Atomic-layer-resolved composition and electronic structure of the cuprate Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+δ}$ from soft x-ray standing-wave photoemission
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A major remaining challenge in the superconducting cuprates is the unambiguous differentiation of the composition and electronic structure of the CuO$_2$ layers and those of the intermediate layers. The large c axis for these materials permits employing soft x-ray (930.3 eV) standing wave (SW) excitation in photoemission that yields atomic layer-by-layer depth resolution of these properties. Applying SW photoemission to Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+δ}$ yields the depth distribution of atomic composition and the layer-resolved densities of states. We detect significant Ca presence in the SrO layers and oxygen bonding to three different cations. The layer-resolved valence electronic structure is found to be strongly influenced by the atomic supermodulation structure, as determined by comparison to density functional theory calculations, by Ca-Sr intermixing, and by correlation effects associated with the Cu 3d-3d Coulomb interaction, further clarifying the complex interactions in this prototypical cuprate. Measurements of this type for other quasi-two-dimensional materials with large c axis represent a promising future direction.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.98.155133

I. INTRODUCTION

The cuprate high-temperature superconductors have attracted much attention and have been extensively studied, but are still not fully understood. It is believed that superconductivity is related to hole- or electron- doping within their layered quasi-two-dimensional (2D) crystallographic structures, with the key element being the CuO$_2$ planes [1,2]. Characterizing this basic element in superconductivity is thus critical, and some important challenges remaining are to differentiate the electronic structure of the CuO$_2$ layers from those of the intermediate layers, as well as the elemental composition of each layer.

Photoemission spectroscopy, especially angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES), is one of the most powerful techniques for visualizing the electronic structure in materials [1,3,4]. Conventional ARPES measurements are performed with excitation energies of ~20–150 eV that yield high surface sensitivity due to the short electron inelastic mean-free paths (IMFP, $\lambda_{\text{IMFP}}$) [5] of ~3–6 Å. For materials with small unit-cell dimensions perpendicular to the surface and inert, easily cleavable or in situ preparable surfaces, ARPES can provide unique information on properties close to that of bulk. However, for materials with large c-axis parameters, e.g., the cuprates, it can be argued that conventional ARPES preferentially samples the topmost atomic layers rather than the full unit cell. For example, in the case of Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+δ}$ (Bi2212), the c-axis parameter is ~30.7 Å, and its first CuO$_2$ layer in the unit cell is ~6 Å below the cleaved surface; thus, for conventional ARPES, the contributions from the first CuO$_2$ layer will be attenuated by ~$e^{-1} = 0.37$, and they will be even more extreme for the deeper layers. Beyond this, in conventional ARPES, the only way to distinguish element-specific behavior is to use resonant photoemission that would selectively enhance the different layer contributions [6,7]. But quantitative interpretation of resonant photoemission is difficult, and the number of elements that can be studied is limited by the suitable core levels to excite resonantly. Standing-wave (SW) photoemission provides a method to get around these limitations of conventional ARPES and resonant photoemission.

X-ray SW excitation with energy ~2–10 keV in connection with spectroscopy was introduced some time ago [8], and its theory and applications have been reviewed in detail [9]. SW
hard x-ray photoemission at a few keV has been used to derive the spatial distribution of composition and differentiate the element-specific matrix-element weighted densities of states (DOSs) within the unit cells of several solids [10–12], including YBa2Cu3O7−δ (YBCO) [13]. For higher photon energies above the ∼1 keV regime, these DOSs can be considered to be weighted by differential atomic cross sections, and it is at this level that we will analyze our data.

In this paper, we have chosen soft x-ray photoemission to study Bi2212, utilizing its (002) Bragg reflection to generate the SW. A photon energy of 930.3 eV was further chosen near an absorption resonance to maximize the SW strength; see the detail in Supplemental Material Fig. S2 [14]. The IMFP for Bi2212 at the excitation energy of ∼930 eV calculated from the TPP-2M formula [5] is ∼1.5 nm. Given that the intensity of collected photoelectrons decays as exp(−L/λIMFP), where L is the depth, ∼99% of the collected photoelectrons are from the top three unit cells of Bi2212. For the excitation energies of 20–150 eV, ∼99% of the collected photoelectrons are from only the first unit cell of Bi2212. Therefore, the excitation energy of ∼930 eV is relatively sufficient to determine the bulk electronic structure. With the lower energy, it enables higher energy resolution and greater sensitivity to electron momentum than with a higher multi-keV energy. Choosing the soft x-ray of ∼930 eV thus means simultaneously having higher reflectivity, sufficient bulk sensitivity, reasonable energy resolution, and better sensitivity to electron momentum, making it superior to hard x-ray excitation for our SW x-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) study.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The Bi2212 single crystal in this work is optimally doped, and the critical temperature (Tc) determined by superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) is ∼93K. Details concerning the sample growth and characterization are in Supplemental Material S1 [14]. X-ray reflectivity measurements were performed at beamline 6.3.2 of Advanced Light Source (ALS). The Cr absorption edge (574.1 eV) is used for the energy calibration. ARPES, x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS), and SW photoemission (or SW-XPS) measurements were performed at beamline 7.0.2 (MAESTRO) of ALS, and the beamline CASSIOPEE of SOLEIL. The SW-XPS measurement was carried out at ∼77 K, at which the sample was superconducting. Note that the SW-XPS measurement could also be carried out in the normal state, as the temperature and the very small gap at 77 K should have very small impact on the experimental results at this energy resolution.

The reflectivity and SW rocking curve data were analyzed using SW theory based on dynamical x-ray diffraction. The resonant Cu atomic scattering factors were calculated from a Cu L3 XAS spectrum using Kramers-Kronig relations. The atomic coordinates of Bi2212 with supermodulation were obtained from Ref. [24]. The electronic structures were calculated using the first-principles package QUANTUM ESPRESSO [25] with the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) [26] for the correlation functional, optimized norm-conserving Vanderbilt pseudopotentials [27] with spin-orbit coupling for core electrons, 10×10×2 for k-sampling integration and 40 Ry for energy cutoff. Further details are in the Supplemental Material [14].

**FIG. 1.** Spatial relationship between the Bi2212 crystal planes and the (002) Bragg-reflection x-ray standing wave (SW). (a) Top half of the unit cell of Bi2212. (b) Schematic of the experimental geometry and the SW generated by the Bi2212 (002) reflection, with wavelength λSW = c/2 = d[002]. The incident and diffracted waves (associated with wave vectors k0 and k[002]) interfere to produce the SW. The photon energy was 930.3 eV, with a corresponding Bragg angle of about 25.7°. (c) By increasing the incidence angle around the (002) Bragg reflection, the SW can be shifted by d[002]/2. The maximum of the SW electric field intensity can thus be shifted continuously from the Ca plane (b) to the BiO plane (c).
III. RESULTS

A. Standing-wave excited photoemission and rocking curves

A SW with its iso-intensity planes parallel to the diffracting planes is created by the interference between the incident \( \mathbf{k}_0 \) and diffracted \( \mathbf{k}_{002} \) waves [9], as illustrated in Fig. 1. Figure 1(a) shows the Bi2212 crystallographic structure of the top half unit cell, and its cleavage plane primarily occurs in between the BiO layers due to weak van der Waals bonds [1]. The Bi, Sr, Cu, and Ca cations in these layers are well separated along the \( c \)-axis direction, making Bi2212 an ideal candidate for applying the SW technique to derive layer-resolved information. From SW theory based on dynamical x-ray diffraction [8,9], the phase difference between the incident and diffracted wave fields changes by \( \pi \) when the incidence angle moves from below to above the Bragg condition, thus scanning the SW by \( d_{002}/2 \) with respect to the (002) planes, as illustrated in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c). The detailed theoretical SW modeling, including consideration of both x-ray and electron attenuation with depth, is discussed in Supplemental Material S3 [14]. Depending on the locations of the atoms with respect to the scanned SW, the incidence-angle dependence of the core-level photoelectron intensities, which we define as core-level rocking curves (RCs), will show distinct modulations as to both shape and magnitude.

![Image](https://via.placeholder.com/150)

**Fig. 2.** Bragg-reflection standing-wave x-ray photoemission from the cations of Bi2212 at \( h\nu = 930.3 \) eV. Core-level spectra of (a) Ca 2\( p \), (b) Sr 3d, (c) Cu 3\( p \), and (d) Bi 4f\(_{7/2}\) at an off-Bragg incidence angle. The core-level peak intensities are derived by fitting with a Voigt line shape (in blue and magenta) and a Shirley background (in green). The corresponding experimental rocking curves (RCs) of core-level intensities are also plotted in (e)–(i) (black dots) and compared with SW theory (red curves). In (a) Ca 2\( p \) is found to have high-binding-energy (HBE) and low-binding-energy (LBE) components, which shows different RC behavior as to shape and fractional modulation. The RCs of Ca 2\( p \) HBE [in (f)] and Sr 3d [in (g)] are found to be identical within experimental error, indicating that Ca atoms occupy the Sr sites in the SrO layer.

Figures 2 and 3 illustrate the layer-dependent results for core-level intensities using SW excitation. The photoelectron spectra of Ca 2\( p \), Sr 3\( d \), Cu 3\( p \), and Bi 4f\(_{7/2}\) at an off-Bragg angle (23.2°) are shown in Figs. 2(a)–2(d). Such photoelectron spectra were collected by varying the incidence angle between 24° and 27.5°, yielding the five distinct core-level RCs in Figs. 2(e)–2(i). These RCs are normalized to 1 at off-Bragg positions and have been simulated by SW theory [red curves in Figs. 2(e)–2(i)]. Both members of the spin-orbit split Ca 2\( p \) spectrum [Fig. 2(a)] exhibit two components, with low-binding-energy (LBE) peaks at 344.6 and 348.1 eV and high-binding-energy (HBE) features at 345.9 and 349.4 eV. These have been observed in previous XPS studies, with varying relative intensities, depending on the sample synthesis procedure [6,28–30]. The RCs of the Ca 2\( p \)(LBE) in Fig. 2(e) and Ca 2\( p \)(HBE) in Fig. 2(f) show different shapes and relative intensity modulations, with LBE exhibiting higher modulation \( \sim 8\% \), as compared to \( \sim 5\% \) for HBE. The experimental Ca 2\( p \)(HBE) RC is, within statistical noise, also identical to the Sr 3\( d \) RC [Fig. 2(g)], including the amplitude of modulation, suggesting that the depths of Ca(HBE) and Sr atoms are essentially identical. By fitting these two experimental Ca 2\( p \) RCs using Eqs. (S2) and (S3), we are able to derive the values of coherent position \( P_{HQ} \), which determines the shape of...
RCs and provides the averaged locations of Ca(HBE) and Ca(LBE) atoms. Detailed discussions regarding the parameters on fitting the experimental RCs and a summary Table S1 can be found in Supplemental Material S3 [14]. Thus, we can unambiguously conclude that the Ca(LBE) atoms are located in the Ca layer, while the Ca(HBE) atoms are located in the SrO layer, implying that a significant fraction of Ca atoms occupy the Sr sites during synthesis.

A more quantitative analysis was made by considering the peak intensity ratio, $I$(HBE)/$I$(LBE) away from the Bragg reflection, which is $\sim 0.2$ with respect to the ideal amount of Ca; this indicates an excess of $\sim 10\%$ Ca is intermixing with each of the two adjacent SrO layers. Previous work on the degree of Sr-Ca intermixing is controversial [6,28–30], with some studies suggesting pronounced Sr-Ca intermixing with each of the two adjacent SrO layers. Previous studies on fitting the experimental RCs and provides the averaged locations of Ca atoms located in the SrO layer with a vertical offset of $\sim 1.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ Å}$.

We now consider the O 1s spectrum in Fig. 3(a), which is thought from prior XPS work to exhibit three components contributed from the different atomic layers [32,33]. Through modeling the O1s RCs with SW theory, the locations of these components were determined. The O 1s(P1) RC [Fig. 3(b)] shows that these oxygen atoms are located in the BiO layer. The O 1s(P2) RC in Fig. 3(c) has a similar shape but weaker intensity modulation compared to the Cu 3p RC, suggesting that, in the first CuO layer, the O(P2) atoms are $\sim 0.9 \pm 0.5 \text{ Å}$ higher than Cu atoms. O 1s(P3) RC [Fig. 3(d)] is slightly out of phase with respect to the Sr 3d RC, suggesting that, in the first SrO layer, the P3 oxygen atoms are $\sim 1.5 \pm 0.5 \text{ Å}$ higher relative to Sr atoms. These SW-determined locations of the oxygen atoms are in good agreement with prior transmission electron microscopy and x-ray diffraction results [24,34].

Looking ahead, future SW photoemission studies of Bi2212 or other cuprates, with higher reflectivities and better statistics, and with various oxygen dopant levels, should be able to determine the O stoichiometries in each layer and thus answer the question of where the additional oxygen dopant atoms reside.

In addition, these SW results provide unique insight into the chemical/electronic disorder along the $c$ axis in cuprates. In a broader perspective, several cuprate studies have demonstrated the interesting out-of-plane electronic properties. For example, YBCO exhibits a three-dimensional charge ordering at high magnetic fields [35,36]. The $c$-axis resistivity has been used to reveal information on the pseudogap phase [37] and magnetotransport [38] as well as soft x-ray ARPES [39] about the Fermi surface warping. Coming back to our work, the chemical disorder along the $c$ axis might prevent three-dimensional charge order in Bi2212 and also give rise to a larger scattering in $c$-axis resistivity experiments. Future experiments exploring these chemical/electronic effects in more detail should be very interesting.

**B. Atomic-layer-resolved electronic structure**

In order to resolve the individual atomic layer contributions to the Bi2212 valence band (VB), we measured the VB RCs, which is an intensity map $I_{VB}(E_h, \theta_{inc})$, with binding energy ($E_h$) and incidence angle ($\theta_{inc}$), over an angle scan. The VB...
FIG. 4. Standing-wave valence-band (VB) spectra and atomic-layer-resolved, cross-section-weighted densities of states (DOSs) together with DFT calculations. (a) VB intensity map for different incidence angles, with the color scale corresponding to the photoemission intensity, and top and right curves representing integrals over incidence angle and binding energy, respectively. (b) As (a), but for the second-derivative of intensity. The Bragg-reflection maximum is at \( \sim 25.7^\circ \), and the VB intensities exhibit modulations that are associated with the variable layer-specific contributions along the binding energy axis; this is particularly evident in the second derivative plot. (c) The layer-projected DOSs of CuO\(_2\) (blue dots), SrO (red dots), and BiO (black dots) and the corresponding layer-projected DOSs from DFT calculations including supermodulation in the crystal structure (solid line). (d) The comparison of DFT results with supermodulation (w SM) structures (solid line) and without supermodulation (w/o SM) structures are shown (dot-dashed line). Various energy shifts have been applied to theory in (c) and (d) to yield the best agreement with experiment; with supermodulation, only the CuO\(_2\) DOS requires a shift.

RCs can be written as a superposition of the experimentally layer-projected and cross-section-weighted DOSs in the different layers, \( D_i(E_b) \approx \sum_{Qn} \frac{\partial \rho_{Qn}}{\partial E_b} \rho_{Qn}(E_b) \), multiplied by layer-dependent normalized core-level RCs \( \bar{I}_{Qn}(\theta_{\text{inc}}) \), as discussed previously [11,12,13,40–43], see further details in Supplemental Material S4 [14]. That is,

\[
I_{\text{VB}}(E_b, \theta_{\text{inc}}) = \sum_{Qn} D_{Qn}(E_b) \bar{I}_{Qn}(\theta_{\text{inc}}). \tag{1}
\]

Here \( Qn \ell \) denotes a valence level \( n \ell \) in the atom \( Q \), and \( Qn' \ell' \) a core level in the same atom, and \( \bar{I}_{Qn}(\theta_{\text{inc}}) \) is the RC for \( Qn' \ell' \), normalized to unity away from the Bragg reflection. For our case, \( Qn' \ell' = \text{Cu} 3p, \text{Sr} 3d, \text{and Bi} 4f \).

The main contributions from the atomic orbitals in the layer-projected DOSs based on strength of hybridization and photoelectric cross sections at our excitation energy are Cu 3d in CuO\(_2\), Sr 4p in SrO, and Bi 5d in BiO (see Supplemental Material S5 [14]). The Ca 4s orbitals in the Ca layer are negligible, as discussed in prior work using density functional theory (DFT) calculations in the local-density approximation (LDA) [44,45]. In both the raw data for \( I_{\text{VB}}(E_b, \theta_{\text{inc}}) \) of Fig. 4(a) and in a more pronounced way in its second derivative along the axis of incidence angle in Fig. 4(b), there are intensity modulations with BE associated with the different layer contributions to the intensity; these are particularly clear along the Bragg angle at \( \sim 25.7^\circ \). The VB RCs for each BE have been fitted to a linear combination of these three core-level RCs by a least-squares method, and the resultant fitting coefficients correspond to the layer-resolved cross-section-weighted DOSs \( D_i(E_b) \).

Figure 4(c) shows these experimental layer-projected cross-section-weighted DOSs (dots) in comparison to DFT calculations (curves) incorporating the supermodulation displacements known to exist in Bi2212 [14], in particular with a twofold enlargement of the unit cell size in the \( x-y \) plane (see Supplemental Material S6 [14]). The \( D_{\text{CuO}_2}(E_b) \) and \( D_{\text{BiO}}(E_b) \) show good agreement with the DFT results including supermodulation. The \( D_{\text{SrO}}(E_b) \) is however considerably broader than the DFT results. One obvious source of uncertainty and broadening has been mentioned before: the Sr 3d RC has a similar shape compared to the Cu 3p RC, meaning that the deconvolution procedure will inherently mix some intensity from CuO\(_2\). Beyond this, the broadening of \( D_{\text{SrO}}(E_b) \) is certainly associated with the significant Ca-Sr intermixing, causing more disorder and scattering. Further electronic structure calculations, e.g., within the coherent potential approximation, would help to test this hypothesis.
FIG. 5. Band structure in the high-symmetry directions near the E\textsubscript{F}. Full band structure for Bi2212 without (a) and with (b) supermodulation effect in the crystal structure. The layer-projected (c) CuO\textsubscript{2}, (d) SrO, and (e) BiO band structures of (b) (with supermodulation). The blue-to-grey color scale indicates strong-to-weak contribution from a given layer. Note that no energy shift is applied to theory here.

IV. DISCUSSION

To assist in understanding the influence of the supermodulated atomic displacements on the electronic structure, the layer-projected DOSs with and without supermodulation are plotted together in Fig. 4(d). The introduction of supermodulation makes no significant difference in the CuO\textsubscript{2} DOS, but for both cases, a shift to higher BE by 1.3 eV is necessary to reach agreement with the experimental D\textsubscript{CuO2}(E\textsubscript{F}). For the DOSs of the SrO and BiO layers, no BE shift is needed for the supermodulation results, whereas without supermodulation, one needs to shift the curves to higher BE by 0.9 eV and to lower BE by 1.3 eV to best match experiment, respectively. Adding supermodulation for the SrO DOS further produces an additional peak at ∼5 eV that much better matches the experimental D\textsubscript{SrO}(E\textsubscript{F}). For the BiO plane, the disappearance of a peak at ∼6.5 eV in the theoretical DOS with supermodulation structure is again in better agreement with the experimental D\textsubscript{BiO}(E\textsubscript{F}). In summary, taking supermodulation into consideration leads to a change of the SrO and BiO DOSs, improving the agreement with the layer-projected DOSs. This indicates the strong influence of the supermodulation structure on the electronic structure of Bi2212.

We have noted that an energy shift of the theoretical CuO\textsubscript{2} DOS to 1.3 eV higher BE has been necessary to match the D\textsubscript{CuO2}(E\textsubscript{F}). Such shifts of the VB energies in photoemission relative to LDA calculations have been widely reported in the cuprates (e.g., LSCO [46], YBCO [46], and Bi2212 [47]). In undoped cuprates the Coulomb interaction between the Cu $3d$ electrons in cuprates, which is not treated fully in simple DFT calculations, can lead to the opening of a Mott-Hubbard gap, with a bound-state energy shift to higher BE and a lower DOS in the vicinity of the Fermi energy ($E_F$) [46,47]. These features in the cuprate VBs are spectroscopic evidence of strong correlation effects, and more detailed discussions can be found elsewhere [48]. In metallic cuprates, where the Cu $3d$ states hybridized with O $2p$ dates mostly lie 2–6 eV below the Fermi level, the main effect relevant to the current data is an increase in binding energy. The $D_{CuO2}(E_F)$ thus shows that the energy shift that can be attributed to this electron correlation effect is 1.3 eV.

In order to visualize in more detail the layer-resolved electronic structure of Bi2212, the band structures near the $E_F$ region are shown in Fig. 5. The full band structure without supermodulation is shown in Fig. 5(a), and in Fig. 5(b) with supermodulation. The band structure in Fig. 5(a) is in good agreement with prior work [44,45]. By comparing these two figures, one clearly sees a splitting of the bands (at $\sim -2$ eV and at 0.5–2 eV BE) that results from including supermodulation. For the more realistic band structure with supermodulation we now show the layer-projected band structures in Figs. 5(c)–5(e) in a blue-gray scale to indicate relative amplitude. From these results alone, one would conclude that, around the M point, the Fermi surface of Bi2212 is governed by the CuO\textsubscript{2} bands, but that there is also a strong contribution from the BiO bands. Although our DFT results show the existence of BiO bands near the M point [Fig. 5(e)] and some BiO state intensity extending below $E_F$ [Fig. 4(c)], our experimental results in the same figure lack that spectral feature, in agreement with previous photoemission studies [1,6]. For example, to resolve this disagreement between the DFT results and photoemission, Lin et al. [49] proposed that, with increasing oxygen doping in the BiO layer, the BiO band shifts above $E_F$ at the M point, which also is consistent with a scanning tunneling microscopic and spectroscopic study [50]. The excess oxygen atoms are believed to be responsible for the $\delta$ in the common designation Bi$_2$Sr$_2$CaCu$_2$O$_{8+\delta}$.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have carried out soft x-ray SW photoemission study of Bi2212 and derived the depth distribution of atoms within one unit cell, in particular, a 10% Ca-Sr intermixing and the three types of oxygen atoms bonding to different cations. In addition, we have successfully decomposed the electronic structure of Bi2212 into atomic-layer-specific, matrix-element-weighted DOSs. These atomic-layer-resolved DOSs show good agreement with DFT calculations in most respects, provided we incorporate the known supermodulation structure in Bi2212. Our results for the layer-resolved electronic structure are found to be strongly influenced by the supermodulation, Ca-Sr intermixing, and the Cu $3d$-$3d$ Coulomb interaction, further clarifying the complexity of this prototypical cuprate. Future measurements of this type for other cuprates should yield equally unique information, such as providing insights on how the $T_c$ increases while
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