Exclusive Central Meson Production in Proton Antiproton Collisions at the Tevatron at √s = 1960 GeV and 900 GeV

Maria Żurek
Research Center Jülich
University of Cologne

on behalf of the CDF Collaboration

Physics Motivation
Double Pomeron Exchange (DPE)



Pomeron:

- Carrier of 4-momentum between protons
- Strongly interacting color singlet combination of gluons and quarks
- Quantum numbers of vacuum
- LO: P = *gg*

Analysis

GXG reaction

$$\bar{p} + p \rightarrow \bar{p}\,(*) + GAP + X + GAP + p\,(*)$$

- **X** (in this study)**:**
- hadron pair mostly $\pi^+ \pi^-$
- central $|y(\pi^+ \pi^-)| < 1.0$
- between rapidity gaps $\Delta\eta > 4.6$
- $Q = S = 0$, $C = +1$, $J = 0$ or 2, $I=0$

Expected to be dominated by DPE in the t-channel!

Collider Detector at Fermilab





$\sqrt{s}$ = 1960 GeV

$\sqrt{s}$ = 900 GeV

Collider Detector at Fermilab



- We do not detect outgoing protons
- Forward detectors in veto

- BSC – Beam Shower Counters
- CLC – Cherenkov Luminosity Counters
- PCAL – Plug Calorimeter

We require all detectors, |η| < 5.9, to be empty except for two tracks

Central Hadronic State Analysis

Candidates selection

Trigger requirements:

- 2 central (|η|<1.3) towers with $E_t > 0.5$ GeV
- PCAL (2.11<|η|<3.64) in veto
- CLC (3.75<|η|<4.75) in veto
- BSC1 (5.4<|η|<5.9) in veto

Gap cuts:

To determine noise levels in subdetectors we divide zero-bias sample from same periods into two sub-samples:

No Interaction:	**Interaction:**
• No tracks and • No CLC hits and • No muon stubs	At least one • Track or • CLC hit or • Muon stub

Exclusivity cuts









Central Hadronic State Analysis

Candidates selection

Exclusive 2 tracks:

→ Similar technique in region of central calorimeter

→ excluding cones of R=0.3 around each track extrapolation.

$$R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\varphi)^2}$$



The “hottest” EM tower must be less than 90 MeV

Additional cuts:

- quality of tracks
- cosmic ray rejection
- 2 oppositely charged tracks

Effective exclusive luminosity

- Determination of efficiency of having no-pileup using zero-bias sample.

  We measure ratio of empty events (all detectors on noise level) to all events.

- Exponential drop with bunch luminosity.
- Slope corresponds to total detected inelastic cross section.



	1960 GeV	900 GeV
σ_{obs} (|η|<5.9)	55.9(4) mb	65.8(4) mb
L_{eff}	1.15/pb	0.059/pb

L renormalization factor based on σ_{inel} for 900 GeV: 0.72

Higher dissociation masses allowed at 1960 GeV

Central Hadronic State Analysis

Acceptance and cut efficiency

Model independent analysis

Kinematic cuts:

- $P_t(\pi)>0.4$ GeV/c
- $|\eta(\pi)|<1.3$
- $|y(\pi^+ \pi^-)|<1.0$

3 components:

- Trigger efficiency
- Single track acceptance
- 2 tracks acceptance





Central Hadronic State Analysis

$M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ vs $P_t(X)$ for 1960 GeV



Central Hadronic State Analysis

$M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ vs $P_t(X)$ for 1960 GeV



Central Hadronic State Analysis

$M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ vs $P_t(X)$ for 1960 GeV



Central Hadronic State Analysis

$M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ for 1960 GeV





→ Broad continuum below 1 GeV/c^2

→ Cusp at 1 GeV/c^2

→ Resonant enhancement around 1.0 – 1.5 GeV/c^2 dominated by $f_2(1270)$

Central Hadronic State Analysis

M($\pi^+\pi^-$) for 1960 GeV and 900 GeV







Indications of structure up to 2.4 GeV/c^2

Non-exclusive background
Same sign sample

- The events with two same charge tracks: 6.1% (900 GeV) and 7.1% (1960 GeV)
- Sign of non-exclusive background with 2 or more undetected charged particles:

→ very low pT (no reconstructed track and calorimetric E above the noise level)

→ very forward



The $M(\pi^+\pi^-)$ distribution for ++/- - pairs is featureless

→ But! indication of a similar background from $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+\pi^-$ events in $\pi^+\pi^-$ sample

→ No subtraction

Non-$\pi^+\pi^-$ background



ToF counter information used (coverage in $|\eta|<0.9$)

For $|\eta|<1.3$: 67% of the pairs have both particles identified
→ $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs – 89%

For $|\eta|<0.7$: 90% of the pairs have both particles identified
→ No significant change in the composition

No non-$\pi^+\pi^-$ background subtraction

Conclusions

- **We have measured $\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs between large rapidity gaps at the Tevatron, which should be dominated by double pomeron exchange.**
- **Contribution of non-$\pi^+\pi^-$ pairs background and non-exclusive background is small**
- **The mass spectra show several structures:**
  - Broad continuum below 1 GeV/c^2,
  - Sharp drop at 1 GeV/c^2
  - Resonant enhancement around 1.0 – 1.5 GeV/c^2.
- **This is the only measurement from the Tevatron, and has much higher statistics than preliminary data from the LHC experiments.**


MIND THE GAP

Backup slides

Acceptance calculation

Model independent analysis

Kinematics cuts:

- $P_t(\pi)>0.4$ GeV/c
- $|\eta(\pi)|<1.3$
- $|y(X)|<1.0$

3 components:

- Trigger efficiency
- Single track acceptance
- 2 tracks acceptance

Trigger efficiency

1. Sample of min-bias data, good quality isolated (no other tracks in cone with R=0.4) tracks.

2. Checking how often they fired 0, 1, 2 or more trigger towers (>= 4 bits) in 3x3 box around track extrapolation.

3. Trigger efficiency composed from those 3 probability distributions (which are functions of P_t and η)

Trigger efficiency

Probability of triggering 2 or more towers in the central detector by two independent tracks „a” and „b”:

$$\varepsilon = P_2(a)+P_1(a)*[P_1(b)+P_2(b)]+P_0(a)*P_2(b)$$

	P_2b	P_1b	P_0b
P_2a	**X**	**X**	**X**
P_1a	**X**	**X**	
P_0a	**X**		

P_0 – probability of triggering no towers

P_1 – probability of triggering one tower

P_2 – probability of triggering two or more towers

Trigger efficiency







Single track acceptance

1. Single pion generation, flat in phi

2. Acceptance as a function of Pt(track) and eta

- Probability that track will be reconstruced at all
- Probability that track will pass all single track quality cuts



2 tracks cuts acceptance

Cuts:

- 3D opening angle
- y of central state
- Separation
- ΔZ_0

Based on J=0 phase space model

All previous cuts applied before



Invariant mass distribution



Partial wave analysis



Partial wave analysis









Partial wave analysis









Partial wave analysis

Comparison of data/MC s-wave cos(θ) distributions

H0 : cos(θ) distribuants for data and s-wave MC are the same (in mass bins)

- H1 : not H0.
- Test type: Smirnow
- Test statistics: λ Kolmogorov

Partial wave analysis





If p-value is smaller then 0.05 we reject the H0 (s = 0) in favour of H1 on the 95% CL
If p-value is greater then 0.05 we cannot reject the null hypothesis H0 (s = 0) on the 95% CL