

**Single-crystal neutron diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopic study
of hureaulite, $(\text{Mn,Fe})_5(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{HPO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$**

Running title: Crystal chemistry of hureaulite

Abstract

Introduction

Sample description and mineralogy

Experimental methods:

- 1) Chemical analysis
- 2) Single-crystal X-ray and neutron diffraction experiment
- 3) Mössbauer spectroscopy

Results: Neutron structure refinement of hureaulite

Results: Mössbauer spectrum of hureaulite

Discussion

Concluding remarks

Acknowledgements

References

Table and Figure captions

Corresponding author: G. Diego GATTA

Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra,
Università degli Studi di Milano,
Via Botticelli 23,
I-20133 Milano,
Italy

Email: diego.gatta@unimi.it

Tel. : +39 02 503 15607

Fax: +39 02 503 15597

Submitted to European Journal of Mineralogy

Single-crystal neutron diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopic study of hureaulite, $(\text{Mn,Fe})_5(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{HPO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$

G. Diego Gatta^{1,2}, Günther J. Redhammer³, Pietro Vignola^{1,4}
Martin Meven⁵, Garry J. McIntyre⁶

¹ Dipartimento di Scienze della Terra, Università degli Studi di Milano,
Via Botticelli 23, I-20133 Milano, Italy

² CNR - Istituto di Cristallografia, Via G. Amendola 122/o, Bari, Italy

⁴ Abteilung für Mineralogie, FB Materialforschung & Physik,
Universität Salzburg, Hellbrunnerstr. 34/III, 5020 Salzburg, Austria

³ CNR-Istituto per la Dinamica dei Processi Ambientali, Milano, Italy

⁵ Institut für Kristallographie, RWTH Aachen, and Jülich Centre for Neutron
Science (JCNS), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, at Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum
(MLZ), Lichtenbergstrasse 1, D-85748 Garching, Germany

⁶ Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organization, Locked Bag 2001,
Kirrawee DC NSW 2232, Australia

Abstract

The crystal chemistry of hureaulite from the João (Cigana) pegmatite, Conselheiro Pena, Doce Valley, Minas Gerais (Brazil), was investigated by electron microprobe analysis in wavelength-dispersive mode, single-crystal Laue (at 293 K) and monochromatic neutron diffraction (at 2.3 K), and ⁵⁷Fe-Mössbauer spectroscopy [$^{M(1),M(2),M(3)}(\text{Mn}^{2+}_{3.61}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{1.21}\text{Ca}_{0.11}\text{Mg}_{0.03})_{\Sigma=4.96}(\text{P}^{(2)}\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}_{1.04}\text{P}^{(1)}\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{3.92}$, $Z = 4$, $a = 17.603(6)$, $b = 9.087(2)$, $c = 9.404(4)$ Å, $\beta = 96.66(4)^\circ$, and $V = 1494.1(9)$ Å³ at 293 K, space group $C2/c$]. The neutron refinements confirm the general structure model previously reported, showing that five independent H sites (with full site occupancy) occur in the hureaulite structure, one of them as member of the unique hydroxyl group (*i.e.*, $O(1)\text{-}H(1)$) and the other four belonging to two independent H₂O molecules (*i.e.*, $H(2)\text{-}O(9)\text{-}H(3)$ and $H(4)\text{-}O(10)\text{-}H(5)$). The hydroxyl group is the vertex of one of the two independent P-tetrahedra (*i.e.*, HOPO₃), whereas the two H₂O molecules are the vertices of the (Mn,Fe)-octahedra. No zeolitic H₂O occurs in hureaulite structure. The complex hydrogen bonding scheme in hureaulite is now well defined, with five

hydrogen bonds energetically favorable. The elements distribution among the octahedral sites, deduced on the basis of the neutron structure refinements, shows that the highest fraction of Fe populates the *M*(2) site (~36%), whereas lower fractions are observed at *M*(1) and *M*(3) (*i.e.*, ~13-15 and ~11-12%, respectively). The ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer spectrum of hureaulite confirms the absence of ferric iron in the sample. The spectrum shows four well-separated absorption lines which are better fitted with three doublets. The well-resolved doublet (with the largest quadrupole splitting) is here assigned to the *M*(3) site, in line with the pronounced preference of Mn²⁺ for the *M*(3) site as obtained by the neutron structure refinements. The two innermost doublets have almost identical isomer shifts, though with slightly different quadrupole splitting values; the smaller quadrupole splitting value is assigned to the *M*(2) site, which is expected to have a slightly lower polyhedral distortions than the *M*(1) site, according to the experimental findings based on the neutron refinements.

Keywords: hureaulite, electron microprobe analysis (WDS), single-crystal neutron diffraction, Mössbauer spectroscopy, hydrogen bonding.

Introduction

Phosphate minerals represent the major host of transition metals and H₂O in quartzofeldspathic pegmatitic rocks. Iron-manganese phosphate minerals are widespread in medium-to-highly-evolved granitic pegmatites, ranging from the beryl-columbite-phosphate subtype to the spodumene subtype. These phosphates play an essential geochemical role in the evolution processes affecting pegmatites, and a good knowledge of their crystal chemistry and of their stability fields is necessary to understand better the genesis of pegmatites and the medium-to-low-temperature

crystallization processes. We have recently contributed to exploration of the labyrinthine world of hydrous transition-metal phosphates with a series of studies, mainly based on single-crystal neutron diffraction, **in order to clearly locate H and to distinguish the structural roles of atoms of similar atomic number, such as Mn and Fe (e.g.,** Gatta *et al.*, 2013a, 2013b, 2014a, 2014b; Vignola *et al.*, 2014). We have extended our investigation to a further member of the phosphate minerals group: hureaulite. Hureaulite, ideally $(\text{Mn,Fe})_5(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{HPO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$, was discovered in 1825 by the **ceramicist** François Alluaud II (Vauquelin, 1825; Alluaud, 1826) in the pegmatite quarry of Huréaux (from which its name originates) in Haute Vienne, France. It is a widespread, late-stage secondary phosphate mineral formed by alteration of primary phosphates, mainly lithiophilite ($\text{LiMn}^{2+}\text{PO}_4$), in strongly differentiated Lithium-**Caesium**-Tantalum (LCT) granite pegmatites (Fransolet, 1976; Huminicki & Hawthorne, 2002), spanning from the beryl-columbite-phosphate to the lepidolite subtype, according to the classification of Černý & Ercit (2005). Hureaulite is expected to crystallize during the hydrothermal stage in non-oxidizing conditions between 300 and 100°C (Simmons *et al.*, 2003, and references therein). The petrogenetic process leading to the formation of hureaulite after lithiophilite occurs mainly in strongly Ta- and Li-enriched pegmatites, which are extremely interesting from the economic point of view being, with carbonatites, one of the two natural sources of the strategic elements Ta and Nb.

The knowledge of the crystal chemistry of hureaulite is restricted to a few studies, with some conflicting results. Fisher (1964) first described this mineral as monoclinic (space group $C2/c$) with $a \sim 17.59$, $b \sim 9.08$, $c \sim 9.40$ Å, and $\beta \sim 96.7^\circ$ ($Z = 4$), providing the chemical analyses of samples from different localities. The crystal structure of hureaulite was solved and refined independently by Menchetti & Sabelli

(1973) and Moore & Araki (1973), confirming the space group and the metrics reported by Fisher (1964). The structure consists of PO_4 and HOPO_3 tetrahedra (Fig. 1), linked together by groups of **edge-sharing** Mn octahedra (and by hydrogen bonds) (Fig. 1). All H_2O molecules in hureaulite appeared to be bonded to the transition metals, behaving as ligand groups. Moore & Araki (1973) did not provide any H positions, and only isotropic displacement parameters of all the other atomic sites were given. Menchetti & Sabelli (1973) provided a partially anisotropic refinement, listing also the potential coordinates of the H sites (in 5 independent sites), which were fixed but not refined. The structure models of hureaulite of Moore & Araki (1973) and Manchetti & Sabelli (1973) are mutually consistent and agree with the experimental findings of Gerault *et al.* (1987) on the synthetic $\text{Mn}_5(\text{HPO}_4)_2(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$. Keller (1971) reported **for hureaulite three possible crystal-chemical formulae**: i) $(\text{Mn,Fe})_5(\text{H}_3\text{O})_2(\text{PO}_4)_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$; ii) $(\text{Mn,Fe})_5[(\text{OH})_2(\text{HOPO}_3)_4] \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$; iii) $(\text{Mn,Fe})_5[(\text{HOPO}_3)_2(\text{PO}_4)_2] \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$. The infrared study of Keller (1971) showed no clear evidence of the presence of HOPO_3^{2-} groups, but suggested the presence of the H_3O^+ ion. A more recent infrared study on a polycrystalline sample of hureaulite was reported by Frost & Erickson (2005); however, the sample was not well characterized (*i.e.*, no chemical or crystallographic data were provided) and only a general assignment of the active bands was reported, without any crystallographic implication.

A few Mössbauer studies on hureaulite-type materials have so far been published, the first dates back to Moreira *et al.* (1994), who investigated nine synthetic samples across a complete solid solution series $(\text{Fe}_x\text{Mn}_{5-x})(\text{PO}_4\text{H})_2(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$, determining the site occupation and range of hyperfine parameters. For the pure end-member composition (*i.e.*, $x = 5$) they assigned the doublet with the lowest area fraction to the $M(I)$ site, in accordance with the site occupation ratio of 1:2:2 for

$M(1):M(2):M(3)$. This lowest-intensity doublet had, on the other side, the smallest quadrupole splitting. **Conversely**, the doublet with the largest quadrupole splitting was ascribed to the $M(3)$ site, which has the most distorted oxygen-atom environment of all the three sites. From changes in site occupation numbers of Fe^{2+} across the solid solution, Moreira *et al.* (1994) found that Mn^{2+} preferentially populates the most distorted $M(3)$ site. Later on, Bustamente *et al.* (2005) reinvestigated the Mössbauer spectrum of the synthetic ferrous hureaulite end-member; they used the site assignment proposed by Moreira *et al.* (1994), giving supporting arguments for it from calculation of polyhedral distortional parameters derived from single-crystal X-ray diffraction on the material. Very recently, Dyar *et al.* (2014) compiled a large number of Mössbauer data for iron phosphates, including hureaulite, which contains Fe^{2+} only in two positions, namely the $M(2)$ and the $M(3)$ ones.

We recovered nearly transparent, **pink crystals** of hureaulite from the strongly evolved and Ta/Li-bearing pegmatitic dikes outcropping near the village of Conselheiro Pena (Minas Gerais, Brazil), suitable for single-crystal neutron diffraction investigation. In this light, the aim of the present study is a reinvestigation of the crystal structure and crystal chemistry of a natural hureaulite by means of electron microprobe analysis in wavelength dispersive mode (EPMA-WDS), Mössbauer spectroscopy (on a polycrystalline sample) and single-crystal neutron diffraction in order to provide: *a*) the reliable location of the proton sites, their anisotropic displacement parameters and the real topological configuration of the OH-groups and H_2O molecules, with a full description **of the H-bond network**; *b*) the Mn/Fe-ordering between the octahedral sites; *c*) the oxidation state and the local coordination environment of Mn and Fe.

Sample description and mineralogy

Pale pink, transparent, millimetric crystals of hureaulite from the private collection of one of the authors (P.V., catalogue #3021), coming from the João (Cigana) pegmatite, Conselheiro Pena, Doce Valley, Minas Gerais, Brazil, were used for this multi-methodological study.

The João pegmatite, located on the East side of the Rio Doce river and close to the town of Galiléia, belongs to the Eastern Brazilian Pegmatite Province and is hosted by high-grade mica schists of the São Tomé formation (Pedrosa-Soares *et al.*, 2009). This strongly fractionated pegmatitic dike, belonging to the beryl-columbite-phosphates subtype in the classification of Černý & Ercit (2005), shows a rather simple primary phosphates association given by triphylite+beusite (Baijot *et al.*, 2014, and references therein). According to Baijot *et al.* (2005), three different primary phosphates associations originate several secondary phosphates assemblages containing hureaulite as a common product of alteration. The three different primary phosphate associations originate three different kinds of hureaulite, which are different both for the aspect and chemical composition. The specimen of hureaulite that we used in this study corresponds to the “hureaulite II” described by Baijot *et al.* (2005). **A description of other associated minerals at the João (Cigana) pegmatite, among those the recently discovered correianevesite, is given in Chukanov *et al.* (2014).**

Experimental methods:

1) Chemical analysis

The chemical composition of the hureaulite from João was investigated by EPMA-WDS. After a preliminary EDS investigation, quantitative EPMA-WDS analyses were performed on a polished and carbon-coated fragment of a crystal of approximately

2x2x2 mm³, optically free of defects, using a Jeol JXA-8200 electron microprobe. The system was operated using a defocused electron beam ($\varnothing$ 5 μ m), an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a beam current of 5 nA measured by a Faraday cup and counting time of 30s on the peaks and 10s on the backgrounds. Natural crystals of graffonite (for P, Fe, Mn and Ca), forsterite (for Mg), and rhodonite (for Zn) were used as standards. The results were corrected for matrix effects using a conventional $\phi\rho Z$ routine as implemented in the JEOL suite of programs. The crystal was found to be chemically homogeneous within the analytical error, though with several micro-fractures. The chemical formula, obtained by averaging 7-point analyses, is given in Table 1.

2) Single-crystal X-ray and neutron diffraction experiments

Single crystals of hureaulite, optically free of inclusions under a transmitted-light polarizing microscope, were selected for the diffraction experiments. The unit-cell parameters at 293 K were first measured by single-crystal X-ray diffraction (using a small crystal 0.215 x 0.130 x 0.08 mm³) with a KUMA four-circle diffractometer equipped with a point detector. A total of 38 reflections were centered giving a metrically monoclinic unit-cell with: $a = 17.603(6)$, $b = 9.087(2)$, $c = 9.404(4)$ Å, $\beta = 96.66(4)^\circ$, and $V = 1494.1(9)$ Å³ (Table 2).

A series of crystals were selected for the polychromatic (at 293 K) and monochromatic (at 2.3 K) neutron diffraction experiments. However, the diffraction patterns showed that all the crystals of the hureaulite sample (used in this study) larger than 1 mm³ were severely affected by mosaicity or fractures, which adversely affect the quality of the diffraction data and, as a consequence, of the structure refinement.

Single-crystal neutron Laue data (crystal size: 1.2 x 0.9 x 0.6 mm³) were collected at room temperature on the Laue diffractometer KOALA on the OPAL reactor

at the Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organization (ANSTO). KOALA uses the Laue diffraction technique on an unmonochromated **thermal neutron** beam with a large solid-angle (**8 steradian**) cylindrical image-plate detector to increase the detected diffracted intensity by one-to-two orders of magnitude compared with a conventional monochromatic experiment (McIntyre *et al.*, 2006). A total of twenty Laue diffraction patterns, each accumulated over 3 h, were collected at 15° intervals in rotation of the hureaulite crystal perpendicular to the incident neutron beam. The crystal was rotated 90° about the incident beam after the first 13 patterns to avoid bias in the final refined anisotropic displacement parameters due to the blind region in reciprocal space around the single instrument rotation axis for one crystal orientation alone. The data extended to a minimum d spacing of 0.6 Å. The Laue patterns were indexed using the program LAUEGEN of the Daresbury Laboratory Laue Suite (Campbell, 1995; Campbell *et al.*, 1998), and the reflections integrated using the program INTEGRATE+ (which uses a two-dimensional version of the minimum $\sigma(I)/I$ algorithm, Wilkinson *et al.*, 1988). Correction for absorption was deemed unnecessary in view of the small crystal size. The reflections were normalised for the incident wavelength, using a curve derived by comparing equivalent reflections and multiple observations, and corrected for the different angles of incidence via the local program LAUE4 (Piltz, 2012). Reflections were observed with wavelengths between 0.8 Å and 5.2 Å. In all, 23227 reflections were observed, and yielded 2353 unique reflections in the Laue class $2/m$ (Table 2). The reflection conditions suggested the space group $C2/c$.

A low-temperature single-crystal neutron diffraction experiment was performed on a crystal of hureaulite (3.4 x 3.1 x 2.8 mm³) using the hot source (fast neutrons) diffractometer HEiDi of the neutron source Heinz Maier-Leibnitz-Zentrum (MLZ). The diffraction data were collected at 2.3 K, with a wavelength of the incident beam of

1.1620(2) Å [Ge-311 Monochromator, Er foil filter to suppress $\lambda/3$ contamination]. A ^3He single counter detector was used. The sample was fixed on an aluminium pin (0.8 mm diameter) and mounted on a closed-cycle cryostat to reach a minimum temperature of 2.3 K (± 0.1 K accuracy). The unit-cell parameters were refined on the basis of the 22 Bragg reflections (Table 2), confirming a monoclinic lattice. The unit-cell edges at low temperature are similar to those measured at room temperature, with virtually identical unit-cell volumes. A full set of unique Bragg reflections measured up to $2\theta = 30^\circ$ did not show any violation of the *C*-centered lattice. A total number of 2078 reflections were collected up to $2\theta_{\text{max}} = 102.1^\circ$ (with $-21 \leq h \leq 22$, $-11 \leq k \leq 8$ and $-12 \leq l \leq 12$, Table 2) using a pure ω -scan with corresponding vertical beam collimation to avoid cut-off effects (Table 2), out of which 1473 were unique in the Laue class $2/m$ (Table 2). Integrated intensities were then corrected for the Lorentz effect; absorption correction was found to be negligible. The discrepancy factor for the symmetry related reflections was $R_{\text{int}} = 0.0591$ (Table 2). The reflection conditions suggested the space group $C2/c$. Further details pertaining to the data collection are reported in Table 2.

3) Mössbauer spectroscopy

Transmission ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectra were collected at room temperature using a Mössbauer apparatus (HALDER electronics, Germany) in horizontal arrangement ($^{57}\text{Co/Rh}$ single-line thin source, constant acceleration mode, symmetric triangular velocity shape, multi-channel analyser with 1024 channels, velocity scale calibrated to α -iron). For the Mössbauer spectroscopy experiment, absorber preparation samples were carefully ground under ethanol, filled into **Cu rings** (inner diameter 10 mm and covered with a high-purity **Al foil** on one side), and mixed with epoxy resin to fix the sample.

The folded spectra were analysed using classical full static Hamiltonian site analysis (using Lorentzian-shaped doublets).

Results: Neutron structure refinement of hureaulite

Two structure refinements of hureaulite were performed on the basis of the intensity data collected at 293 K (Laue data, ANSTO) and at 2.3 K (four-circle diffractometer data, MLZ), respectively.

The neutron intensity data collected at 293 and 2.3 K were first processed to calculate the normalized structure factors (E 's) and their statistical distributions using the program E-STATISTICS, as implemented in the WinGX package (Farrugia, 1999). The structure was found to be centrosymmetric (**with 94% likelihood at 2.3 K**). The structure refinements based on the two data sets were then performed in the space group $C2/c$ using the SHELX-97 software (Sheldrick 1997, 2008), starting from the (H-free) structure model of Menchetti & Sabelli (1973). The neutron scattering lengths of Fe, Mn, Ca, P, O, and H were used according to Sears (1986). Since the Laue method does not allow precise estimation of the absolute volume of the unit cell, the refinement at 293 K was conducted with the unit-cell parameters based on single-crystal X-ray diffraction (Table 2). In both neutron refinements, the effects of secondary isotropic extinction were adequately corrected by Larson's formalism (Larson, 1967), as implemented in SHELXL-97. The three independent octahedral sites (*i.e.*, $M(1)$, $M(2)$ and $M(3)$) were modelled with a mixed (Fe + Mn) scattering length and the fractions of Fe and Mn on each site were refined (Table 3). The two independent tetrahedral sites (*i.e.*, $P(1)$ and $P(2)$, Table 3) were modeled with the scattering length of P. When convergence was achieved, five negative residual peaks were found in the final difference-Fourier map of the nuclear density. The final cycles of anisotropic refinement

were then conducted assigning H to these residual peaks (as hydrogen has a negative neutron scattering length; $H(1-5)$ in Table 3). Any attempt to allocate Ca at one of the octahedral sites was unsuccessful, likely due to its low concentration (Table 1). The refinement based on the (Laue) data collected at 293 K was conducted with $M(2)$ modeled isotropically, that at 2.3 K with $M(1)$, $M(2)$ and $M(3)$ modeled isotropically; all the other atomic sites (H included) were refined anisotropically. Convergence was rapidly achieved, with no significant correlation among the refined parameters in the variance-covariance matrix. At the end of the refinements, no peaks larger than $\pm 1.4 \text{ fm}/\text{\AA}^3$ and $\pm 1.0 \text{ fm}/\text{\AA}^3$ were found in the final difference-Fourier map of the nuclear density at 293 and 2.3 K, respectively (Table 2), and their final agreement indexes were $R_1 = 0.0729$ (for 174 refined parameters and 1632 unique reflections with $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$) at 293 K, and $R_1 = 0.0747$ (for 167 refined parameters and 707 unique reflections with $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$) at 2.3 K (Table 2). Site coordinates and displacement parameters are listed in Tables 3, bond lengths and angles in Table 4.

Results: Mössbauer spectrum of hureaulite

The ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectrum of hureaulite is shown in Fig. 2. It shows four well-separated absorption lines which can be evaluated by two or three doublets for Fe^{2+} ; there is no evidence for ferric iron in the sample. While a two-doublet analysis yields acceptable fit, but cannot reproduce a slight asymmetry and exhibits some misfit of the two innermost lines, a three-doublet fit is significantly better (reduced χ^2 of 1.14 as compared to 3.48 for two doublets), and consistent with the findings of Moreira *et al.* (1994) and Bustamente *et al.* (2005). The ^{57}Fe -Mössbauer parameters are listed in Table 5 and are in line with the observations of the literature. All three doublets have similar and, at the same time, small line widths, indicative for well-defined distortion

environments around the Fe^{2+} probe nuclei. Following Bustamente *et al.* (2005), the well-resolved doublet with the largest quadrupole splitting is assigned to the $M(3)$ site. Assuming an equal distribution of iron, the area fraction of this site should be 40%, but the observed value is distinctly below, suggesting a pronounced preference of Mn^{2+} for the $M(3)$ site. The two innermost doublets have almost identical isomer shifts and slightly different quadrupole splitting values. In line with the previous studies, the smaller quadrupole splitting value is assigned to the $M(2)$ site, which thus should show slightly lower polyhedral distortions than the $M(1)$ site. Indeed this is true for both the quadratic octahedral elongation [*i.e.*, $\langle\lambda\rangle$; 1.015 at $M(2)$ and 1.0201 at $M(1)$] and the quadratic octahedral angle variance [*i.e.*, σ^2 , 44.4 for $M(2)$ and 68.1 for $M(1)$] (Robinson *et al.*, 1971), as deduced on the basis of the neutron structure refinement (at 293 K) of this study (Table 4). Additional proof for this site assignment comes from relative area fractions, which are in adequate agreement with the ones obtained from neutron diffraction. The distinct overlap of doublets from $M(1)$ and $M(2)$ site leaves some uncertainties in the exact area fractions for these two sites from Mössbauer spectroscopy, while the relative area fraction on $M(3)$ shows excellent agreement with the results obtained from neutron diffraction.

Discussion

The EPMA-WDS data show that our hureaulite sample has $\text{Mn} \gg \text{Fe}$ (Table 1). Ca and Mg are only minor substituents for these cations on the octahedral sites.

No substituents for P, at the tetrahedral sites, have been found at a significant level. The chemical formula deduced on the basis of the neutron structure refinements at 293 K (*i.e.*, based on Laue data) and at 2.3 K (*i.e.*, based on monochromatic data) are mutually consistent:

$M(1),M(2),M(3)(\text{Mn}_{3.97}\text{Fe}_{1.03})_{\Sigma=5}(\text{P}^{(2)}\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}^{P(1)}\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$ (at 293 K) and

$M(1),M(2),M(3)(\text{Mn}_{3.90}\text{Fe}_{1.10})_{\Sigma=5}(\text{P}^{(2)}\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}^{P(1)}\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$ (at 2.3 K).

There is a good agreement between the chemical formula obtained on the basis of structure refinements and that based on the EPMA-WDS data:

$M(1),M(2),M(3)(\text{Mn}^{2+}_{3.61}\text{Fe}^{2+}_{1.21}\text{Ca}_{0.11}\text{Mg}_{0.03})_{\Sigma=4.96}(\text{P}^{(2)}\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}_{1.04}^{P(1)}\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_{3.92}$, **if we**

consider the calculated number of electrons at the octahedral sites (*i.e.*, $\Sigma e-$

$(M1+M2+M3) = 126.0 e-$ on the basis of the structure refinement at 293 K, $\Sigma e-(M1+M2+M3) =$

124.3 $e-$ on the basis of the EPMA-WDS data, with a difference of 1.4%).

The neutron structure refinements of this study confirm the general structure model of hureaulite previously obtained by X-ray diffraction (*e.g.*, Menchetti & Sabelli 1973; Moore & Araki 1973). The structure contains $\text{P}^{(2)}\text{PO}_4$ and $(\text{HO}^{P(1)}\text{PO}_3)$ building units (with two independent tetrahedral sites: $P(1)$ and $P(2)$; Tables 3 and 4, Fig. 1) and groups of **edge-sharing** $M^{(1)}\text{MO}_6$, $M^{(3)}\text{MO}_5(\text{H}_2\text{O})$ and $M^{(2)}\text{MO}_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2$ units (with three independent octahedral sites: $M(1)$, $M(2)$ and $M(3)$; Tables 3 and 4, Fig. 1). No “zeolitic” H_2O molecules occur in the hureaulite structure. The two independent P-tetrahedra are slightly distorted, as shown by the mean polyhedral quadratic elongation and by the polyhedral angle variance reported in Table 4. The tetrahedral bond distance $P(1)-O(1)$ is longer than the others, and reflects the nature of the $O(1)$ as member of the hydroxyl group $O(1)-H(1)$ (Table 4). More pronounced are the **octahedral** distortions (Table 4).

The octahedral site occupancies determined by neutron refinements show

that although Mn is dominant at all sites $M(1)$ - $M(3)$, the highest fraction of Fe

occurs at the $M(2)$ site (~36%), with lower fractions observed at $M(1)$ and $M(3)$

(~13-15 and ~11-12%, respectively, Table 3). This experimental finding corroborates

the conclusion of Moreira *et al.* (1994) and Bustamante *et al.* (2005) on the basis of

“indirect” evidence (*i.e.*, polyhedral distortion deduced on the basis of X-ray structure refinement and Mössbauer spectroscopy), who reported that Mn^{2+} preferentially populates the most distorted $M(3)$ site.

The neutron structure refinements show that five independent H sites occur in hureaulite with full site occupancy ($H(1-5)$, Table 3), one of them as member of the unique hydroxyl group of the structure ($O(1)-H(1)$, Table 4), and the other four belonging to H_2O molecules ($H(2)-O(9)-H(3)$ and $H(4)-O(10)-H(5)$, Table 4). **Their displacement parameters are fairly anisotropic (Table 3).**

The complex hydrogen bonding scheme in hureaulite structure is now well defined, with five hydrogen bonds energetically favorable (Fig. 3, Table 4). The strongest involves the hydroxyl group, with $O(1)$ as *donor* and $O(8)$ as *acceptor* (*i.e.*, $O(1)-H(1)\cdots O(8)$, with $H(1)\cdots O(8) = 1.566(3)$ Å at 293 K, Fig. 3, Table 4); the other four bonds involve the H_2O molecules, with $O(3)\cdots H(2)-O(9)-H(3)\cdots O(3)$ and $O(1)\cdots H(4)-O(10)-H(5)\cdots O(8)$ (Fig. 3, Table 4). Among the H-bonds of the H_2O molecules, $O(9)-H(2)\cdots O(3)$ is stronger than $O(9)-H(3)\cdots O(3)$ (with $H(2)\cdots O(3) = 1.676(3)$ Å and $H(3)\cdots O(3) = 1.918(3)$ Å at 293 K, Table 4), and $O(10)-H(5)\cdots O(8)$ is stronger than $O(10)-H(4)\cdots O(1)$ (with $H(5)\cdots O(8) = 1.764(4)$ Å and $H(4)\cdots O(1) = 1.820(4)$ Å at 293 K, Fig. 3, Table 4). There is, therefore, an asymmetric configuration of the hydrogen-bonding scheme of the two independent H_2O molecules. The H-O-H angles of the two molecules are slightly different: $H(2)-O(9)-H(3) = 108.5(3)^\circ$ and $H(4)-O(10)-H(5) = 113.9(4)^\circ$ (at 293 K, Table 4). **The H-bonding scheme described above is retained at low temperature (Table 4).**

The present study shows unambiguously that hureaulite contains the HOPO_3^{2-} anion and does not contain H_3O^+ , in contrast to the conclusions of Keller (1971).

The neutron structure refinement at 293 K was conducted with the $M(2)$ site modelled isotropically, and the refinement based on the intensity data collected at 2.3 K was conducted with $M(1)$, $M(2)$ and $M(3)$ modelled isotropically. Fully anisotropic refinements gave displacement ellipsoids with unrealistic shapes. An inspection of the difference-Fourier maps of the nuclear density (phased with structural models without the octahedral sites) did not show any evidence, at a significant level, of potential site **splitting** in order to accommodate different coordination environments (*e.g.*, Fe-Mn or Ca-Mn), which would cause unrealistic displacement parameters if only one site position were considered in the structure refinement. We are inclined to consider that the anomalous shape of the displacement ellipsoids actually reflects problems with the integration of reflection intensities, due to mosaicity and fractures of the crystals.

The ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectrum of hureaulite confirms the absence of ferric iron in the sample. According to the previous observations of Moreira *et al.* (1994) and Busamente *et al.* (2005), the spectrum shows four well-separated absorption lines which are better fitted with three doublets. The well-resolved doublet (with the largest quadrupole splitting) is here assigned to the $M(3)$ site, in line with the pronounced preference of Mn^{2+} for the $M(3)$ site as obtained by the neutron structure refinements. The two innermost doublets have almost identical isomer shifts, though with slightly different quadrupole splitting values; the smaller quadrupole splitting value is assigned to the $M(2)$ site, which is expected to have a slightly lower polyhedral distortions than the $M(1)$ site, according to the experimental findings based on the neutron refinements.

Acknowledgements

This manuscript is dedicated to Thomas Armbruster, in recognition of his important contributions to crystallographic mineralogy. The authors are grateful to Sergio Varvello for providing the specimen of hureaulite. The authors thank, for the allocation of neutron beam time, the neutron source Heinz Maier-Leibnitz Zentrum (MLZ) in Garching (Germany), where the neutron experiment was performed on the instrument HEiDi operated by RWTH Aachen and Forschungszentrum Jülich (Jülich Aachen Research Alliance JARA-FIT), and the Bragg Institute, Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organization. GDG acknowledges the financial support of the Italian Ministry of Education (MIUR) – PRIN 2011, ref. 2010EARRRZ. **The Editor S.**

Krivovichev and two anonymous reviewers are thanked.

References

Alluaud, F. (1826): Notices sur l'hétérosite, l'hureaulite (fer et manganèse phosphatés), et sur quelques autres minéraux du département de la Haute-Vienne. *Annales des Sciences Naturelles*, **8**, 334-354.

Baijot, M., Hatert, F., Dal Bo, F., Philippo, S. (2014): Mineralogy and petrography of phosphates mineral associations from the João pegmatite, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Can. Mineral.*, **52**, 373-397.

Busing, W.R. & Levy, H.A. (1964): The effect of thermal motion on the estimation of bond lengths from diffraction measurements. *Acta Crystallogr.*, **17**, 142-146.

Bustamante, A., Mattievich, E., de Amoim, H.S., Vencato, I., Silveira, M.M. (2005): The Mössbauer spectrum of synthetic hureaulite: $\text{Fe}_5^{2+}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\text{PO}_4\text{H})_2(\text{PO}_4)_2$. *Hyperfine Inter.*, **166**, 599–603.

- Campbell, J.W. (1995): LAUEGEN, an X-windows-based program for the processing of Laue diffraction data. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **28**, 228-236.
- Campbell, J.W., Hao, Q., Harding, M.M., Nguti, N.D., Wilkinson, C. (1998): LAUEGEN version 6.0 and INTLDM. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **31**, 496-502.
- Černý, P. & Ercit, T.S. (2005): The classification of granitic pegmatites revisited. *Can. Mineral.*, **43**, 2005-2026.
- Chukanov, N.V., Scholz, R., Zubkova, N.V., Pekov, I.V., Belakovskiy, D.I., Van, K.V., Lagoeiro, L., Graça, L.M., Krambrock, K., de Oliveira, L.C.A, Menezes Filho, L.A.D., Chaves, L.M.S.C., Pushcharovsky, D.Y. (2014) Correianevesite, $\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Mn}_2^{2+}(\text{PO}_4)_2 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O}$, a new reddingite-group mineral from the Cigana mine, Conselheiro Pena, Minas Gerais, Brazil. *Am. Mineral.*, **99**, 811-816.**
- Farrugia, L.J. (1999): WinGX suite for small-molecule single-crystal crystallography. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **32**, 837-838.
- Fransolet, A.-M. (1976): L'huréaulite: ses propriétés minéralogiques et son rôle dans l'évolution génétique des phases $\text{Li}(\text{Fe,Mn})\text{PO}_4$. *Bull. Soc. Franç. Minéral. Crystallogr.*, **99**, 261–273.
- Frost, R.L. & Erickson, K.L. (2005): Near-infrared spectroscopic study of selected hydrated hydroxylated phosphates. *Spectroch. Acta Part A - Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, **61**, 45-50.
- Gatta, G.D., Nénert, G., Vignola, P. (2013a): Coexisting hydroxyl groups and H_2O molecules in minerals: A single-crystal neutron diffraction study of eosphorite, $\text{MnAlPO}_4(\text{OH})_2 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$. *Am. Mineral.*, **98**, 1297–1301.
- Gatta, G.D., Vignola, P., Meven, M., Rinaldi, R. (2013b): Neutron diffraction in gemology: Single-crystal diffraction study of brazilianite, $\text{NaAl}_3(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{OH})_4$. *Am. Mineral.*, **98**, 1624–1630.

- Gatta, G.D., Jacobsen, S.D., Vignola, P., McIntyre, G.J., Guastella, G., Abate, L.F. (2014a): Single-crystal neutron diffraction and Raman spectroscopic study of hydroxylherderite, $\text{CaBePO}_4(\text{OH},\text{F})$. *Min. Mag.*, **78**, 723-737.
- Gatta, G.D., Vignola, P., Meven, M. (2014b): On the complex H-bonding network in paravauxite, $\text{Fe}^{2+}\text{Al}_2(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{OH})_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O}$: A single-crystal neutron diffraction study. *Min. Mag.*, **78**, 841–850.
- Huminicki, D.M.C. & Hawthorne, F.C. (2002): The crystal chemistry of phosphate minerals. In M.L. Kohn, J. Rakovan, and J.M. Hughes, Eds., *Phosphates*, **48**, 123–253. Reviews in Mineralogy and Geochemistry, Mineralogical Society of America, Chantilly, Virginia.
- Larson, A.C. (1967): Inclusion of secondary extinction in least-squares calculations. *Acta Crystallogr.*, **23**, 664 – 665.
- McIntyre, G.J., Lemée-Cailleau, M.-H., Wilkinson, C. (2006): High-Speed Neutron Laue Diffraction Comes of Age. *Physica B*, **385-386**, 1055-1058.
- Menchetti, S. & Sabelli C. (1973): The crystal structure of hureaulite, $\text{Mn}_5(\text{HPO}_4)_2(\text{PO}_4)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4$. *Acta Crystallogr.*, **B29**, 2541-2548.
- Moore, P.B. & Araki, T. (1973): Hureaulite, $\text{Mn}_5^{2+}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4[\text{PO}_3(\text{OH})]_2[\text{PO}_4]_2$: Its atomic arrangement. *Am. Mineral.*, **58**, 302-307.
- Pedrosa-Soares, A.C., Chaves, M., Scholz, R. (2009): Eastern Brazilian pegmatite province. *4th International Symposium on Granitic Pegmatites, Field trip guide*, 1–28.
- Piltz, R.O. (2011): Accurate data analysis for the Koala and VIVALDI neutron Laue diffractometers. Proceedings of the XXII IUCr Congress, Madrid (Spain), 22-30 August 2011. *Acta Crystallogr.*, **A67**, C155.

Sears, V.F. (1986): Neutron Scattering Lengths and Cross-Sections. In K. Sköld and D.L. Price, Eds., Neutron Scattering, Methods of Experimental Physics, Vol. 23A, 521-550. Academic Press, New York.

Sheldrick, G.M. (1997): SHELX-97. Programs for crystal structure determination and refinement. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Sheldrick, G.M. (2008): A short history of *SHELX*. *Acta Crystallogr.*, **A64**, 112-122.

Simmons, W., Webber, K.L., Falster, A.U., Nizamoff, J.W. (2003): Pegmatology – Pegmatite Mineralogy, Petrology and Petrogenesis. Rubellite Press, New Orleans, Louisiana, p. 176.

Vauquelin, L.N. (1825): Analyse de l'hureaulite, minéral trouvé dans la commune d'Hureaux. *Annales de Chimie et de Physique*, **3**, 302-307.

Vignola, P., Gatta, G.D., Hatert, F., Guastoni, A., Bersani, D. (2014): On the crystal-chemistry of a near end-member triplite, $\text{Mn}^{2+}_2(\text{PO}_4)\text{F}$, from Codera valley (Sondrio Province, Central Alps, Italy). *Can. Mineral.*, **52**, 235-245.

Wilkinson, C., Khamis, H.W., Stansfield, R.F.D., McIntyre, G.J. (1988): Integration of single-crystal reflections using area multidetectors. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, **21**, 471-478.

Table and Figure captions

Table 1. Representative composition of the hureaulite from João (Cigana) pegmatite, based on EPMA-WDS analysis (average of 7 data points). The chemical formula (calculated on the basis of 4 *a.p.f.u.* of P and of charge balance between cationic and anionic population) is the following:




Table 2. Details of neutron data collections and refinements of hureaulite.

Table 3. Site coordinates, occupancy factor (*s.o.f.*) and anisotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2$) of hureaulite based on the structure refinements at 293 K and 2.3 K. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2\pi^2[(ha^*)^2U_{11} + \dots + 2hka^*b^*U_{12} + \dots + 2klb^*c^*U_{23}]$. U_{eq} is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalised U_{ij} tensor.

Table 4. Relevant bond distances ($\text{\AA}$) and angles ($^\circ$) in the hureaulite structure at 293 and 2.3 K.


Table 5. ^{57}Fe Mössbauer parameters of hureaulite.

Figure 1. Two views of the crystal structure of hureaulite based on the neutron structure refinement (at 293 K) of this study. Displacement ellipsoid probability factor: 50%.

Figure 2. The ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectrum of hureaulite at room temperature and the three-doublets fit (reduced χ^2 of 1.14; see text for details).

Figure 3. Clinographic view of the crystal structure of hureaulite with the hydrogen-bonding scheme based on the neutron structure refinement (at 293 K) of this study. Displacement ellipsoid probability factor: 50%.

Table 1. Representative composition of the hureaulite from João (Cigana) pegmatite, based on EPMA-WDS analysis (average of 7 data points). The chemical formula (calculated on the basis of 4 *a.p.f.u.* of P and of charge balance between cationic and anionic population) is the following:



	wt%	<i>e.s.d.</i>		<i>a.p.f.u.</i> [§]
P ₂ O ₅	39.20	0.50	P	4.00
FeO	12.03	0.16	Fe ²⁺	1.21
MnO	35.38	0.71	Mn ²⁺	3.61
MgO	0.15	0.04	Mg	0.03
ZnO	0.04	0.03	Zn	< 0.01
CaO	0.85	0.08	Ca	0.11
H ₂ O*	12.35		H	9.88
TOTAL	100.00			

* calculated by difference to 100 wt%;

§ calculated on the basis of: *a*) 4 *a.p.f.u.* of P and *b*) charge balance between cationic and anionic population.

Table 2. Details of neutron data collections and refinements of hureaulite.

Crystal shape	Prismatic	Prismatic
Crystal size (mm ³)	1.2 x 0.9 x 0.6	3.4 x 3.1 x 2.8
Crystal colour	Pale pink	Pale pink
Unit-cell parameters	$a = 17.603(6) \text{ \AA}$ $b = 9.087(2) \text{ \AA}$ $c = 9.404(4) \text{ \AA}$ $\beta = 96.66(4)^\circ$ $V = 1494.1(9) \text{ \AA}^3$	$a = 17.466(6) \text{ \AA}$ $b = 9.104(5) \text{ \AA}$ $c = 9.457(4) \text{ \AA}$ $\beta = 96.33(6)^\circ$ $V = 1494.6(12) \text{ \AA}^3$
Reference formula	(Mn,Fe) ₅ (PO ₄) ₂ (HPO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄	(Mn,Fe) ₅ (PO ₄) ₂ (HPO ₄) ₂ (H ₂ O) ₄
Space Group	<i>C2/c</i>	<i>C2/c</i>
Z	4	4
T (K)	293	2.3
Radiation	Polychromatic, neutron	Monochromatic, neutron
Diffractionmeter	KOALA, Laue diffractometer	HEiDi, 4-circle diffractometer
$\lambda_{\min}/\lambda_{\max}$ (Å)	0.8 / 5.2	1.1620
Scan type, steps and width: - type	Stationary crystal – stationary detector Laue patterns at 15° intervals around the vertical axis; detector solid angle: +/-144° horizontally, +/-52° vertically	Pure ω -scan; 2 θ <70°: 63 steps, 60' vertical collimation; 2 θ >70°: 51 steps, 30' collimation
- time per step (s)		2 - 8
- width; u, v, q		25, 0, 0
$d_{\min}$ (Å)	0.6	0.75
	-26 ≤ h ≤ 26	-21 ≤ h ≤ 22
	-13 ≤ k ≤ 13	-11 ≤ k ≤ 8
	-14 ≤ l ≤ 14	-12 ≤ l ≤ 12
No. measured reflections	23227	2078
No. unique reflections	2353	1473
No. unique refl. with $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$	1632	707
No. refined parameters	174	167
R_{int}	0.0742	0.0591
$R_I(F)$ with $F_o > 4\sigma(F_o)$	0.0729	0.0747
$R_I(F)$ all unique reflections	0.1195	0.1289
$wR_2(F^2)$	0.1237	0.1240
GooF	1.451	1.547
Weighting Scheme: a, b	0.01, 0	0.01, 0
Residuals (fm/Å ³)	-1.2/ +1.4	-0.9/+1.0
Notes: $R_{\text{int}} = \Sigma F_{\text{obs}}^2 - F_{\text{obs}}^2(\text{mean}) / \Sigma [F_{\text{obs}}^2]$; $R_\sigma = \Sigma [\sigma(F_{\text{obs}}^2)] / \Sigma [F_{\text{obs}}^2]$; $R_1 = \Sigma F_{\text{obs}} - F_{\text{calc}} / \Sigma F_{\text{obs}}$; $wR_2 = [\Sigma [w(F_{\text{obs}}^2 - F_{\text{calc}}^2)^2] / \Sigma [w(F_{\text{obs}}^2)^2]]^{0.5}$, $w = 1 / [\sigma^2(F_{\text{obs}}^2) + (aP)^2 + bP]$, $P = (\text{Max}(F_{\text{obs}}^2, 0) + 2F_{\text{calc}}^2) / 3$. For the Laue data: unit-cell parameters based on single-crystal X-ray diffraction data. For the HEiDi data: ω-scan width = $(u + v \cdot \tan\theta + q \cdot \tan^2\theta)^{0.5}$.		

Table 3. Site coordinates, occupancy factor (*s.o.f.*) and anisotropic displacement parameters ($\text{\AA}^2$) of hureaulite based on the structure refinements at 293 K and 2.3 K. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2\pi^2[(ha^*)^2U_{11} + \dots + 2hka^*b^*U_{12} + \dots + 2klb^*c^*U_{23}]$. U_{eq} is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalised U_{ij} tensor.

Site	<i>s.o.f.</i>	<i>x</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>z</i>	$U_{iso/eq}$	U_{11}	U_{22}	U_{33}	U_{12}	U_{13}	U_{23}
293 K											
<i>M(1)</i>	Mn 0.869(4), Fe 0.131(4)	0.5	0.6027(7)	0.75	0.013(1)	0.014(2)	0.013(3)	0.013(2)	0	0.003(2)	0
<i>M(2)</i>	Mn 0.645(2), Fe 0.355(2)	0.1898(4)	0.4133(10)	0.3087(7)	0.012(1)*	0.017(1)	0.008(2)	0.010(1)	0.001(1)	0.002(1)	0.001(1)
<i>M(3)</i>	Mn 0.895(3), Fe 0.105(3)	0.17431(17)	0.02811(43)	0.36438(34)	0.012(1)*	0.0125(4)	0.0070(7)	0.0076(5)	0.0001(5)	-0.0001(4)	-0.0001(5)
<i>P(1)</i>	P 1	0.08266(7)	0.17943(18)	0.08977(14)	0.0092(3)	0.0116(5)	0.0067(8)	0.0086(6)	-0.0009(5)	-0.0001(5)	-0.0003(5)
<i>P(2)</i>	P 1	0.33915(8)	0.23885(18)	0.37191(17)	0.0091(3)	0.0116(5)	0.0067(8)	0.0086(6)	-0.0009(5)	-0.0001(5)	-0.0003(5)
<i>O(1)</i>	O 1	0.01178(7)	0.28479(20)	0.08013(15)	0.0169(3)	0.0197(5)	0.0146(8)	0.0154(6)	0.0079(5)	-0.0017(5)	-0.0021(6)
<i>O(2)</i>	O 1	0.07673(7)	0.06661(18)	0.20577(13)	0.0138(3)	0.0188(5)	0.0111(7)	0.0115(5)	-0.0010(5)	0.0015(4)	0.0036(5)
<i>O(3)</i>	O 1	0.15412(7)	0.27155(19)	0.13394(16)	0.0143(3)	0.0147(5)	0.0148(7)	0.0135(6)	-0.0047(5)	0.0013(4)	-0.0034(6)
<i>O(4)</i>	O 1	0.41560(6)	0.39148(18)	0.05563(13)	0.0138(3)	0.0163(4)	0.0147(7)	0.0101(5)	0.0019(5)	0.0001(4)	-0.0054(5)
<i>O(5)</i>	O 1	0.16365(7)	0.23732(16)	0.46677(13)	0.0117(3)	0.0170(4)	0.0101(7)	0.0081(5)	-0.0007(4)	0.0016(4)	-0.0006(5)
<i>O(6)</i>	O 1	0.29824(6)	0.09561(17)	0.32610(13)	0.0123(3)	0.0151(4)	0.0079(7)	0.0139(5)	-0.0023(5)	0.0016(4)	-0.0016(5)
<i>O(7)</i>	O 1	0.29781(6)	0.36710(17)	0.28986(13)	0.0127(3)	0.0136(4)	0.0103(7)	0.0142(5)	0.0018(5)	0.0014(4)	0.0039(5)
<i>O(8)</i>	O 1	0.42400(7)	0.23415(16)	0.34240(14)	0.0117(3)	0.0118(4)	0.0096(7)	0.0138(5)	0.0001(4)	0.0024(4)	-0.0001(5)
<i>O(9)</i>	O 1	0.26007(7)	0.08052(17)	0.03058(14)	0.0137(3)	0.0180(5)	0.0112(8)	0.0119(5)	0.0008(5)	0.0021(4)	0.0005(6)
<i>O(10)</i>	O 1	0.42233(9)	0.00917(19)	0.15302(20)	0.0214(4)	0.0287(6)	0.0180(10)	0.0279(8)	-0.0027(6)	0.0051(6)	-0.0085(7)
<i>H(1)</i>	H 1	-0.02408(14)	0.27640(35)	-0.01105(29)	0.0266(6)	0.027(1)	0.026(2)	0.026(1)	0.007(1)	-0.001(1)	-0.001(1)
<i>H(2)</i>	H 1	0.29873(14)	0.13063(34)	-0.02110(26)	0.0261(6)	0.033(1)	0.024(2)	0.023(1)	-0.001(1)	0.004(1)	0.004(1)
<i>H(3)</i>	H 1	0.23782(16)	0.15189(37)	0.08873(29)	0.0310(6)	0.042(1)	0.025(2)	0.028(1)	0.001(1)	0.013(1)	-0.007(1)
<i>H(4)</i>	H 1	0.46576(16)	-0.05140(47)	0.14133(38)	0.0430(8)	0.032(1)	0.045(2)	0.052(2)	0.005(1)	0.008(1)	-0.018(2)
<i>H(5)</i>	H 1	0.43350(16)	0.09080(38)	0.21929(36)	0.0358(7)	0.035(1)	0.026(2)	0.046(2)	-0.006(1)	0.002(1)	-0.014(2)
2.3 K											
<i>M(1)</i>	Mn 0.855(7), Fe 0.145(7)	0.5	0.6041(16)	0.75	0.016(4)						
<i>M(2)</i>	Mn 0.640(6), Fe 0.360(6)	0.1932(12)	0.424(2)	0.313(2)	0.028(6)						
<i>M(3)</i>	Mn 0.883(6), Fe 0.117(6)	0.1740(5)	0.0275(10)	0.3638(8)	0.020(3)						
<i>P(1)</i>	P 1	0.0831(2)	0.1799(4)	0.0896(4)	0.0235(9)	0.025(2)	0.025(2)	0.0196(16)	-0.0041(18)	0.0067(14)	0.0020(14)
<i>P(2)</i>	P 1	0.3390(2)	0.2396(5)	0.3725(4)	0.0229(8)	0.0257(18)	0.023(2)	0.0199(15)	-0.0041(19)	0.0036(13)	0.0016(16)
<i>O(1)</i>	O 1	0.0114(2)	0.2862(4)	0.0803(4)	0.0275(8)	0.0313(19)	0.030(2)	0.0222(14)	0.0003(16)	0.0097(14)	-0.0007(14)
<i>O(2)</i>	O 1	0.0766(2)	0.0677(4)	0.2059(3)	0.0257(8)	0.031(2)	0.0271(19)	0.0202(14)	0.0022(17)	0.0060(13)	0.0029(14)
<i>O(3)</i>	O 1	0.1552(2)	0.2730(4)	0.1339(3)	0.0275(8)	0.0320(19)	0.0267(19)	0.0249(15)	-0.0002(18)	0.0080(13)	-0.0014(15)
<i>O(4)</i>	O 1	0.4161(2)	0.3914(4)	0.0568(3)	0.0260(8)	0.0348(19)	0.0226(18)	0.0213(14)	0.0007(17)	0.0065(13)	0.0024(13)
<i>O(5)</i>	O 1	0.16338(18)	0.2385(4)	0.4662(3)	0.0253(8)	0.0265(17)	0.030(2)	0.0208(13)	0.0011(18)	0.0072(12)	-0.0004(13)
<i>O(6)</i>	O 1	0.2978(2)	0.0949(4)	0.3269(3)	0.0249(8)	0.0296(19)	0.024(2)	0.0225(15)	0.0015(16)	0.0071(13)	0.0016(13)
<i>O(7)</i>	O 1	0.2981(2)	0.3665(4)	0.2895(3)	0.0258(8)	0.027(2)	0.027(2)	0.0244(15)	-0.0002(17)	0.0090(14)	-0.0017(14)
<i>O(8)</i>	O 1	0.42456(18)	0.2330(4)	0.3421(3)	0.0239(7)	0.0297(17)	0.0212(18)	0.0220(13)	-0.0005(16)	0.0081(12)	-0.0042(13)
<i>O(9)</i>	O 1	0.2591(2)	0.0813(4)	0.0301(3)	0.0251(8)	0.0289(19)	0.027(2)	0.0215(16)	-0.0027(18)	0.0102(14)	0.0035(15)
<i>O(10)</i>	O 1	0.4223(2)	0.0101(5)	0.1523(4)	0.0275(8)	0.0317(19)	0.031(2)	0.0202(15)	0.004(2)	0.0063(13)	-0.0037(17)
<i>H(1)</i>	H 1	-0.0241(3)	0.2779(7)	-0.0113(6)	0.0329(14)	0.029(3)	0.039(3)	0.031(3)	0.001(3)	0.004(2)	-0.005(3)
<i>H(2)</i>	H 1	0.2999(4)	0.1292(7)	-0.0215(6)	0.0364(15)	0.040(4)	0.036(4)	0.037(3)	0.002(3)	0.019(3)	0.009(3)
<i>H(3)</i>	H 1	0.2373(4)	0.1518(7)	0.0890(6)	0.0372(15)	0.053(4)	0.028(3)	0.034(3)	0.003(3)	0.019(3)	-0.002(3)
<i>H(4)</i>	H 1	0.4657(4)	-0.0528(8)	0.1424(7)	0.0430(17)	0.039(4)	0.047(4)	0.045(3)	0.009(4)	0.016(3)	-0.013(3)
<i>H(5)</i>	H 1	0.4340(4)	0.0910(8)	0.2200(7)	0.0378(15)	0.043(4)	0.034(4)	0.036(3)	-0.007(3)	0.000(3)	-0.010(3)

*Restrained to the same value.

Table 4. Relevant bond distances (Å) and angles (°) in the hureaulite structure at 293 and 2.3 K.

293 K				2.3 K			
<i>M(1) - O(2) x 2</i>	2.120(5)	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(2)</i>	87.0(3)	<i>M(1) - O(2) x 2</i>	2.129(12)	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(2)</i>	85.5(6)
<i>M(1) - O(4) x 2</i>	2.218(2)	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(4)</i>	103.7(2)	<i>M(1) - O(4) x 2</i>	2.213(4)	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(4)</i>	103.0(4)
<i>M(1) - O(8) x 2</i>	2.239(5)	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(4)</i>	78.3(2)	<i>M(1) - O(8) x 2</i>	2.224(11)	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(4)</i>	78.6(3)
<i><M(1) - O></i>	2.192	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	168.4(2)	<i><M(1) - O></i>	2.189	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	168.1(3)
<i>V_(M1polyh)</i>	13.65	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	88.9(1)	<i>V_(M1polyh)</i>	13.61	<i>O(2) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	90.0(2)
<i><λ>_(M1polyh)</i>	1.0201	<i>O(4) - M(1) - O(4)</i>	177.2(4)	<i><λ>_(M1polyh)</i>	1.0186	<i>O(4) - M(1) - O(4)</i>	177.9(8)
<i>σ²_(M1polyh)</i>	68.1	<i>O(4) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	86.0(2)	<i>σ²_(M1polyh)</i>	62.5	<i>O(4) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	86.8(3)
		<i>O(4) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	92.2(2)			<i>O(4) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	91.8(3)
		<i>O(8) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	97.1(3)			<i>O(8) - M(1) - O(8)</i>	96.4(6)
<i>M(2) - O(3)</i>	2.125(9)			<i>M(2) - O(3)</i>	2.22(3)		
<i>M(2) - O(5)</i>	2.266(9)			<i>M(2) - O(5)</i>	2.32(3)		
<i>M(2) - O(6)</i>	2.112(9)	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(5)</i>	90.9(4)	<i>M(2) - O(6)</i>	2.06(3)	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(5)</i>	87.6(8)
<i>M(2) - O(7)</i>	1.974(7)	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(6)</i>	93.0(3)	<i>M(2) - O(7)</i>	1.94(3)	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(6)</i>	90.9(8)
<i>M(2) - O(9)</i>	2.250(9)	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(7)</i>	90.2(4)	<i>M(2) - O(9)</i>	2.16(3)	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(7)</i>	87.3(9)
<i>M(2) - O(10)</i>	2.225(8)	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(9)</i>	170.6(4)	<i>M(2) - O(10)</i>	2.22(3)	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(9)</i>	172.5(11)
<i><M(2) - O></i>	2.159	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	100.0(3)	<i><M(2) - O></i>	2.16	<i>O(3) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	97.5(9)
<i>V_(M2polyh)</i>	13.16	<i>O(5) - M(2) - O(6)</i>	171.6(4)	<i>V_(M2polyh)</i>	13.17	<i>O(5) - M(2) - O(6)</i>	171.4(11)
<i><λ>_(M2polyh)</i>	1.0150	<i>O(5) - M(2) - O(7)</i>	100.4(4)	<i><λ>_(M2polyh)</i>	1.0124	<i>O(5) - M(2) - O(7)</i>	99.0(10)
<i>σ²_(M2polyh)</i>	44.4	<i>O(5) - M(2) - O(9)</i>	97.5(3)	<i>σ²_(M2polyh)</i>	28.9	<i>O(5) - M(2) - O(9)</i>	98.6(8)
		<i>O(5) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	85.3(3)			<i>O(5) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	84.0(8)
		<i>O(6) - M(2) - O(7)</i>	87.1(3)			<i>O(6) - M(2) - O(7)</i>	89.4(9)
<i>M(3) - O(2)</i>	2.169(4)	<i>O(6) - M(2) - O(9)</i>	79.3(4)	<i>M(3) - O(2)</i>	2.167(9)	<i>O(6) - M(2) - O(9)</i>	83.6(9)
<i>M(3) - O(4)</i>	2.211(4)	<i>O(6) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	86.7(4)	<i>M(3) - O(4)</i>	2.200(10)	<i>O(6) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	87.8(9)
<i>M(3) - O(5)</i>	2.149(5)	<i>O(7) - M(2) - O(9)</i>	84.1(3)	<i>M(3) - O(5)</i>	2.169(10)	<i>O(7) - M(2) - O(9)</i>	87.7(9)
<i>M(3) - O(6)</i>	2.334(4)	<i>O(7) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	168.3(5)	<i>M(3) - O(6)</i>	2.311(9)	<i>O(7) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	174.5(13)
<i>M(3) - O(7)</i>	2.157(4)	<i>O(9) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	85.0(3)	<i>M(3) - O(7)</i>	2.155(10)	<i>O(9) - M(2) - O(10)</i>	87.2(8)
<i>M(3) - O(9)</i>	2.269(4)			<i>M(3) - O(9)</i>	2.269(9)		
<i><M(3) - O></i>	2.215			<i><M(3) - O></i>	2.212		
<i>V_(M3polyh)</i>	13.27	<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(4)</i>	77.5(2)	<i>V_(M3polyh)</i>	13.26	<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(4)</i>	78.1(3)
<i><λ>_(31polyh)</i>	1.0609	<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(5)</i>	93.6(2)	<i><λ>_(31polyh)</i>	1.0587	<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(5)</i>	93.4(4)
<i>σ²_(M3polyh)</i>	191.8	<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(6)</i>	122.1(2)	<i>σ²_(M3polyh)</i>	186.9	<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(6)</i>	121.9(4)
		<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(7)</i>	82.6(2)			<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(7)</i>	82.5(4)
		<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	162.6(3)			<i>O(2) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	162.8(5)
<i>P(1) - O(1)</i>	1.567(3)	<i>O(4) - M(3) - O(5)</i>	104.0(2)	<i>P(1) - O(1)</i>	1.579(6)	<i>O(4) - M(3) - O(5)</i>	104.3(4)
<i>P(1) - O(2)</i>	1.509(3)	<i>O(4) - M(3) - O(6)</i>	156.8(2)	<i>P(1) - O(2)</i>	1.515(6)	<i>O(4) - M(3) - O(6)</i>	156.6(5)
<i>P(1) - O(3)</i>	1.528(2)	<i>O(4) - M(3) - O(7)</i>	94.3(2)	<i>P(1) - O(3)</i>	1.536(6)	<i>O(4) - M(3) - O(7)</i>	94.0(4)
<i>P(1) - O(4)</i>	1.515(2)	<i>O(4) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	88.1(2)	<i>P(1) - O(4)</i>	1.531(5)	<i>O(4) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	87.5(4)
<i><P(1) - O></i>	1.530	<i>O(5) - M(3) - O(6)</i>	88.2(2)	<i><P(1) - O></i>	1.540	<i>O(5) - M(3) - O(6)</i>	87.7(4)
<i>V_(P1polyh)</i>	1.83	<i>O(5) - M(3) - O(7)</i>	160.1(2)	<i>V_(P1polyh)</i>	1.87	<i>O(5) - M(3) - O(7)</i>	160.1(5)
<i><λ>_(P1polyh)</i>	1.0013	<i>O(5) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	99.3(2)	<i><λ>_(P1polyh)</i>	1.0018	<i>O(5) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	99.1(4)
<i>σ²_(P1polyh)</i>	4.53	<i>O(6) - M(3) - O(7)</i>	77.6(2)	<i>σ²_(P1polyh)</i>	6.95	<i>O(6) - M(3) - O(7)</i>	78.1(3)
		<i>O(6) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	70.2(1)			<i>O(6) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	70.6(3)
<i>P(2) - O(5)</i>	1.539(3)	<i>O(7) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	89.0(2)	<i>P(2) - O(5)</i>	1.543(5)	<i>O(7) - M(3) - O(9)</i>	89.4(4)
<i>P(2) - O(6)</i>	1.525(3)			<i>P(2) - O(6)</i>	1.540(6)		
<i>P(2) - O(7)</i>	1.534(3)			<i>P(2) - O(7)</i>	1.529(6)		
<i>P(2) - O(8)</i>	1.551(2)	<i>O(1) - P(1) - O(2)</i>	109.6(2)	<i>P(2) - O(8)</i>	1.554(5)	<i>O(1) - P(1) - O(2)</i>	109.3(3)
<i><P(2) - O></i>	1.537	<i>O(1) - P(1) - O(3)</i>	107.8(2)	<i><P(2) - O></i>	1.541	<i>O(1) - P(1) - O(3)</i>	107.4(4)
<i>V_(P2polyh)</i>	1.86	<i>O(1) - P(1) - O(4)</i>	107.9(2)	<i>V_(P2polyh)</i>	1.88	<i>O(1) - P(1) - O(4)</i>	107.2(3)
<i><λ>_(P2polyh)</i>	1.0003	<i>O(2) - P(1) - O(3)</i>	107.4(2)	<i><λ>_(P2polyh)</i>	1.0002	<i>O(2) - P(1) - O(3)</i>	107.4(3)
<i>σ²_(P2polyh)</i>	0.79	<i>O(2) - P(1) - O(4)</i>	111.9(2)	<i>σ²_(P2polyh)</i>	0.52	<i>O(2) - P(1) - O(4)</i>	112.3(4)
		<i>O(3) - P(1) - O(4)</i>	112.1(2)			<i>O(3) - P(1) - O(4)</i>	113.1(4)
		<i>O(5) - P(2) - O(6)</i>	109.3(2)			<i>O(5) - P(2) - O(6)</i>	108.9(3)
		<i>O(5) - P(2) - O(7)</i>	108.7(2)			<i>O(5) - P(2) - O(7)</i>	110.4(4)
<i>O(1)-H(1)</i>	1.007(3)	<i>O(5) - P(2) - O(8)</i>	108.8(2)	<i>O(1)-H(1)</i>	1.011(6)	<i>O(5) - P(2) - O(8)</i>	108.6(3)
<i>O(1)-H(1)*</i>	1.017	<i>O(6) - P(2) - O(7)</i>	109.0(2)	<i>O(1)-H(1)*</i>	1.019	<i>O(6) - P(2) - O(7)</i>	109.0(3)
<i>O(1)---O(8)</i>	2.572(2)	<i>O(6) - P(2) - O(8)</i>	111.0(2)	<i>O(1)---O(8)</i>	2.579(5)	<i>O(6) - P(2) - O(8)</i>	110.1(4)
<i>H(1)---O(8)</i>	1.566(3)	<i>O(7) - P(2) - O(8)</i>	110.0(2)	<i>H(1)---O(8)</i>	1.569(6)	<i>O(7) - P(2) - O(8)</i>	109.8(3)
<i>O(1)-H(1)---O(8)</i>	176.8(3)			<i>O(1)-H(1)---O(8)</i>	177.0(6)		
<i>O(9)-H(2)</i>	0.992(3)	<i>O(10)-H(4)</i>	0.959(4)	<i>O(9)-H(2)</i>	1.007(8)	<i>O(10)-H(4)</i>	0.963(8)
<i>O(9)-H(2)*</i>	1.007	<i>O(10)-H(4)*</i>	0.988	<i>O(9)-H(2)*</i>	1.020	<i>O(10)-H(4)*</i>	0.987
<i>O(9)---O(3)</i>	2.650(2)	<i>O(10)---O(1)</i>	2.712(2)	<i>O(9)---O(3)</i>	2.631(5)	<i>O(10)---O(1)</i>	2.696(6)
<i>H(2)---O(3)</i>	1.676(3)	<i>H(4)---O(1)</i>	1.820(4)	<i>H(2)---O(3)</i>	1.650(7)	<i>H(4)---O(1)</i>	1.797(8)
<i>O(9)-H(2)---O(3)</i>	166.5(3)	<i>O(10)-H(4)---O(1)</i>	153.7(3)	<i>O(9)-H(2)---O(3)</i>	163.4(6)	<i>O(10)-H(4)---O(1)</i>	153.9(7)
<i>O(9)-H(3)</i>	0.961(3)	<i>O(10)-H(5)</i>	0.974(4)	<i>O(9)-H(3)</i>	0.956(8)	<i>O(10)-H(5)</i>	0.983(8)
<i>O(9)-H(3)*</i>	0.985	<i>O(10)-H(5)*</i>	0.993	<i>O(9)-H(3)*</i>	0.976	<i>O(10)-H(5)*</i>	0.999
<i>O(9)---O(3)</i>	2.803(2)	<i>O(10)---O(8)</i>	2.709(2)	<i>O(9)---O(3)</i>	2.774(5)	<i>O(10)---O(8)</i>	2.708(5)
<i>H(3)---O(3)</i>	1.918(3)	<i>H(5)---O(8)</i>	1.764(4)	<i>H(3)---O(3)</i>	1.894(8)	<i>H(5)---O(8)</i>	1.754(8)
<i>O(9)-H(3)---O(3)</i>	152.0(3)	<i>O(10)-H(5)---O(8)</i>	162.8(3)	<i>O(9)-H(3)---O(3)</i>	151.9(6)	<i>O(10)-H(5)---O(8)</i>	162.6(7)
<i>H(2)-O(9)-H(3)</i>	108.5(3)	<i>H(4)-O(10)-H(5)</i>	113.9(4)	<i>H(2)-O(9)-H(3)</i>	109.9(6)	<i>H(4)-O(10)-H(5)</i>	113.6(7)

*Bond distance corrected for "riding motion" following Busing and Levy (1964).

Table 5. ^{57}Fe Mössbauer parameters of hureaulite.

IS (mm/s)	QS (mm/s)	HWHM (mm/s)	Area (%)	Site assignment
1.253(3)	2.445(3)	0.151(4)	19.1(2)	$\text{Fe}^{2+}(M(3))$
1.226(3)	1.439(9)	0.127(6)	19.8(9)	$\text{Fe}^{2+}(M(1))$
1.233(3)	1.222(8)	0.131(6)	61.1(9)	$\text{Fe}^{2+}(M(2))$

Notes: IS = isomer shift, QS = quadrupole splitting with respect to α - iron at room temperature, HWHM = half width at half maximum.

Figure 1. Two views of the crystal structure of hureaulite based on the neutron structure refinement (at 293 K) of this study. Displacement ellipsoid probability factor: 50%.




Figure 2. The ^{57}Fe Mössbauer spectrum of hureaulite at room temperature and the three-doublets fit (reduced χ^2 of 1.14; see text for details).




Figure 3. Clinographic view of the crystal structure of hureaulite with the hydrogen-bonding scheme based on the neutron structure refinement (at 293 K) of this study. Displacement ellipsoid probability factor: 50%.

