Inhomogeneous nuclear spin polarization induced by helicity-modulated optical excitation of fluorine-bound electron spins in ZnSe
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Optically induced nuclear spin polarization in a fluorine-doped ZnSe epilayer is studied by time-resolved Kerr rotation using resonant excitation of donor-bound excitons. Excitation with helicity-modulated laser pulses results in a transverse nuclear spin polarization, which is detected as a change of the Larmor precession frequency of the donor-bound electron spins. The frequency shift in dependence on the transverse magnetic field exhibits a pronounced dispersion-like shape with resonances at the fields of nuclear magnetic resonance of the constituent zinc and selenium isotopes. It is studied as a function of external parameters, particularly of constant and radio frequency external magnetic fields. The width of the resonance and its shape indicate a strong spatial inhomogeneity of the nuclear spin polarization in the vicinity of a fluorine donor. A mechanism of optically induced nuclear spin polarization is suggested based on the concept of resonant nuclear spin cooling driven by the inhomogeneous Knight field of the donor-bound electron.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The hyperfine interaction between electron and nuclear spins in semiconductor structures has been of particular interest over many years [1,2]. Lately it was intensively driven by the intention to extend the electron spin coherence time and the idea to employ the nuclear spins as quantum bits (qubits) [3]. Using optical excitation with circularly polarized light angular momentum can be transferred via the electron system to the nuclei. The polarized nuclei, in turn, act back on the electrons as an effective magnetic field (the Overhauser field), causing a splitting of the electron spin states [1]. Without an external magnetic field, the hyperfine interaction with the nuclear spins is the main source of dephasing for localized electron spins in which fluctuations in the nuclear spin polarization induce fluctuations in the electron spin splittings [4–6].

The nuclei-induced electron spin splitting can reach values of 100 μeV for high nuclear spin polarization. It can be detected spectrally as a splitting of the emission line, if the linewidth of the photoluminescence (PL) is sufficiently narrow, as is the case for single emitters such as quantum dots (QDs) or impurity centers. A relatively high nuclear spin polarization can be created using the method of optical pumping in longitudinal magnetic field (parallel to the optical axis and orthogonal to the sample surface) [7–10]. In the case of inhomogeneously broadened optical transitions, as is the case in emitter ensembles, optical methods do not provide sufficient spectral resolution so that alternative approaches should be used. The nuclear spin polarization can be indirectly measured by its influence on the electron spin polarization in a transverse magnetic field, the Hanle effect [11]. In this case the Overhauser field modifies the measured degree of the circular PL polarization as a function of magnetic field applied in the direction transverse to the optical excitation [2,12–16]. If one additionally applies a polarization modulation of the excitation at a frequency corresponding to the nuclear magnetic resonance of one of the constituent isotopes, a nuclear spin polarization in the direction transverse to the optical excitation can be achieved [17–19]. However, such measurements are limited to the field ranges within the width of the Hanle curve, which is determined by the spin dephasing time of the carriers, their g factor values, and the nuclear spin polarization.

In the recent publication of Zhukov et al. [20] the authors extended the magnetic field range by implementing a novel experimental technique to measure the optically induced nuclear magnetic resonances by assessing the electron spin coherence in the resonant spin amplification (RSA) regime. For that purpose time-resolved pump-probe Kerr rotation (TRKR) was used [21,22]. Information about the Overhauser field that changes the electron spin splitting was thereby transferred from the spectral domain into the temporal domain. In the present paper we extend the investigation of dynamic nuclear spin polarization (DNP) by applying the developed experimental approach to zinc selenide (ZnSe) doped with fluorine donors. We observe changes of the electron Larmor precession in a broad range of transverse magnetic fields, which depend on the pump power. The induced shifts of the Larmor precession change sign at the fields of nuclear magnetic resonances (NMRs). A theoretical approach to analyze the mechanism of dynamic nuclear spin polarization for our experimental conditions is developed. We go on to describe the behavior of the observed NMR with a spatially inhomogeneous nuclear spin polarization. Furthermore, we discover an unusually strong average spin polarization in the S, direction (orthogonal to the optical excitation and the external magnetic field), which allows us to observe nuclear resonances in a broad range of magnetic fields. The nature of this electron spin polarization remains unresolved and requires further investigations.

Fluorine donors in ZnSe (further ZnSe:F) and the corresponding donor-bound electron spins are currently of...
substantial interest because of several recent key achievements towards solid-state quantum devices: Sources of indistinguishable single photons [23] as well as entangled photon pairs [24] and optically controllable electron-spin qubits [25–27] have been demonstrated so far. The spin coherence of the donor-bound electron is generally limited by the nonzero nuclear spin background in the host crystal [5]. However, in ZnSe isotopic purification can be applied to deplete the remaining low amount of nonzero nuclear spins. Apart from that, fluorine has a natural 100% abundance of spin 1/2 nuclei, which might be considered as a nuclear spin qubit coupled to a single electron spin qubit via the hyperfine interaction. These aspects make the ZnSe:F system particularly attractive for the investigation of electron and nuclear spin related features.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The sample under study is a homogeneously fluorine-doped, 70-nm-thick ZnSe:F epilayer grown by molecular-beam epitaxy on a (001)-oriented GaAs substrate. The epilayer is separated from the substrate by a 20-nm-thick Zn_{0.85}Mg_{0.15}Se barrier layer to prevent carrier diffusion into the substrate. The barrier, in turn, is grown on top of a thin ZnSe buffer layer to reduce the defect density at the III-V/II-VI heterointerface. The concentration of fluorine donors in the ZnSe:F epilayer is about 10^{18} cm^{-3}, so that the distance between the neighboring donors is larger than the Bohr radius of the donor-bound electrons [28]. The sample is placed in an optical cryostat with a superconducting split-coil magnet. The sample temperature is fixed at $T = 1.8$ K for all measurements.

Figure 1(a) shows the normalized PL spectrum measured for continuous-wave (cw) excitation with a photon energy of 3.05 eV. The PL is detected with a Si-based charge-coupled device camera attached to a 0.5 m spectrometer. In the characteristic peak pattern each feature can be assigned to different exciton complexes [29]. The labels in the figure mark the following optical transitions: FX refers to the free exciton and D^{0}X to the donor-bound exciton containing heavy holes (HH) or light holes (LH).

To obtain insight into the electron spin dynamics we use the TRKR technique. The electron spin coherence is generated by circularly polarized pump pulses of 1.5 ps duration (spectral width of about 1 meV) generated by a mode-locked Ti:Sa laser operating at a repetition frequency of 75.75 MHz (repetition period $T_{R} = 13.2$ ns). The laser photon energy is doubled by a BBO (beta barium borate) crystal to convert the Ti:Sa range of photon energies from about 1.25–1.7 eV to 2.5–3.4 eV.

After excitation of the sample along the growth axis $z$ with circularly polarized pump pulses, the reflection of the linearly polarized probe pulses is analyzed with respect to the angle of polarization rotation as a function of delay between the pump and probe pulses. The pump helicity is modulated between $\sigma^{+}$ and $\sigma^{-}$ circular polarization by an electro-optical modulator (EOM) using modulation frequencies $f_{m}$ in the range 20–1000 kHz. The probe beam is kept unmodulated. The Kerr rotation (KR) angle is measured with a balanced detector connected to a lock-in amplifier.

Figure 1(b) shows a TRKR signal measured at a magnetic field of $B = 0.5$ T applied perpendicular to the growth axis $z$ (Voigt geometry). Both pump and probe have the same photon energy (degenerate pump-probe scheme) and are resonant with the D^{0}X-HH transition at 2.800 eV. The pump power is at $P = 1.1$ mW and the probe power at 0.5 mW. Both beams have a spot size of about 300 $\mu$m on the sample. The oscillations in the TRKR signal correspond to the Larmor spin precession of the donor-bound electron with a $g$ factor of $g_{e} = 1.13 \pm 0.02$. The oscillating signal at negative pump-probe delays indicates that the spin coherence is maintained up to the arrival of the next pump pulse; i.e., the electron spin dephasing time $T_{2}^{*}$ is close to the laser repetition period $T_{R}$. Due to the long spin dephasing time the action of the succeeding pump pulses on the remaining spin polarization depends on the electron $g$ factor and the magnetic field strength. In this case, the resonant spin amplification regime can be used [21,22] to study the spin coherence. To that end we fix the probe at a slightly negative time delay of $\Delta t = -20$ ps with respect to the pump pulse arrival moment [see arrow in Fig. 1(b)] and detect the KR signal while scanning the transverse magnetic field. Furthermore, we conducted experiments where an additional oscillating magnetic field (radio frequency or RF field) $B_{RF} = (0, B_{RF,z})$ is applied along the $z$ axis using a small coil placed close to the sample surface.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A. Observation of the nuclear spin polarization

Figure 2(a) shows a set of RSA curves of normalized amplitude for an EOM modulation frequency of $f_{m} = 185$ kHz, measured for different pump powers. The RSA curves exhibit characteristic periodic peaks as a function of the magnetic field strength. The RSA peak distance and their width in dependence on the magnetic field are determined by the electron $g$ factor $g_{e}$, the $g_{e}$ spread $\Delta g_{e}$, the spin dephasing time $T_{2}^{*}$, and the repetition period of the laser pulses $T_{R}$ [21,22]. Further optical properties of this sample and information on the electron spin dephasing and relaxation mechanisms can be found in Refs. [30,31].

Let us now turn to the experimental results on nuclear effects induced and detected via the spin dynamics of the resident donor-bound electrons. As mentioned above, the sample is excited by a helicity-modulated pump. Under these conditions nuclear spin polarization in the system is usually suppressed, as the nuclei cannot follow the oscillating electron.
spin polarization fast enough due to the long nuclear spin relaxation time. However, nuclear spin polarization can be observed for finite magnetic fields in close vicinity to the NMR frequencies (corresponding to the helicity modulation frequency) of the constituent isotopes. Here the nuclear spin system becomes polarized through its cooling in the magnetic field which results from the electron spin polarization (Knight field) \[20,32\]. The induced nuclear spin polarization appears in RSA curves as an additional amplitude modulation at the NMR fields; see in Fig. 2(a) the narrow resonance for the $^{77}\text{Se}$ isotope at 22.76 mT measured at pump powers exceeding 0.5 mW.

If we consider the behavior of the RSA peaks at different excitation powers we observe the following phenomenon: Fig. 2(a) displays several RSA curves taken at pump powers varied from $P = 0.1$ mW (bottom spectrum) up to 8 mW (top spectrum). The probe power is kept at 0.5 mW for all measurements. The signal amplitudes are normalized and the curves are displaced vertically relative to each other to simplify comparison of the peak positions. The increase of the pump power leads to a shift of the RSA peaks, which reflects a change of the electron precession frequency. The left inset in Fig. 2(a) provides a close-up of a single peak to highlight this shift. The peak positions for each curve are given in the right inset, which shows a saturation effect at high pump power.

One can see from Fig. 2(a) that the direction and the magnitude of the shift depend on the RSA peak position relative to the NMR field and also on the pump power. The peaks located at lower fields relative to the resonance exhibit a shift towards lower magnetic fields for increasing pump power, while the peaks at higher fields than the resonance are shifted towards higher magnetic field. The shift itself can be explained by an additional induced magnetic field acting in addition to the external magnetic field on the electron spins. The shift of the RSA peaks to lower magnetic fields indicates an additional magnetic field pointing in the same direction as the external field. Vice versa, the shift to higher fields indicates opposite orientations of the induced and the external fields.

To demonstrate how the peaks shift across the whole range of external magnetic fields, we plot the difference of the peak position taken at maximal (saturated, $P = 8$ mW) and minimal pump power (0.1 mW) as a function of magnetic field. The black solid circles in Fig. 2(b) show this dependence. The circles are placed at the fields of the RSA peaks measured at minimal pump power. The induced RSA peak shift [vertical axis in Fig. 2(b)] directly corresponds to the opposite strength of the induced nuclear field.

Figure 3(a) shows the pump-induced shift over a broader range of magnetic field, measured at a modulation frequency of $f_m = 250$ kHz. Here the NMRs of the $^{77}\text{Se}$ and $^{67}\text{Zn}$ isotopes are observed at $|B| = 30.75$ mT and 93.75 mT, respectively. In addition, Fig. 3(b) shows the RSA shifts in the vicinity of the $^{77}\text{Se}$ NMR measured for various $f_m$ up to 1 MHz. The amplitude and the shape of the shifts remain unchanged in this magnetic field range.

The dependencies in Figs. 2(b) and 3 exhibit several peculiarities:

(i) They show a characteristic dispersive shape around the NMRs of the constituent isotopes. In Fig. 3(a) one sees these resonance-like dispersive features for both the selenium and zinc isotopes. In the following we will call them resonances for simplicity.

FIG. 2. (Color online) (a) Normalized RSA signals measured at different pump powers and $f_m = 185$ kHz. The NMR of $^{77}\text{Se}$ at $B = 22.76$ mT is marked by the arrow. The left inset shows a close-up of the RSA peaks around 29 mT. The position of the peak maximum is plotted as function of the pump power in the right inset. $T = 1.8$ K. (b) Black solid circles show how the difference (shift) between the peak positions at 8 mW and at 0.1 mW from panel (a) changes in dependence on the magnetic field. Open circles demonstrate the induced shift measured directly by time-resolved KR (see text). Lines are guides to the eye.

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Induced RSA shift measured at $f_m = 250$ kHz in a broader range of magnetic fields compared to Fig. 2. Therefore the NMR of the $^{67}\text{Zn}$ isotope is also observed. (b) Shift of the RSA peaks measured at different modulation frequencies $f_m$. 245441-3
(ii) The resonances occur at magnetic fields, which are much larger than the width of the Hanle curve. Here the Hanle-curve width is given by the width of the RSA peak around zero magnetic field and is about 2 mT (full width at half maximum (FWHM)); see also Ref. [20].

(iii) The resonances are extended over a quite broad magnetic field range as a result of their slowly decaying dispersive tails.

For a deeper understanding, the open circles in Fig. 2(b) show the results of additional measurements, where the induced nuclear fields are not only given at the RSA peak positions, but are also determined in between the peaks to obtain a higher resolution on magnetic field. To provide these data we determine the electron precession frequency from a measurement of the KR signal as a function of the time delay between pump and probe pulses [see Fig. 1(b)]. We perform the measurements for transverse magnetic fields varied from 10 mT up to 40 mT using a 1 mT incremental step. Each data point represents the difference of the electron Larmor frequencies measured at 8 mW and 0.1 mW pump power. Using the electron g factor \( g_\text{e} = 1.13 \), one can convert this Larmor frequency difference to a magnetic field, which corresponds to the RSA peak shift, so that we can present it on the same scale as the RSA measurements.

One sees that the experimental data given by the open circles in Fig. 2(b) follow closely the solid circles. Both curves show a slight vertical shift to positive values. The FWHM of the broad dispersive resonance is on the order of 40 mT. The high resolution of the open-circles data is particularly advantageous for the transition region slightly above 20 mT where the sign reversal of the RSA peak shift takes place. This sign reversal occurs in a field range of only 1–2 mT. Note that the long tails and the sharp transition cannot be explained by a simple dispersive curve with a large homogeneous linewidth. This will be addressed in more detail by our model considerations in Sec. IV A. Additionally, one can see that the open-circles data exhibit oscillations with a period equal to the period of the RSA peaks. These oscillations cannot be resolved in the data set given by the closed circles, as there the measurements are performed only at the RSA peak positions.

B. Electron spin polarization

Before we turn our attention to the investigation of the peculiarities listed in the previous section, we check how the induced nuclear spin polarization depends on the electron spin polarization. Figure 4(a) shows the measured RSA signals for several different degrees of circular polarization of the pump beam at \( f_m = 185 \text{ kHz} \) and \( P = 8 \text{ mW} \). The signals are normalized in amplitude to simplify comparison of the peak shift. One clearly sees that the pump-induced shift of the RSA peak close to the NMR decreases for lower degrees of circular polarization. This confirms that the nuclear spin polarization is induced by spin-polarized electrons. Figure 4(b) shows an example of the peak shift in dependence on the polarization degree around 28 mT. The red line represents a parabolic fit to the data, which demonstrates the quadratic dependence of the nuclear spin polarization on the degree of circular polarization. Obviously the width of the resonance is proportional to the induced nuclear spin polarization and decreases for lower polarization degrees of the electron spin. Here the shifts are given relative to the peak position at a polarization degree of 15%. The shift amplitudes are then normalized to the shift of the peak at about 24 mT. This allows us to clearly resolve the accelerated decay with magnetic field for lower degrees of the nuclear spin polarization.

For a complete understanding of the nature of the nuclear spin polarization produced by the polarized electron spins, information about the average electron spin polarization \( S \) is important [20]. To decide which spin component is responsible for the nuclear spin polarization we should provide a tomographic measurement of all three components: \( S_x \), \( S_y \), and \( S_z \).

1. \( S_z \) component: Time-resolved Kerr rotation

In our experiment the average spin component \( S_z \), which is created along the optical excitation axis, can be evaluated directly from the experimental data by integrating the KR signal over the whole time period between the pump pulses. This averaging is expected to result in a finite value of \( S_z \) in magnetic fields for which the Larmor precession period, \( T_L \), is longer than or comparable with the spin dephasing time \( T_\chi^* \). This relation is not fulfilled in strong magnetic fields, where \( T_L \ll T_\chi^* \), \( S_z \approx 0 \), but in the field range studied here it is perfectly valid.

Figure 5(a) demonstrates an example of the measured Kerr rotation signal in the pump-probe delay range from 0 to 13.2 ns at \( B = 25.5 \text{ mT} \) (black curve). To evaluate \( S_z \), which is directly proportional to the KR amplitude, we fit the data with an
The presence of an average electron spin polarization has an effect on the nuclei by providing an effective magnetic field, which is proportional to the spin component $S_x$, one can evaluate the effect of the Knight field from the NMR frequency dependence on the magnetic field. For this purpose, we have scanned the RF-field frequency at low $U_{RF} = 0.05$ V around the $^{77}$Se NMR for different magnetic fields. It allows us to avoid the broadening of the NMR due to the inhomogeneous Knight field. Any Knight field component produced by an average $S_z$ component would induce an additional magnetic field along the $x$ axis and thereby lead to an offset of the linear dependence of NMR resonance of the $^{77}$Se isotope on the magnetic field. Figure 6(a) shows the RSA curve measured for $f_m = 50$ kHz at $P = 8$ mW, where the $^{77}$Se NMR is seen at about $B_{NMR} = 6$ mT. Figure 6(b) gives an example of the RF-frequency scan at a fixed magnetic field of $B = 5.1$ mT. As shown by the red fit using a Lorentz curve, the central NMR frequency is located at $f_c = 39.37 \pm 0.02$ kHz, showing also the precision of the measurement of the NMR position: 0.02 kHz accuracy corresponds to about 3 $\mu$T field strength. Finally, Fig. 6(c) represents a collection of all measured $f_c$ at different magnetic fields. This data set demonstrates a linear dependence of the resonance frequency with magnetic field where the corresponding fit leads to an offset of $-0.4 \pm 0.2$ kHz, which corresponds to a field of $-51 \pm 26$ $\mu$T. The slope of the linear fit corresponds well to the gyromagnetic ratio of $^{77}$Se [33]. This allows us to conclude that the Knight field produced by a possible $S_z$ spin component, if present at all, should not be the reason for the measured nuclear spin polarization. As will be shown in the next sections, such an offset (or a Knight field) is negligible compared to the Knight field produced along the $y$ direction. Namely, at a distance of one localization radius $a_l$ from the donor center, the Knight field reaches a strength of 3.5 mT along the $y$ direction; see Sec. IV B.

3. $S_z$: RF field versus Knight field

To test the presence of an $S_z$ spin component (averaged over the laser pulse repetition period) we apply a RF field with the same frequency as the helicity modulation frequency $f_m$. Note, that the electron Larmor frequency is several orders of magnitude larger than $f_m$ and has no influence here. The relative phase between this RF field and the helicity modulation can be controlled, as well as the RF amplitude.

In the frame system rotating with the frequency $f_m$, one can interpret the RF field as an additional, temporally constant magnetic field acting on the nuclei (see Sec. IV A on the rotating frame system). This allows us to determine...
the orientation of the average electron spin component and compensate its action on the nuclei by counteracting it with the applied RF field; see Fig. 7(c).

Figure 7 demonstrates the results of these measurements at different magnetic fields for $f_{m} = 185$ kHz. The magnetic field values are comparable to those applied in the RSA studies shown in Fig. 2(a). Here we plot the signal determined as the difference between the KR amplitudes with and without the RF field, applied at a fixed magnetic field for a high pump power of $P = 8$ mW. Figure 7(a) shows the influence of the RF field on the KR amplitude at $B = 26$ mT on the right-hand side of a RSA peak, i.e., at a field where the KR signal has a decreasing slope with increasing field. The color coding is such that blue color denotes a reduction of the Kerr rotation signal under the influence of the applied RF field, while red color represents an increase of the signal. The panels right next to the contour plot show cuts through the contour plot, namely the RF amplitude dependence at a fixed phase of $-90^\circ$ (top) and the phase dependence at the saturated RF amplitude level of $U_{RF} = 5$ V, which corresponds to an effective magnetic field of $170 \mu$T (bottom) [34].

As one can see from the RF dependence, the Kerr amplitude is reduced at higher RF voltages; i.e., the RSA peak shifts to lower magnetic fields so that the nuclear spin polarization is reduced. This is most efficient for the phase close to $-90^\circ$, implying that the Knight field component produced by the average spin polarization should be oriented along the $y$ axis. In the transverse magnetic field it becomes then rotated to the direction parallel to the $z$ axis, thereby accumulating a phase of $-90^\circ$, which is best compensated when the RF field, applied along the $-z$ axis, is acting directly against it; see Fig. 7(c). As an additional support for this interpretation, we observe an increase of the KR amplitude at $+90^\circ$, where the nuclear spin polarization becomes amplified by the RF field. Here the Knight field is acting in the same direction as the RF; see Fig. 7(c), increasing therefore the overall nuclear spin projection $I_{Kn,x}$ along the $x$ axis.

A similar behavior is observed at a higher magnetic field strength, $B = 28.7$ mT, as shown in Fig. 7(b). Here we are on the left increasing slope of the next RSA peak, so that the overall amplitude changes are inverted. The RSA peak shifts to lower magnetic fields for reduced nuclear field and the KR amplitude increases. The relative phase behavior of the RF however stays the same: we reduce the nuclear spin polarization at $-90^\circ$ and increase it at $+90^\circ$, which shows that the Knight field has a constant phase relative to the RF field and is oriented along the $y$ axis.

Several more RSA peaks at higher magnetic fields have been tested demonstrating similar behaviors (not shown here). Measurements at different magnetic fields demonstrate that the electron spin orientation stays the same. Additionally, the effect of the RF field at the phase of $-90^\circ$ leads to similar changes of the KR amplitude in the range of fields 20–40 mT which has a value of about $1.9 \pm 0.3$ (arb. units of KR amplitude). This means that there is a finite average electron spin component along the $S_{y}$ direction, which stays constant when varying the transverse magnetic field strength.

IV. THEORETICAL MODEL AND DISCUSSION

A. Classical treatment

1. Nuclear spin cooling in the rotating frame system

Let us consider an excitation with a circular polarization alternating between left and right at the modulation frequency $f_{m}$. An external magnetic field is applied perpendicular to the pump light $k$ vector, $k_{pump}$, which is oriented parallel to the $z$ axis. Figure 8(a) shows this configuration in the laboratory frame system (LFS). It is known that under helicity-modulated excitation with a modulation frequency $2\pi f_{m} \gg 1/T_{1}^{mcl}$ an optical polarization of the nuclear spins occurs only for $B$ close to the resonance field $B_{NMR} = 2\pi f_{m}/\gamma$. Here $T_{1}^{mcl}$ is the nuclear spin relaxation time and $\gamma$ is the gyromagnetic ratio of the nuclear isotope [1,17]. Measurements of $T_{1}^{mcl}$ are presented in Ref. [35]. For the studied ZnSe:F epilayer these times fall in the range of tens of milliseconds. The literature values of $\gamma$ for the $^{35}$Se ($\gamma_{Se} = 51.08 \times 10^{6}$ [Ts]^{-1}) and $^{67}$Zn isotopes ($\gamma_{Zn} = 16.77 \times 10^{6}$ [Ts]^{-1}) lead to $B_{NMR} = 0.123 f_{m}$ [kHz] and 0.575 $f_{m}$ [kHz], respectively [33]. These values are in very good agreement with the values observed for the NMR resonances.
In the following we consider only the $^{77}$Se isotope which has a nuclear spin of $I = 1/2$ with an abundance $\chi = 0.0758$. The hyperfine constant is $A_{Se} = 33.6$ $\mu$eV, which is taken for a primitive cell with two nuclei [36,37].

The dynamic nuclear spin polarization is caused by nuclear spin flips in the presence of the electron Knight field $B_K = b_e S$ which precesses synchronously with the electron spin $S$ and provides a temporally constant energy flow into the nuclear spin system [38]. Here

$$b_e = -\frac{A_{Se} v_0}{\gamma_{Se} h \pi a_i^2},$$

characterizes the maximal Knight field amplitude at the center of the donor [38]. $v_0 = a_0^2/4$ is the primitive cell volume with a two-atom basis and $a_0 = 0.566$ nm is the lattice constant of ZnSe [39]; $a_i$ gives the localization radius of an electron at the donor.

The average nuclear spin polarization $I_N$ has a component along the external field $B$, $I_{N,x}$, and, therefore, affects the electron spin precession frequency; see Fig. 8(b). If the external magnetic field is close to $B_{NMR}$, the projection of the nuclear spin on the external spin $B$ is given by

$$I_{N,x} = \frac{(S \cdot B_K)(B - B_{NMR})}{(B - B_{NMR})^2 + B_K^2 + B_L^2},$$

where $B_L = 0.006$ mT is the root mean square local field due to the nuclear dipole-dipole interactions [1,20].

This can be interpreted as nuclear spin cooling in the rotating frame system (RFS) [17]; see Fig. 8(b). The Knight field, oscillating with a frequency $2\pi f_m$, can be described by a superposition of two fields, each rotating around the external field in opposite directions. Close to the NMR frequency the component which rotates in the same direction as the nuclear spin is the important one, while the other one can be neglected.

In ZnSe all nuclei have $\gamma > 0$ [33]; therefore this component is rotating counterclockwise if one looks in the direction along the external field $B$. In the RFS the electron spin is constant and the nuclei see the total magnetic field $B_{Total}$. Composed of the constant Knight field $B_K$ and the effective external field $B - B_{NMR}$. This situation is analogous to the cooling in the laboratory frame system (LFS) in the stationary case. One can see from Eq. (2) that upon fulfilling the resonance condition $B = B_{NMR}$ the nuclear spin polarization along the external magnetic field $I_{N,x} = 0$.

The polarized nuclei, in turn, create an Overhauser field (see Ref. [40]) which acts on the electron spins:

$$B_{N,x} = \frac{A_{Se} \chi I_{N,x}}{\mu_B g_e}.$$  

Here $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton. From Eq. (2) one can see that $B_{N,x}(B)$, following $I_{N,x}(B)$, has a dispersive shape. Its sign is defined by the detuning $B - B_{NMR}$. This describes exactly the behavior of the signal described in Sec. III A, item (i).

By adding to or subtracting from the external magnetic field, the field $B_{N,x}$ alters the electron spin precession frequency. In turn, this leads to a change of the electron spin polarization at a specific external magnetic field. Usually, this effect occurs if the resonant field $B_{NMR}$ does not exceed the half-width of the electron spin depolarization curve $B_{1/2}$ (Hanle curve) [17,19]. Otherwise, the electrons become depolarized due to their spin precession about the transverse field $B + B_{N,x}$, so that $(S \cdot B_K)$ and the resulting $B_{N,x}$ are small. Nevertheless, it has been shown in Ref. [20] that such resonances do occur also at the fields $B_{NMR}$, which are much stronger than $B_{1/2}$ and have a width of about 1 mT; see item (ii). In what follows, we concentrate on the nature of the broad resonance [item (iii)].

As one can see, Eqs. (2) and (3) support the measurements presented in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). The nuclear spin polarization, which causes the RSA shift via the Overhauser field $B_{N,x}$, indeed follows the $S^2$ dependence. The $S^2$ dependence comes from the product $(S \cdot B_K)$, where $B_K = b_e S$. The accelerated decay of the nuclear spin polarization with increased magnetic field is also well described by the $S^2$ dependence in the denominator of Eq. (2), see Fig. 4(c).

As mentioned before, the sign of the induced shifts in Fig. 2(b) is opposite to the induced nuclear fields, $B_{N,x}$. Taking into account that in ZnSe the electron $g$ factor $g_e > 0$, so that $b_e < 0$ and that the hyperfine constant $A_{Se} > 0$, Eq. (3) reproduces this sign dependence. It is negative for $B > B_{NMR}$ and positive vice versa.

2. Nuclear spin polarization and spin diffusion

We now try to simulate the data shown by the open circles in Fig. 2(b) and reproduced in Fig. 9 on the basis of Eq. (3). The fitting parameters in this procedure are $b_e$ and $S$. $S$ is fixed at 0.07, which will be justified below. Figure 9 demonstrates examples for a homogeneous nuclear spin polarization using $b_e = -10$ mT and $-100$ mT, given by the red and blue curves, respectively. As can be seen, the $b_e = -10$ mT curve describes only the fast switching of the sign close to the resonance very well, but completely fails to fit the data away from the resonance. On the other hand, the $b_e = -100$ mT curve shows the right tendency compared to the data only in the tails, far from the resonance condition. The amplitudes here, however, deviate considerably from the measured data points.

This simulation leads to an important conclusion: the Knight field is not constant within the localization area of the
we obtain schematically shows the behavior of the Knight one can draw the following qualitative allowed, if the energy is conserved (or nearly conserved), so that the energy difference between spin flips of two nuclei does not exceed \( \hbar \gamma B_L \), and therefore, can be compensated by the nuclear dipole-dipole reservoir. In our case, however, the nuclei are exposed to an inhomogeneous Knight field \( B_k = b_k(r)S = b_k S \exp(-2r/a_i) \), which is different for neighboring nuclei and is given by the electron wave function at the donor: \( \Psi^2(r) = (\pi a_i^2)^{-1} \exp(-2r/a_i) \). Here \( r \) is the distance from the donor center. Neighboring nuclei of \(^{77}\text{Se}\) are separated by a distance of about \( R = a_0/\lambda_{\text{eff}}^{1/3} = 0.566/0.0758^{1/3} = 1.34 \) nm [30].

The difference of the Knight field at neighboring isotopes is then on the order of \( b_k S \exp(-2r/a_i)[1 - \exp(-2R/a_i)] \). This should be compared with the local nuclear field \( B_L \approx 0.006 \) mT. Therefore, the radial diffusion of the nuclear spin becomes possible only if \( b_k S \exp(-2r/a_i)[1 - \exp(-2R/a_i)] \leq B_L \). To estimate \( r \) at which the nuclear spin diffusion becomes possible, we need to know the values of \( b_k \) and \( S \).

The activation energy (or donor binding energy) of the electron bound to the fluorine donor (\( E_a = 27 \) meV) allows us to estimate the localization radius of the electron \( a_i = 3.4 \) nm using \( a_i = \hbar/\sqrt{2m_e^\text{eff}E_a} \), with \( m_e^\text{eff} = 0.145m_e \) [30], where \( m_e \) is the free electron mass in vacuum. This small \( a_i \) value should lead to a significant Knight field at the donor center. Using Eq. (1) given at the beginning of the Sec. IV A we obtain \( b_k = -370 \) mT [43]. Then, taking into account that \( S = 0.07 \) (see below in this section) this leads to the result that the nuclear spin diffusion should be hindered within a radius of about 3.9 \( a_i \), resulting in a spatially inhomogeneous nuclear spin polarization, \( I_{N,x}(r) \) in this range.

Due to the spherical symmetry of the spin diffusion the polarization is also isotropic. Thus, the spatial distribution of the nuclear spin polarization is given by

\[
I_{N,x}(r) = \frac{b_k S^2 (B - B_{\text{NMR}}) \exp(-2r/a_i)}{(B - B_{\text{NMR}})^2 + b_k^2 S^2 \exp(-4r/a_i)}. \tag{4}
\]

Here we neglect the small field \( B_L \). The polarized nuclei, in turn, act on the electrons via the Overhauser field [40]:

\[
B_{N,x} = \frac{AX}{\mu B_L} \int I_{N,x}(r) \Psi^2(r) 4\pi r^2 dr. \tag{5}
\]

We use Eq. (5) to fit the experimental data for the induced nuclear field given in Fig. 9. The best fit is shown by the black curve. It has been achieved for \( b_k = -370 \) mT and an average electron spin polarization of \( S = 0.07 \), and reproduces all features of the experimental dependence, namely, the fast changeover of the field sign at the resonance \( B_{NMR} \) and the broad tails due to the widely extended decay.

3. Quantitative estimations

Figure 10 schematically shows the behavior of the Knight field \( [B_k = b_k S \exp(-2r/a_i)] \) and the nuclear spin polarization \( (I_{N,x}) \) using Eq. (4) as a function of the distance from the donor. As soon as the radius becomes larger than 3.9 \( a_i \), spin diffusion becomes possible and equalizes the nuclear spin polarization spatially, as shown schematically by the red solid line showing the region of flat nuclear spin polarization above 3.9 \( a_i \).

Using Figs. 9 and 10 one can draw the following qualitative conclusions: (a) close to the resonance (\( B = B_{\text{NMR}} \)) the electron is exposed to a very weak nuclear spin polarization produced by the Knight field far from the donor, at the edges of the nuclear spin diffusion area. As the concentration of fluorine donors in this sample is \( n = 1 \times 10^{18} \) cm\(^{-3} \), the average distance between them is \( d = \left( \frac{1}{4n} \right)^{1/3} = 6.2 \) nm. This corresponds to about 1.8 \( a_i \), so that the donors are partly located in the inhomogeneous nuclear polarization volumes of their neighbors. This shows that the donor distance at which nuclear spin diffusion would become possible is not
reached at this dopant concentration. (b) At the center of the donor, the electrons are exposed to the strongest nuclear spin polarization produced by the maximal Knight field. This is the position far from the resonance on the magnetic field axis in the extended tails of our inhomogeneous dispersive curve in Fig. 9. A simple estimation using the integral \( \int \Psi^2(r) 4\pi r^2 dr \) shows that the electron is to 98\% confined within the volume given by the radius 3.9\( a_0 \). The nuclear spin polarization decreases at that distance from the donor by a factor of about 1200. If the spin diffusion border were much closer to the donor or, in other words, if \( B_r \) were bigger, the extended tails would decay much faster with magnetic field. For example, in GaAs \( B_r = 0.3 \) mT [38]. If taking into account \( b_e \approx 20 \) mT as well as \( a_i = 10 \) nm [38] and using considerations with \( S = 0.07 \) similar to those for ZnSe, one can estimate the diffusion border to be at \( r \approx 0.3 a_1 \). This small borderline would result in a quite homogenous nuclear spin polarization around the donor and lead to a narrow NMR resonance; experimental examples are given in Ref. [20].

B. Average electron spin polarization in external magnetic field

The next point to clarify is the value of the average electron spin polarization in the range of magnetic fields around the NMR field. These fields are much higher than the half-width of the Hanle curve (\( B_{1/2} \)). In our simulations using Eq. (5) we have estimated the average electron spin polarization to be constant at \( S = 0.07 \). The value of the average nuclear spin polarization given in Eq. (2) is proportional to \( (S \cdot B_e) = b_e S^2(t = 0) B_{1/2}^2/(B_{1/2}^2 + B^2) \) and is expected to be reduced by a factor of \( (B/B_{1/2})^2 > 1 \) for higher magnetic fields [1]. Here \( (B/B_{1/2})^2 \approx (20 \) mT)/1 [mT])\(^2 \approx 400 \) and the average electron spin transverse to the magnetic field should be very small due to the Larmor precession of the electron spin about this field. This statement is in good agreement with our experimental observations presented in Fig. 5(b) in Sec. III B.

However, the experimental observation of the broad resonance allows us to assume that there is an electron average spin polarization present at a wide range of magnetic fields, which leads to a polarization of the nuclei, in particular along the external magnetic field axis, seen as \( I_{S_{1/2}} \), in Fig. 8(b). Using Eq. (13) of Ref. [20] we can estimate the average electron spin polarization along the \( y \) and \( z \) directions, where \( z \) is the optical excitation axis. The averaging is done over the period of the laser repetition, \( T_R \). Figure 11(a) demonstrates the evolution of the average electron spin components with the transverse magnetic field, \( B_y \). The \( S_y \) component decays completely within a range of 10 mT (which fits well to our observations in Fig. 5), while the \( S_z \) component is slowly decaying up to tens of mT; see the inset to panel (a) magnifying the difference at higher fields. As mentioned in Ref. [20], the vector sum of the \( z \) and \( y \) spin components decays with increasing transverse field \( B \) as \( 1/B \).

Figure 11(b) shows the corresponding evolution of the phase \( \phi \) between the \( S_y \) and \( S_z \) spin components. It allows us to conclude that the average spin polarization along the \( y \) direction should be present and have a constant phase shift of 90° relative to the \( S_y \) component in the range of magnetic fields above 5 mT. The inset demonstrates the power dependence of the phase-oscillation amplitude present in the simulated signal for different electron spin dephasing times, \( T^*_R \), in relation to the laser repetition period \( T_R \). The red curve represents the simulation using the realistic parameters of our system, \( T^*_R = 10 \) ns and \( T_R = 13.2 \) ns. \( \Theta \) is the optical pulse area, \( \Theta = \int (2 \langle d E(t) \rangle d t) / h \), where \( d E(t) \) is the dipole transition matrix element and \( E(t) \) is the electric field of the laser pulse [20]. \( \Theta = \pi \) corresponds to the power for 100\% exciton generation.

Therefore, the only spin component which can potentially provide the link to the nuclear spin polarization at high magnetic fields is the \( S_y \) average spin component. However, even if the average electron spin decays as \( 1/B \) the nuclear spin polarization is proportional to \( S^2 \) (as shown in Sec. III B) and should therefore decay as \( 1/B^2 \). This should suppress the nuclear spin polarization drastically with increasing magnetic field.

On the other hand the experiments with RF field described in Sec. 3 B 3 demonstrate that the \( S_y \) spin polarization does not decay within the measured magnetic field range \( B = 20 – 40 \) mT. Also, as one can see in Fig. 3(b), the amplitudes of the induced shift do not depend on the NMR position at magnetic fields up to 140 mT [44]. These two observations lead us to the surprising result that the average electron spin polarization \( S_y \) is still quite strong at high magnetic fields and does not change in the measured field range.

The presented classical model of the nuclear spin cooling in the rotating frame system gives us the possibility to describe the overall behavior of the signal with all its peculiarities. However, it does not predict the presence of a relatively strong electron spin polarization \( S_y \) that does not change with
magnetic field. Such a polarization could be caused by certain anisotropies intrinsic to ZnSe:F. First, the fluorine atom itself being placed at the position of selenium could lead to such an anisotropy. Another possibility could be an anisotropy of the electron spin generation provided by strain in the crystal lattice. Both assumptions require further investigations and will be presented elsewhere.

Further possibilities to generate DNP close to RSA peaks may be also considered. For example, for sufficiently high pump power, an effective magnetic field along the x axis could be induced by the interaction of the absorption resonance with the circularly polarized light (optical Stark effect). In that case a pulsed excitation, where laser pulses hit the sample in phase with the electron spin $\mathbf{S}(t)$ at multiple frequencies of the laser repetition period $T_R$, induces an $S_x$ electron spin polarization along the external magnetic field [45]. However, the sign of the induced spin polarization should depend on the relative energy between the absorption and the excitation energy. This possibility is excluded by measuring the induced nuclear field as a function of the optical excitation energy. The induced shift had no sign changes for excitation below and above the D$^0$X resonance.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We have considered here that the shift of the electron Larmor frequencies or peaks in RSA signal in ZnSe:F at high excitation power is a result of a dynamic nuclear spin polarization. This statement is confirmed by the position of the NMR resonance and its dependence on the modulation frequency $f_m$.

The shape and the width of the resonance allow us to conclude that it should be driven by the inhomogeneous Knight field acting on the nuclear system. This Knight field has a weak dependence on external magnetic field and is pointing along the y direction. This assumption is confirmed by measurements in which an additional RF field is used to compensate the effect of the Knight field. The estimated values of the Knight field lead to the conclusion that the nuclear spin diffusion is hindered within a radius of about 3.9 $\alpha$ from the fluorine donor center leading to an inhomogeneous nuclear spin polarization in this range, which, in turn, contribute to the broad NMR resonance seen in experiment.
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[28] Similar effects were observed for fluorine concentrations down to $10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$.
[34] The calibration of the RF coil for conversion from applied voltage to magnetic field is done using the Rabi rotation of the $^{77}$Se isotope. For our RF coil the following relation holds: $B/U_{RF} = 34 \mu T/V$. These measurements will be discussed elsewhere.
[38] V. G. Fleisher and I. A. Merkulov, Optical Orientation (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984), Chap. 5.
[43] The $a_l$ (and corresponding $b_l$) is different here in comparison to Ref. [20]. The value of $a_l = 7$ nm in Ref. [20] was taken to provide a smaller Knight field for a reasonable fitting to the NMR appearance in the RSA curve. The Knight field was considered as homogeneous.
[44] We are not taking into account here the overall vertical shift of the curves.