0$  at excess energies  $Q_{K\bar{K}} = 46$  and 105 MeV. The analysis for the higher energy has now been finalized. At this energy, the acceptance of ANKE does not cover full phase space and, therefore, a model-independent acceptance correction is not possible. Instead, the coefficients in the transition matrix element (assuming that only  $S$ - and  $P$ -waves contribute) have been extracted from uncorrected spectra, using the ANKE-GEANT simulation program, and used later for the acceptance correction of mass spectra and angular distributions. As an example for the acceptance corrected differential spectra, Fig. 3 shows the  $K\bar{K}$  (a) and  $d\bar{K}$  (b) invariant mass distributions.




Fig. 3:  $K\bar{K}$  (a) and  $d\bar{K}$  (b) invariant mass distributions for the reaction  $pp \rightarrow dK^+\bar{K}^0$  at  $Q = 105 \text{ MeV}$ . The lines denote a partial wave decomposition with the  $K\bar{K}$  pair in a relative  $S$ - and  $P$ -wave, respectively. Total cross section (c) as a function of  $Q$  in comparison with theoretical predictions for  $a_0^+$  production and non-resonant background.

After kinematic fitting, the mass resolution for the  $K\bar{K}$

spectrum is about 3 MeV over the full mass range. Also shown in the figure are the contributions from the  $S$ -wave,  $P$ -wave and interference terms of the matrix element. Angular distributions have also been deduced and included in the analysis.

The partial wave decomposition reveals  $S$ -wave dominance (more than 85%) for both excess energies. Thus for excess energies below  $\sim 100$  MeV  $K\bar{K}$  production is mainly through the resonant ( $a_0^+$ ) channel. The total cross of the reaction section  $pp \rightarrow dK^+K^0$  for  $Q = 105$  MeV is  $(190 \pm 3_{\text{stat}} \pm 40_{\text{sys}})$  nb. This value, as well as the contributions from the different partial waves, is in good agreement with theoretical predictions based on the Quark-Gluon-Strings Model, see Fig. 3.

### 1.2.4 Vector Meson Production

The  $pp \rightarrow pp\phi$  reaction has been measured at ANKE for three different c.m. excess energies close to threshold, detecting the  $K^+K^-$  decay mode of the  $\phi$ -meson. Figure 4 shows the total cross sections at  $Q = 18.5, 34.5$  and  $75.9$  MeV together with the data point from DISTO ( $Q = 83$  MeV). The dashed line is normalized to the ANKE data point at  $75.9$  MeV and corresponds to the energy dependence following phase-space. The solid line — normalized to all three ANKE data points — takes into account the effect of final-state interaction between the two protons in a  $^1S_0$  state by the Jost function method. This simple approach describes the ANKE data. Analysis of the differential distributions at  $Q = 18.5$  MeV shows a dominant contribution of  $s$ -wave  $\phi$ -mesons with the proton transition  $^3P_1 \rightarrow ^1S_0$ . A clear effect of the proton-proton final-state interaction is seen from the proton-momentum distribution in the proton-proton reference frame.




Fig. 4: Total cross section for  $\phi$ -meson production in  $pp$  collisions as a function of the excess energy  $Q$ . The error bars include both statistical and systematic uncertainties. The dashed line shows a phase-space calculation, fixed to the high energy ANKE result, while the solid line includes proton-proton final-state interaction and is normalized to fit all ANKE data.

The Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka (OZI) rule states that processes with disconnected quark lines in the initial and final states are suppressed. Accordingly, the production of  $\phi$ -mesons

from initial non-strange states is expected to be substantially suppressed relative to  $\omega$ -meson production. The cross-section ratio for  $\phi$ - and  $\omega$ -meson production under similar kinematical conditions should then be in the order of  $R_{OZI} = \sigma_\phi / \sigma_\omega = \tan^2 \alpha_V = 4.2 \times 10^{-3}$  where  $\alpha_V = 3.7^\circ$  is the deviation from the ideal  $\phi$ - $\omega$  mixing angle. Data from  $\pi N$  interactions as well as from mesonic and radiative decays indicate a  $\phi$ -to- $\omega$  ratio of  $3 \times R_{OZI}$ .

So far, the total  $\phi$  cross section has been measured in  $pp$  collisions at only two beam energies in the range 2–4 GeV. The  $\phi$ -to- $\omega$  ratio at these high energies agrees with the ratios from  $\pi N$  interaction and decay investigations. Using the DISTO result for  $Q = 83$  MeV, together with SPESIII and COSY-TOF data on  $\omega$ -production, the  $\phi$ -to- $\omega$  cross-section ratio can be calculated. This ratio is 7 times larger than  $R_{OZI}$ . The new ANKE results confirm the enhanced  $\phi$ -to- $\omega$  ratio at  $Q \sim 80$  MeV and show, within the existing uncertainties, a similar enhancement at 18.5 MeV and 34.5 excess energy. The weighted mean value for the  $\phi$ -to- $\omega$  production ratio in the energy range from 18.5 to 75.9 MeV results in

$$R(\phi/\omega) = (3.3 \pm 0.6) \cdot 10^{-2}$$

$$R(\phi/\omega)/R_{OZI} = 7.9 \pm 1.4.$$

However, the interpretation of the  $\phi$ -to- $\omega$  ratio is ambiguous due to a poor knowledge of the production mechanisms even in case of the  $pp \rightarrow pp\omega$  reaction. Therefore, in a brief day evaluation measurement at ANKE about 9000  $pp \rightarrow pp\omega$  events have been taken at excess energies of 60 MeV and 92 MeV. The latter corresponds to the lowest energy measured at COSY-TOF while the SPES-III data have been taken below 31 MeV. Having both protons detected at ANKE the  $\omega$ -meson is identified by the missing mass method. The separation of the  $pp \rightarrow pp\omega$  events from a large  $pp \rightarrow ppX$  background was found to be possible using kinematical transformations as a model-independent approach. It was also tested to obtain the differential cross sections as function of the  $\omega$  center-of-mass angle  $\Theta_{\text{cm}}^\omega$  and the relative kinetic energy  $\epsilon(pp)$  of the two final-state protons presented in Fig. 5.

In average the measured distributions do not significantly deviate from the results of a phase space simulation. Still there is some systematics which can be related to the background subtraction procedure and needs further investigation. Assuming a pure phase-space distribution and not taking into account the final-state interaction of the 2-proton system, the analysis leads to a total cross-section of  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(Q = 60 \text{ MeV}) = 5 \mu\text{b} \pm 30\%$  and  $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(Q = 92 \text{ MeV}) = 10 \mu\text{b} \pm 20\%$ .

Due to the trigger conditions in this experiment the obtained data are neither sensitive to the final-state interaction of the two protons nor to their relative angular dependence. Small relative kinetic energies  $\epsilon(pp)$  are suppressed. For the same reason the extraction of the relative angular distribution of the two protons is not possible. For the actual data sample this introduces an additional systematic uncertainty as large as 50% to the total cross




Fig. 5: Differential distributions of  $pp \rightarrow pp\omega$  events along the the  $\omega$  center-of-mass angle  $\Theta_{\text{cm}}^{\omega}$  and along the relative kinetic energy  $\varepsilon(pp)$  of two protons obtained at  $Q = 60$  MeV (left column) and at  $Q = 92$  MeV. Phase-space simulations assuming an isotropic angular distribution of the two protons are shown by red lines. The blue lines correspond to a  $\cos^2(\Theta_{\text{cm}}^{pp})$  angular dependence.

section. This can be significantly improved either in a future experiment at ANKE getting additional information on the two proton state or by extracting the relative protons distributions from the COSY-TOF data.

### 1.2.5 The Charge-Exchange Reaction $\vec{d}p \rightarrow (pp)n$

One major feature of the polarised deuteron charge-exchange break-up reaction  $\vec{d}p \rightarrow (pp)n$  is that the differential cross section and the two deuteron Cartesian analysing powers  $A_{xx}$  and  $A_{yy}$  are directly related to the magnitudes of the spin-spin neutron-proton charge-exchange amplitudes, which govern the spin-transfer observables in  $\vec{n}p \rightarrow \vec{p}n$  at small momentum transfers. This raises the possibility to supplement the  $pn$  data base above 800 MeV per nucleon, where accurate polarisation data are more sparse.

A first measurement was carried out at ANKE with a 1170 MeV polarised deuteron beam. Having determined their vector and tensor polarisations before and during the acceleration of deuterons in the COSY ring, measurements of three tensor and two vector analysing powers showed that any depolarisation of the circulating beam is definitely less than 2%. The vector and tensor polarisations are typically about 74% and 59% respectively of the ideal values that could be provided by the polarised source.

The results for the two  $\vec{d}p \rightarrow (pp)n$  tensor analysing powers are shown in Fig. 6 in bins of 20 MeV/c in  $q$  for two cuts in the excitation energy, *viz.*  $0 < E_{pp} < 1$  MeV and  $1 < E_{pp} < 3$  MeV. In contrast to the strong and rapidly varying tensor analysing powers shown there, the vector  $A_y$  is consistent with zero for all momentum transfers.

For low excitation energy  $E_{pp}$  of the final  $pp$  pair, and




Fig. 6: Values of the tensor analysing powers  $A_{yy}$  and  $A_{xx}$  extracted for the  $dp \rightarrow (pp)n$  reaction for  $0 < E_{pp} < 1$  MeV (circles) and  $1 < E_{pp} < 3$  MeV (stars). The curves correspond to the predictions of the impulse approximation program, for which the input amplitudes at 585 MeV were taken from SAID;  $0 < E_{pp} < 1$  MeV (solid) and  $1 < E_{pp} < 3$  MeV (broken).

small momentum transfers  $q$ , the  $\vec{d}p \rightarrow (pp)n$  reaction mainly excites the  $^1S_0$  state of the final  $pp$  system. This involves a spin-flip from the  $pn$  triplet to  $pp$  singlet and thus provides a *spin-filter* mechanism. In single-scattering approximation, the results depend only upon the  $np$  spin-dependent amplitudes  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$ . If we neglect the deuteron  $D$ -state, we expect that at low  $E_{pp}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{xx} &= \frac{|\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\varepsilon|^2 - 2|\delta|^2}{|\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 + |\varepsilon|^2}, \\
 A_{yy} &= \frac{|\delta|^2 + |\varepsilon|^2 - 2|\beta|^2 - 2|\gamma|^2}{|\beta|^2 + |\gamma|^2 + |\delta|^2 + |\varepsilon|^2}, \\
 A_y &= 0.
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Since in the forward direction  $\beta = \delta$  and  $\gamma = 0$ , at  $q = 0$  the value of  $A_{xx} = A_{yy}$  depends only on the ratio of  $|\beta|$  to  $|\varepsilon|$ , which changes smoothly with energy. However, the  $\delta$  amplitude, which contains the one-pion-exchange pole, varies very rapidly with momentum transfer and almost vanishes when  $q \approx m_\pi$ . Hence  $A_{xx}$  should approach its kinematical limit of +1 in this region, and this is consistent with the trend of the data shown in Fig. 6.

The spin-triplet final states generally produce the opposite signs for the analysing powers to the singlet and so, as soon as one departs from the very small  $E_{pp}$  limit, a dilution of the  $A_{xx}$  and  $A_{yy}$  signal is predicted. This effect depends sensitively upon the final state interactions in the low energy  $pp$  system. These, together with the Paris-potential  $S+D$ -state deuteron wave function, are included in our full analysis program.

The predictions of Fig. 6 used amplitudes from the current SAID  $NN$  phase shift solution. All the features of both  $A_{xx}$  and  $A_{yy}$  are reproduced for  $q \leq 120$  MeV/c. Quantitative agreement with predictions based upon an up-to-date phase-shift analysis will allow one to use the charge-exchange data from ANKE for  $pn$  studies in more barren regions.

### 1.2.6 Investigation of the ${}^3\text{He} - \eta$ interaction

For studies of the  ${}^3\text{He} - \eta$  interaction  $dp$  collisions are very well suited due to the relatively high cross sections for the  $dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$  reaction and due to much better beam momentum definition compared to the  $\gamma{}^3\text{He} \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$  and  $\pi^+{}^3\text{H} \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$  measurements. Of interest are experiments both above and below the  $\eta$  production threshold. In the first case low energy  ${}^3\text{He} - \eta$  scattering parameters can be determined on the basis of FSI effects. In the later case one can search for resonance-like structures originating from decays of a possible  ${}^3\text{He} - \eta$  bound state, *e.g.* into the  $dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\pi^0$  channel.




Fig. 7: Missing mass as a function of beam momentum. The onset of the  $\eta$  production is clearly seen the missing mass of 0.547 GeV and the threshold momentum of 3.141 GeV/c.

We performed measurements of the  $dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}X$ ,  $X = \pi^0, \eta$  reactions near the  $\eta$  threshold using a slowly ramped deuteron beam of COSY scattered on a proton target. The momentum of the deuteron beam was varied continuously within each cycle from 3.095 GeV/c to 3.180 GeV/c, crossing the threshold for the  $dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$  reaction at 3.141 GeV/c. The  ${}^3\text{He}$  ejectiles were registered with the COSY-11 detection setup. In the missing mass spectrum determined as a function of the beam momentum (see Fig. 7) a clear signal from the  $\eta$  meson production as well as from the single  $\pi^0$  production is visible. The ongoing data analysis indicates that the collected data will allow to determine the total and differential cross sections for the  $dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$  reaction with a high statistical accuracy on the level of 1%. A preliminary excitation curve for the pion production in the reaction  $dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\pi^0$  shows no structures which could originate from decays of  ${}^3\text{He} - \eta$  bound state.

## 1.3 Status of experimental facilities

### 1.3.1 ANKE

Since summer 2005 ANKE is equipped with a polarized internal target (PIT) between the magnets D1 and D2, see Fig. 8. With an atomic beam source (ABS) polarized beams of hydrogen or deuterium atoms with an intensity of  $7 \cdot 10^{16}$  atoms/s and a polarization of more than 90% is produced. This beam can be used as a jet target or be stored in a T-shape storage cell to increase the target density up to  $5 \cdot 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ . The polarization of the target atoms will be measured with a Lamb-shift polarimeter.




Fig. 8: Photo of ANKE with the polarized target in front of the spectrometer dipole D2 (blue). Components of the Lamb-shift polarimeter are on the right side of the ANKE target chamber.

### 1.3.2 COSY-TOF

End of 2004 COSY-TOF performed a beam time with the goal to obtain decisive information on the existence or non-existence of the exotic  $\Theta^+$  state where the situation is still confusing. The goal of this run is to investigate the significance of the previously found signature for the pentaquark  $\Theta^+$  in the  $K^0 p$  system via the reaction  $pp \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^0 p$  (COSY-TOF collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **595**, 127 (2004)). To improve the statistical accuracy significantly, in addition to the higher luminosity, the detector was upgraded by a three layer Sci-Fi-hodoscope. The new data are estimated to contain a factor of 5 more statistics compared to the previous measurement.

The analysis is being performed by different collaboration groups separately. In this way, the investigation of the  $pp \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^0 p$  and especially the  $K^0 p$  invariant mass will be approached independently on three different ways. Minimal cuts will be applied in order to observe control channels like the elastic scattering and the related reaction  $pp \rightarrow \Lambda K^+ p$ . The study and interpretation of the reaction  $pp \rightarrow \Sigma^+ K^0 p$  and the  $K^0 p$  mass spectrum will be approached only after comparison of the control channel results including dedicated simulations and without further tuning of the analysis software. In this context also the Dalitz plot, which is fully covered in our experiment, will be of decisive importance.

It is internationally agreed upon that the new COSY measurement will have crucial impact on the clarification of the situation.

### 1.3.3 Transfer of the WASA Detector

The transfer of the WASA detector from CELSIUS to COSY has been finished in September 2005. The components are presently being tested and prepared for installation at COSY scheduled for summer 2006. Commissioning is anticipated for the second half of 2006, allowing a start of the physics program (for details — on both the experimental program and the detector setup and performance — we refer to the “WASA-at-COSY” proposal nucl-ex/0411038) beginning of 2007 as originally scheduled.

#### *Pellet Target*

After transfer and after maintenance of pumps and cryogenic equipment the WASA pellet target has been set up at COSY for a full scale test operation with access to all components prior to installation at COSY (Fig. 9).

The test program aims at reproducing the reliable target performance with hydrogen and an improved operation with deuterium. Only minor modifications are planned before installation, constructional changes are intended to reduce vibrations at the pellet generator to minimize the geometrical spread of the pellet beam and, consequently, the gas load.

The technology for the manufacturing of glass nozzles and vacuum injection capillaries has been transferred to the Central Department of Technology (ZAT) of the Research Centre Jülich, and preparations for production are ongoing. The VME interfaced SIMATIC slow control system remains unchanged compared to operation at CELSIUS, the stand-alone version to be used at COSY was already tested before dismount at CELSIUS.

In the future it is foreseen to extend the beam dump by 300mm to improve access for maintenance and to provide space for a pellet tracking system.

#### *Central Detector*

The central detector setup will remain unchanged to the




Fig. 9: Test setup of the WASA pellet target at COSY with pellet generator (top), a dummy vacuum chamber around the nominal interaction zone, and pellet beam dump (bottom).

WASA setup at CELSIUS for installation and commissioning.

The CsI(Na) crystals of the scintillating electromagnetic calorimeter have already been tested after the transfer to COSY; no transport damage has been found. During the tests until installation the hydrophilic crystals are kept in a specially built air-conditioned measurement cabin with a level of humidity below 35% (Fig. 10).

After installation, a corresponding closed atmosphere will be ensured by a tent. Presently, the Hamamatsu 1924 phototubes used in the forward part of the calorimeter are being replaced by an upgraded version 1924A with a significantly improved linearity.

The cryogenic cooling plant for the solenoid operation has already been assembled (Fig. 10). It will be set into operation beginning of 2006, with the solenoid due to be tested before installation at COSY.

The central drift chamber (MDC) — as well as the forward proportional straw chamber (FPC) — are presently operated under laboratory conditions to further optimize systematically the working point in view of gas mixture and high voltage supply. Frontend electronics have been completely exchanged for both straw detectors tak-




Fig. 10: The half spheres of the scintillating electromagnetic calorimeter in an air-conditioned cabin (back) and components of the cryogenic plant after setup for operation (front) in the COSY interior area.

ing over the solution for the straw detectors at the ANKE experiment based on the CMP16 amplifier-discriminator chip originally developed for the CERN CMS experiment. A complete system consisting of detectors, analog and digitizing electronics will be tested in the laboratory prior to installation. Due to both the limited time available and the risk of collateral damage individual non-working straws (few per cent) will not be repaired. Instead, also with respect to possible aging effects, it is foreseen to build a spare MDC from already existing hardware as soon as possible and to replace the detector. At a later stage it is planned to increase the position accuracy and efficiency of the central detector in its very forward region for measurements at higher energies by a scintillating fibre detector replacing the forward part of the plastic barrel scintillator in combination with additional  $dE$  information.

### *Forward Detector*

For an efficient tagging at the higher energies available at COSY compared to CELSIUS, the WASA forward detector has to be upgraded prior to installation. During this first phase, the  $dE-E$  information provided by the Forward Range Hodoscope (FRH) will be improved by adding an additional layer of scintillator material, improving the precision of the energy information for protons with kinetic energies close to 1 GeV by 25% compared to the present setup. The design of the additional detector component is finished and photomultiplier tests are presently performed.

A fourth existing straw chamber plane of the FPC will be installed and the setup of planes will be rearranged to increase the lever arm for track reconstruction resulting in an improved vertex reconstruction at the interaction point. Extra space available at COSY compared to CELSIUS allows for these modifications. Furthermore, a Cerenkov detector will be added in front of the Forward Range Hodoscope as soon as possible after

the commissioning phase to improve both the energy resolution for measurements at higher energies and particle identification.

### *Data Acquisition*

Since the existing WASA data acquisition system (DAQ) is both not fast enough for the expected event rates and the digitizing Fastbus hardware is not serviced any more, a new DAQ system allowing event rates in the order of 10kHz has been designed for WASA at COSY. The acquisition system will be compatible with existing systems at other COSY experiments, which allows to take over common electronic parts and most of the software. In addition, on-site maintenance will be provided by staff of the FZJ Central Institute for Electronics (ZEL). To meet the requirements of WASA-at-COSY new digitization modules are presently being developed: Slow and fast TDCs for straw and scintillation detectors, and slow and fast QDCs for the scintillating electromagnetic calorimeter and the plastic scintillators, respectively. The latter are realized as continuously running flash ADCs with extensive FPGA processing for time stamping, integration, as well as pileup detection and possible correction. TDC prototypes are already available, and the QDC, a common development of ZEL and Uppsala University, is in the prototyping phase. Mass production is expected to be finished in time for commissioning in the second half of 2006.

### *Mechanical Preparation*

Preparations at the internal target location, i.e. the necessary concrete work, and rearrangement of power and water supply lines, have been finished. An adjustment of the original detector mainframe in height for usage at COSY is under manufacturing, a test installation is planned for January 2006. A rail system for the central detector is already installed inside the COSY tunnel, and the carriages for the calorimeter are presently being modified. The original platform of the WASA forward detector can be reused. However, it has to be lifted by 285 mm to adapt for the difference in height of the beamline of COSY compared to CELSIUS. Platforms both to provide access to target components after installation and for the WASA trigger electronics will be finished for the COSY summer shutdown.

### *Collaboration*

The WASA-at-COSY Collaboration has formally been constituted during a first collaboration meeting held in Jülich on November 4–5, 2005. At present the collaboration consists of 168 scientists from 27 institutes in seven countries.

For further information see the collaboration homepage <http://www.fz-juelich.de/ikp/wasa>.



## 2 External Experiments

### 2.1 Pionic Hydrogen at PSI

Pionic-atom data allow precision measurements of QCD quantities at the few-% level because no extrapolation or normalisation procedures must be applied. In pionic hydrogen ( $\pi\text{H}$ ) the hadronic shift  $\varepsilon_{1s}$  and broadening  $\Gamma_{1s}$  of the atomic ground-state are related to the  $\pi\text{N}$  scattering lengths  $a^+$  and  $a^-$  by Deser-type formulae:  $\varepsilon_{1s} \propto (a^+ + a^-)$  and  $\Gamma_{1s} \propto (a^-)^2$ . The isoscalar quantity  $a^+$  is connected to the  $\pi\text{N}$   $\sigma$ -term which is a measure of chiral symmetry breaking, and the isovector part  $a^-$  yields the  $\pi\text{N}$  coupling constant.

K X-ray transitions in  $\pi\text{H}$  have energies around 3 keV whereas  $\varepsilon_{1s}$  and  $\Gamma_{1s}$  are 7 and 1 eV, respectively. Thus, precision data can be achieved only with crystal spectrometers. The shift, now being determined to two per mille, exceeds the theoretical accuracy. In order to achieve also for  $\Gamma_{1s}$  an uncertainty comparable to theory, due to the complexity of  $\pi\text{H}$  ground-state transitions, new experimental approaches had to be applied.

Contributions to the X-ray line shape are (i) a Lorentzian due to the life time of the atomic levels ( $\Gamma_{1s}$ ), (ii) the spectrometer response, and (iii) Doppler broadening. The latter stems from acceleration of the  $\pi\text{H}$  system in collisions with  $\text{H}_2$  molecules during the atomic cascade where the de-excitation energy of various transitions  $n \rightarrow n'$  is converted into kinetic energy (Coulomb de-excitation).

Hence, a precision determination of  $\Gamma_{1s}$  requires,

- ultimate knowledge of the spectrometer response,
- correction for the Doppler broadening,
- high statistics in the  $\pi\text{H}$  X-ray spectra, and
- good control of the background.

The spectrometer response was obtained with the narrow M1 transitions in helium-like sulphur, chlorine, and argon having energies close to the  $\pi\text{H}$   $\text{K}\alpha$ ,  $\text{K}\beta$ , and  $\text{K}\gamma$  lines. For that an electron-cyclotron resonance ion trap (ECRIT) was set up providing sufficiently high count rates. The spectra of the various charge states make obvious the complex dynamics of few-electron systems measured here with unprecedented resolution (Fig. 11).

A measurement of the  $\text{K}\beta$  line in  $\mu\text{H}$  revealed nicely various Doppler contributions, because here the X-ray line shape is determined only by the (well known) spectrometer response and Coulomb de-excitation. The atomic cascade is now understood well enough for a reliable correction of the Doppler broadening. A low background level was achieved by a dedicated concrete shielding and the use of charge-coupled devices for X-ray detection.




Fig. 11: X-rays from chlorine charge states excited in the ECRIT. The crystal spectrometer resolution for the  $\pi\text{H}$   $\text{K}\beta$  line (2.886 keV) is obtained from the M1 transition (2.757 keV) in the helium-like ion  $\text{Cl}^{15+}$ .

Substantial progress has been achieved relating  $\varepsilon_{1s}$  and  $\Gamma_{1s}$  to  $a^+$  and  $a^-$  within the frame work of chiral perturbation theory ( $\chi\text{PT}$ ). Theoretical uncertainties for  $\varepsilon_{1s}$  and  $\Gamma_{1s}$  are 2.9% and 0.2% obtained in 3<sup>rd</sup> order calculations. The new  $\pi\text{H}$  data,  $\varepsilon_{1s} = 7116 \pm 11$  meV ( $\pm 0.2\%$ ) and  $\Gamma_{1s} = 785 \pm 27$  meV ( $\pm 3.5\%$ ), yield values for  $a^\pm$  as shown in Fig. 12 ( $\pi\text{H}$ :  $\chi\text{PT}+\text{IB}$ ).

It has been reported recently, that for pionic deuterium ( $\pi\text{D}$ ) the inclusion of isospin-breaking effects (IB) is of outstanding importance due to cancellations of the  $\pi^-p$  and  $\pi^-n$  interactions. In particular, the value of  $a^+$  determined from  $\varepsilon_{1s}$  in  $\pi\text{D}$  is then no longer in striking disagreement with  $\pi\text{H}$  data. A 2<sup>nd</sup> order  $\chi\text{PT}$  calculation including IB, based on the recent  $\pi\text{H}$  measurement and  $\varepsilon_{1s}^{\pi\text{D}} = -2.47 \pm 0.06$  eV ( $\pm 2\%$ ) obtained earlier ( $\pi\text{H}+\pi\text{D}$ :  $\chi\text{PT}+\text{IB}$ ), is shown together with the  $\pi\text{H}$  analyses (Fig. 12). Without IB effects ( $\pi\text{H}+\pi\text{D}$ :  $\chi\text{PT}$ ), the result is far off as are the results of the previous experiment analysed in a potential approach.

$\Gamma_{1s}^{\pi\text{D}}$  is directly related to the threshold parameter  $\alpha$  in pion production. At present,  $\pi\text{D}$  atom and  $pp \rightarrow \pi^+d$  production data disagree by 2 standard deviations.




Fig. 12: Isoscalar vs. isovector scattering lengths  $a^+$  and  $a^-$  as obtained from this (R-98.01) and previous experiments (R-86.05). Current algebra (Weinberg-Tomozawa point) gives the leading order in ChiPT.

A re-determination of  $\varepsilon_{1s}^{\pi\text{D}}$  with a precision comparable to hydrogen, together with a combined  $\pi\text{H} + \pi\text{D}$  analysis, will improve the constraints for the  $\pi\text{N}$  scattering lengths  $a^+$  and  $a^-$  and, consequently, for the  $\pi\text{N}$   $\sigma$ -term and the  $\pi\text{N}$  coupling constant. From  $\Gamma_{1s}^{\pi\text{D}}$ , an accuracy for  $\alpha$  will be achievable being a factor of 2-3 better than in pion production experiments.

The experimental program for  $\pi\text{H}$  has been completed end of 2005 with a high-statistics measurement of the  $\pi\text{H}$   $\text{K}\alpha$  transition at the  $\pi\text{E}5$  beam line of PSI. From these data finally an uncertainty of less than 2% is expected for  $\Gamma_{1s}$  in  $\pi\text{H}$ . A precision determination of the strong-interaction effects in  $\pi\text{D}$  is planned for 2006.



### 3 Theoretical Investigations

The IKP theory group studies the strong interactions in their various settings — spanning topics ranging from hadron structure and dynamics to the nuclear many-body problem. The main focus is on the formulation and application of effective field theories for precision hadron and nuclear physics based on the symmetries of Quantum Chromodynamics. Some of the high-lights of these activities are discussed in the following.

#### 3.1 Effective field theory for pion production in proton-proton collisions

With the appearance of high quality data for the reactions  $NN \rightarrow NN\pi$  in the early 90ties it became evident that the dynamics of this class of reactions is not at all understood: the existing models failed to describe the data by a factor of about 10 for the reaction  $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$  and by a factor of 2 for  $pp \rightarrow d\pi^+$ . In the following years several proposals were put forward, especially to explain the huge discrepancy in the neutral pion production channel. It was shown that these data could be described either by heavy meson exchanges, (off-shell) pion rescattering, or pion emission from exchanged mesons. To resolve the confusion various groups started to investigate  $NN \rightarrow NN\pi$  using chiral perturbation theory (ChPT). As an effective field theory it is to be free of any ambiguities and one expected that now the relevant physics of  $NN \rightarrow NN\pi$  could be identified. As a big surprise to many, however, it turned out that, when naively using the original power counting by Weinberg, the discrepancy between theory and data became even larger at next-to-leading order (NLO) for  $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$  as well as for  $pp \rightarrow d\pi^+$ . In parallel it was realized that the large momentum transfer characteristic for meson production in  $NN$  collisions calls for a modification of the counting scheme. When applied to  $p$ -wave pion production evidence was found for a convergence of this scheme towards the data. For  $s$ -wave production so far only preparatory work was available — especially there was no calculation that included both the final and initial state interaction. It was thus a significant progress when we succeeded this year to perform the first complete calculation up to NLO for  $NN \rightarrow d\pi$ , including the distortions from the  $NN$  interaction. In this work we could show that the sum of all loops that appears at NLO cancels an irreducible piece that emerged from the convolution of the leading pion rescattering operator with the one-pion-exchange contribution of the  $NN$  potential. The net effect of this was to replace the  $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$  subamplitude by its on-shell value — before it was a factor of 3/4 smaller due to the special kinematics of pion production. The result is shown in Fig. 13, where it can be seen that now the factor of 2 discrepancy of the original calculation is overcome. The theoretical uncertainty of the calculation is still significant (indicated in the figure by the box) but will be improved soon — a calculation up to NNLO is in preparation.




Fig. 13: Comparison of our results to experimental data for  $NN \rightarrow d\pi$  where  $\eta$  is the pion momentum in units of the pion mass. The dashed curve show the LO result and the solid line shows the result at NLO. The estimated theoretical uncertainty at NLO is illustrated by the filled box. The data are from TRIUMF (Canada) and COSY.

It should be stressed that this result clearly shows the necessity to perform pion-production calculations within the controlled framework of an effective field theory for it turned out that all those mechanisms included in phenomenological calculations mentioned above can be mapped on amplitudes within ChPT that appear at NNLO. However, at this order there is at the same time a large number of loops. On the other hand the cancellations found in our work could never be observed in phenomenological calculations where typically only subgroups of diagrams, leading to individually significant contributions, were included.

#### 3.2 Chiral extrapolations for lattice QCD

Lattice QCD is a tool to calculate baryon properties from first principles. However, at present, simulations can only be done for quark (pion) masses considerably above the physical values, typically  $M_\pi > 400$  MeV in state-of-the-art calculations. Chiral perturbation theory is able to provide extrapolation functions that connect such lattice data with the realistic pion masses. We have started a systematic analysis of the quark mass dependence of various baryon properties derived from chiral perturbation theory. The quark mass dependence of an observable is encoded in loop and contact term contributions, the latter are accompanied by the so-called low-energy constants (LECs). There are two classes of low-energy constants. Class I LECs parameterize the quark mass effects whereas the dynamical LECs of class II are related to pion momenta (derivatives). Given the scarcity of precise and systematic lattice data, we consider it extremely important to utilize phenomenological input obtained from the analysis of many different processes to constrain the dynamical LECs. Of equal importance is the estimation of the ensuing theoretical uncertainty for

any given baryon observable, related to the uncertainties with which LECs from the mesonic and the baryon sector have been determined. Within this framework, we have analyzed many baryon observables like the nucleon and the baryon octet masses, the pion- and kaon-nucleon sigma terms, the isovector anomalous magnetic moment or the axial-vector coupling of the nucleon. These studies are based on complete one-loop representations. Incorporating only the leading loop effects that appear at third order, as it is often done, does not lead to a sufficient precision. In Fig. 14 we show such extrapolations for the nucleon mass based on the SU(3) representation with all dimension two LECs fixed from an earlier study of the octet masses. For pion masses  $>500$  MeV, the theoretical uncertainty becomes very large — such a statement depends, however, on the observable considered.




Fig. 14: Pion mass dependence of the nucleon mass in flavor SU(3). The red line gives the best fit and the black lines give the theoretical uncertainty under the constraint that the nucleon mass takes its physical value for the physical quark masses. Green squares: MILC 2001 data, purple triangles: MILC 2004 data.

It can be stated in general that using extrapolation functions based on a chiral perturbation theory representation cease to make sense at higher pion masses, say above 500 MeV. Note also that the most recent data from the MILC collaboration at the lowest pion masses can not be described, the source of this problem remains to be identified. The lattice community is therefore urgently asked to provide data at sufficiently small pion masses for a cornucopia of observables — otherwise a model-independent analysis of lattice results will not be possible. In the context of QCD, chiral perturbation theory is a faithful representation for the analysis of the Goldstone boson and the baryon octet properties. If one wants to consider also excited states (resonances), the construction of the corresponding effective field theories must respect resonance decoupling. We have constructed a covariant effective field theory for the delta resonance in which the nucleon-delta mass splitting is counted as an additional small parameter. Using this approach, we have calculated the delta mass, the delta-nucleon mass splitting and the pion-delta sigma term. We find that the nucleon-delta

splitting increases by about ten percent in the chiral limit and also, the pion-delta sigma term is sizeably smaller than the one of the nucleon, indicating strong violations of spin-flavor SU(4). We have also worked out extrapolation functions for the  $\rho$ -meson mass based on a formulation that extends infrared regularization to spin one fields.

### 3.3 Theory of spin filtering

The physics potential of experiments with high-energy stored polarized antiprotons is very large, and for more than two decades, physicists have tried to produce beams of polarized antiprotons without success. The list of fundamental issues accessible with polarized antiprotons includes the determination of transversity — the last leading-twist missing piece of the QCD description of the partonic structure of the nucleon — without measurements of which the spin tomography of the proton would ever be incomplete. Other items of great importance for the perturbative QCD description of the proton include the phase of the time-like form factors of the proton and hard proton-antiproton scattering. Such an ambitious physics program for a polarized antiproton-polarized proton collider has been proposed recently by the PAX Collaboration for the new Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) at GSI in Darmstadt. An integral part of such a machine is a dedicated large-acceptance Antiproton Polarizer Ring (APR). As a part of the theoretical support of the PAX proposal, the dynamics of the spin filtering of stored protons in a polarized internal target has been scrutinized, leading to novel insight into this phenomenon. The spin filtering in storage rings is based on a multiple passage of a stored beam through a polarized internal gas target. Apart from the polarization by spin-dependent transmission, a unique geometrical feature of the interaction with the target in such a filtering process is the scattering of stored particles within the beam. A depolarization effect in the scattering process affects the polarization build-up. In the Jülich theory group we derived the quantum-mechanical evolution equation for the spin-density matrix of a stored beam which incorporates the scattering within the beam. It describes the change of the interplay of the transmission and scattering-within-the-beam from polarized electrons to polarized protons in the atomic target. Specifically, a complete self-cancellation of the transmission and scattering-within-the-beam contributions from polarized electrons is found. The evaluation of corrections from the scattering within the beam of protons in the polarized targets requires a careful evaluation of the Coulomb-nuclear interference effect in the region of extremely small scattering angles inaccessible in direct experiments. The effect of the spin-flip scattering within the beam to the polarization cross section is proven to be negligible small. These results agree with those found by the Milstein-Strakhovenko group from the Budker Institute. There is only a slight disagreement between the recently reanalyzed FILTEX result for the po-

larization cross section,  $\sigma_P = 72.5 \pm 5.8 \text{ mb}$ , and the theoretical expectation  $\sigma_P \approx 86 \text{ mb}$ . Regarding the future of the PAX proposal, the conclusion is that one can only rely upon the nuclear antiproton-proton interaction and must optimize the filtering process using the antiprotons available elsewhere (CERN, Fermilab).

### 3.4 $p\bar{p}$ interactions in $J/\psi$ decays

Recently the BES Collaboration reported a near-threshold enhancement in the proton-antiproton ( $p\bar{p}$ ) invariant mass spectrum, observed in the  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$  decay. Signs for a low mass  $p\bar{p}$  enhancement had been already seen earlier in low-statistics experiments, the new data from BES, however, are of rather high statistical accuracy and therefore provide very precise information about the magnitude and the energy dependence of the  $p\bar{p}$  mass spectrum very close to threshold. The BES Collaboration fitted their  $p\bar{p}$  invariant mass spectrum below 1.95 GeV by a Breit-Wigner resonance function. Assuming that the  $p\bar{p}$  system is in an  $S$ -wave resulted in a resonance mass of  $M = 1859^{+3+5}_{-10-25} \text{ MeV}$  and a total width of  $\Gamma < 30 \text{ MeV}$ . A comparable fit to the data could be achieved with a  $P$ -wave Breit-Wigner function with  $M = 1876 \pm 0.9 \text{ MeV}$  and  $\Gamma = 4.6 \pm 1.8 \text{ MeV}$ . The proximity of these resonance masses to the  $p\bar{p}$  reaction threshold (which is at 1876.54 MeV) nourished speculations that the observed strong enhancement could be a signal of an  $N\bar{N}$  bound state. While theoretical considerations of such  $N\bar{N}$  bound states (or of baryonia, in general) abound in the literature, there is so far hardly any undisputed experimental information on the existence of such states. Thus, the assumption that one has found here independent and possibly even more convincing evidence in support of  $N\bar{N}$  bound states is certainly appealing. In our investigation we showed that the observed enhancement could have also an entirely different and much more conventional interpretation. In particular, we argued that the enhancement is primarily due to the final-state interaction between the produced proton and antiproton. For this study we utilized a realistic model of the  $N\bar{N}$  interaction. The elastic part of this model is the  $G$ -parity transform of the Bonn meson-exchange  $NN$  potential, supplemented by a phenomenological complex potential to account for  $N\bar{N}$  annihilation. The  $p\bar{p}$  mass spectrum from the decay  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$  measured by the BES collaboration is shown in Fig. 15. The blue line indicates the pure phase-space distribution, i.e. the result one expects for a reaction amplitude that has no energy dependence. The green curve is the squared scattering amplitude predicted by one of our  $N\bar{N}$  models for the  $^1S_0$  partial wave and the isospin  $I = 0$  channel, suitably multiplied with phase-space factors in order to match it to the kinematics of the  $J/\psi$  decay reaction. Finally, the red curve is the result of a calculation where we assume that the basic reaction amplitude is a constant but take into account the effects of the  $p\bar{p}$  final-state interaction within the so-called Watson-Migdal approach. Obviously, those results are in remarkable agreement with the

experiments from the BES collaboration. We should add that the structure around invariant masses of  $M(p\bar{p}) \approx 2.1 \text{ GeV}$  is mostly likely due to the  $f_0(2200)$  resonance, which was not included in our analysis. Note also that the structure at around 2.98 GeV is the well known  $\eta_c$  meson.




Fig. 15: The  $p\bar{p}$  mass spectrum from the decay  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma p\bar{p}$ . The arrow indicates the reaction threshold.

### 3.5 Spectra and binding energy predictions of chiral interactions for $^7\text{Li}$

It is well known that the properties of nuclei cannot be well reproduced using today's very accurate two-nucleon ( $2N$ ) interaction models only. It is generally accepted that additional forces, namely three-nucleon forces ( $3N$ Fs), are required for an accurate description of nuclear binding energies and spectra. These interactions naturally arise in the framework of chiral perturbation theory. Their quantitative determination is essential to define the nuclear interaction completely for investigations of, e.g., the properties of unstable nuclei. At the same time, this provides an important test of our understanding of the nuclear forces, because it will confirm or disprove a tight relation of the  $2N$  interaction,  $\pi N$  amplitudes and  $3N$  forces, which is believed to be the consequence of the spontaneously-broken chiral symmetry of QCD. Because  $3N$  scattering experiments can probe the  $3N$ Fs only in the isospin  $T = 1/2$  channel, the  $p$ -shell nuclei provide an important additional laboratory, which enables us to study the interplay of  $T = 1/2$  and  $T = 3/2$  components of  $3N$ Fs. To this aim, we performed the first calculation of the spectra and binding energy of  $^7\text{Li}$  based on chiral  $2N$  and  $3N$  interactions. The non-locality of the forces requires using the no-core shell model approach, leading to a high dimensional shell model calculation, which was performed on a massively parallel supercomputer. Two sets of parameters for the  $3N$  forces describe the  $s$ -shell nuclei equally well. Therefore, we were able to study the sensitivity of the  $^7\text{Li}$  system on the structure of the  $3N$  force, keeping the description of the  $s$ -shell nuclei the same. Our results confirm this sensitivity for both, the binding energy and the excitation spectrum, see Fig. 16.

In no case, however, we find overbinding or unrealistically high densities in  ${}^7\text{Li}$ , although the chiral interactions are much softer than the traditional forces. We also find slight deviations of our results from the experimental values, which suggest slight changes of the strength of  $2\pi$  exchange in the NN and 3N force in order to describe the  $p$ -shell and  $s$ -shell nuclei simultaneously. A study of this conjecture is in progress. Also the extension to the more demanding mid-shell nuclei and to neutron-rich isotopes is a high priority and will finally allow to pin down the isospin dependence of the 3NF.




Fig. 16: Predictions for the excitation spectrum of  ${}^7\text{Li}$  based on chiral NN forces alone and together with two choices for the chiral 3NF and the data.

### 3.6 Pygmy dipole resonances in Calcium isotopes

Soft electric dipole modes of nuclei, the so-called pygmy resonances, are relevant for the synthesis of many nuclei in supernova explosions. Last year, the electric dipole strength below 10 MeV has been measured in the nucleus  ${}^{44}\text{Ca}$  with nuclear resonance fluorescence in Darmstadt. This nucleus is important as it allows to study the effect of pairing correlations which are negligible in the closed-shell nuclei  ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$  and  ${}^{48}\text{Ca}$ . Pairing correlations are crucial for the studies of radioactive nuclei.

The experimental isovector dipole sum rule exhaustion integrated up to 10 MeV shows a non-linear dependence on the neutron excess, see Fig. 17. The quasiparticle random-phase approximation (RPA), in contrast, produces a sum rule exhaustion which scales linearly with the neutron excess. This is due to the increasing occupation of the neutron  $f_{7/2}$  level. Clearly, one has to go beyond the quasiparticle RPA. The extended theory of Finite Fermi Systems (ETFFS) which goes beyond the RPA by incorporating phonon degrees of freedom has been generalized to include pairing correlations (ETFFS(QTBA)). First numerical studies of the Ca isotopes have been performed, see Fig. 17.

The phonon coupling strongly fragments the strength. This leads to shifts of the electric dipole strength. In  ${}^{48}\text{Ca}$ , some dipole strength is shifted to energies above 10 MeV and thus is not seen by the recent nuclear res-




Fig. 17: The electric dipole sum rule strength integrated up to 10 MeV is shown for the isotopes  ${}^{40}\text{Ca}$ ,  ${}^{44}\text{Ca}$ , and  ${}^{48}\text{Ca}$ . Black: experimental data; red: quasiparticle random phase approximation, green: extended theory of finite Fermi systems in the quasiparticle time blocking approximation.

onance fluorescence experiment. The calculation shows that the dipole states below 10 MeV are predominantly of isoscalar structure. This can be explained by the strongly attractive isoscalar force which brings down isoscalar strength from the giant resonance region. This finding is supported by  $(\alpha, \alpha'\gamma)$  experiments.

### 3.7 Quantum interference in Au-Au collisions

Interference of probability amplitudes is at the basis of quantum mechanics. The most prominent example is the double slit experiment: one cannot know in principle through which slit the particle (*e.g.* an electron) went. It was shown recently how interference phenomena show up in so called ultraperipheral collisions (UPC). For example, two gold ions pass each other at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider RHIC at impact parameters larger than the sum of the nuclear radii. The electromagnetic field of one of the nuclei gives rise to an intense spectrum of photons, which extends up to very high energies. (The opportunities offered by UPC at relativistic heavy ion colliders were realized gradually during the past decades). A photon originating from one of the nuclei interacts with the other nucleus to coherently produce a vector meson in a diffractive interaction. Just as in the double slit experiment we do not know whether the nucleus coming from the right or the one from the left emitted the photon. We must take both possibilities into account when calculating the probability amplitude. We calculate the amplitudes for coherent vector meson production using semiclassical and Glauber (eikonal) methods. Especially we study inclusive cross sections as well as those where an additional mutual electromagnetic excitation of both ions takes place in addition to vector meson production. In order to describe vector meson production we use the vector dominance model and s-channel helicity conservation. The final state of the vector meson is characterized by its rapidity  $Y$  and the transverse momentum  $v_{\perp}$ .




Fig. 18: The differential cross section  $d\sigma/d^2v_{\perp}dY$  is shown as a function of the transverse momentum  $v_{\perp}$  for  $J/\Psi$  production at mid-rapidity  $Y = 0$  at LHC (Pb-Pb ions with  $\gamma=3000$ ).

In Fig. 18 we show the differential cross section for  $Y = 0$  as a function of the transverse momentum  $v_{\perp}$  of the produced vector meson, with and without interference. The characteristic dip at small transverse momenta is the sign of the interference and it tells us about the details of the vector meson production amplitude. At RHIC  $\rho^0$  production in UPC has been observed experimentally and first hints of this interference effect were presented at various conferences. One also expects to study this process at the LHC at CERN in the near future where in ultraperipheral collisions of Pb on Pb a 'lead flashlight' is produced. Due to the higher energies one also aims at observing the vector mesons  $J/\Psi$  and  $Y$ . This process will allow to study the gluon distribution in nuclei. This will be part of a general program to study UPC at the LHC (Pb-Pb). So even when the nuclei do not interact strongly in violent central collisions we can learn very much about Quantum Chromodynamics in gentle ultraperipheral heavy ion collisions.

### 3.8 The sphere-plate Casimir effect

In 1948 the Dutch physicist H.B.G. Casimir predicted a very peculiar effect, the attraction between two metallic uncharged parallel plates in vacuum. The existence of such an interaction has been confirmed experimentally with *sufficient* accuracy only in the last decade. However, nearly all modern experiments study the attraction between a metallic sphere and a metallic plate which are much simpler to align than two plates, but much harder to calculate. In fact, with the exception of the proximity force *approximation* (PFA), which is applicable only for vanishing separation (note the splitting of the curves E and F in Fig. 19 for two equivalent, but different versions of the PFA), there does not exist a theoretical prediction for the Casimir energy of the sphere-plate system as function of the separation  $L$ . Therefore the corresponding Casimir effect for a fluctuating real scalar field between two spheres or one sphere and a plate came into the focus of theoretical research. An exact calculation of the

scalar Casimir energy for the case of two spheres is presented that contains the sphere-plate problem as a special case. The computation is based on a new Krein-type formula which directly expresses the geometry-dependent part of the density of states by the multi-scattering matrix of the pertinent scattering problem. The corresponding Casimir energy simply follows from the energy-integral over the multi-scattering phase shift (the logarithm of the multi-scattering determinant). The calculation is therefore not plagued by subtractions of the single-sphere contributions or by a removal of diverging ultra-violet contributions.




Fig. 19: Predictions for the scalar Casimir energy  $\mathcal{E}_C(a, L)$  of the Dirichlet sphere-plate configuration divided by the leading scale  $-\hbar c \pi^3 a / 1440 L^2$  as function of the separation  $L$  between the surfaces in units of the radius  $a$  of the sphere. Circles (A) represent the exact data for the sphere-plate system, curve (B) shows the  $s$ -wave approximation, line (C) represents the predicted asymptotic limit  $2 \times 90/\pi^4$ , where  $90/\pi^4$  is the asymptotic value of the semiclassical Gutzwiller formula including *all* repeats of the two-bounce periodic orbit (see line D). Curves (E) and (F) display the results of two different Ansätze for the proximity-force approximation.

The exact data for  $L \geq 4a$  (see the circles A in Fig. 19), the  $s$ -wave approximation (curve B of Fig. 19), which works very nicely for  $L \geq 15a$ , and the corresponding asymptotic limit (line C of Fig. 19) are totally new results. Moreover, contrary to claims in the literature, the Casimir-Polder scaling of the scalar Casimir effect is excluded by the presented numerical *and* analytical results. The two-sphere and sphere-plane cases are only two examples, and the formalism presented can be easily extended to any number of spheres and planes as well (or disks and lines in two-dimensions). We have exemplified the calculation of the scalar Casimir energy only for the case of Dirichlet boundary conditions. One can replace the Dirichlet with Neumann boundary conditions or with any other conditions easily, or even replace the scatterers with arbitrary non-overlapping potentials/non-ideal reflectors.



## 4 COSY — Operation and Developments

### 4.1 Introduction

In the past, nearly uninterrupted operation throughout the year had always been a top priority for COSY. But after a major refocusing two top priorities have emerged for the institute and the accelerator crew. Foremost is the engagement to make FAIR success; second is the integration of the WASA-Detector inside the accelerator ring. This new detector opens a new promising window for the future physics program but also requires substantial resources.

In connection with the WASA project there was a limited shutdown of the accelerator for 14 weeks. The increased down-time was needed to install WASA in the COSY ring and freed money that had previously been earmarked to pay the energy bill. Substantial manpower resources were also invested into moving the WASA detector from Uppsala to Jülich and prepare the designated site for installation. It is also obvious that some parts of the detector will have to be adapted to the foreseen physics program.

In the scheduled beam time the accelerator maintained a reliability of over 90% that has been its outstanding hallmark since years. In spite of committing resources to FAIR and WASA the accelerator crew had been successful in reaching new records in polarized and unpolarized beams and in developing new modes of operation to support experiments. Most notably by advancing the capabilities for spin manipulation inside the ring, performing electron cooling at higher energies, and creating ultra slow energy ramps for threshold experiments. It also contributed its expertise in the context of EU-projects and assisted the research of outside users for instance by performing irradiations at the cyclotron.

Further details for the High Energy Storage Ring (HESR) have been worked out. One important strategic decision has been to incorporate acceleration inside the ring, which effectively makes it also a synchrotron. The efforts aimed to advance the design of proposed systems and increase the knowledge base through simulations and investigations. COSY was used, for instance, as a test bed for the development of a new nondestructive diagnostics that may prove valuable for the FAIR project. The physics of electron cooling has been further explored theoretically as well as through experiments conducted at COSY. The models used to predict cooling time for electron cooling are being perfected to raise their accuracy. Competing super conducting magnet designs are still under scrutiny with respect to their technical feasibility and their impact in ring performance. All this is done in close cooperation with the GSI, collaborating partners, and industry to ensure their practical realization. Also more insight is being developed in the potential of stochastic cooling through expanded simulation.

### 4.2 Operation of COSY

As mentioned above, the operational hours had been downsized to 5346 h. Nevertheless experiments were demanding special modes of operation to make experiments feasible at all or for reducing systematic errors. For instance a super-cycle was developed for PISA that had three different final energies. In addition, this measurement was greatly facilitated by a beam feedback system that kept the data rate constant for the acquisition system thus reducing the effect of dead time. A large variety of beams have been provided and polarized proton beams and deuteron beams polarized or unpolarized made up for about 50%. The pie chart in Fig. 20 (upper) breaks down the distribution according to ion species while Fig. 20 (lower) does that according to users.




Fig. 20: Beams according to ion species and polarization and beam-time distribution for experiments.

### 4.3 New operational modes

The research at the accelerator was primarily focused on widening the options for the experimental program. Often those developments are initiated by immediate demands of the experimenters but there is also a strong incentive to break new ground in accelerator physics opening thus perhaps new perspectives for conducting experiments.

#### 4.4 Commissioning of the ANKE gas storage-cell

A polarized gas target has been developed for ANKE. To reach the necessary luminosity for double polarization experiments a storage cell design was applied. It possesses a square opening of  $20 \times 20 \text{ mm}^2$  and a length of 400 mm. The challenge in setting up the machine was to get a beam of sufficient intensity through the narrow opening of the storage cell. The biggest losses occur usually during injection where the emittance is still large. The final proton energy during those first runs was 600 MeV. Beforehand a new technology had been developed to reach higher intensities by using multiple injection and cooling. In Fig. 21 the result obtained during the ANKE-run can be seen. During the first 15 injections the intensity rises nearly linearly to saturate later at about  $2.5 \cdot 10^{10}$  particles.




Fig. 21: Raising the intensity of beams inside COSY by applying multiple injection together with cooling.

The result of the cooling action shrank at the same time the momentum spread to  $2 \cdot 10^{-4}$  visible in the Schottky-noise spectrum as depicted in Fig. 22.




Fig. 22: Shown is the shrinking of the momentum distribution through electron cooling during injection. The deflection angle of the circulating beam in ANKE had been set to  $9.2^\circ$ ; note that the vertical axis is logarithmic.

Applying this carefully developed procedure it was pos-

sible to reach an intensity of  $6.4 \cdot 10^9$  protons passing through the gas filled storage-cell reaching a luminosity of  $10^{30} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , which is sufficient to pursue the experiment's objective.

#### 4.5 Ultra-slow precision energy ramp

Although different energies with a single injection are routinely provided with so called super-cycles as for instance for the PISA experiment it is for threshold experiments at times better to have a continuous small energy variation. This has been accomplished for the COSY-11 experiment by stretching a momentum ramp from 3120 MeV/c to 3190 MeV/c over 300 s. The experiment confirmed this to be a linear ramp with only minute deviations from the quoted start- and end momentum. The threshold for the  $^3\text{He}-\eta$  reaction was reached in the 82<sup>nd</sup> second at 3.1393 GeV/c resolved to better than one second. During this ramp the beam had a momentum resolution of approx.  $10^{-4}$ .

#### 4.6 Electron cooling after acceleration

The TRIC experiment that tries to investigate the violation of the time-reversal-invariance is another experiment that makes high demands on the performance of the accelerator. The objective of this experiment is to measure the change of beam life-time for different polarization states thus modelling the time reversed situation. This foremost requires that the life-time of the beam is as high as possible. Past experiments have suggested that cooling of the beam could lead to a breakthrough for this experiment. While usually cooling is applied at injection energy it would in this case be necessary to apply electron cooling to the proton beam exactly at the momentum chosen to perform the experiment which in this case was 508 MeV/c corresponding to an energy of 129 MeV. To accomplish this the high voltage of the electron cooler had to be raised to 70 kV being still below the initially specified maximum voltage of 100 kV. The resulting reduction of the momentum spread is shown in Fig. 23.




Fig. 23: Reduction of the momentum spread of protons after acceleration to 508 MeV/c with electron cooling, using an electron energy of 70 keV.

One finding of these first tests was that initial beam losses

during cooling were significantly smaller compared to that observed at injection energy due to the reduction in phase space of the beam caused by the acceleration process.

#### 4.7 Spin manipulation of stored beams

The investigations concerning the behavior of stored polarized proton and deuteron beams have been continued. They were performed with vector polarizations of 80% for protons and 70% for deuterons. A record spin preservation of 98.5% was reached for deuterons. The corresponding plot is depicted in Fig. 24.




Fig. 24: The measured vector deuteron polarization ratio at 1850 MeV/c is plotted vs. the number of flips.

#### 4.8 Milestones in beam intensity

The highest possible intensity is an urgent request of many experiments in order to acquire as much data as possible in the allotted time. Therefore, a sustained effort has been underway to remove impediments toward this goal. Several important milestones have been reached. For unpolarized deuterons  $1.7 \cdot 10^{11}$  particles were reached in the upper flat top. A new peak value was reached in case of polarized deuterons with  $2.6 \cdot 10^{10}$  particles at end energy.

#### 4.9 Intensity gains at the polarized source

Another record value was achieved at the colliding beams source itself that provides polarized negatively charged protons and deuterons. The original design value with respect to the achievable polarized beam intensity for protons and deuterons had been  $30 \mu\text{A}$ . For many years that value had been as distant goal and in retrospect would have been unachievable with the original components of the source. It had been, therefore, decided to start an improvement program that would systematically identify any weak point, replace components accordingly, and add

systems needed to diagnose problems. The target was to obtain a system that would stand up to routine operation over many weeks. The principle of operation is to collide a polarized hydrogen beam (deuterium beam) with a neutral Cs-beam having an energy of about 45 keV. In a charge exchange reaction, taking place in a solenoidal magnetic field, negatively charged hydrogen (deuteron) ions are created and accelerated toward the extraction elements. The ions are then bend by  $90^\circ$ , pass a Wien-filter and enter the transporting source beam line that guides them into the cyclotron. Figure 25 shows schematically systems that have been replaced or added to the source during this improvement program.




Fig. 25: Schematic view of the polarized ion source. Components that have been replaced or added during the upgrade program are indicated in red.

A breakthrough decision was to develop a pulsed cesium beam matched to the short injection period of about 10 ms for COSY, virtually eliminating by this the severe sputtering damage that had been a roadblock for reliable operation. The porous tungsten buttons formerly bought from an external supplier had also been a weak link and have been replaced by a part that had been completely developed at the research center, outperforming the original part by a wide margin. The pulsed operation of the cesium gun is controlled via a high voltage electrode. The parameter space for the operation of the gun was carefully mapped to find a setting that delivered a nearly rectangular pulse shape. This was an important prerequisite for the precise transport of this beam, which is strongly governed by space charge effects in the initial phase. The compact but complex design of this pulsed cesium gun is exhibited in Fig. 26.

Beam diagnostics for the cesium beam was added to successfully shape the phase space for optimal overlap with the atomic hydrogen (deuterium) beam. The pulsing concept was further developed to include the atomic beam part as well. This was also crucial to increase the uptime of the ion source. The dissociator producing atomic hydrogen (deuterium) beams is a prime component of the source. In a Pyrex-tube containing the gas, a high frequency discharge breaks the molecular bond. A stream of atomic gas leaves this tube through a nozzle cooled




Fig. 26: Pulsed cesium gun. Only main parts shown.

down to 36 K. If discharge conditions are well tuned a magenta glow is visible in the discharge chamber shown in Fig. 27.




Fig. 27: Glimpse into the discharge chamber of the dissociator. The gas enters from the right and leaves through a cooled nozzle visible on the left side.

The pulsing encompasses the dissociator rf-discharge as well as the gas supply. The latter one comprises three gases. The main gas hydrogen respectively deuterium and small additions of nitrogen and oxygen. For each the exact flow and timing was investigated to obtain optimal performance. Alone for this component 14 parameters have to be adjusted. Difficulties are amplified by the necessity to condition the components for longer time periods as surfaces and vacuum change during the tuning process. This number was of course even higher during the design phase when nozzle length, nozzle temperature, discharge tube, and geometry of the skimmer section had to be optimized.

All of the above give some insight into the complexity of the source although many crucial refinements have not been mentioned here. This is ample evidence that achieving maximum beam intensity and quality requires a detailed insight into the system. Minute variations in

vacuum and surface conditions can significantly alter the overall behavior and require very often a lengthy search of the large parameter space for a new optimum.

The new record value of  $50 \mu\text{A}$  polarized proton beam, reached during a routine beam time, which nearly doubled the original design value of  $30 \mu\text{A}$  is not the result of the optimization of a single component but the result of an optimization process that did not spare any component. Fig. 28 shows the pulse extracted from the source during a December run compared to a rather good pulse of a former setting used in 2001.




Fig. 28: The integrated number of polarized protons in 2005 has more than tripled compared to 2001.

The transport of such a pulse to the exit of the cyclotron is inevitably associated with significant losses. A bar graph in Fig. 29 reveals the situation that was present during this December run.




Fig. 29: The relative beam current in the various stages from the entrance of the source beam line to the exit of the injector cyclotron for polarized  $\text{H}^-$ .

Despite rather high losses inside the cyclotron, which

were due to a temporary bad vacuum inside the main chamber, to which negative ions are very sensitive because of electron stripping, the pulse still contained  $1.9 \cdot 10^{11}$  protons.

To reach peak performance inside COSY not only each component of the polarized ion source has to be optimal but any other component on its way to the experiment like the source beam line, the cyclotron, the low energy beam line, the injection process into COSY, and the following acceleration process. Of course those systems vary in the challenge they pose to the optimization process. Clearly the ion source and the COSY accelerator stand out in this respect. The improvement program that has been started years ago is still a work in progress and holds great promise. It can be expected that it will significantly contribute to the quality of the ongoing physics program also in the future.

More details to the mentioned topics are found in the accompanying CD-ROM of this report.



## 5 Preparations for FAIR

### 5.1 Progress Towards the High Energy Storage Ring of FAIR

The High Energy Storage Ring, HESR is being realized by a consortium formed by the GSI, the Uppsala University, and the IKP of the Forschungszentrum Jülich, which is the leading laboratory of this project. Efforts over a broad range of activities have been intensified to advance the progress towards the HESR. Additional money from the European Union has been acquired, and is being spent in the corresponding FP6-projects. IKP staff members meet regularly with their colleagues of the GSI to synchronize and harmonize all relevant data and technical efforts. A large amount of work has been invested compiling the relevant physical parameters and financial data for the IKP contribution to FAIR. Future user groups (PANDA, PAX, ASSIA) are involved in this process as well. Space requirements and building parameters have been defined and are further processed by the GSI civil construction group. The Baseline Technical Report has been delivered and is being integrated into the FAIR report.

Triggered by letters of intent to the QCD-PAC of FAIR the HESR group was charged with providing a future option of delivering polarized antiprotons. The implications of this option were investigated and the design of the HESR was modified allowing an upgrade to polarized antiproton physics in the future. Furthermore, space has been reserved for an antiproton polarizer ring and for a cooler synchrotron ring for the polarized antiprotons.

Accommodating a FAIR budget ceiling the HESR has been transformed from a dedicated storage ring into a slowly ramping superconducting synchrotron. This allows considerable downsizing of several FAIR components, *e.g.* the SIS100 extraction region, corresponding high energy beam transfer lines, and the injection region of HESR. Injection energy has now been set to 3 GeV.

To allow efficient commissioning of the HESR from the earliest possible moment, independent from the status of the antiproton production target, the new design makes it now possible to fill the HESR either with antiprotons (secondary particle beam) or directly with protons (primary particle beam). This approach allows to provide easy, fast and cheap test beams for the commissioning of HESR and experiments. To fully exploit this feature, injection in both directions (clockwise and counter clockwise) is required.

The two operation modes that have been defined in close dialogue with the future users are summarized in Table 1. The main systems of the HESR are shown in Fig. 30 and are described in the FAIR Baseline Technical Report. Fig. 31 shows the FAIR topology together with the planned buildings.

The design and prototyping of RF equipment, electron cooling device, stochastic cooling system, as well as beam dynamics investigations have been launched within

Table 1: Definition of user modes

high resolution (HR) mode: $10^{10}$ particles	below 8 GeV or 8.9 GeV/c peak luminosity of $2 \cdot 10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ rms momentum spread of about $10^{-5}$
high luminosity (HL) mode: $<10^{11}$ particles	whole energy range peak luminosity of $2 \cdot 10^{32} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ rms momentum spread of about $10^{-4}$




Fig. 30: Schematic layout of the HESR lattice. Equipment for experiment, stochastic and electron cooling, compensation solenoids, and injection are included. Possible locations of a future Antiproton Polariser Ring (APR) and a Cooler Synchrotron Ring (CSR) for polarised antiprotons are indicated.

the FP6 design study DIRAC-Secondary-Beams.

For stochastic cooling the usable frequency range has been fixed to 2–4 GHz. Extensive theoretical studies have been performed, and the model code has been refined. Benchmark experiments are planned at COSY with the existing stochastic cooling system for spring 2006. The corresponding HESR system will be available for the HL mode from injection momentum up to final momentum,




Fig. 31: FAIR topology including buildings. Left hand side: existing GSI facility. The position of HESR is indicated.




Fig. 32: Planned geometry of the electron cooler. Stability of the electron beam is monitored with a particle beam (third outlet of the high voltage tower). Shown are the dimensions for 4.5 MeV. In case of 8 MeV the tank height has to be extended by 3 m. 30 m of installation space in the cooler straight of HESR is required.

Table 2: Summary table of magnet parameters for HESR.

HESR	Magnet	Number of magnets	nc/sc	Magnet design /type	Max. field (T) , gradient (T/m), etc.	Effective length (m)	Bending angle (mrad) /radius (m)	Useable horizontal / vertical aperture (mm)
2.11.2.1	<i>Dipoles</i>	48	sc	costheta	3,6	1,82	130,899/13,7	89
2.11.2.2	<i>Quadrupoles</i>	112	sc	cos2theta	60	0,5	-	89
2.11.2.3	<i>Sextupoles</i>	48	sc	cos3theta	460	0,5	-	89
2.11.2.4	<i>Correctors</i>	112	sc	costheta	0,25	0,2	1/200	89
2.11.2.5	<i>Panda Chicane Dipoles</i>							
2.11.2.5.1	<i>D1</i>	1	nc	H	1,9	3	11,6/26,3	130
2.11.2.5.2	<i>D2</i>	1	nc	H	1,9	2	76/26,3	130
2.11.2.6	<i>Comp. Solenoid PANDA</i>	1	sc	sol.	2	2,5	-	89
2.11.2.7	<i>Comp. Solenoid ECool</i>	2	sc	sol.	2	2,5	-	89
2.11.2.8	<i>Septum Magnet</i>	2 (left+right)	nc	C	0,705	3,85	213/18,1	20/34,7
			<b>Coil radius (cos<sup>θ</sup> magnet), pole gap height or pole radius (iron magnet) (mm)</b>	<b>physical length/width/height (m)</b>	<b>Current (A)</b>	<b>Inductance (mH)</b>	<b>Resistance (Ohm)</b>	<b>Total weight (kg)</b>
2.11.2.1	<i>Dipoles</i>	0,025	100	tbd	5000	12,7	-	48000
2.11.2.2	<i>Quadrupoles</i>	0,42	100	tbd	5000	0,83	-	33600
2.11.2.3	<i>Sextupoles</i>	3,2	100	tbd	5000	0,46	-	16320
2.11.2.4	<i>Correctors</i>	0,0035	100	tbd	tbd	tbd	-	11200
2.11.2.5	<i>Panda Chicane Dipoles</i>							
2.11.2.5.1	<i>D1</i>	tbd	170	3,4/2,2/1,6	3333	86	0,0123	72000
2.11.2.5.2	<i>D2</i>	tbd	170	2,4/2,4/1,6	2628	98	0,012	55000
2.11.2.6	<i>Comp. Solenoid PANDA</i>	tbd	100	tbd	tbd	tbd	-	tbd
2.11.2.7	<i>Comp. Solenoid ECool</i>	tbd	100	tbd	tbd	tbd	-	tbd
2.11.2.8	<i>Septum Magnet</i>	0,035	34,7	4,2/0,26/0,35	1592	3,05	0,0313	2700
* (horizontal x vertical) or diameter if circular								

and an almost energy independent equilibrium momentum resolution of about  $7 \cdot 10^{-5}$  is expected. For lower momenta the influence of partial band overlap will be studied. Transverse stochastic cooling can be adjusted independently from longitudinal cooling to fulfil the required beam-target overlap. Cooling time ranges from 200 s up to 1200 s.

Design and prototyping of new pick-up structures for stochastic cooling focusing on high sensitivity have been started. Hardware studies are carried out in parallel to find the optimum solution for enhanced filter requirements.

Investigations with respect to the two competing electron cooling systems have been brought to a conclusion. In weighing the implications on performance, reliability, and scalability of a horizontal versus vertical electron acceleration column, the vertical system turned out to be superior (see Fig. 32). The findings resulted also in the decision to build the electron cooler on the basis of a pelletron system. The system layout is chosen such that the device can be built in a first stage with an electron acceleration voltage of 4.5 MV, allowing later a practical upgrade to 8 MV operation that would then cover the full HESR energy range.

The acceleration column contains the acceleration tube and the deceleration tube for the electron beam (current up to 1 A). The energy of this beam will be monitored

via a separate H-beam that travels through a third acceleration tube. This particle beam will be analysed by a  $90^\circ$  bending magnet and used to generate a signal stabilizing the gun and collector potentials. The electron beam transport system was studied in detail and basic parameters of the 7 most important magnet types have been derived, which are the basis for several hundred of magnets necessary to operate the electron cooler. A dedicated measurement device is going to be developed to ensure a straightness of  $B$ -field lines of better than  $10^{-5}$  throughout the cooling section.

The physical parameters of the RF cavities have been fixed, and the material for prototyping was ordered. Hardware designs have been completed, and mechanical engineering was started. First experiments for signal synthesis at COSY showed the feasibility of the signal generation.

The HESR design is based on the RHIC-D0-type straight super-conducting dipole magnets of 1.8 m length and a nominal  $B$  field of 3.6 T at a current of 5 kA. A study on the feasibility of a curved dipole magnet of 2.7 m length and about 14 m bending radius has been started to have an alternative dipole magnet design at hand if the fringe field contribution and/or high order multipole components close to the coils needed further minimization. One added advantage would be that the number of magnets could be reduced from 48 to 32. A success of

this magnet design would offer a significantly higher field quality in the arcs. However, the increased time needed for manufacturing the curved magnets would have to be taken into account when comparing their delivery to that of the straight magnets. The lattice design work was successful in reaching a common design for all quadrupole magnets of HESR. They are capable to deliver gradients up to 60 T/m. Thus the high gradient requirements of the straight sections could be satisfied with the same type of quadrupole magnet that will be used in the arcs. The chosen sextupole magnet design has with 460 T/m<sup>2</sup> ample reserve as only 200 T/m<sup>2</sup> are necessary for the chromaticity correction. This allows one to replace where necessary the pure sextupole magnet with a combined function magnet (sextupole / steerer, sextupole / quadrupole, sextupole / octupole, etc.). Magnet parameters are summarized in Table 2.

The orbit compensation scheme for HESR has been designed assuming randomly distributed positioning errors based on the same possible mechanical deviation for all magnets and position monitors. This work is being continued in accordance with the mechanical design progress that will deliver more dependable values for positioning errors.

HESR will be operated at 4.2 K except for 8 subsections of the straights where components requiring room temperature are placed. These include electron cooler, stochastic kicker tanks, injection septum and kicker, and the PANDA insertion. For forced Helium flow the basic parameters of the cryogenic requirements have been outlined. The heat loads and loss rates for the HESR installations have been compiled at the 4.2 K and at the 50 K level. The deduced mass flows are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Summary of the required Helium coolants.

	T [K]	P [Mpa]	$\dot{m}$ [g/s]
Magnet cooling	4.2	0.3	100
Heat shield	50	0.2	245

## 5.2 The PANDA experiment at the GSI

### 5.2.1 Introduction

One major component of the approved Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) at the GSI in Darmstadt is the High Energy Storage Ring (HESR) with the PANDA (Proton ANTiproton Detector Array) experiment. HESR will provide a phase space cooled antiproton beam of unsurpassed quality, precision and intensity. A momenta range up to 15 GeV/c will be covered allowing the detailed study both of the structure of hadrons in the charmonium mass range and the spectroscopy of double hyper nuclei. To serve this wide physics program the general purpose experiment PANDA is currently planned.

The basic concept of the detector is given by its division into two main parts, the central or target spectrometer and the forward spectrometer. This combines a nearly  $4\pi$  coverage in the target region together with a high acceptance of particles emitted at small polar angles in this fixed target kinematics.

The heart of the central spectrometer is a micro vertex detector located directly around the target for extreme precise tracking information. It is surrounded by the central tracker built either of straw tubes (STT) or a time projection chamber (TPC) in the barrel part, and a set of mini drift chambers (MDC) in the forward direction. Particle identification is done by two ring imaging Cherenkov counters surrounded by a compact electromagnetic calorimeter made out of  $\text{PbWO}_4$  crystals. The entire system is situated in a 2 T solenoidal magnet which is covered outside with detectors for muon identification and tracking.

The forward spectrometer consists of a 2 T-m dipole magnet with a set of multiwire drift chambers (MuDC) for tracking, a RICH detector for particle identification, calorimeters for charged and neutral particles and a layer of muon counters.

The ongoing activities of the IKP for the PANDA detector are focused in three parts:

- the micro vertex detector
- the straw tube tracker
- the simulation of the tracking detectors

### 5.2.2 Micro vertex detector

The Micro Vertex Detector (MVD) plays a key role in the PANDA experiment to identify open charm and strangeness by detecting secondary decays of particles displaced from the primary interaction point. These decay lengths range from few 100  $\mu\text{m}$  for charmed mesons and baryons up to several cm for strange hadrons. Main focus of the work of the last year was the optimization of the design concerning the demanding requirements, like high track density in forward direction, radiation background and low material budget. The result can be seen in Fig. 33. Altogether four sensitive layers are foreseen

in the barrel part and six in the disk part covering the forward region. Due to the high occupancy and the radiation dose close to the interaction point the two innermost barrel layers and all inner parts of the disks have to be pixel detectors. For the outer layers strip detectors will be used taking advantage of their smaller material budget and fewer readout channels.




Fig. 33: Schematic view of the micro vertex detector, for visibility parts of some layers are omitted.

Extensive simulation studies have been carried out to evaluate the different design options and to obtain the optimal layout combining high efficient track and vertex finding capabilities with the smallest amount of material and readout channels. Some of these simulation results can be seen in Fig. 34 obtained for  $10^7$   $\bar{p}p$ -annihilations per sec. at 15 GeV/c.

Figure 34 (a) shows for silicon the expected annual radiation damage in terms of 1 MeV n fluence. The most exposed detectors have to sustain a radiation level of several  $10^{13}$   $\text{n}_{\text{eq}}\text{cm}^{-2}$  per year. Although this is roughly by a factor 5-6 less than the innermost LHC silicon detectors have to deal with it is still a fluence high enough requiring radiation tolerant technologies everywhere inside the MVD.

Figure 34 (b) refers to the material distribution inside the MVD volume taking all silicon components and part of the support structures into account. For all azimuth angles the radiation length of the total MVD is below 5% which limits the multi scattering of low momentum particles to reach the desired high precision tracking. In Fig. 34 (c) the number of hits per particle track is plotted showing an average of about 4-5 hits per track for nearly the entire acceptance volume. Only small holes in the acceptance due to the target pipe are visible.

Finally the simulated  $dE/dx$  for protons, kaons and pions in Fig. 34 (d) shows the possibility of using the charge information of the MVD for particle identification. For instance the MVD can contribute significantly to the pion-kaon separation below a momentum of 600 MeV/c if the silicon detectors measure the deposited charge with a reasonable resolution of about  $1,000 e^-$ .

Furthermore the detailed evaluation of existing technolo-




Fig. 34: Selected simulation results for  $10^7$   $\bar{p}p$ -annihilations per sec. at 15 GeV/c with the PANDA MVD .

gies for the pixel part of the MVD is ongoing and especially the frond-end chips of the ATLAS and BTeV experiments are promising candidates for an usage in PANDA. Therefore in close collaboration with the ZEL a flexible, modular and free programmable readout system based on modern PCI and high speed optical data transmission technologies is currently under preparation.

### 5.2.3 Straw tube tracker

The main tracking element of the Panda target spectrometer is the Central Tracker (CT) which occupies a region inside the superconducting solenoid starting at a radial distance of 15 cm from the beam line, up to 42 cm. Along the beam ( $z$ ) this region extends from 40 cm upstream to 110 cm downstream of the target. One of the proposed detector technologies currently under investigation for the CT is a straw tube tracker (STT). It consists of 11 double-layers of 150 cm long straw tubes which are able to handle the high rate of  $10^7$  events per second with a multiplicity of 4–6 charged particles per event with a spatial resolution of  $150 \mu\text{m}$  in both  $x$  and  $y$  directions. Main focus of the current R&D for the STT done at IKP is the investigation of an alternative method to reconstruct

the  $z$ -coordinate along the beam axis. Usually this information is gained by tilting the different layers by  $2^\circ$  to  $3^\circ$  towards each other. Another approach uses preamplifiers at both ends of the tube to calculate the  $z$ -coordinate of the track either by the amplitude ratio or the time difference between the two signals. Tremendous progress has been achieved during the last year showing the feasibility of both techniques although the measured resolutions do not yet match the PANDA requirements of several mm.

It turns out that for the time difference technique a simple discriminator followed by a TDC readout is sufficient to reach  $z$ -resolutions of 20–80 mm depending on the position along the straw axis, see Fig. 35 (a). For the charge amplitude technique a simple charge division approach leads to relatively poor results. But an improvement can be achieved by taking into the account the deformation of the signal shape due to timing effects caused by different signal propagation times ('time dependent asymmetry'). A reconstruction method was developed which uses this asymmetry to increase the accuracy for the  $z$ -measurement. As one can see in Fig. 35 (b) for a full size PANDA prototype straw a resolution of 30–70 mm has been reached. Although the results are encouraging the required  $z$ -resolution are failed by at least a factor of 3 so




Fig. 35: Resolutions along the straw axis illuminated with  $^{90}\text{Sr}/^{90}\text{Y}$  using different z-position reconstruction techniques for a double sided readout; the two measurements in the left plot represent two settings of the preamplifiers.

more effort is needed to improve further the accuracy of the z reconstruction.

## 5.2.4 Simulations

Of general importance are Monte Carlo simulations of the detector which demonstrate if the proposed detector setup can fulfill the physics cases the detector is planned for. On the one hand comparisons between some detector configurations should give a rough indication for an ideal detector design as shown in Sect. 5.2.2, on the other hand several benchmark channels are selected which cover the most relevant physic topics. In particular also channels are chosen where PANDA can contribute significantly because of its unique properties. As an example the channel  $\bar{p}p \rightarrow D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+ D_{sJ}^*(2317)^-$  is discussed here.

The narrow mesonic state  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+$  originally discovered by BARBAR is identified as a scalar  $c\bar{s}$ -system with a significantly smaller mass and width than expected. BARBAR however, could only give an upper limit for this width which is smaller than 4.6 MeV whereas the theoretical predictions range from 10 to 200 keV. PANDA with the high quality HESR antiproton beam can solve this problem by measuring the excitation function of the reaction close to threshold. If the relative momentum spread of the incident beam is of the order  $10^{-4}$  or better the cross section near threshold depends strongly on the energy and the width of the state, as one can see in Fig. 36.

Therefore a width measurement with an precision of about 100 keV seems possible. Simulation studies using the PANDA simulation framework done at the IKP have shown that the  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+$  mesonic state can be observed as a narrow resonance in the  $D^* \pi^0$  invariant mass spectrum although the  $\pi^0$  identification suffers from the huge combinatorial background due to electromagnetic split-offs.




Fig. 36: Energy dependence of the simulated  $D_{sJ}^*(2317)^+ D_{sJ}^*(2317)^-$  cross section for  $10^{-5}$  relative momentum spread of the antiproton beam.

## 5.3 The PAX Project: Towards Polarized Antiprotons and QCD Spin Physics at GSI

The possibility to investigate the nucleon structure via double-spin asymmetries in polarized proton-antiproton reactions at the HESR ring of FAIR at GSI has been suggested by the PAX collaboration in 2004. Since then, there has been much progress, both in understanding the physics potential of such an enterprise and in studying the feasibility of efficiently producing polarized antiprotons. The physics program of such a polarized-antiproton facility would extend to a new domain the exceptionally fruitful studies of the nucleon structure performed in unpolarized and polarized deep-inelastic scattering (DIS), which have been in the focus of high energy physics during the

past four decades. It suffices to mention here the unique possibility of a direct measurement of the transversity distribution function  $h_1$ , one of the last missing fundamental pieces in the QCD description of the nucleon. In the available kinematic domain of the proposed experiment, which covers the valence region, the Drell-Yan double transverse spin asymmetry was recently predicted to be as large as 30%. Other novel tests of QCD at such a facility include the polarized elastic hard scattering of antiprotons on protons and the measurement of the phases of the time-like form factors of the proton.

For more than two decades, physicists have tried to produce beams of polarized antiprotons, generally without much success. Conventional methods like atomic beam sources (ABS), appropriate for the production of polarized protons and heavy ions cannot be applied, since antiprotons annihilate with matter. Polarized antiprotons have been produced from the decay in flight of  $\bar{\Lambda}$  hyperons at Fermilab. The intensities achieved with antiproton polarizations  $P > 0.35$  never exceeded  $1.5 \cdot 10^5 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Scattering of antiprotons off a liquid hydrogen target could yield polarizations of  $P \approx 0.2$ , with beam intensities of up to  $2 \cdot 10^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Unfortunately, both approaches do not allow efficient accumulation in a storage ring, which would greatly enhance the luminosity. Spin splitting using the Stern-Gerlach separation of the given magnetic substates in a stored antiproton beam was proposed in 1985. Although the theoretical understanding has much improved since then, spin splitting using a stored beam has yet to be observed experimentally.

In contrast to the above, a convincing proof of the spin-filtering principle has been produced by the FILTEX experiment at the TSR-ring in Heidelberg. However, the experimental basis for predicting the polarization buildup in a stored antiproton beam is practically non-existent. The AD-ring at CERN constitutes a unique facility at which stored antiprotons are available and whose characteristics meet the requirements for the first ever antiproton polarization buildup studies. In the framework of the PAX project, the collaboration, therefore, recently suggested to study the polarization buildup in an antiproton beam at the AD-ring at CERN at energies in the range from 50–200 MeV. The scientific objectives of this experiment are twofold. The polarization buildup by spin filtering of stored antiprotons via multiple passage through a polarized internal hydrogen gas target gives direct access to the spin dependence of the antiproton-proton total cross sections. Therefore, spin-filtering studies using stored antiprotons are of highest priority for the PAX collaboration. Recent estimates of the event rates (see article by M. Tabidze *et al.* on the attached CD) suggest that the antiproton beam polarimetry can be accomplished on the basis of the detection of  $\bar{p}p$  elastic scattering. When the experimental data base will be available once the AD experiments are completed, the final design of a dedicated Antiproton Polarizer Ring (APR) can be targeted. Recently, a machine study of an APR running at the anticipated beam energy around 250 MeV has been carried out

(see article by A. Garishvili *et al.* on the attached CD).

In addition, the PAX collaboration is planning to carry out a few dedicated spin filtering experiments with electron-cooled protons at the Cooler Synchrotron COSY at Jülich, Germany. The HERMES polarized internal gas target has become available, because for the remainder of the HERA running time, HERMES will use an unpolarized target, thus the PAX collaboration is planning to utilize the target for the AD and COSY spin-filtering studies. The experiments with protons will enhance our general understanding of spin filtering and will allow us to commission the additional equipment needed for the spin-filtering experiments at the AD.

The confirmation of the polarization buildup of antiprotons would pave the way to high-luminosity double-polarized antiproton-proton colliders, which would provide a unique access to transverse spin physics in the hard QCD regime. Such a collider has been proposed recently by the PAX Collaboration for the new Facility for Antiproton and Ion Research (FAIR) at GSI in Darmstadt, Germany, aiming at luminosities of  $10^{31} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ . An integral part of such a machine is a dedicated large-acceptance Antiproton Polarizer Ring.

To summarize, we note that the storage of polarized antiprotons at HESR will open unique possibilities to test QCD in hitherto unexplored domains. This will provide another cornerstone to the QCD physics program with antiprotons at FAIR.

## A Councils

### A.1 Scientific Council

Prof. J.P. Blaizot	CEA Saclay, FR	
Prof. P. Braun-Munzinger	GSI Darmstadt, DE	Chairperson
Prof. D.F. Geesaman	Argonne National Laboratory, U.S.A.	
Prof. H. Halling	ZEL, FZ-Jülich, DE	
Prof. M. Harakeh	KVI Groningen, NL (Chairman PAC)	
Prof. D. von Harrach	Universität Mainz, DE	
Prof. E. Hilger	Universität Bonn, DE	
Prof. Y. Nagai	RCNP Osaka, JP	
Prof. A.W. Thomas	Thomas Jefferson National Lab, U.S.A.	
Dr. D. Trines	DESY Hamburg, DE	

### A.2 Program Advisory Committee

Prof. H. Freiesleben	TU Dresden, DE	
Prof. B. Friman	GSI Darmstadt, DE	
Prof. M. Garçon	CEA Saclay, FR	
Prof. M.N. Harakeh	KVI Groningen, NL	Chairperson
Prof. T. Johansson	Uppsala Universitet, SE	
Prof. R. Landua	CERN, CH	
Prof. V. Metag	Universität Gießen, DE	
Prof. W. Meyer	Universität Bochum, DE	
Prof. W.T.H. van Oers	University of Manitoba, CA	
Prof. E. Oset	Universitat de Valencia, ES	
Prof. E. Radermacher	CERN, CH	
Prof. C. Schaerf	INFN Roma II, IT	

## B Personnel

### B.1 Scientific Staff

Msc. M.-M. Abdel-Bary (E1)  
Dr. Abdel Samad (E1) (until 31 August, 2005)  
DP S. An (GG)  
Prof. Dr. G. Baur (TH) (a.o. Prof. Univ. Basel)  
Dr. U. Bechstedt (GG)  
Dr. K. Bongardt (GG)  
DI N. Bongers (GG)  
DI W. Borgs (E2)  
DI W. Bräutigam (GG) (until 30 April, 2005)  
DI R. Brings (GG)  
Dr. habil. M. Büscher (E2) (PD Univ. Köln)  
Dr. R. Castelijns (E1) (since 1 October, 2005)  
DP A. Chechenin (GG) (since 24 October, 2005)  
DP D. Chiladze (E2)  
DP R. Czyzykiewicz (E1) (until 7 October, 2005)  
Dr. habil. J. Dietrich (GG) (PD Univ. Dortmund)  
Dr. A. Djalois (E1)  
DP A. Dzyuba (E2)  
Dr. R. Eichhorn (GG)  
Dr. R. Engels (E2)  
DI F.-J. Etz Korn (GG)  
M. Evers (TH) (since 1 March, 2005)  
Dr. P. Fedorets (E2)  
Dr. O. Felden (Rs)  
D. Gamermann (TH) (until 31 March, 2005)  
Dr. W. Gast (E1)  
Dr. R. Gebel (GG)  
Dr. habil. A. Gillitzer (E1) (PD Univ. Bonn)  
Dr. habil. F. Goldenbaum (E1) (PD Univ. Wuppertal)  
Dr. habil. D. Gotta (E2) (PD Univ. Köln)  
Dr. F. Grümmer (TH)  
Dr. D. Grzonka (E1)  
DI W. Günther (GG) (since 15 September, 2005)  
Dr. habil. J. Haidenbauer (TH) (PD Univ. Graz)  
Dr. habil. C. Hanhart (TH) (PD Univ. Bonn)  
Dr. M. Hartmann (E2)  
Dr. V. Hejny (E2)  
DI K. Henn (GG)  
Dr. F. Hügging (E1) (since 1 September, 2005)  
M. Janusz (E2) (since 1 December, 2005)  
B. Jany (E2) (since 15 November, 2005)  
Dr. V. Kamerdjiev (GG)  
DP I. Keshelashvili (E2)  
DP D. Kirillov (GG)  
Prof. Dr. S. Krewald (TH) (apl. Prof. Univ. Bonn)  
Th. Krings (Dt)  
DI K. Kruck (GG)  
Dr. A. Lehrach (GG)  
DP V. Lensky (TH)  
DP V. Leontyev (E2)  
DP M. Lesiak (E1)  
Dr. B. Lorentz (GG)  
Prof. Dr. H. Machner (E1) (Prof. Univ. Duisburg-Essen)  
Dr. Y. Maeda (E2)  
Prof. Dr. R. Maier (GG) (Prof. Univ. Bonn)  
Dr. S. Martin (GG)  
DP E. Matveev (GG) (until 5 September, 2005)  
Prof. Dr. U.-G. Meißner (TH) (Prof. Univ. Bonn)  
DI I. Mohos (GG)  
Dr. H.-P. Morsch (E1)  
DP A. Mussgiller (E2) (until 30 November, 2005)  
Dr. M. Nekipelov (E2)  
Prof. Dr. N.N. Nikolaev (TH) (Prof. Moscow State Univ.)  
Dr. A. Nogga (TH)  
Dr. K. Nünighoff (E1) (until 14 September, 2005)  
Prof. Dr. W. Oelert (E1) (apl. Prof. Univ. Bochum)  
Dr. H. Ohm (E2)  
DI N. Paul (E1)  
DP F. Pavlov (TH)  
DP B. Piskor-Ignatowicz (E1)  
DP L. Platter (TH) (until 30 April, 2005)  
DP P. Podkopal (E2) (since 1 October 2005)  
Dr. H. Polinder (TH)  
Dr. D. Prasuhn (GG)  
DP D. Protic (Dt)  
Dr. habil. F. Rathmann (E2) (PD Univ. Erlangen)  
Ch.F. Redmer (E1) (since 1 December, 2005)

DI A. Richert (GG)  
Prof. Dr. J. Ritman (E1) (Prof. Univ. Bochum)  
Dr. E. Roderburg (E1)  
Dr. P. v. Rossen (GG)  
DP T. Rozek (E1) (until 31 December, 2005)  
DI J. Sarkadi (Ec)  
DP P. Saviankou (TH)  
Dr. H. Schaal (E1)  
Dr. habil. S. Schadmand (E1)  
Dr. R. Schleichert (E2)  
Dr.-Ing. A. Schnase (GG)  
DI H. Schneider (GG)  
DI G. Schug (GG)  
Dr. Th. Sefzick (Ec)  
DI E. Senicheva (GG)  
Dr. Y. Senichev (GG)  
DI M. Simon (GG)  
DP A. Sokolov (E1)  
Dr. R. Stassen (GG)  
Dr. H.-J. Stein (E2) (until 31 October, 2005)  
Dr. H. Stockhorst (GG)

Dr. T. Stockmanns (E1)  
DP Th. Strauch (E2) (until 14 October, 2005)  
Prof. Dr. H. Ströher (E2) (Prof. Univ. Köln)  
Dr. R. Tölle (GG)  
M. Träger (E1) (from 15 March to 14 September, 2005)  
DP A. Ucar (E1) (until 14 September, 2005)  
DP Y. Valdau (E2)  
DI T. Vashegyi (GG)  
DP N. Vasiukhin (GG)  
DP P. Vlasov (E1)  
Dr. K.-H. Watzlawik (E2) (until 30 June, 2005)  
D. Welsch (GG) (since 1 October, 2005)  
DP P. Winter (E1) (until 30 September, 2005)  
Dr. P. Wintz (E1)  
Dr. habil. A. Wirzba (TH) (PD Univ. Bonn)  
DI J.-D. Witt (GG)  
Dr. M. Wolke (E2) (since 1 October, 2005)  
L. Yurev (E2) (since 10 October, 2005)  
Dr. E. Zaplatin (GG)  
DP D. Z. Zhang (E1)

## B.2 Technical and Administrative Staff

C. Berchem (Ec)  
P. Birx (GG)  
M. Böhnke (GG)  
J. Borsch (Rs)  
P. Brittner (GG)  
J. But (Ws)  
M. Comuth (Ad)  
B. Dahmen (GG)  
C. Deliege (GG)  
W. Derissen (Cd)  
N. Dolfus (Ec)  
G. D'Orsaneo (E2)  
R. Dosedall (E1)  
R. Enge (GG)  
B. Erkes (GG)  
W. Ernst (Ec)  
K. Esser (Ad)  
H.-P. Faber (GG)  
G. Fiori (Dt)  
H.-W. Firmenich (Ws)  
N. Gad (GG)  
D. Gehsing (GG) († 16 November, 2005)  
J. Göbbels (Rs)  
H. Hadamek (Ws)  
R. Hecker (GG)  
E. Heßler (Cd)  
M. Holona (Ws)  
H.-M. Jäger (E1)  
H. J. Jansen (Ws)  
M. Karnadi (E2)  
A. Kieven (GG)  
M. Klöcker (GG) (from 1 March to 30 November, 2005)  
K. Krafft (Rs)  
Ch. Krahe (Ws)  
M. Kremer (Ws)  
G. Krol (GG)  
M. Küven (Ws)  
K.-G. Langenberg (GG)  
G. Lürken (Ec) (until 28 February, 2005)  
H. Metz (Dt)  
S. Müller (Ad)  
T. Naali (GG) (until 31 March, 2005)  
R. Nellen (Ec)  
St. Nießen (Dt) (since 1 November, 2005)  
H. Pütz (GG)  
G. Roes (Ad)  
N. Rotert (GG)  
D. Ruhrig (GG)  
T. Sagefka (GG)  
R. Schäfer (Cd) (until 31 December, 2005)  
F. Scheiba (GG)  
H. Schiffer (Ec)  
J. Schmitz (GG)  
F. Schultheiß (Ws)  
K. Schwill (Dt)  
H. Singer (GG)  
D. Spölggen (E2)  
G. Sterzenbach (E1)  
J. Strehl (Ws)  
R. Stumm (E1) (since 28 February, 2005)  
J. Uehlemann (E1)  
P. Wieder (E2)  
Th. Willems (E2) (since 24 February, 2005)  
J. Wimmer (E1)  
H. Zens (GG)

Ad = Administration  
Cd = Construction  
Dt = Detectors  
E1 = IKP-1  
E2 = IKP-2  
Ec = Electronics  
GG = Accelerator Division  
Rs = Radiation Safety  
TH = Theory  
Ws = Workshop

## C Teaching Positions

Institute	Name	University
IKP-1	Dr. habil. A. Gillitzer	Bonn
	Dr. habil. F Goldenbaum	Wuppertal
	Prof. Dr. H. Machner	Essen
	Prof. Dr. W. Oelert	Bochum
	Prof. Dr. J. Ritman	Bochum
IKP-2	Dr. habil. M. Büscher	Köln
	Dr. habil. D. Gotta	Köln
	Dr. habil. F. Rathmann	Erlangen-Nürnberg
	Prof. Dr. H. Ströher	Köln
Theory	Prof. Dr. G. Baur	Basel
	Dr. habil. J. Haidenbauer	Graz
	Dr. habil. C. Hanhart	Bonn
	Prof. Dr. S. Krewald	Bonn
	Prof. Dr. U.-G. Meißner	Bonn
	Prof. Dr. N.N. Nikolaev	Moscow
	Dr. habil. A. Wirzba	Bonn
GG	Dr. habil. J. Dietrich	Dortmund
	Dr. A. Lehrach	Bonn
	Prof. Dr. R. Maier	Bonn

## D Publications 2005

### 1. Experiment

1. Abdel-Bary, M.; Budzanowski, A.; Chatterjee, A.; Ernst, J.; Hawranek, P.; Hinterberger, F.; Jha, V.; Kilian, K.; Kliczewski, S.; Kirillov, D.; Kolev, D.; Kravcikova, M.; Kutsarova, T.; Lesiak, M.; Lieb, J.; Machner, H.; Magiera, A.; Maier, R.; Martinska, G.; Nedev, S.; Niskanen, J.; Piskunov, N.; Prasuhn, D.; Protic, D.; von Rossen, P.; Roy, B. J.; Sitnik, I.; Siudak, R.; Smiechowicz, M.; Tsenov, R.; Ulicny, M.; Urban, J.; Vankova, G.; Wilkin, C.  
**Detailed comparison of the  $pp \rightarrow \pi^+ pn$  and  $pp \rightarrow \pi^+ d$  reactions at 951 MeV**  
*Physics Letters B*, **610** (2005), 31
2. Abdel-Bary, M.; Budzanowski, A.; Chatterjee, A.; Ernst, J.; Hawranek, P.; Jahn, R.; Jha, V.; Kilian, K.; Kliczewski, S.; Kirillov, D.; Kolev, D.; Kravcikova, M.; Kutsarova, T.; Lesiak, M.; Lieb, J.; Machner, H.; Magiera, A.; Maier, R.; Martinska, G.; Nedev, S.; Piskunov, N.; Prasuhn, D.; Protic, D.; von Rossen, P.; Roy, B. J.; Sitnik, I.; Siudak, R.; Smiechowicz, M.; Stein, H. J.; Tsenov, R.; Ulicny, M.; Urban, J.; Vankova, G.; Wilkin, C.  
**A precision determination of the mass of the  $\eta$  meson**  
*Physics Letters B*, **619** (2005), 281 – 287
3. Abdel-Bary, M.; Abdel-Samad, S.; Kilian, K.  
**2m heat pipe-cryogenic targets for COSY-TOF experiment**  
*Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A*, **551** (2005), 236 – 244
4. Abdel-Bary, M.; Abdel-Samad, S.; Kilian, K.  
**A very light and thin liquid hydrogen/deuterium heat pipe target for COSY experiments**  
*Cryogenics*, **45** (2005), 489 – 495
5. Abdel-Bary, M.; Abdel-Samad, S.; Kilian, K.  
**Automatic control system for the COSY-TOF vacuum system**  
*Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A*, **539** (2005), 93 – 99
6. Abdel-Bary, M.; Abdel-Samad, S.; Dolfus, N.; Kilian, K.; Sefzick, T.  
**Implosion monitor for vacuum systems**  
*Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A*, **538** (2005), 154 – 158
7. Abdel-Samad, S.; Abdel-Bary, M.; Kilian, K.  
**Residual gas analysis in the TOF vacuum system**  
*Vacuum*, **78** (2005), 83 – 89
8. Abdel-Samad, S.; Abdel-Bary, M.; Kilian, K.; Ritman, J.  
**Deuterium heat pipes-cryogenic targets for COSY experiments**  
*Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A*, **550** (2005), 61 – 69
9. Adam, H. H.; Khoukaz, A.; Lang, N.; Lister, T.; Santo, R.; Steltenkamp, S.; Czyzykiewicz, R.; Janusz, M.; Jarczyk, L.; Kamys, B.; Moskal, P.; Piskor-Igantowicz, C.; Przerwa, J.; Smyrski, J.; Grzonka, D.; Kilian, K.; Oelert, W.; Sefzick, T.; Winter, P.; Wolke, M.; Wüstner, P.; Budzanowski, A.; Rozek, T.; Siemaszko, M.; Zipper, W.  
**New results on  $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$  production from threshold up to  $Q = 40$  MeV**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 643
10. Ahrens, J.; Alexeev, V. M.; Annand, J. R. M.; Arends, H. J.; Beck, R.; Caselotti, G.; Cherepnaya, S.N.; Drechsel, D.; Filkov, L.V.; Föhl, K.; Giller, I.; Grabmayr, P.; Hehl, T.; Hornidge, D.; Kashevarov, V.L.; Kotulla, M.; Krambrich, D.; Krusche, B.; Lang, M.; MacGeorge, J. C.; MacGregor, I. J. D.; Metag, V.; Moinester, M.; Novotny, R.; Pfeiffer, M.; Rost, M.; Schadmand, S.; Scherer, S.; Thomas, A.; Unkmeir, C.; Walcher, Th.  
**Measurement of the  $\pi^+$  meson polarizabilities via the  $\gamma p \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ n$  reaction**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **23** (2005), 113
11. Altmeier, M.; Bauer, F.; Bisplinghoff, J.; Büßer, K.; Busch, M.; Colberg, T.; Demirörs, L.; Engelhardt, H. P.; Eversheim, P. D.; Eyser, K. O.; Felden, O.; Gebel, R.; Glende, M.; Greiff, J.; Hinterberger, F.; Jonas, E.; Krause, H.; Lindemann, T.; Lindlein, J.; Lorentz, B.; Maier, R.; Maschuw, R.; Meinerzhagen, A.; Prasuhn, D.; Rohdjeß, H.; Rosendaal, D.; von Rossen, P.; Schirm, N.; Schwarz, V.; Scobel, W.; Trelle, H. J.; Ulbrich, K.; Weise, E.; Wellinghausen, A.; Ziegler, R.  
**Excitation functions of the analyzing power in elastic proton-proton scattering from 0.45 to 2.5 GeV**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **23** (2005), 2, 351 – 364

12. Anagnostopoulos, D. F.; Biri, S.; Gotta, D.; Gruber, A.; Indelicato, P.; Leoni, B.; Fuhrmann, H.; Simons, L. M.; Stingelin, L.; Wasser, A.; Zmeskal, J.  
**On the characterization of a Bragg spectrometer with X-rays from an ECR source**  
*Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A*, **545** (2005), 217
13. Bartholomy, O.; Crede, V.; Anisovich, A.; Anton, G.; Bantes, R.; Beloglazov, Y.A.; Bogendoerfer, R.; Ehmans, A.; Ernst, J.; Fabry, I.; Flemming, H.; Fosel, A.; Freiesleben, H.; Fuchs, M.; Funke, C.; Gothe, R.; Gridnev, A.B.; Gutz, E.; Hoeffgen, S.; Horn, I.; Hossel, J.; Joosten, R.; Junkersfeld, J.; Kalinowsky, H.; Klein, F.; Klempt, E.; Koch, H.; Konrad, M.; Kopf, B.; Krusche, B.; Langheinrich, J.; Loehner, H.; Lopatin, I.V.; Lotz, J.; Matthay, G. G.; Menze, D.; Messchendorp, J. G.; Morales, C.; Novinski, D.; Ostrick, M.; van Pee, H.; Radkov, J. O.; Reinnarth, J.; Sarantsev, A.; Schadmand, S.; Schmidt, C.; Schmieden, H.; Schoch, B.; Suft, G.; Sumachev, V.V.; Szczepanek, T.; Thoma, U.; Walther, D.; Weinheimer, Ch.  
**Neutral-pion photoproduction off protons in the energy range  $0.3 \text{ GeV} < E_\gamma < 3 \text{ GeV}$**   
*Physical Review Letters*, **94** (2005), 012003
14. Bauer, F.; Bisplinghoff, J.; Büsser, K.; Busch, M.; Colberg, T.; Dahl, C.; Demirörs, L.; Eversheim, P. D.; Eysler, K. O.; Felden, O.; Gebel, R.; Greiff, J.; Hinterberger, F.; Jonas, E.; Krause, H.; Lehmann, C.; Lindlein, J.; Maier, R.; Meinerzhagen, A.; Pauly, C.; Prasuhn, D.; Rohdjess, H.; Rosendaal, D.; Rossen, P.; Schirm, N.; Scobel, W.; Ulbrich, K.; Weise, E.; Wolf, T.; Ziegler, R.  
**Excitation functions of spin correlation parameters ANN, ASS, and ASL in elastic  $\bar{p}p$  scattering between 0.45 and 2.5 GeV**  
*Physical Review C*, **71** (2005), 054002
15. Brinkmann, K.; Abdel-Bary, M.; Abdel-Samad, S.; Clement, H.; Doroshkevich, E.; Dshemuchadse, S.; Dutz, H.; Ehrhardt, K.; Erhardt, A.; Eyrich, W.; Filippi, A.; Freiesleben, H.; Fritsch, M.; Georgi, J.; Gillitzer, C. G.; Gonser, M. A.; Jäkel, R.; Karsch, L.; Kilian, K.; Koch, H.; Kreß, J.; Kuhlmann, E.; Marcello, S.; Meyer, W.; Michel, P.; Morsch, H. P.; Möller, K.; Mörtel, H.; Naumann, L.; Pinna, L.; Pizzolotto, L.; Roderburg, E.; Schamlott, A.; Schönmeier, P.; Schröder, W.; Schuler-Wissermann, M.; Sefzick, T.; Steinke, M.; Stinzinger, F.; Sun, G. Y.; Ucar, A.; Ullrich, W.; Wagner, G. J.; Wagner, M.; Wilms, A.; Wintz, P.; Wirth, S.; Wüstner, P.; Zupranski, P.  
**Vector Meson Production in Collisions of Nucleons**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 427
16. Büscher, M.  
**Strangeness Production at COSY**  
*Nuclear Physics A*, **754** (2005), 231c – 242c
17. Crede, V.; Bartholomy, O.; Anisovich, A.; Anton, G.; Bantes, R.; Beloglazov, Y.A.; Bogendoerfer, R.; Ehmans, A.; Ernst, J.; Fabry, I.; Flemming, H.; Fosel, A.; Freiesleben, H.; Fuchs, M.; Funke, C.; Gothe, R.; Gridnev, A.B.; Gutz, E.; Hoeffgen, S.; Horn, I.; Hossel, J.; Joosten, R.; Junkersfeld, J.; Kalinowsky, H.; Klein, F.; Klempt, E.; Koch, H.; Konrad, M.; Kopf, B.; Krusche, B.; Langheinrich, J.; Loehner, H.; Lopatin, I.V.; Lotz, J.; Matthay, G. G.; Menze, D.; Messchendorp, J. G.; Morales, C.; Novinski, D.; Ostrick, M.; van Pee, H.; Radkov, J. O.; Reinnarth, J.; Sarantsev, A.; Schadmand, S.; Schmidt, C.; Schmieden, H.; Schoch, B.; Suft, G.; Sumachev, V.V.; Szczepanek, T.; Thoma, U.; Walther, D.; Weinheimer, Ch.  
**Photoproduction of  $\eta$  mesons off protons for  $0.75 \text{ GeV} < E_\gamma < 3 \text{ GeV}$**   
*Physical Review Letters*, **94** (2005), 012004
18. Czyzykiewicz, R.; Adam, H. H.; Budzanowski, A.; Grzonka, D.; Janusz, M.; Jarczyk, L.; Kamys, B.; Khoukaz, A.; Kilian, K.; Klaja, P.; Kowina, P.; Moskal, P.; Oelert, W.; Piskor-Igantowicz, C.; Przerwa, J.; Rozek, T.; Santo, R.; Sefzick, T.; Siemaszko, M.; Smyrski, J.; Täschner, A.; Winter, P.; Wolke, M.; Wüstner, P.; Zipper, W.  
**Production of  $\eta$  meson in proton-proton collisions**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 708

19. Engels, R.; Emmerich, R.; Grigoryev, K.; Paetz gen. Schieck, H.; Ley, J.; Mikirtychians, M.; Rathmann, F.; Sarkadi, J.; Seyfarth, H.; Tenckhoff, G.; Vasilyev, A. Background reduction by a getter pump around the ionization volume of a  
**Lamb-shift polarimeter and possible improvements of polarized ion sources**  
*Review of Scientific Instruments*, **76** (2005), 053305
20. Engels, R.; Emmerich, R.; Ley, J.; Paetz gen. Schieck, H.; Mikirtychians, M.; Rathmann, F.; Sarkadi, J.; Seyfarth, H.; Ullrich, T.; Vassiliev, A.  
**Nuclear Polarization Measurement of H/D Atoms Extracted from a Storage Cell with a Lamb-shift Polarimeter**  
*Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A*, **536** (2005), 3, 334 – 337
21. Eyrich, W.; Brinkmann, K.; Abdel-Bary, M.; Abdel-Samad, S.; Clement, H.; Doroshkevich, E.; Dshemuchadse, S.; Dutz, H.; Ehrhardt, K.; Erhardt, A.; Filippi, A.; Freiesleben, H.; Fritsch, M.; Georgi, J.; Gillitzer, C. G.; Gonser, M. A.; Jäkel, R.; Karsch, L.; Kilian, K.; Koch, H.; Kreß, J.; Kuhlmann, E.; Marcello, S.; Meyer, W.; Michel, P.; Morsch, H. P.; Möller, K.; Mörtel, H.; Naumann, L.; Pinna, L.; Pizzolotto, L.; Roderburg, E.; Schamlott, A.; Schönmeier, P.; Schröder, W.; Schuler-Wissermann, M.; Sefzick, T.; Steinke, M.; Stinzing, F.; Sun, G. Y.; Ucar, A.; Ullrich, W.; Wagner, G. J.; Wagner, M.; Wilms, A.; Wintz, P.; Wirth, S.; Wüstner, P.; Zupranski, P.  
**COSY-TOF Research on  $\Theta^+$**   
*Acta Physica Polonica B*, **36** (2005), 2189
22. Gillitzer, C. G.; Grzonka, D.  
**Strangeness Physics with the WASA Detector at COSY**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 539
23. Hartmann, M.; Maeda, Y.; Keshelashvili, I.; Koch, H. R.; Mikirtichyants, S.; Barsov, S.; Borgs, W.; Büscher, M.; Hejny, V.; Kleber, V.; Koptev, V.; Kulesa, P.; Mersmann, T.; Mussgiller, A.; Ohm, H.; Pysz, K.; Schleichert, R.; Stein, H. J.; Ströher, H.; Watzlawik, K.-H.; Wüstner, P.  
 **$\phi$ -meson production in pp collisions close to threshold**  
*Nuclear Physics A*, **755** (2005), 459 – 462
24. Hinterberger, F.; Nedev, S.N.; Siudak, R.  
**The final state interaction in the reactions  $pp \rightarrow K(\Lambda p)$  and  $pp \rightarrow \pi(np)$**   
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 291
25. Machner, H.  
**Physics at COSY**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 1582
26. Morsch, H. P.; Zupranski, P.  
**Structure of the breathing mode of the nucleon from high-energy  $p$ - $p$  scattering**  
*Physical Review C*, **71** (2005), 065203
27. Moskal, P.; Adam, H. H.; Budzanowski, A.; Czyzykiewicz, R.; Grzonka, D.; Janusz, M.; Jarczyk, L.; Kamys, B.; Khoukaz, A.; Kilian, K.; Klaja, P.; Majewski, J.; Oelert, W.; Piskor-Igantowicz, C.; Przerwa, J.; Rozek, T.; Sefzick, T.; Siemaszko, M.; Smyrski, J.; Täschner, A.; Winter, P.; Wolke, M.; Wüstner, P.; Zipper, W.  
**Hadronic interaction on the  $\eta$  meson with two nucleons**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 1880
28. Oelert, W.  
**Observation of Cold Antihydrogen**  
*Nuclear Physics A*, **752** (2005), 77c – 86c
29. Przerwa, J.; Adam, H. H.; Budzanowski, A.; Czyzykiewicz, R.; Grzonka, D.; Janusz, M.; Jarczyk, L.; Kamys, B.; Khoukaz, A.; Kilian, K.; Klaja, P.; Lang, N.; Moskal, P.; Oelert, W.; Piskor-Igantowicz, C.; Rozek, T.; Santo, R.; Sefzick, T.; Siemaszko, M.; Smyrski, J.; Täschner, A.; Winter, P.; Wolke, M.; Wüstner, P.; Zipper, W.  
**Search for bremsstrahlung radiation in quasi-free  $np \rightarrow np\gamma$  reaction**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 625
30. Rathmann, F.; Lenisa, P.; Steffens, E.; Contalbrigo, M.; Dalpiaz, P. F.; Kacharava, A.; Lehrach, A.; Lorentz, B.; Maier, R.; Prasuhn, D.; Ströher, H.  
**A Method to Polarize Stored Antiprotons to a High Degree**  
*Physical Review Letters*, **94** (2005), 1, 014801

31. Ritman, J.  
**The PANDA detector at the GSI-FAIR project**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 567 – 569
32. Ritman, J.  
**Experiments with the WASA detector at COSY**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 525 – 531
33. Rozek, T.; Grzonka, D.; Kilian, K.; Kowina, P.; Oelert, W.; Sefzick, T.; Winter, P.; Wolke, M.; Wüstner, P.; Siemaszko, M.; Zipper, W.; Czyzykiewicz, R.; Janusz, M.; Jarczyk, L.; Kamys, B.; Klaja, P.; Moskal, P.; Piskor-Igantowicz, C.; Przerwa, J.; Smyrski, J.; Adam, H. H.; Budzanowski, A.; Khoukaz, A.; Santo, R.; Siemaszko, M.; Täschner, A.  
**Threshold hyperon production at COSY-11**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 680
34. Schadmand, S.  
**Experimental review of baryons in the nuclear medium**  
*Nuclear Physics A*, **755** (2005), 188c
35. Smyrski, J.; Kolf, Ch.; Adam, H.-H.; Budzanowski, A.; Czyzykiewicz, R.; Grzonka, D.; Heczko, A.; Janusz, M.; Jarczyk, L.; Kamys, B.; Khoukaz, A.; Kilian, K.; Kowina, P.; Misiak, A.; Moskal, P.; Oelert, W.; Piskor-Igantowicz, C.; Przerwa, J.; Quentmeier, C.; Rozek, T.; Santo, R.; Schepers, G.; Sefzick, T.; Siemaszko, M.; Täschner, A.; Winter, P.; Wolke, M.; Wüstner, P.; Zipper, W.  
**Drift chamber with a c-shaped frame**  
*Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A*, **541** (2005), 3, 574 – 582
36. Tishchenko, V.; Herbach, C. M.; Hilscher, D.; Jahnke, U.; Galin, J.; Goldenbaum, F.; Letourneau, A.; Schröder, U.  
**Fast decision in favor of the slow fission process**  
*Physical Review Letters*, **95** (2005), 162701
37. Trnka, D.; Anton, G.; Bacelar, J. C. S.; Bartholomy, O.; Bayadilov, D.; Beloglazov, Y.A.; Bogendoerfer, R.; Castelijn, R.; Crede, V.; Dutz, H.; Ehmanns, A.; Elsner, D.; Ewald, R.; Fabry, I.; Fuchs, M.; Essig, K.; Funke, R. J.; Gothe, R.; Gregor, R.; Gridnev, A.B.; Gutz, E.; Hoeffgen, S.; Hoffmeister, P.; Horn, I.; Hoessl, J.; Jaegle, I.; Junkersfeld, J.; Kalinowsky, H.; Klein, F.; Klein, F.; Klempt, E.; Konrad, M.; Kopf, B.; Kotulla, M.; Krusche, B.; Langheinrich, J.; Loehner, H.; Lopatin, I.V.; Lotz, J.; Lugert, S.; Menze, D.; Messchendorp, J. G.; Mertens, T.; Metag, V.; Morales, C.; Nanova, M.; Novotny, R.; Ostrick, M.; Pant, L.M.; van Pee, H.; Pfeiffer, M.; Roy, A.; Radkov, J. O.; Schadmand, S.; Schmidt, Ch.; Schmieden, H.; Schoch, B.; Shende, S.; Suft, G.; Sumachev, V.V.; Szczepanek, T.; Suele, A.; Thoma, U.; Varma, R.; Walther, D.; Weinheimer, Ch.; Wendel, Ch.  
**Observation of In-Medium Modifications of the  $\omega$  Meson**  
*Physical Review Letters*, **94** (2005), 192303
38. Wronska, A.; et al.  
**Near threshold  $\eta$  meson production in the  $dd \rightarrow {}^4\text{He}\eta$  reaction near threshold**  
*European Physics Journal A*, **26** (2005) 421
39. Wronska, A.; Hejny, V.  
**Near threshold  $\eta$ -meson production in  $dd \rightarrow {}^4\text{He}\eta$**   
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 2/3, 640 – 642
40. Yahlialia, N.; Diaz, J.; Aphecetche, L.; d'Enterria, D.G.; van Goethem, M. J.; Hoefman, M.; Kugler, A.; Loehner, H.; Martinez, G.; Ostendorf, R. W.; Schadmand, S.; Schutz, Y.; Turrisi, R.; Wagner, W.; Wilschut, H. W.  
**Deep subthreshold  $\pi^0$  production in  ${}^{36}\text{Ar} + {}^{197}\text{Au}$  collisions**  
*Nuclear Physics A*, **749** (2005), 190
41. Yahlialia, N.; D'Áaz, J.; Aphecetche, L.; d'Enterria, D.G.; van Goethem, M. J.; Hoefman, M.; Kugler, A.; Loehner, H.; Mart'Ánez, G.; Ostendorf, R. W.; Schadmand, S.; Schutz, Y.; Turrisi, R.; Wagner, W.; Wilschut, H. W.  
**Transverse momentum distributions of neutral pions produced at deep subthreshold energies**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 609

42. Yaschenko, S.; Dymov, S.; Kacharava, A.; Komarov, V.; Macharashvili, G.; Rathmann, F.; Barsov, S.; Gebel, R.; Hartmann, M.; Khoukaz, A.; Kulesa, P.; Kulikov, A.; Kurbatov, V.; Lang, N.; Lehmann, I.; Lorentz, B.; Mersmann, T.; Merzliakov, S.; Mikirtychiants, S.; Mussgiller, A.; Nioradze, M.; Ohm, H.; Prasuhn, D.; Schleichert, R.; Seyfarth, H.; Steffens, E.; Stein, H. J.; Ströher, H.; Uzikov, Yu.; Zalikhanov, B.; Zhuravlev, N.  
**Measurement of the Analyzing Power in Polarised  $pd \rightarrow (pp)n$  with a Fast Forward Diproton**  
*Physical Review Letters*, **94** (2005), 072304
43. Zychor, I.; Koptev, V.; Büscher, M.; Dzyuba, A.; Keshelashvilic, I.; Kleber, V.; Koch, R.; Krewald, S.; Maeda, Y.; Mikirtichyants, S.; Nekipelov, M.; Ströher, H.; Wilkin, C.  
**Indication of an excited hyperon state in  $pp$  collisions with ANKE at COSY-Jülich**  
*Nuclear Physics A*, **755** (2005), 403

## 2. Theory

44. Achenbach, P.; Baumann, D.; Böhm, R.; Boillat, B.; Bosnar, D.; Carasco, C.; Ding, M.; Distler, M. O.; Friedrich, J.; Glöckle, W.; Golak, J.; Goussev, Y.; Grabmayr, P.; Heil, W.; Hügli, A.; Jennewein, P.; Jover Manas, J. L.; Jourdan, P. O.; Kamada, H.; Klechneva, T.; Krusche, B.; Krygier, K. W.; Llongo, J.G.; Lloyd, M.; Makek, M.; Merkel, H.; Micheli, C.; Müller, U.; Nogga, A.; Neuhausen, R.; Normand, Ch.; Nungesser, L.; Ott, A.; Otten, E.; Parpan, F.; Perez Benito, R.; Potokar, O. P.; Rohe, D.; Rudersdorf, D.; Schmiedeskamp, J.; Seimetz, M.; Sick, I.; Sirca, S.; Skibinski, R.; Stave, S.; Testa, G.; Trojer, R.; Walcher, Th.; Weis, M.; Witala, H.; Wöhrle, H.  
**Measurement of the asymmetries in  ${}^3\text{He}(e, e'p)d$  and  ${}^3\text{He}(e, ep)np$**   
*European Physical Journal A*, **25** (2005), 177  
 Bartos, E.; Gevorkyan, S. R.; Kuraev, E. A.; Nikolaev, N. N.  
**Multiple exchanges in lepton pair production in high-energy heavy ion collisions**  
*Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, **100** (2005), 645
45. Baru, V.; Haidenbauer, J.; Hanhart, C.; Kudryavtsev, A.; Meißner, U. G.  
**Flatté-like distributions and the  $a_0(980)/f_0(980)$  mesons**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **23** (2005), 523 – 533
46. Bernard, V.; Hemmert, T. R.; Meißner, U. G.  
**Chiral extrapolations and the covariant small scale expansion**  
*Physics Letters B*, **622** (2005), 141 – 150
47. Bernard, V.; Kubis, B.; Meißner, U. G.  
**The Fubini-Furlan-Rosetti sum rule and related aspects in light of covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **25** (2005), 419 – 425
48. Bogner, S.K.; Schwenk, A.; Furnstahl, R.J.; Nogga, A.  
**Is nuclear matter perturbative with low-momentum interactions?**  
*Nuclear Physics A*, **763** (2005), 59
49. Borasoy, B.; Bruns, P.C.; Meißner, U. G.; Nißler, R.  
**Gauge invariance in two-particle scattering**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 065201
50. Bruns, P.C.; Meißner, U. G.  
**Infrared regularization for spin-1 fields**  
*European Physical Journal C*, **40** (2005), 97 – 119
51. Bulgac, A.; Magierski, P.; Wirzba, A.  
**Fermionic Casimir Effect in Case of Andreev Reflection**  
*Europhysics Letters*, **72** (2005), 3, 327 – 333
52. Deepak, P.N.; Haidenbauer, J.; Hanhart, C.  
**Partial-wave analysis of  $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$  data**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 024004
53. Deepak, P.N.; Hanhart, C.; Ramachandran, G.; Vidya, M.S.  
**Spin-dependence of meson production in  $NN$  collisions**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 599 – 601

54. Epelbaum, E.; Glöckle, W.; Meißner, U. G.  
**The two-nucleon system at next-to-next-to-next-to-leading order**  
*Nuclear Physics A*, **747** (2005), 362 – 424
55. Epelbaum, E.; Meißner, U. G.  
**Isospin-violating nucleon-nucleon forces using the method of unitary transformation**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 044001
56. Epelbaum, E.; Meißner, U. G.; Palomar, J. E.  
**Isospin dependence of the three-nucleon force**  
*Physical Review C*, **71** (2005), 024001
57. Frink, M.; Meißner, U. G.; Scheller, I.  
**Baryon masses, chiral extrapolations, and all that**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **24** (2005), 395 – 409
58. Gasparyan, A.; Haidenbauer, J.; Hanhart, C.  
**Extraction of scattering lengths from final-state interactions**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 034006
59. Golak, J.; Skibinski, R.; Witala, H.; Glöckle, W.; Nogga, A.; Kamada, H.  
**Electron and photon scattering on three-nucleon bound states**  
*Physics Reports*, **415** (2005), 89
60. Golak, J.; Skibinski, R.; Witala, H.; Glöckle, W.; Nogga, A.; Kamada, H.  
**Proton polarizations in polarized  $^3\text{He}$  studied with the  $^3\text{He}(e, e'p)d$  and  $^3\text{He}(e, e'p)pn$  processes**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 054005
61. Grishina, V. Yu.; Kondratyuk, L. A.; Sibirtsev, A.; Büscher, M.; Krewald, S.; Meißner, U.-G.; Sassen, F. P.  
**The  $K^-$  scattering length and the reaction  $dd \rightarrow \alpha K^- K^+$**   
*European Physical Journal A*, **25** (2005), 159 – 164
62. Haidenbauer, J.; Meißner, U. G.  
**Jülich hyperon nucleon model revisited**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 044005
63. Hanhart, C.; Haidenbauer, J.; Nakayama, K.; Meißner, U. G.  
**On the determination of the parity of the  $\Theta^+$**   
*Physics Letters B*, **606** (2005), 67 – 76
64. Hanhart, C.  
**Isospin breaking in hadronic reactions**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 543 – 545
65. Hyun, C.H.; Lee, S.J.; Haidenbauer, J.; Hong, S.W.  
**Parity-nonconserving observables in thermal neutron capture on a proton**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **24** (2005), 129 – 135
66. Kalashnikova, Yu.S.; Kudryavtsev, A. E.; Nefediev, A.V.; Hanhart, C.; Haidenbauer, J.  
**The radiative decays  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0/f_0$  in the molecular model for the scalar mesons**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **24** (2005), 437 – 443
67. Kistryn, St.; Stephan, E.; Biegun, A.; Bodek, K.; Deltuva, A.; Epelbaum, E.; Ermisch, K.; Glöckle, W.; Golak, J.; Kalantar-Nayestanaki, N.; Kamada, H.; Kis, M.; Klos, B.; Kozela, A.; Kuros-Zolnierczuk, J.; Mahjour-Shafiei, M.; Meißner, U. G.; Micherdzinska, A.; Nogga, A.; Sauer, P.U.; Skibinski, R.; Sworst, R.; Witala, H.; Zejma, J.; Zipper, W.  
**Systematic study of three-nucleon force effects in the cross section of the deuteron-proton breakup at 130 MeV**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 044006
68. Krebs, H.; Bernard, V.; Meißner, U. G.  
**Orthonormalization procedure for chiral effective nuclear field theory**  
*Annals of Physics*, **316** (2005), 160 – 186

69. Krewald, S.; Schneider, S.; Meißner, U. G.  
**Two-pion production in the pion-nucleon reaction**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 590 – 592
70. Krewald, S.; Schneider, S.; Sibirtsev, A.; Haidenbauer, J.; Meißner, U.-G.  
**Decay of baryon resonances**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 1662 – 1667
71. Lensky, V.; Baru, V.; Haidenbauer, J.; Hanhart, C.; Kudryavtsev, A. E.; Meißner, U. G.  
**Precision calculation of  $\gamma d \rightarrow \pi nn$  within chiral perturbation theory**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **26** (2005), 107 – 123
72. Meißner, U. G.; Raha, U.; Rusetsky, A.  
**The pion-nucleon scattering lengths from pionic deuterium**  
*European Physical Journal C*, **41** (2005), 213 – 232
73. Meißner, U. G.  
**Challenges in hadron physics**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 514 – 524
74. Nikolaev, N. N.; Schäfer, W.  
**Breaking of  $K^+$  factorization for single jet production off nuclei**  
*Physical Review D*, **71** (2005), 014023
75. Nikolaev, N. N.; Schäfer, W.; Zakharov, B. G.  
**Nonuniversality aspects of nonlinear  $k(T)$ -factorization for hard dijets**  
*Physical Review Letters*, **95** (2005), 221803
76. Nikolaev, N. N.; Schäfer, W.; Zakharov, B. G.; Zoller, V. R.  
**Why breakup of photons and pions into forward dijets is so different: Predictions from nonlinear nuclear  $k(T)$ -factorization**  
*Physics of Atomic Nuclei*, **68** (2005), 661
77. Nikolaev, N. N.; Schäfer, W.; Zakharov, B. G.; Zoller, V. R.  
**Nonlinear  $k(T)$ -factorization: A new paradigm for hard QCD processes in a nuclear environment**  
*JETP Letters*, **82** (2005), 364
78. Niskanen, J. A.; Sibirtsev, A.; Haidenbauer, J.; Hanhart, C.  
 **$\eta$ -nuclear scattering parameters**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 634 – 636
79. Nogga, A.; Timmermans, R.G.E.; van Kolck, U.  
**Renormalization of One-Pion Exchange and Power Counting**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 054006
80. Platter, L.; Hammer, H. W.; Meißner, U. G.  
**On the correlation between the binding energies of the triton and the  $\alpha$ -particle**  
*Physics Letters B*, **607** (2005), 254 – 258
81. Polinder, H.; Rijken, Th.  
**Soft-core meson-baryon interactions. I. One-hadron-exchange potentials**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 065210
82. Polinder, H.; Rijken, Th.  
**Soft-core meson-baryon interactions. II.  $\pi N$  and  $K^+ N$  scattering**  
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 065211
83. Sassen, F.; Krewald, S.  
**An Investigation of the  $D_s^+ \pi^0 - DK - D_s^+ \eta$  coupled channel dynamics**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics A*, **20** (2005), 705 – 707

84. Sekiguchi, K.; Sakai, H.; Witala, H.; Glöckle, W.; Golak, J.; Hatanaka, K.; Hatano, M.; Itoh, K.; Kamada, H.; Kuboki, H.; Maeda, Y.; Nogga, A.; Okamura, H.; Saito, T.; Sakamoto, N.; Sakemi, Y.; Sasano, M.; Shimizu, Y.; Suda, K.; Tamii, A.; Uesaka, T.; Wakasa, T.; Yako, K.  
**Resolving the Discrepancy of 135 MeV  $pd$  Elastic Scattering Cross Sections and Relativistic Effects**  
*Physical Review Letters*, **95** (2005), 162301
85. Sibirtsev, A.; Haidenbauer, J.; Krewald, S.; Meißner, U. G.  
**Analysis of  $\Theta^+$  production in  $K^+Xe$  collisions**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **23** (2005), 491 – 499
86. Sibirtsev, A.; Haidenbauer, J.; Krewald, S.; Meißner, U. G.; Thomas, A. W.  
**Near threshold enhancement of the  $p\bar{p}$  mass spectrum in  $J/\Psi$  decay**  
*Physical Review D*, **71** (2005), 054010
87. Sibirtsev, A.; Meißner, U. G.; Thomas, A. W.  
**Okubo-Zweig-Iizuka rule violation in photoproduction**  
*Physical Review D*, **71** (2005), 094011
88. Skibinski, R.; Golak, J.; Witala, H.; Glöckle, W.; Nogga, A.  
**Different formulations of  $^3\text{He}$  and  $^3\text{H}$  photodisintegration**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **24** (2005), 31
89. Skibinski, R.; Golak, J.; Witala, H.; Glöckle, W.; Nogga, A.; Kamada, H.  
**Polarization observables in the semi-exclusive photoinduced three-body breakup of  $^3\text{He}$**   
*Physical Review C*, **72** (2005), 044002
90. Typel, S.; Baur, G.  
**Electromagnetic strength of neutron and proton single-particle nuclei**  
*Nuclear Physics A*, **759** (2005), 247 – 308
91. Vary, J.P.; Atramentov, O. V.; Barrett, B.R.; Hasan, M.; Hayes, A.C.; Lloyd, R.; Mazur, A.I.; Navratil, P.; Negoita, A.G.; Nogga, A.; Ormand, W.E.; Popescu, S.; Shehadeh, B.; Shirokov, A.M.; Spence, J.R.; Stetcu, I.; Stoica, S.; Weber, T.A.; Zaytsev, S.A.  
**Ab initio No-Core Shell Model — Recent results and future prospects**  
*European Physical Journal A*, **25** (2005), Suppl. 1, 475 – 480
92. Wirzba, A.; Sondergaard, N.; Cvitanovic, P.  
**Wave Chaos in Elastodynamic Cavity Scattering**  
*Europhysics Letters*, **72** (2005), 4, 534 – 540
93. Yakhshiev, U. T.; Meißner, U. G.; Wirzba, A.; Rakhimov, A. M.; Musakhanov, M. M.  
**Nucleon-Nucleon Potential in Finite Nuclei**  
*Physical Review C*, **71** (2005), 034007

### 3. Accelerator

94. Lehrach, A.  
**Polarized Beam Acceleration in COSY and Future Options for Polarization at HESR**  
*ICFA Beam Dynamics Newsletters*, **37** (2005), S. 80 – 88
95. Morozov, V. S.; Krisch, A. D.; Leonova, M. A.; Raymond, R. S.; Sivers, D. W.; Wong, V. K.; Gebel, R.; Lehrach, A.; Lorentz, B.; Maier, R.; Prasuhn, D.; Schnase, A.; Stockhorst, H.; Eversheim, D.; Hinterberger, F.; Rohdjes, H.; Ulbrich, K.; Yonehara, K.  
**Spin manipulating stored 1.85 GeV/c vector and tensor polarized spin-1 bosons**  
*Physical Review Special Topics, Accelerators and Beams*, **8** (2005), 061001
96. Schnase, A.; Nomura, M.; Tamura, F.; Yamamoto, M.; Anami, S.; Ezura, E.; Hara, K.; Ohmori, C.; Takagi, A.; Yoshii, M.  
**Cascaded integrator comb filters with smoothly varying coefficients for reduced delay in synchrotron feedback loops**  
*Physical Review Special Topics, Accelerators and Beams*, **8** (2005), 122001

97. Senichev, Y.; Vasyukhin, N. E.  
**Slot-finger superconducting structure with rf focusing**  
*Physical Review Special Topics, Accelerators and Beams*, **8** (2005), 070101

#### 4. Others

98. Protić, D.; Stöhlker, Th.; Krings, T.; Mohos, I.; Spillmann, U.  
**Two-Dimensional Microstrip Germanium Detector for X-Ray Spectroscopy of Highly-Charged Heavy Ions**  
*IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, vol. **52**, December 2005
99. Protić, D.; Hull, E.L.; Krings, T.; Vetter, K.  
**Large-Volume Si(Li) Orthogonal-Strip Detectors for Compton-Effect-Based Instruments**  
*IEEE Transactions on Nuclear Science*, vol. **52**, December 2005
100. Bartolozzi, M.; Drozd, S.; Leinweber, D.B.; Speth, J.; Thomas, A. W.  
**Self-Similar Log-Periodic Structures in Western Stock Markets from 2000**  
*International Journal of Modern Physics C*, **16** (2005), 9, 1347 – 1361
101. Schult, O. W. B.  
**Europäische Union — quo vadis?**  
*Die neue Ordnung*, 60. Jahrgang, Heft 1, Februar 2006

#### 5. Textbooks

- Dietrich, J.  
**Strahlfokussierung (geladene Teilchen)**  
Effekte der Physik und ihre Anwendungen / ed.: M. von Ardenne — Frankfurt, Harri-Deutsch, 2005 — 3. überarb., neu strukturierte, wesentlich erw. Auflage. — 3-8171-1682-9. — S. 132
- Dietrich, J.  
**Strahlkühlung**  
Effekte der Physik und ihre Anwendungen / ed.: M. von Ardenne — Frankfurt, Harri-Deutsch, 2005 — 3. überarb., neu strukturierte, wesentlich erw. Auflage. — 3-8171-1682-9. — S. 139
- Dietrich, J.  
**Teilchenbeschleunigung**  
Effekte der Physik und ihre Anwendungen / ed.: M. von Ardenne — Frankfurt, Harri-Deutsch, 2005 — 3. überarb., neu strukturierte, wesentlich erw. Auflage. — 3-8171-1682-9. — S. 154
- Machner, H.; Krewald, S.  
**Giant Resonances**  
*Encyclopedia in Physics* / ed.: R. G. Lerner, G. L. Trigg. — Weinheim, Wiley-VCH, 2005 — 3-527-40554-2. — S. 2291
- Machner, H.  
**Einführung in die Kern- und Elementarteilchenphysik**  
Weinheim, Wiley-VCH, 2005 — ISBN: 3-527-40528-3

## E Beam Time at COSY 2005

Date	Experiment	Duration	Reaction
14.01.–24.01.	ANKE	1 week	$dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}\eta$
28.01.–09.02.	GEM	2 weeks	$\vec{d}d \rightarrow {}^4\text{He}\eta$
11.02.–21.02.	ANKE	1 week	$\vec{d}p \rightarrow (pp)n$
21.02.–24.02.	ANKE	1 week	cell tests
25.02.–14.03.	ANKE	2 weeks	$dd \rightarrow {}^3\text{AN}\pi$
14.03.–04.04.	ANKE	3 weeks	$pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-$
08.04.–02.05.	COSY-11	3 weeks	$dp \rightarrow {}^3\text{H}\pi^+ / {}^3\text{He}\pi^0$
06.05.–23.05.	GEM	2 weeks	$pA \rightarrow X$
27.05.–13.06.	PISA	2 weeks	$pA \rightarrow \text{spallation}$
09.09.–26.09.	HIRES	2 weeks	$pp \rightarrow pK^+\Lambda$
30.09.–10.10.	COSY-11	1 week	$pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-$
21.10.–07.11.	COSY-11	2 weeks	$\vec{p}p \rightarrow pK^+\Lambda$
11.11.–21.11.	SPIN@COSY	1 week	spin manipulation
21.11.–05.12.	ANKE	2 weeks	cell tests
Total '05		25 weeks	

## F Contents of the Attached CD

### 1. This report as pdf file

## 2. Detailed reports for the Annual Report 2005

### 1 Experimental Hadron Physics

#### 1.1 Experiments at COSY

- 1.1.1 Evidence for an excited hyperon  $Y^{0*}(1480)$
- 1.1.2 Analysis of  $pp \rightarrow pK^0\pi^+\Lambda$  at ANKE
- 1.1.3  $K^+$  production on proton and deuteron targets
- 1.1.4 Investigation of the  $a_0^+(980)$  resonances in the reaction  $pp \rightarrow dK^+\bar{K}^0$  with ANKE
- 1.1.5 ANKE Acceptance for the Reaction  $pp \rightarrow dK^+\bar{K}^0$
- 1.1.6 The  $K^-$ - ${}^3\text{He}$  scattering length and the reaction  $pd \rightarrow {}^3\text{He}K^+K^-$  near threshold
- 1.1.7 Investigation of the  ${}^3\text{He}\eta$  Final State in  $dp$ -Reactions at ANKE
- 1.1.8 Study of the multi pion production  $d + p \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} + N\pi$  close to the  $\eta$ -production threshold at ANKE
- 1.1.9 The reaction  $d + p \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} + N\pi^0$  close to the  $\eta$  production threshold at ANKE
- 1.1.10 Investigation of the reaction  $\vec{d} + p \rightarrow {}^3\text{He} + \eta$  at ANKE
- 1.1.11 Final result of the first  $dd \rightarrow {}^4\text{He}\eta$  measurement at ANKE
- 1.1.12 Near threshold  $\pi$  production in  $dd \rightarrow {}^3\text{H}N\pi$  and  $dd \rightarrow {}^3\text{H}N\pi$
- 1.1.13 Production of the  ${}^1S_0$  diproton in the  $pp \rightarrow pp\pi^0$  reaction at 0.8 GeV
- 1.1.14 Tensor Analysing Power Measurement in Charge Exchange Reaction  $\vec{d}p \rightarrow (pp)n$
- 1.1.15 First results of analysis of the deuteron break-up reaction  $dp \rightarrow ppn$  with detection of low relative energy proton pairs in the Side Detector of ANKE
- 1.1.16 Development of a method to determine the polarization of the ANKE storage cell target
- 1.1.17 The Polarized Internal Target at ANKE
- 1.1.18 Storage cell tests and commissioning of the Polarized Internal Gas Target
- 1.1.19 Timing Performance of the ANKE Silicon Tracking Telescopes
- 1.1.20 Absolute Energy Calibration of Micron Detectors for the ANKE Silicon Tracking Telescopes
- 1.1.21 Serial Check of Micron Silicon Strip Detectors
- 1.1.22 Parametrisation of the Silicon-Detector Temperature
- 1.1.23 Verification of the Drift Time Parametrisation in a 5mm Si(Li)-Detector
- 1.1.24 COSY Vacuum-Break Detection to Protect the ANKE Silicon Tracking Telescopes
- 1.1.25 Search for transition region in exclusive reactions with high  $p_T$  at COSY energies
- 1.1.26 The analysing power for the  $\vec{p}p \rightarrow pp\eta$  reaction at  $Q = 10$  MeV
- 1.1.27 Preparations for the study of the isospin dependence of the  $\eta'$ -meson production via  $pn \rightarrow d\eta'$  reaction
- 1.1.28 Preparations for the study of the  $\eta'$ -meson width via measurements of the  $pp \rightarrow pp\eta'$  reaction at the COSY-11 facility
- 1.1.29 The multi-pion background subtraction method for the two-proton correlation function
- 1.1.30 Energy Dependence of the  $pp \rightarrow ppK^+K^-$  total cross section close to threshold
- 1.1.31 General thoughts to the Kaon pair production in the threshold region
- 1.1.32 Preparations for investigations of the  ${}^4\text{He}-\eta$  bound state
- 1.1.33 Threshold hyperon production in proton proton collisions at COSY 11
- 1.1.34 Precision measurement of the  $\eta$ -mass
- 1.1.35 Study of the reaction  $\vec{d} + d \rightarrow \eta + \alpha$
- 1.1.36 Charge independence studied in  $NN \rightarrow d\pi$  reactions
- 1.1.37 Search for  $\eta$ -bound nuclei
- 1.1.38  $N^*$ -resonances in the strangeness production in the reaction  $pp \rightarrow K^+\Lambda p$
- 1.1.39 Dynamics of  $\omega$  Meson Production in Proton Proton Reactions

- 1.1.40 Determination of the beam polarization with the external COSY-TOF detector
- 1.1.41 A Frozen-Spin Polarized Target for COSY-TOF
- 1.1.42 Stopping time criteria for cascade model calculations on proton induced reactions
- 1.1.43 Absolute normalization of particle production cross-sections measured in PISA experiment
- 1.1.44 Application of phoswich detectors for measurement of high energy spectra of light charged particles
- 1.1.45 INC and BUU Model predictions on pion production in proton induced reactions
- 1.1.46 Timescale of fission in GeV proton induced reactions on Au Bi and U
- 1.1.47 Intermediate Mass Fragments from 200 MeV Protons
- 1.1.48 Installation of an electrolytical deuterium generator for the cluster targets at COSY
- 1.1.49 Status of the pellet target for the WASA@COSY experiment
- 1.1.50 Investigation of pellet parameters with the Moscow-Jülich Pellet Target

## 1.2 Experiments at External Facilities

- 1.2.1 Remeasurement of the charged pion mass
- 1.2.2 High statistics measurement of the  $K\alpha$  transition in pionic hydrogen
- 1.2.3 Characterisation of a CCD array for Bragg spectroscopy
- 1.2.4 Developments for trapping antihydrogen atoms
- 1.2.5 Track reconstruction in the ATRAP-II experiment

## 2 Theoretical Physics

### 2.1 Hadron Structure and dynamics

- 2.1.1 Near threshold enhancement of the  $p\bar{p}$  mass spectrum in  $J/\Psi$  decay
- 2.1.2 Analysis of  $\Theta^+$  production in  $K^+ - \text{Xe}$  collisions
- 2.1.3 Parity nonconserving observables in thermal neutron capture on a proton
- 2.1.4 Resonances and final state interactions in the reaction  $pp \rightarrow pK^+\Lambda$
- 2.1.5 Interaction of slow  $J/\Psi$  and  $\Psi'$  with nucleons
- 2.1.6 The Fubini-Furlan-Rosetti sum rule and related aspects in light of covariant baryon chiral perturbation theory
- 2.1.7  $K\bar{K}$  photoproduction from protons
- 2.1.8 Novel evaluation of the two-pion contribution to the nucleon isovector form factors
- 2.1.9 Aspects of  $\phi$ -meson production in proton-proton collisions
- 2.1.10 Baryon octet masses chiral extrapolations and all
- 2.1.11 Chiral extrapolations and the covariant small scale expansion
- 2.1.12 Quark mass dependence of baryon properties
- 2.1.13 The pion-nucleon scattering lengths from pionic deuterium
- 2.1.14 Isospin-breaking corrections in the pion-deuteron scattering length
- 2.1.15 OZI violation in photoproduction
- 2.1.16 Soft-core meson-baryon interactions. II.  $\pi N$  and  $K^+N$  scattering
- 2.1.17 Soft-core meson-baryon interactions. I. One-hadron-exchange potentials
- 2.1.18 Analysis of the reaction  $\gamma p \rightarrow \eta' p$
- 2.1.19 Gauge-invariant approach to meson photoproduction including the final-state interaction
- 2.1.20 Pentaquark  $\Theta^+(1540)$  production in  $\gamma N \rightarrow K\bar{K}N$  Reactions
- 2.1.21 Nucleon resonances in  $\pi N \rightarrow \omega N$
- 2.1.22 Insights on scalar mesons from their radiative decays
- 2.1.23 The radiative decays  $\phi \rightarrow \gamma a_0/f_0$  in the molecular model for the scalar mesons

### 2.2 Nuclear forces and few body systems

- 2.2.1 Extraction of scattering lengths from final-state interactions
- 2.2.2 The  $K^- \alpha$  scattering length and the reaction  $dd \rightarrow \alpha K^+ K^-$
- 2.2.3 Isospin-violating nucleon-nucleon forces using the method of unitary transformation
- 2.2.4 The triton and three-nucleon force in nuclear lattice simulations
- 2.2.5 Gauge invariance in two-particle scattering

- 2.2.6 Towards a field theoretic understanding of  $NN \rightarrow NN\pi$
- 2.2.7 The Jülich hyperon-nucleon model revisited
- 2.2.8 The role of the nucleon recoil in low-energy meson-nucleus reactions
- 2.2.9 Spin observables of the reactions  $NN \rightarrow \Delta N$  and  $pd \rightarrow \Delta(pp)(^1S_0)$  in collinear kinematics
- 2.3 Nuclear structure
  - 2.3.1 Electromagnetic strength of neutron and proton single-particle halo nuclei
  - 2.3.2 Projectile electron losses in the collision with neutral targets
  - 2.3.3 The investigation of subthreshold resonances with the Trojan Horse Method
  - 2.3.4 A Toy Model for the Coulomb Dissociation of Neutron Halo Nuclei
  - 2.3.5 Nucleon-Nucleon Potential in Finite Nuclei
- 2.4 High energy physics
  - 2.4.1 Theoretical Studies of Ultrapерipheral Collisions at Relativistic Heavy Ion Colliders
  - 2.4.2 Why Breakup of Photons and Pions into Forward Dijets Is so Different: Predictions from Nonlinear Nuclear  $k_{\perp}$ -factorization
  - 2.4.3 Breaking of  $k_{\perp}$ -factorization for Single Jet Production off Nuclei
  - 2.4.4 Multiple exchanges in lepton pair production in high-energy heavy ion collisions
  - 2.4.5 Nonuniversality Aspects of Nonlinear  $k_{\perp}$ -factorization for Hard Dijets
  - 2.4.6 Nonlinear  $k_{\perp}$ -factorization for Quark-Gluon Dijet Production off Nuclei
  - 2.4.7 Nonlinear  $k_{\perp}$ -factorization for Gluon-Gluon Dijets Produced off Nuclear Targets
  - 2.4.8 Nonlinear  $k_{\perp}$ -factorization: a new paradigm for an in-nucleus hard QCD
  - 2.4.9  $B_{s,d} \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  decay in the model with one universal extra dimension
- 2.5 Further studies
  - 2.5.1 Spin Filtering in Storage Rings
  - 2.5.2 Fermionic Casimir Effect in Case of Andreev Reflection
  - 2.5.3 Casimir interaction between normal or superfluid grains in the Fermi sea
  - 2.5.4 Scalar Casimir effect between Dirichlet spheres or a plate and a sphere
  - 2.5.5 A force from nothing onto nothing: Casimir effect between bubbles in the Fermi sea
  - 2.5.6 Wave Chaos in Elastodynamic Cavity Scattering
  - 2.5.7 The effects of box diagrams in proton-proton collisions
- 3 Accelerator Division
  - 3.1 SPIN@COSY: Polarized deuteron and proton beam studies at COSY
  - 3.2 Summary of the results of first tests of a new dissociator for the polarized ion sources at COSY-Jülich
  - 3.3 Construction of a new dissociator for the polarized ion source at COSY-Jülich
  - 3.4 Particle Beam Profile Measurement Based on Fluorescence
  - 3.5 Operation of the Injector Cyclotron and Ion Sources at COSY-Jülich
  - 3.6 Slot-finger Super Conducting structure with RF focusing
  - 3.7 A Test of Electron Cooling at 70 keV Electron Energy
  - 3.8 Design of HIPPI Triple-Spoke Cavity for 352 MHz and  $\beta = 0.48$
  - 3.9 Radiation protection
  - 3.10 Magnetic Spectrograph BIG KARL
- 4 Preparations for FAIR
  - 4.1 Design Work for the High-Energy Storage Ring (HESR)
  - 4.2 Beam Performance and Luminosity Limitations in the High-Energy Storage Ring (HESR)
  - 4.3 HESR closed-orbit correction
  - 4.4 Injection Refill and Acceleration in the HESR Synchrotron
  - 4.5 Tracking Results for a Cooled HESR Bunch at 8 GeV

- 4.6 A Polarized Ion Source Concept for the FAIR Project
- 4.7 Design Status of the Antiproton Polarizer Ring
- 4.8 Event Rate Estimates for the Spin Filtering Experiment at the AD ring of CERN
- 5 Technical Developments
  - 5.1 Laboratory for Semiconductor Detectors
  - 5.2 Performance Test of a 2D  $\mu$ -Strip Ge(i) Detector at the Sychnotron Facility ESRF
  - 5.3 Electronics Laboratory
  - 5.4 ZAT Scientific Report (in German)
- 6 Miscellaneous
  - 6.1 Cooperation with Japanese Scientists since more than 40 Years — A Brief History of Networking among Physicists
- A Councils
  - A.1 Scientific Council
  - A.2 Program Advisory Committee
- B Personnel
  - B.1 Technical and Administrative Staff
- C Publications 2005
- D Teaching Positions
- E Lectures at Universities
- F Research visitors
- G Collaboration Lists
- H Beam Time at COSY 2005
- I List of Authors