

Testing quantum fault tolerance on small systems

Dennis Willsch^{1,2}, Madita Nocon^{1,2}, Fengping Jin¹, Hans De Raedt³, Kristel Michiels^{1,2}

¹ Institute for Advanced Simulation, Jülich Supercomputing Centre, Forschungszentrum Jülich, D-52425 Jülich, Germany

² RWTH Aachen University, D-52056 Aachen, Germany

³ Zernike Institute for Advanced Materials, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 4, NL-9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

Fault-tolerant quantum computation

In fault-tolerant (FT) protocols, qubit states and gates are logically encoded in a larger space. We consider a code in which 2 logical qubits are encoded in 4+1 physical qubits.

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{|00\rangle} &= (|0000\rangle + |1111\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \\ \overline{|01\rangle} &= (|1100\rangle + |0011\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \\ \overline{|10\rangle} &= (|1010\rangle + |0101\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \\ \overline{|11\rangle} &= (|0110\rangle + |1001\rangle)/\sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{X1} = X_1 X_3$$


$$\overline{X2} = X_1 X_2$$

$$\overline{Z1} = Z_1 Z_2$$

$$\overline{Z2} = Z_1 Z_3$$

$$\overline{HHS} = H_1 H_2 H_3 H_4$$

$$\overline{CZ} = S_1 S_2 S_3 S_4 Z_2 Z_3$$



Criterion for success: [1]

All encoded circuits of some representative set perform better than the corresponding bare, unencoded circuits

$$D_{\text{enc}} < D_{\text{bare}}$$

$$D_{\text{bare/enc}} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q_0 q_1} \left| p_{q_0 q_1}^{\text{bare/enc}} - p_{q_0 q_1}^{\text{theory}} \right|$$

System 1: Spin qubits in an environment


The first system consists of 5 spin qubits coupled with strength λ to an environment with N_E two-level systems prepared at inverse temperature β .

$$H = H_Q + H_E + \lambda H_{QE}$$

$$H_Q = - \sum_{n=0}^4 \sum_{\alpha=x,z} h_n^\alpha \sigma_n^\alpha - \sum_{n,m=0}^4 J_{nm}^x \sigma_n^x \sigma_m^x$$

$$H_E = - \sum_{n=5}^{N_E+4} \sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} J_n^\alpha \sigma_n^\alpha \sigma_{n+1}^\alpha$$

$$H_{QE} = - \sum_{n=0}^4 \sum_{\alpha=x,y,z} J_{n j_n}^\alpha \sigma_n^\alpha \sigma_{j_n}^\alpha$$




The system is simulated by numerically solving the time-dependent Schrödinger equation (TDSE) to machine precision using the Chebyshev algorithm [2]. Quantum gates are implemented by choosing suitable parameters for H_Q .

$$i \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |\Psi(t)\rangle = H(t) |\Psi(t)\rangle$$

The model is designed to test the performance of the FT protocol exclusively in the presence of decoherence errors due to interaction with the environment. By construction, there are no control or measurement errors in this system.

System 2: Transmon qubits

The second system consists of 5 transmon qubits and 6 coupling resonators. They are modeled in terms of their full circuit Hamiltonian [3].



$$H = H_{\text{tr}} + H_{\text{res}}$$


$$H_{\text{tr}} = \sum_i [4E_{Ci}(\hat{n}_i - n_{gi}(t))^2 - E_{Ji} \cos \hat{\phi}_i]$$

$$H_{\text{res}} = \sum_r \Omega_r \hat{a}_r^\dagger \hat{a}_r + \sum_{r,i} G_{ri} \hat{n}_i (\hat{a}_r + \hat{a}_r^\dagger)$$

Quantum gates are implemented by the optimized microwave pulses used in the IBM Q [4]. Single-qubit gates use Gaussian envelopes, and two-qubit gates use the echoed cross-resonance scheme [5]. The model is dominated by inherent control errors from the pulses [6]


$$n_{gi}(t) = \Omega_G(t) \cos(2\pi f t - \gamma) + \beta_X \dot{\Omega}_G(t) \cos(2\pi f t - \gamma - \frac{\pi}{2})$$

The system is simulated by solving the TDSE with the Suzuki-Trotter algorithm [7].




System 3: IBM 16-qubit device

The third system is a subset of the physical 16-qubit device ibmqx5 [4].




The FT protocol is implemented using the qubits (Q4, Q3, Q2, Q15, Q14) and its performance is tested against the inherent control and measurement errors in the processor [6,8].

System 1: (a) $\lambda = 0.01$, (b) $\lambda = 0.1$, and (c) $\lambda = 0.2$. The results are for $N_E = 20$ and $\beta = 1$.




ID	Circuit
0-2	$ i\rangle$
240-242	X1 X1 X1 X1 X1 $ i\rangle$
216-218	CZ CZ CZ CZ CZ $ i\rangle$
171-173	CZ X1 X2 Z1 Z1 X1 X1 Z1 Z1 Z2 $ i\rangle$
270-272	HHS CZ HHS CZ HHS CZ HHS CZ HHS CZ $ i\rangle$

System 2: (a) optimized pulses, (b) f -optimized pulses, and (c) additional measurement error.



System 3: (a) April 3, 2018 (b) April 9, 2018, and (c) April 19, 2018. Encoded $|00\rangle$ cannot be tested.



Conclusion: The FT protocol does not satisfy the criterion for fault tolerance when decoherence errors dominate the system. However, it systematically improves the results for inherent control and measurement errors present in transmon processors [9].

References:

- [1] Gottesman, arXiv:1610.03507
- [2] Tal-Ezer et al. *J. Chem. Phys.* **81**, 3967 (1984)
- [3] Koch et al. *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 042319 (2007)
- [4] IBM Q, <https://www.research.ibm.com/ibm-q>
- [5] Sheldon et al. *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 060302 (2016)
- [6] Willsch et al. *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 062302 (2017)
- [7] De Raedt. *Comp. Phys. Rep.* **7**, 1 (1987)
- [8] Michiels et al. *Comp. Phys. Comm.* **220**, 44 (2017)
- [9] Willsch et al., arXiv:1805.05227