

**Title:** Love is analogous to money in human brain: coordinate-based and functional connectivity meta-analyses of social and monetary reward anticipation

**Abbreviated title:** Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in human Brain

**Author names and affiliations:**

Ruolei Gu <sup>1,2,#</sup>, Wenhao Huang <sup>3,#</sup>, Julia Camilleri <sup>4,5</sup>, Pengfei Xu <sup>6,7,8</sup>, Ping Wei <sup>3,\*</sup>, Simon B. Eickhoff <sup>4,5</sup>, Chunliang Feng <sup>9,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Key Laboratory of Behavioral Science, Institute of Psychology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>3</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory of Learning and Cognition, and School of Psychology, Capital Normal University, Beijing, China

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Systems Neuroscience, Medical Faculty, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine, Brain & Behaviour (INM-7), Research Centre Jülich, Jülich, Germany

<sup>6</sup> Shenzhen Key Laboratory of Affective and Social Neuroscience, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, China

<sup>7</sup> Center for Emotion and Brain, Shenzhen Institute of Neuroscience, Shenzhen, China

<sup>8</sup> Department of Neuroscience, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

<sup>9</sup> College of Information Science and Technology, Beijing Normal University, Beijing, China

# These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.

\* **Corresponding authors:** Ping Wei, Ph.D., Department of Psychology, Capital Normal University, e-mail: [aweiping@gmail.com](mailto:aweiping@gmail.com); Chunliang Feng, Ph.D., College of Information Science and Technology, Beijing Normal University, e-mail: [chunliang.feng@gmail.com](mailto:chunliang.feng@gmail.com)

**Acknowledgments:**

This work was supported by the National Postdoctoral Program for Innovative Talents (BX201600019), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2017M610055), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31500920, 31470979, 31571124, 31530031), Natural Science Foundation of Shenzhen University (85303-00000275), Shenzhen Peacock Program (827-000235 and KQTD2015033016104926), the Major Program of the Chinese National Social Science Foundation (17ZDA324), the National Institute of Mental Health (R01-MH074457), the Helmholtz Portfolio Theme "Supercomputing and Modeling for the Human Brain", and the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (7202070, HBP SGA1).

**Conflict of Interest:**

The authors are unaware of any conflicts of interest, financial or otherwise.

## Abstract

Both social and material rewards play a crucial role in daily life and function as strong incentives for various goal-directed behaviors. However, it remains unclear whether the incentive effects of social and material reward are supported by common or distinct neural circuits. Here, we have addressed this issue by quantitatively synthesizing and comparing neural signatures underlying social (21 contrasts, 207 foci, 696 subjects) and monetary (94 contrasts, 1083 foci, 2060 subjects) reward anticipation. We demonstrated that social and monetary reward anticipation engaged a common neural circuit consisting of the ventral tegmental area, ventral striatum, anterior insula, and supplementary motor area, which are intensively connected during both task and resting states. Functional decoding findings indicate that this generic neural pathway mediates positive value, motivational relevance, and action preparation during reward anticipation, which together motivate individuals to prepare well for the response to the upcoming target. Our findings support the common neural currency hypothesis by providing the first meta-analytic evidence to quantitatively show the common involvement of brain regions in both social and material reward anticipation.

**Keywords:** social reward; reward anticipation; monetary incentive delay task; meta-analysis; functional decoding; meta-analytic connectivity modeling; resting-state functional connectivity

## 33 Introduction

34 As social beings, human behavior is strongly motivated by social rewards, i.e., desirable  
35 outcomes that are social in nature without material payoff. It is common for adults to  
36 purchase luxurious products to draw attention and admiration of others, adolescents to  
37 devote a lot of time in video games for higher virtual social status, and children to finish  
38 their homework with the expectation of being praised. Indeed, our anticipation of social  
39 rewards is such a powerful incentive that most of us (if not all) are motivated by it and  
40 employ it to motivate other people. Although the importance of social rewards in goal-  
41 directed behaviors has long been recognized (Fehr and Schmidt, 1999; Zajonc, 1965),  
42 investigations on neural underpinnings of social reward anticipation only emerged in  
43 the past decade. A key question addressed in recent neuroimaging studies is whether  
44 social rewards are represented in a specific neural circuit or in an analogue manner to  
45 the neural encoding of material rewards (e.g. money).

46 A growing body of studies has compared the neural signatures of social and  
47 material rewards with a variety of paradigms (Dvash et al., 2010; Izuma et al., 2008;  
48 Rilling et al., 2002; Spreckelmeyer et al., 2009; Tabibnia et al., 2008; Wake and Izuma,  
49 2017; Zink et al., 2008). Among them, the monetary incentive delay (MID) task is one  
50 of the most reliable and widely-used paradigms (Knutson et al., 2001a; Knutson et al.,  
51 2001b; Knutson et al., 2003; Knutson et al., 2000; Oldham et al., 2018; Rademacher et  
52 al., 2010; Spreckelmeyer et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2018). In the classic MID,  
53 participants are first presented with an incentive cue (e.g. circle) indicating reward  
54 information of successfully responding to a target. The cue is followed by a short period

of delay (i.e., anticipation phase), after which a target is presented, and participants need to respond to it. Finally, outcome is revealed based on participants' performance (Knutson, Adams, Fong, & Hommer, 2001; Knutson, Westdorp, Kaiser, & Hommer, 2000). In this way, the MID paradigm offers several important advantages. First, this task allows for assessing neural signatures of anticipatory reward processing and separating them from those of consummatory reward processing (Knutson et al., 2001b; Rademacher et al., 2010). Second, the separation between anticipation and outcome phases enables elegant comparisons between anticipation of different types of rewards (e.g. money and smiling faces) by using simple shapes as cue stimuli that are well controlled for non-relevant attributes (e.g. physical properties, familiarity, and self-relevance).

Combining the MID task with functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI), previous studies have demonstrated consistent engagement of the ventral striatum (VS) in monetary reward anticipation. In particular, the VS plays a key role in encoding expected positive incentive value (Abler et al., 2006; Bjork et al., 2010a; Bjork et al., 2008a; Knutson et al., 2001a; Mucci et al., 2015). Moreover, monetary reward anticipation further engages the anterior insula (AI) and dorsal anterior cingulate cortex/supplementary motor area (dACC/SMA), which are implicated in signaling salience of an upcoming event (Funayama et al., 2014; Kirk et al., 2015; Kollmann et al., 2017; Oldham et al., 2018; Wilson et al., 2018).

Building on previous findings, many recent studies have employed a social variant of the MID (here forth social incentive delay [SID]) task to examine neural signatures

77 of social reward anticipation. In the SID task, social stimuli that are intrinsically  
78 rewarding, such as smiling faces, ‘thumbs-up’ gestures, positive verbal messages, were  
79 employed as incentives (Goerlich et al., 2017; Kollmann et al., 2017; Spreckelmeyer et  
80 al., 2009). Evidence has shown that social reward anticipation recruits both overlapping  
81 and distinct neural circuits compared to monetary reward anticipation. On one hand,  
82 there is considerable evidence that both social and monetary reward anticipation  
83 frequently evoke the activity of VS (Barman et al., 2015; Carter et al., 2009; Kirsch et  
84 al., 2003; Rademacher et al., 2014; Spreckelmeyer et al., 2009), supporting the role of  
85 the VS as the generic mediator of reward prediction. On the other hand, several studies  
86 have shown that social reward anticipation also engages the temporoparietal junction  
87 (TPJ), dorsomedial prefrontal cortex (dmPFC), precuneus, and superior temporal gyrus  
88 (STG), brain regions that are critical for social-cognitive processes (Barman et al., 2015;  
89 Goerlich et al., 2017; Spreckelmeyer et al., 2013). Likewise, electroencephalographic  
90 evidence has shown that social and monetary incentive cues evoke differential event-  
91 related potential patterns at both early and late temporal stages (Flores et al., 2015).  
92 Moreover, neural activation induced by social and monetary reward anticipation are  
93 differentially modulated by age (Rademacher et al., 2013), sex (Greimel et al., 2018;  
94 Spreckelmeyer et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2017), and social proficiency (Gossen et al.,  
95 2014). Taken together, recent evidence suggests a hypothesis that social reward  
96 anticipation engages a more complex neural circuit than monetary reward anticipation,  
97 consisting of not only reward-related regions (e.g. VS) but also social-cognitive related  
98 regions (e.g. dmPFC, TPJ).

**Kommentiert [CF1]:** 应该不止这篇。上一个版本里，我其他文献按照文昊的改了，但没有标注出来。

Our study examined this hypothesis with a meta-analytic approach to quantitatively synthesize and compare previous brain imaging findings on social and monetary reward anticipation. Meta-analysis is an increasingly popular method to overcome the heterogeneity and divergence of previous results and assess the consistency of previous findings (Gurevitch et al., 2018; Kotov et al., 2010; Müller et al., 2017). Specifically, we first utilized a coordinate-based meta-analysis to quantitatively synthesize previous neuroimaging findings on social and monetary reward anticipation. Second, we examined the correspondence between social and monetary reward anticipation to determine the common and distinct neural circuits underlying different types of rewards. Third, psychological functions of identified brain regions were decoded based on large-scale database, implementing a data-driven quantitative inference on mental processes associated with identified regions (Laird et al., 2009). Finally, the functional profiles of revealed brain regions were characterized by both task-dependent and task-independent functional connectivity. In short, these approaches aim to achieve a more fine-grained characterization of the neural signatures implicated in social and monetary reward anticipation.

## Materials and Methods

### Meta-Analysis

*Literature search and selection.* A systematic online database search was performed in May 2018 using PubMed, ISI Web of Science, and Google Scholar by entering various combinations of relevant search items (e.g., ['monetary incentive delay' OR 'MID task' OR 'anticipation of reward' OR 'reward anticipation' OR 'social incentive delay' OR 'SID task' OR 'incentive delay task'] AND ['functional magnetic resonance imaging' OR 'fMRI' OR 'positron emission tomography' OR 'PET']). In addition, we have explored several other sources, including: (i) the bibliography and citation indices of the pre-selected papers, and (ii) direct searches on the names of frequently occurring authors.

<Insert Figure 1 here>

The identified papers were further assessed according to the following criteria (Fig. 1): (i) subjects were free from psychiatric or neurological diagnoses; (ii) the paper reported an SID task, a MID task, or both; (iii) fMRI or PET was used as the imaging modality; (iv) whole-brain general-linear-model-based analyses (rather than region of interest [ROI] analyses) were applied; (v) results were derived from a general linear model based on either a binary contrast (e.g. anticipation of reward > anticipation of no reward) or continuous parametric analyses; and (vi) activations were presented in a standardized stereotaxic space (Talairach or Montreal Neurological Institute, MNI). Note that for the papers reporting Talairach coordinates, a conversion to the MNI coordinates was employed using an icbm2tal algorithm (Lancaster et al., 2007). Filtering search results according to the inclusion/exclusion criteria yielded a total of 21 experiments (207 foci, 696 subjects) for the anticipation of social reward and 94 experiments (1083 foci, 2060 subjects) for the anticipation of monetary reward (Table 1). Notably, the sample in Gossen et al. study (2014; n = 35) overlapped with that in



Goerlich et al. (2017:  $n = 45$ ). Accordingly, we conducted a robust test by excluding the data by Gossen et al. (2014) from the meta-analysis, and the results were essentially same as those of the primary analysis (Fig. S1 and S2).

In light of recent studies on lesion network mapping (Darby et al., 2018a; Darby et al., 2018b), we performed additional literature search and selection to include potentially relevant lesion studies. Specifically, we searched PubMed by entering the following keywords: ("monetary incentive delay" OR "MID task" OR "anticipation of reward" OR "expect of reward" OR "reward anticipation" OR "social incentive delay" OR "SID task" OR "incentive delay task") AND ("MRI" OR "CT" OR "neuroimaging" OR "lesion") AND ("damage" OR "stroke" OR "hemorrhage" OR "tumor" OR "lesion"). The search resulted in 10 papers in total, but none of them met criteria to conduct following-up lesion network mapping.

Gelöscht: were suitable

Gelöscht: for

<Insert Table 1 here>

*Activation likelihood estimation (ALE) analysis.* A coordinate-based meta-analysis of reported fMRI experiments was conducted, employing the ALE algorithm (in-house MATLAB scripts) (Eickhoff et al., 2009). ALE determines the convergence of foci reported from different functional (e.g., blood-oxygen-level dependent [BOLD] contrast imaging) or structural (e.g., voxel-based morphometry) neuroimaging experiments, with published foci in Talairach or MNI space (Laird et al., 2005; Turkeltaub et al., 2002). ALE interprets reported foci as spatial probability distributions, of which the widths are based on empirical estimates of the spatial uncertainty due to the between-subject and between-template variability of the neuroimaging data (Eickhoff et al., 2009). The ALE algorithm weighs the between-subject variability based on the number of subjects analyzed in the experiments, modeling larger sample

sizes with smaller Gaussian distributions and, thus, presupposing more reliable approximations of the ‘true’ activation for larger sample sizes (Eickhoff et al., 2009).

The union of the individual modulated activation maps firstly created from the maximum probability associated with any one focus (always the closest one) for each voxel (Turkeltaub et al., 2002) was then calculated to obtain an ALE map across experiments. This ALE map was assessed against a null-distribution of random spatial association between the experiments using a non-linear histogram integration algorithm (Eickhoff et al., 2012; Eickhoff et al., 2009). In addition, the average non-linear contribution of each experiment for each cluster was calculated from the fraction of the ALE values at the cluster, with and without the experiment in question (Eickhoff et al., 2017). Based on the calculated contribution, we employed two additional criteria to select significant clusters: (i) the contributions for one cluster were from at least two experiments so that the findings would not be merely driven by one single experiment; and (ii) the average contribution of the most dominant experiment (MDE) shall not exceed 50% and the average contribution of the two most dominant experiments (2MDEs) shall not exceed 80% (Eickhoff et al., 2017). All ALE maps were thresholded using a cluster-level family-wise error (cFWE) correction ( $P < 0.05$ ) with a cluster-forming threshold of  $P < 0.001$  using 10,000 permutations for correcting multiple comparisons (Eickhoff et al., 2017).

*Conjunction and contrast analyses.* After obtaining the consistent maxima separately for the anticipation of social reward and monetary reward, a conjunction analysis between them was conducted to assess the correspondence. This was implemented by using the conservative minimum statistic (Nichols et al., 2005), which is equivalent to identification of the intersection between two corrected ALE results. In addition, differences between the anticipation of social reward and monetary reward were tested

by first performing separate ALE analyses for each task and computing the voxel-wise difference between the ensuing ALE maps (Eickhoff et al., 2011). Afterwards, all experiments contributing to either analysis were then pooled and randomly divided into two groups, whose sizes were the same as that in the two original sets of experiments, reflecting the contrasted ALE analyses (Langner et al., 2018). The ALE values for these two randomly assembled groups were calculated, and the differences between the ALE values were recorded for each voxel of the brain. Repeating this process 25,000 times yielded a null-distribution of differences in ALE values between two tasks. The true difference in the ALE values was then tested against the voxel-wise null-distribution of label-exchangeability, and thresholded at a probability of  $P > 95\%$  for true differences.

#### **Task-based connectivity: meta-analytic connectivity modeling (MACM) analyses**

To examine the co-activation patterns of the bilateral VS, ventral tegmental area (VTA), SMA, and left AI, which are commonly recruited by social and monetary reward anticipation, we conducted MACM analyses — with these regions as ROIs — using the BrainMap Database (<http://www.brainmap.org/>) (Laird et al., 2009). MACM delineates patterns of co-activation across thousands of studies using neuroimaging databases and produces data-driven functional connectivity maps based on pre-defined ROIs (Langner et al., 2014). For our analysis, only whole-brain neuroimaging studies reporting activation in standard stereotaxic space in a healthy population were included, while other studies investigating differences in age, sex, handedness, and training effects or clinical populations were excluded. First, whole-brain peak coordinates of all those studies from BrainMap were downloaded if the study reported at least one focus of activation within each ROI. Next, coordinates were analyzed using the ALE algorithm (as described above) to detect areas of convergence of coactivation with each

seed. Finally, the ALE maps were thresholded using a cFWE correction ( $P < 0.05$ ) with a cluster-forming threshold of  $P < 0.001$  using 10,000 permutations for correcting multiple comparisons.

#### **Task-free connectivity: resting-state functional connectivity (RSFC) analyses**

To complement task-based connectivity derived from MACM analyses, whole-brain RSFC of the bilateral VS, VTA, SMA, and left AI as ROIs was assessed. The analysis was based on resting-state fMRI images of 192 healthy volunteers obtained from the Enhanced Nathan Kline Institute-Rockland Sample (NKI-RS: [http://fcon\\_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/enhanced/](http://fcon_1000.projects.nitrc.org/indi/enhanced/)) (Nooner et al., 2012). The enhanced NKI-RS is a community-ascertained, lifespan sample in which age, ethnicity, and socioeconomic status are representative of the general population (Horn and Blankenburg, 2016) (see also supplementary methods for details). The enhanced NKI-RS dataset, similar to other open datasets such as Human Connectome Project (HCP, Van Essen et al., 2013), has been widely used in previous studies including those conducting meta-analysis (Krall et al., 2015; Wong et al., 2018). Notably, previous studies that employed both these databases have found that the results derived from these databases were highly similar (Fukushima et al., 2018). In the same vein, one of our recent studies showed that the RSFC results were essentially the same across different datasets (Bellucci et al., 2018), although these data were from (i) different sites and participant populations (Europe vs. China), (ii) different machines (7T vs. 3T), and (iii) different scanning parameters. Although validation using different datasets is an intriguing and important topic, this is beyond the scope of the current study.

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Implementing a seed-based analysis, the functional connectivity (bivariate correction) between the average BOLD signals from given seed regions (bilateral VS, VTA, SMA, and left AI) and all other voxels in the brain was computed. The voxel-wise correlation coefficients were then transformed into Fisher's Z-scores and tested for consistency across subjects (see also supplementary methods for details).

#### **Hierarchical clustering on resting-state functional connectivity of brain regions identified in the contrast analysis**

To further reveal potential functions of those regions that were observed in the contrast analyses (i.e., social > monetary or monetary > social), we implemented hierarchical clustering based on RSFC of these regions. As such, we aimed to examine whether regions showing differential activation to social and monetary reward anticipation could also be distinguished by their RSFC patterns (Amft et al., 2015; Camilleri et al., 2018). RSFC analysis was conducted between all identified regions (see also supplementary methods for details). Using the singular value decomposition (SVD) function implemented in MATLAB/SPM8, the time-course of each seed (i.e., each identified region) was extracted per subject by computing the first eigenvariate of the time-series of all voxels within the seed. Employing the first eigenvariate is a common practice as this approach — compared to using mean time-series — is less likely to be influenced disproportionately by potential outliers when using large and inhomogeneous regional templates (Friston et al., 2006). However, both approaches (i.e., first eigenvariate and mean) will lead to reliable and highly similar results when ROIs are

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Kommentiert [CF2]: 确保这次大的改动都标红了。

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

relatively small (as those in the current study) (see also Braun et al., 2012). To reduce spurious correlations, variance explained by the mean white matter and cerebral spinal fluid signal were removed from the time series, which was subsequently band-pass filtered to preserve the frequencies between 0.01 and 0.08 Hz. RSFC between all regions of the contrast analysis was computed using the FSLNets toolbox (<http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/FSLNets>). Partial temporal correlations between time series data of all regions were computed to estimate pairwise functional connectivity (Marrelec et al., 2006). For each pairwise connection, Fisher's  $Z$ -transformed functional connectivity values were submitted to one-sample  $t$ -tests. The resulting  $t$  values, reflecting connection strength as well as consistency across the sample, were  $z$ -transformed (i.e., into units of the standard normal distribution). This connectivity matrix was then fed into the Ward clustering, which is a commonly used method for hierarchical cluster analysis (Murtagh and Legendre, 2014).

The key concept of Ward/hierarchical clustering is to group the initial elements (regions) in a stepwise fashion such that the elements within a cluster have features that are as homogeneous as possible, while different clusters are distinct from each other as far as possible. This is achieved through an agglomerative approach in which clusters initially formed by individual regions are subsequently merged according to their similarity using standardized Euclidean distances and Ward's incremental sum of squares method (Eickhoff et al., 2011; Timm and Kruse, 2002). This hierarchical approach then reveals cliques of all identified regions at different level of granularity based on resting-state connectivity. In particular, the distance between two clusters is defined as the increase in variance for the cluster being merged (Ward, 1963). Based

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

on this understanding, Ward clustering forms clusters by minimizing the total within-cluster variation, which is measured as the sum of squares of the Euclidean distances between each point in the cluster and the cluster centroid. In each step, the two clusters with the lowest intracluster variation would be joined (Danielsson et al., 1999). According to Sharma (1996), Ward's method is superior in giving a larger amount of correct classified observations.

### Functional decoding

Based on the BrainMap database (<http://www.brainmap.org/>), functional decoding was implemented for each region identified in the conjunction analysis and for each subcluster of brain regions derived from contrast analysis (see also the Results section). In particular, we performed the functional characterization based on the behavioral domain meta-data categories available for each neuroimaging experiment included in the BrainMap database (Turner and Laird, 2012) (see <http://brainmap.org/scribe/>). We determined the individual functional profile corresponding to each region/subcluster by using forward and reverse inference approaches. Forward inference refers to the probability of identifying activity in a region/subcluster, given the knowledge of the psychological process, whereas reverse inference refers to the probability of a psychological process being present, given the knowledge of a particular region/subcluster (for details, see also Bzdok et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2018; Genon et al., 2017). Significance was obtained at  $P < 0.05$ , corrected for multiple comparisons using the false discovery rate (FDR) method.

### Validation analysis

We implemented additional analyses to validate findings derived from conventional ALE meta-analysis. First, we implemented a leave-one-experiment-out (LOEO)

analysis for the ALE meta-analyses on the anticipation of social reward or monetary reward. On each fold, one experiment was excluded, and the ALE meta-analysis was conducted on the remaining N-1 experiments. Afterwards, we conducted a conjunction analysis on the ALE results of all folds to identify the brain regions that were robustly engaged in social/monetary reward anticipation. These analyses were employed to validate our main ALE meta-analysis findings on social or monetary reward anticipation.

Second, the unbalanced number of experiments between social and monetary reward anticipation (21 vs. 94) could lead to differences in statistical power for the experiments to be compared. To address this issue, we implemented an additional re-subsampling approach for the conjunction and contrast analyses. The re-subsampling was repeated for 1,000 rounds. During each round, 19 experiments were randomly selected from datasets of social and monetary reward anticipation. The conjunction and contrast analyses were then conducted for the selected experiments of each dataset. Afterwards, conjunction and contrast results of all rounds were averaged to represent the re-subsampling findings. These analyses were employed to validate our main conjunction and contrast findings.

Third, the ALE approach treats different experiments within a paper as distinct experiments (Laird et al., 2009). This approach (i) ensures that all relevant information in a paper is represented in the meta-analysis, and (ii) avoids selection of a single experiment based on subjective and inconsistent criteria across publications. However, an issue with this approach is that multiple experiments from a single study might be related. Although this issue could be partly addressed by the LOEO and resampling methods mentioned above, we further implemented a supplementary analysis by combining different experiments from the same paper into a single experiment. As such,



multiple experiments from a single paper would not independently influence the results of meta-analyses (Turkeltaub et al., 2012). Combining within-paper experiments resulted in 18 experiments for social reward anticipation and 75 experiments for monetary reward anticipation.

All ALE maps were thresholded using a cFWE correction ( $P < 0.05$ ) with a cluster-forming threshold of  $P < 0.001$  using 10,000 permutations for correcting multiple comparisons.

## Results

### ALE findings

For social reward anticipation, the ALE meta-analysis revealed significant convergence of activity in the bilateral VS, dACC/SMA, AI, VTA, and middle occipital gyrus (MOG) (Fig. 2a and Table 2). Twelve out of 21 contrasts (reward vs. no reward) contributed to the cluster in the left VS (MDE = 11.6%; 2MDE = 22.92%, Table 3). Fifteen out of 21 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the right VS (MDE = 16.02%; 2MDE = 28.61%, Table 3). Seven out of 21 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the dACC/SMA (MDE = 18.46%; 2MDE = 35.75%, Table 3). Five out of 21 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the left AI (MDE = 31.64%; 2MDE = 60.69%, Table 3). Seven out of 21 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the right AI (MDE = 25.99%; 2MDE = 49.93%, Table 3). Seven out of 21 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the midbrain (MDE = 28.92%; 2MDE = 56.83%, Table 3). Seven out of 21 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the MOG (MDE = 26.94%; 2MDE = 47.77%, Table 3).

<Insert Figure 2 and Table 2 here>

The ALE meta-analysis on monetary reward anticipation revealed significant convergence of activity in the VS, dACC, dACC/SMA, postcentral gyrus, precentral

Kommentiert [A3]: 语法编辑 : Please check if this sentence needs to be mentioned every time or a single reference in the initial part (under ALE analysis) was sufficient.

CF: This is fine.

gyrus, MOG, and cuneus (**Fig. 2b and Table 2**). Eighty-eight out of 94 contrasts (reward vs. no reward) contributed to the cluster in the VS (MDE = 3.25%; 2MDE = 6.39%, **Table 4**). Thirteen out of 94 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the dACC (MDE = 14.84%; 2MDE = 27.55%, **Table 4**). Forty-two out of 94 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the dACC/SMA (MDE = 7.01%; 2MDE = 12.82%, **Table 4**). Twenty-one out of 94 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the postcentral gyrus (MDE = 8.01%; 2MDE = 15.83%, **Table 4**). Fourteen out of 94 contrasts contributed to the first cluster in the precentral gyrus (MDE = 13.73%; 2MDE = 27.33%, **Table 4**). Twenty-two out of 94 contrasts contributed to the second cluster in the MOG (MDE = 11.65%; 2MDE = 21.81%, **Table 4**). Twenty-one out of 94 contrasts contributed to the cluster in the cuneus (MDE = 10.2%; 2MDE = 9.89%, **Table 4**).

<Insert Table 3 and 4 here>

#### Conjunction findings

*Conjunction analysis.* The conjunction analysis revealed a common activation maximum in the bilateral VS, VTA, SMA, and left AI, for both social and monetary reward anticipation (**Fig. 2c and Table 2**).

<Insert Figure 3 here>

*Quantitative functional profiling of conjunction regions.* Results regarding functional decoding for each subcluster are illustrated in **Fig. 3**. For the left VS (**Fig. 3A**), forward and reverse inference alike indicated an association with reward, reasoning, and attention. For the right VS (**Fig. 3B**), an association with reward, reasoning, action execution, pain, and sexuality was revealed. For the VTA (**Fig. 3C**), the decoding analysis revealed an association with reward and pain. For the left AI (**Fig. 3D**), an association with phonology and pain was indicated. For the SMA (**Fig. 3E**), the results

indicated an association with music, action execution, action imagination, and visual motion.

<Insert Figure 4 here>

*Functional connectivity profiles.* These overlapping regions were commonly connected to brain regions important for reward and salience processing during both resting and task states (Fig. 4), including VS, AI, SMA, and dACC.

### Contrast findings

*Contrast analysis.* Results of contrast analysis (social vs. monetary; Table 2) showed that the dorsal caudate, SMA, dorsal AI, putamen, thalamus, midbrain, and MOG were more activated for social reward anticipation than monetary reward anticipation (Fig. 2D). In contrast, the VS, dorsal caudate, dACC, right ventral AI, and left thalamus were more activated for monetary reward anticipation than social reward anticipation (Fig. 2D). Notably, however, brain regions revealed in two directions of contrast analyses were adjacent to each other.

*Clustering on RSFC between regions identified in the contrast analysis.* The hierarchical clustering based on RSFC patterns between all regions identified in the contrast analysis (social vs. monetary) grouped these regions into subclusters with strong within-cluster similarity (Fig. 5). Importantly, this data-driven grouping did not separate regions activated more in social reward anticipation from those activated more in monetary reward anticipation. Instead, most subclusters (3 of 4) included regions from both directions of contrast analyses. Specifically, cluster 1 consisted of the bilateral AI, SMA, and dACC (three regions from the contrast of social > monetary and two regions from the contrast of monetary > social); cluster 2 consisted of the bilateral

midbrain and thalamus (three regions from the contrast of social > monetary and one region from the contrast of monetary > social); cluster 3 comprised of the bilateral caudate and putamen (three regions from the contrast of social > monetary and two regions from the contrast of monetary > social); finally, cluster 4 included the MOG (one region from the contrast of social > monetary). These results indicate that the regions derived from two directions of contrast analyses (social > monetary or monetary > social) could not be separated according to their functional connectivity profiles. Instead, these regions belonged to similar subclusters.

<Insert Figure 5 here>

*Quantitative functional profiling of subclusters.* Results of functional decoding for each subcluster are illustrated in Fig. 6. For subcluster 1 (Fig. 6A), forward and reverse inference alike indicated a significant association of the subcluster with action (especially learning and execution) and music-related cognition. Reverse inference additionally revealed an association with pain, speech action, and action imagination. For subcluster 2 (Fig. 6B), both forward and reverse inference indicated an association with pain perception, while reverse inference also indicated an association with speech action. For subcluster 3 (Fig. 6C), an association of this subcluster was found with reward, reasoning, attention, and sexuality in both forward and reverse inference. Forward inference further revealed an association with gustation. For subcluster 4 (Fig. 6D), forward and reverse inference identified an association with semantics, and reverse inference further revealed an association with sexuality.

<Insert Figure 6 here>

### Validation analyses

*LOEO findings of social reward anticipation.* Consistent activation maxima for social reward anticipation were found in the bilateral caudate/putamen, thalamus, and dACC/SMA (Fig. S3 and Table S1). That is to say, the results of the LOEO approach corroborated the findings of the standard ALE meta-analysis.

*LOEO findings of monetary reward anticipation.* The LOEOALE meta-analysis on monetary reward anticipation revealed consistent maxima in the bilateral caudate, dACC/SMA, posterior cingulate cortex, precentral gyrus, cuneus, MOG, cerebellum, left middle frontal gyrus, and inferior parietal lobule (Fig. S4 and Table S1). These findings confirmed the main findings derived from conventional ALE meta-analysis.

*Re-subsampling findings.* The re-subsampling analyses revealed results largely overlapping with those of main conjunction and contrast analyses (Fig. S5-S7).

*Within-paper experiments combined.* This analysis revealed essentially the same findings as those from main ALE meta-analyses, conjunction, and contrast analyses (Figure S8-S12 and Tables S2-S4), thus further validating our findings.

### Discussion

The power of social incentives on human goal-directed behaviors has been a long-standing topic in multiple disciplines (Fehr and Schmidt, 1999; Zajonc, 1965), with well-known examples showing that the mere presence of others could facilitate individual performance (Zajonc, 1965). With the advent of human brain imaging techniques (e.g. fMRI), the past decade has witnessed a surge of interest in delineating

neural underpinning of social reward processing (Báez-Mendoza and Schultz, 2013; Ruff and Fehr, 2014). Neuroscience studies have employed various experimental designs and stimuli, and have identified heterogeneous findings, making it difficult to capture a clear picture of social reward circuitry in human brain. In this regard, the SID/MID task represents an ideal paradigm to unveil neural signatures of social reward anticipation and to compare with those of material reward anticipation, since these tasks share all elements but the nature of the reward.

Based on previous fMRI studies employing MID/SID task, our study quantitatively synthesized and compared neuroimaging findings on social and monetary reward anticipation. Our results identified a convergence of reported activation foci in neural circuits important in reward and salience processing for both social and monetary reward anticipation. Specifically, consistent involvements of the VS, VTA, AI, and SMA were identified in the anticipation of both social and monetary rewards. These meta-analytic findings were validated with additional validation analyses (e.g. LOEO). Further, our findings also identified several regions showing differences between social and monetary reward anticipation. However, social-reward sensitive and monetary-reward sensitive regions were adjacent to each other, and clustering findings indicated that these regions belonged to four subclusters, such that regions within each subcluster exhibited similar functional connectivity profiles. These findings together suggest that anticipation of social and monetary rewards engages an overlapping neural circuit in human brain, mainly consisting of the VS, VTA, AI, and SMA.

476        Among these regions, the VTA and VS are key regions in the dopaminergic system.  
477        The VTA is a core node of the dopaminergic projection system that produces dopamine  
478        and transmits it to other regions (e.g. VS) in the mesocorticolimbic neurocircuitry  
479        (Kalivas, 1993). Both single-unit recording and human brain imaging studies have  
480        detected the activity of VTA in response to reward-predicting cues and during  
481        anticipation of reward (Haber and Knutson, 2010; Ikemoto, 2010; Schultz et al., 1997).  
482        The VS is a primary target region of dopaminergic projections from the VTA, such that  
483        reward-related neural responses in the VS are well linked with dopamine release in the  
484        VTA (Buckholz et al., 2010; Schott et al., 2008). Similar to the VTA, VS is consistently  
485        activated during anticipation of reward (Knutson and Cooper, 2005; Oldham et al., 2018;  
486        Plichta and Scheres, 2014). Notably, VS activity is proportional with magnitude of  
487        anticipated reward (Bjork et al., 2004; Bjork et al., 2008a; Knutson et al., 2001a) and  
488        correlates with self-reported pleasure induced by the upcoming reward (Bjork et al.,  
489        2008a; Knutson et al., 2001a). Moreover, although there is evidence showing that the  
490        VS is engaged by anticipation of both reward and loss (Carter et al., 2009; Oldham et  
491        al., 2018), this region usually exhibits stronger activity during reward compared to loss  
492        anticipation (Carter et al., 2009; Cooper and Knutson, 2008; Juckel et al., 2006). Finally,  
493        our functional decoding findings indicate that the VS and VTA are most strongly  
494        associated with reward processing. Taken together, these findings suggest that the VS  
495        works together with VTA to code for expected positive incentive value during reward  
496        anticipation.

Notably, our findings indicate that the positive valence conveyed by the activity of VS and VTA might generalize across material and social rewards. In line with our findings, many studies have shown that VS and VTA are frequently engaged by reward processing and reward-related behaviors in the social domain (Báez-Mendoza and Schultz, 2013), such as social status (Zink et al., 2008), social acceptance (Izuma et al., 2008), fairness (Tabibnia et al., 2008), and cooperation (Rilling et al., 2002). Likewise, there is also evidence showing that the VS and VTA are involved in social learning (Diaconescu et al., 2017; Klucharev et al., 2009), such as learning the trustworthiness of others (Fareri et al., 2012; Krueger et al., 2007). These findings, thus, support the common-currency hypothesis, holding that a common neural network is engaged by a variety of reward processing (Levy and Glimcher, 2012; McNamara and Houston, 1986; Ruff and Fehr, 2014). In other words, the activity of VS and VTA may reflect a generic mediator of positive valence associated with expected rewards.

The AI constitutes a key node in the salience network implicated in detecting the motivational salience of events (Seeley et al., 2007). Specifically, the AI receives input from multiple regions such as the VTA, VS, and amygdala (Cauda et al., 2012) and integrates this information to represent the reward or loss saliency of the stimuli (Critchley et al., 2013; Pizzagalli et al., 2009). Accordingly, the AI is consistently involved in the anticipation of both reward and loss (Bjork et al., 2010b; Enzi et al., 2012a; Enzi et al., 2012b; Funayama et al., 2014; Van Duin et al., 2016). In addition, the AI has been frequently involved in detecting the salience of social stimuli or events (Feng et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2018). For instance, the activity of AI is induced by both



519 positive and negative facial expressions (Chen et al., 2009), social interactions that are  
520 both better or worse than expectations (Feng et al., 2018; Xiang et al., 2013; Yu et al.,  
521 2014), or behaviors/opinions deviated from group norms (Wu et al., 2016). Considering  
522 the role of AI in both social and nonsocial context, it is plausible that this region may  
523 act as a universal mediator of motivational salience. In line with this conjecture, our  
524 functional decoding results suggest that the AI is mainly involved in cognition and pain  
525 processing that engage in the detection of salience.

526 The SMA plays a critical role in movement control (Picard and Strick, 1996), such  
527 as the preparation and execution of externally-triggered movement (Cunnington et al.,  
528 2002). Specifically, the SMA contributes to planning and elaboration of actions and  
529 action sequences by mediating between medial limbic cortex and primary motor cortex  
530 (Goldberg, 1985). Thus, the involvement of the SMA has been widely observed in  
531 various cognitive tasks that require motor operations, including word production and  
532 response inhibition (Alario et al., 2006; Hu et al., 2014). Indeed, our functional  
533 characterization findings indicate that the SMA is associated with action execution in a  
534 variety of tasks. Notably, the SMA activity is modulated by reward expectancy, such  
535 that the saccades associated with upcoming reward evoke bursting activity in the SMA  
536 neurons, whereas the activity diminishes when the saccades and reward are no longer  
537 associated (Campos et al., 2005). In the context of SID/MID task, the activity of the  
538 SMA might reflect the preparation of action in response to reward cues.

In short, our meta-analytic study identified a series of brain regions in response to both social and material incentive cues, which mediates positive value, motivational salience, and action preparation during reward anticipation. In light of previous research on the brain reward network in humans (Doya, 2008; Frank et al., 2009), we propose a domain-general neural circuitry responsible for encoding social and monetary reward anticipation. First, the presentation of reward cue (either social or material) evokes expectancy of a positive outcome, which induces dopamine release and neural activity in the VTA. Changes in dopamine release are projected to the VS, where activity represents positive value and/or motivational salience of expected positive outcome. Furthermore, the AI integrates information from the VS, VTA, and other regions to represent motivational relevance of the reward cue. Finally, the positive value encoded in the VS/VTA and motivational significance encoded in the AI motivate individuals to better prepare for the adequate action, manifested as neural activity in the SMA, to enhance the chance of obtaining actual reward. This conjecture is consistent with functional profiles of these regions, such that they are connected with each other and with other regions in the brain associated with reward and salience processing. However, it should be noted that the above hypothesis of how brain regions interact is tentative, and empirical studies combining SID/MID task with effective connectivity analysis are needed to address this issue.

In addition to common neural circuits recruited by both social and monetary reward anticipation, previous findings suggest that social reward anticipation specifically

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Text 1

Formatiert: Schriftfarbe: Rot

engages the brain regions important for social-cognitive processes, such as the STG, TPJ, and dmPFC (Barman et al., 2015; Goerlich et al., 2017; Spreckelmeyer et al., 2013). However, neither the current main ALE analysis nor contrast analyses (social vs. monetary) revealed consistent involvement of these “social brain regions” in the anticipation of social reward. Instead, our contrast analyses revealed that the brain regions showing differences in social and monetary reward anticipation were adjacent to each other and to those regions consistently engaged across both domains (i.e. conjunction analysis). Furthermore, hierarchical clustering findings indicate that social-sensitive and monetary-sensitive regions exhibit similar functional profiles within four subclusters. Lastly, functional decoding results indicate that these subclusters are associated with similar functions with those of conjunction regions, including action, salience, and reward. These findings, thus, provide convergent evidence that social and monetary reward anticipation engage similar regions but only to different extents.

However, this conclusion should be considered with caution due to several reasons. First, the current common neural circuit was revealed with SID/MID, which represent a simplified but elegant paradigm to specifically probe anticipation processes. Therefore, one may not generalize the current conclusion to other tasks involving complex decision-making. Indeed, by using more complicated task designs involving social interaction, previous studies have detected the involvement of social brain regions, such as dmPFC and TPJ (Carter et al., 2012; Feng et al., 2016). Second, it is noteworthy that adjacent or overlapping brain activation does not necessarily imply

Gelöscht: in which

Gelöscht: plays a notable role

Gelöscht: activations of key nodes in the social brain

Gelöscht: (Carter et al., 2012; Guroglu et al., 2011)

identical functions for all neurons within these regions (Haxby et al., 2001). Follow-up studies are needed to examine the functions of the adjacent/overlapping regions with more fine-grained techniques (e.g. multi-voxel pattern analysis) or specifically designed task paradigms (e.g. repetition suppression paradigm), which allow for comparison of the neural patterns of adjacent/overlapping regions at the sub-voxel level (Norman et al., 2006; Peelen and Downing, 2007; Wake and Izuma, 2017). Likewise, the independent component analysis (ICA) might be employed to investigate whether social and monetary reward anticipation engage networks that are similar in terms of both spatial distribution and neural response function. For example, Zhang and Li (2012) using ICA identified sub-networks for error processing, attentional monitoring, and response inhibition, which otherwise seemingly engage a common cognitive control network. Similarly, Xu et al. (2013) revealed with ICA that multiple networks commonly engaged by attention and working memory exhibit distinct neural response functions.

Several limitations related to the current study should be noted. First, the limited number of studies in the social domain did not allow us to synthesize and compare neural mechanisms associated with consummatory reward or anticipatory and consummatory loss. Preliminary evidence has shown that social and material reward consumption engages distinct neural circuits (Rademacher et al., 2010), which requires systematic investigation in future meta-analytic studies with more publications on social reward. Second, the current study did not examine aberrant brain functions of

reward anticipation across mental disorders, which are closely related to dysfunctions of reward-related processing (Hanewald et al., 2017; Husain and Roiser, 2018; Knutson and Heinz, 2015). Future studies in this line might reveal common neural circuit disruptions in reward anticipation across psychiatric disorders. Finally, as noted in the Materials and Methods section, a recently validated lesion network mapping approach investigates whether cognitive and behavioral abnormalities are a result of dysfunction of brain regions connected to a lesion location besides the lesion itself (Darby et al., 2018a; Darby et al., 2018b). This technique may allow us to make causal inference about the functional networks underlying reward anticipation, an important topic to consider with growing number of lesion studies of reward processing in the future.

To sum up, the current results indicate that social and monetary reward anticipation engage a common neural circuit consisting of the VTA, VS, AI, and SMA, regions connected with each other during both task and resting states (see also Lin et al., 2011; Rademacher et al., 2015). This generic neural pathway might mediate positive value, motivational relevance, and action preparation during reward anticipation, which together motivate individuals to prepare well for the response to the upcoming target. Therefore, our findings provide the first meta-analytic evidence to quantitatively show the common involvement of brain regions in both social and non-social reward anticipation. These results are consistent with the common-currency hypothesis that a shared neural circuit is responsible for encoding different types of rewards (Berridge and Kringelbach, 2013). The development of a common reward neural circuit makes it possible for disparate categories of rewards to be compared in a common currency of

628 utility (Berridge and Robinson, 2003; Lebreton et al., 2009), and thus facilitates  
629 decision-making between incommensurable options (e.g. love vs. money) when  
630 necessary.  
631

## References

- Abler, B., Walter, H., Erk, S., 2005. Neural correlates of frustration. *Neuroreport* 16, 669-672.
- Abler, B., Walter, H., Erk, S., Kammerer, H., Spitzer, M., 2006. Prediction error as a linear function of reward probability is coded in human nucleus accumbens. *Neuroimage* 31, 790-795.
- Adcock, R.A., Thangavel, A., Whitfield-Gabrieli, S., Knutson, B., Gabrieli, J.D., 2006. Reward-motivated learning: mesolimbic activation precedes memory formation. *Neuron* 50, 507-517.
- Alario, F.-X., Chainay, H., Lehericy, S., Cohen, L., 2006. The role of the supplementary motor area (SMA) in word production. *Brain research* 1076, 129-143.
- Amft, M., Bzdok, D., Laird, A.R., Fox, P.T., Schilbach, L., Eickhoff, S.B., 2015. Definition and characterization of an extended social-affective default network. *Brain Structure and Function* 220, 1031-1049.
- Arrondo, G., Segarra, N., Metastasio, A., Ziauddeen, H., Spencer, J., Reinders, N.R., Dudas, R.B., Robbins, T.W., Fletcher, P.C., Murray, G.K., 2015. Reduction in ventral striatal activity when anticipating a reward in depression and schizophrenia: a replicated cross-diagnostic finding. *Frontiers in psychology* 6, 1280.
- Báez-Mendoza, R., Schultz, W., 2013. The role of the striatum in social behavior. *Frontiers in neuroscience* 7, 233.
- Balodis, I.M., Kober, H., Worhunsky, P.D., Stevens, M.C., Pearlson, G.D., Potenza, M.N., 2012. Diminished frontostriatal activity during processing of monetary rewards

654 and losses in pathological gambling. *Biological psychiatry* 71, 749-757.

655 Barman, A., Richter, S., Soch, J., Deibele, A., Richter, A., Assmann, A., Wüstenberg,  
656 T., Walter, H., Seidenbecher, C.I., Schott, B.H., 2015. Gender-specific modulation of  
657 neural mechanisms underlying social reward processing by Autism Quotient. *Social*  
658 *cognitive and affective neuroscience* 10, 1537-1547.

659 Beck, A., Schlagenhauf, F., Wüstenberg, T., Hein, J., Kienast, T., Kahnt, T., Schmack,  
660 K., Hägele, C., Knutson, B., Heinz, A., 2009. Ventral striatal activation during reward  
661 anticipation correlates with impulsivity in alcoholics. *Biological psychiatry* 66, 734-742.

662 Behan, B., Stone, A., Garavan, H., 2015. Right prefrontal and ventral striatum  
663 interactions underlying impulsive choice and impulsive responding. *Human brain*  
664 *mapping* 36, 187-198.

665 Bellucci, G., Feng, C., Camilleri, J., Eickhoff, S.B., Krueger, F., 2018. The role of the  
666 anterior insula in social norm compliance and enforcement: Evidence from coordinate-  
667 based and functional connectivity meta-analyses. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral*  
668 *Reviews*.

669 Berridge, K.C., Kringelbach, M.L., 2013. Neuroscience of affect: brain mechanisms of  
670 pleasure and displeasure. *Current opinion in neurobiology* 23, 294-303.

671 Berridge, K.C., Robinson, T.E., 2003. Parsing reward. *Trends in neurosciences* 26,  
672 507-513.

673 Bjork, J.M., Chen, G., Smith, A.R., Hommer, D.W., 2010a. Incentive-elicited  
674 mesolimbic activation and externalizing symptomatology in adolescents. *Journal of*  
675 *Child Psychology and Psychiatry* 51, 827-837.



676 Bjork, J.M., Knutson, B., Fong, G.W., Caggiano, D.M., Bennett, S.M., Hommer, D.W.,  
677 2004. Incentive-elicited brain activation in adolescents: similarities and differences  
678 from young adults. *Journal of Neuroscience* 24, 1793-1802.

679 Bjork, J.M., Knutson, B., Hommer, D.W., 2008a. Incentive-elicited striatal activation in  
680 adolescent children of alcoholics. *Addiction* 103, 1308-1319.

681 Bjork, J.M., Smith, A.R., Chen, G., Hommer, D.W., 2010b. Adolescents, adults and  
682 rewards: comparing motivational neurocircuitry recruitment using fMRI. *PloS one* 5,  
683 e11440.

684 Bjork, J.M., Smith, A.R., Chen, G., Hommer, D.W., 2012. Mesolimbic recruitment by  
685 nondrug rewards in detoxified alcoholics: effort anticipation, reward anticipation, and  
686 reward delivery. *Human brain mapping* 33, 2174-2188.

687 Bjork, J.M., Smith, A.R., Hommer, D.W., 2008b. Striatal sensitivity to reward deliveries  
688 and omissions in substance dependent patients. *Neuroimage* 42, 1609-1621.

689 Braun, U., Plichta, M.M., Esslinger, C., Sauer, C., Haddad, L., Grimm, O., Mier, D.,  
690 Mohnke, S., Heinz, A., Erk, S., 2012. Test-retest reliability of resting-state connectivity  
691 network characteristics using fMRI and graph theoretical measures. *Neuroimage* 59,  
692 1404-1412.

693 Buckholz, J.W., Treadway, M.T., Cowan, R.L., Woodward, N.D., Benning, S.D., Li, R.,  
694 Ansari, M.S., Baldwin, R.M., Schwartzman, A.N., Shelby, E.S., 2010. Mesolimbic  
695 dopamine reward system hypersensitivity in individuals with psychopathic traits.  
696 *Nature neuroscience* 13, 419.

697 Bustamante, J.C., Barrós-Loscertales, A., Costumero, V., Fuentes-Claramonte, P.,

698 Rosell-Negre, P., Ventura-Campos, N., Llopis, J.J., Ávila, C., 2014. Abstinence  
699 duration modulates striatal functioning during monetary reward processing in cocaine  
700 patients. *Addiction biology* 19, 885-894.

701 Bzdok, D., Langner, R., Schilbach, L., Jakobs, O., Roski, C., Caspers, S., Laird, A.R.,  
702 Fox, P.T., Zilles, K., Eickhoff, S.B., 2013. Characterization of the temporo-parietal  
703 junction by combining data-driven parcellation, complementary connectivity analyses,  
704 and functional decoding. *Neuroimage* 81, 381-392.

705 Camilleri, J., Müller, V.I., Fox, P., Laird, A.R., Hoffstaedter, F., Kalenscher, T., Eickhoff,  
706 S.B., 2018. Definition and characterization of an extended multiple-demand network.  
707 *Neuroimage* 165, 138-147.

708 Campos, M., Breznen, B., Bernheim, K., Andersen, R.A., 2005. Supplementary motor  
709 area encodes reward expectancy in eye-movement tasks. *Journal of neurophysiology*  
710 94, 1325-1335.

711 Carl, H., Walsh, E., Eisenlohr-Moul, T., Minkel, J., Crowther, A., Moore, T., Gibbs, D.,  
712 Petty, C., Bizzell, J., Dichter, G.S., 2016. Sustained anterior cingulate cortex activation  
713 during reward processing predicts response to psychotherapy in major depressive  
714 disorder. *Journal of affective disorders* 203, 204-212.

715 Carter, R.M., Bowling, D.L., Reeck, C., Huettel, S.A., 2012. A distinct role of the  
716 temporal-parietal junction in predicting socially guided decisions. *Science* 337, 109-  
717 111.

718 Carter, R.M., MacInnes, J.J., Huettel, S.A., Adcock, R.A., 2009. Activation in the VTA  
719 and nucleus accumbens increases in anticipation of both gains and losses. *Frontiers*

720 in behavioral neuroscience 3, 21.

721 Cauda, F., Costa, T., Torta, D.M., Sacco, K., D'Agata, F., Duca, S., Geminiani, G., Fox,  
722 P.T., Vercelli, A., 2012. Meta-analytic clustering of the insular cortex: characterizing  
723 the meta-analytic connectivity of the insula when involved in active tasks. *Neuroimage*  
724 62, 343-355.

725 Chen, T., Becker, B., Camilleri, J., Wang, L., Yu, S., Eickhoff, S.B., Feng, C., 2018. A  
726 domain-general brain network underlying emotional and cognitive interference  
727 processing: evidence from coordinate-based and functional connectivity meta-  
728 analyses. *Brain Structure and Function*, 1-28.

729 Chen, Y.-H., Dammers, J., Boers, F., Leiberg, S., Edgar, J.C., Roberts, T.P., Mathiak,  
730 K., 2009. The temporal dynamics of insula activity to disgust and happy facial  
731 expressions: a magnetoencephalography study. *Neuroimage* 47, 1921-1928.

732 Cooper, J.C., Knutson, B., 2008. Valence and salience contribute to nucleus  
733 accumbens activation. *Neuroimage* 39, 538-547.

734 Costumero, V., Barrós-Loscertales, A., Bustamante, J.C., Ventura-Campos, N.,  
735 Fuentes, P., Ávila, C., 2013. Reward sensitivity modulates connectivity among reward  
736 brain areas during processing of anticipatory reward cues. *European Journal of*  
737 *Neuroscience* 38, 2399-2407.

738 Cremers, H.R., Veer, I.M., Spinhoven, P., Rombouts, S.A., Roelofs, K., 2015. Neural  
739 sensitivity to social reward and punishment anticipation in social anxiety disorder.  
740 *Frontiers in behavioral neuroscience* 8, 439.

741 Critchley, H.D., Eccles, J., Garfinkel, S.N., 2013. Interaction between cognition,

742 emotion, and the autonomic nervous system, Handbook of clinical neurology. Elsevier,  
743 pp. 59-77.

744 Cunnington, R., Windischberger, C., Deecke, L., Moser, E., 2002. The preparation and  
745 execution of self-initiated and externally-triggered movement: a study of event-related  
746 fMRI. *Neuroimage* 15, 373-385.

747 Damiano, C.R., Aloï, J., Dunlap, K., Burrus, C.J., Mosner, M.G., Kozink, R.V., McLaurin,  
748 R.E., O'Daniel, A., Carter, R.M., Huettel, S.A., 2014. Association between the  
749 oxytocin receptor (OXTR) gene and mesolimbic responses to rewards. *Molecular*  
750 *autism* 5, 7.

751 Danielsson, Å., Cato, I., Carman, R., Rahm, L., 1999. Spatial clustering of metals in  
752 the sediments of the Skagerrak/Kattegat. *Applied Geochemistry* 14, 689-706.

753 Darby, R.R., Horn, A., Cushman, F., Fox, M.D., 2018a. Lesion network localization of  
754 criminal behavior. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115, 601-606.

755 Darby, R.R., Joutsa, J., Burke, M.J., Fox, M.D., 2018b. Lesion network localization of  
756 free will. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115, 10792-10797.

757 Diaconescu, A.O., Mathys, C., Weber, L.A., Kasper, L., Mauer, J., Stephan, K.E., 2017.  
758 Hierarchical prediction errors in midbrain and septum during social learning. *Social*  
759 *cognitive and affective neuroscience* 12, 618-634.

760 Dillon, D.G., Bogdan, R., Fagerness, J., Holmes, A.J., Perlis, R.H., Pizzagalli, D.A.,  
761 2010. Variation in TREK1 gene linked to depression-resistant phenotype is associated  
762 with potentiated neural responses to rewards in humans. *Human brain mapping* 31,  
763 210-221.

- 764 Doya, K., 2008. Modulators of decision making. *Nature neuroscience* 11, 410-416.
- 765 Dvash, J., Gilam, G., Ben-Ze'ev, A., Hendler, T., Shamay-Tsoory, S.G., 2010. The  
766 envious brain: the neural basis of social comparison. *Human brain mapping* 31, 1741-  
767 1750.
- 768 Eickhoff, S.B., Bzdok, D., Laird, A.R., Kurth, F., Fox, P.T., 2012. Activation likelihood  
769 estimation meta-analysis revisited. *Neuroimage* 59, 2349-2361.
- 770 Eickhoff, S.B., Bzdok, D., Laird, A.R., Roski, C., Caspers, S., Zilles, K., Fox, P.T., 2011.  
771 Co-activation patterns distinguish cortical modules, their connectivity and functional  
772 differentiation. *Neuroimage* 57, 938-949.
- 773 Eickhoff, S.B., Laird, A.R., Fox, P.M., Lancaster, J.L., Fox, P.T., 2017. Implementation  
774 errors in the GingerALE Software: description and recommendations. *Human brain*  
775 *mapping* 38, 7-11.
- 776 Eickhoff, S.B., Laird, A.R., Grefkes, C., Wang, L.E., Zilles, K., Fox, P.T., 2009.  
777 Coordinate-based activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of neuroimaging data:  
778 A random-effects approach based on empirical estimates of spatial uncertainty.  
779 *Human brain mapping* 30, 2907-2926.
- 780 Enzi, B., Duncan, N.W., Kaufmann, J., Tempelmann, C., Wiebking, C., Northoff, G.,  
781 2012a. Glutamate modulates resting state activity in the perigenual anterior cingulate  
782 cortex—a combined fMRI–MRS study. *Neuroscience* 227, 102-109.
- 783 Enzi, B., Edel, M.-A., Lissek, S., Peters, S., Hoffmann, R., Nicolas, V., Tegenthoff, M.,  
784 Juckel, G., Saft, C., 2012b. Altered ventral striatal activation during reward and  
785 punishment processing in premanifest Huntington's disease: a functional magnetic

786 resonance study. *Experimental neurology* 235, 256-264.

787 Fareri, D.S., Chang, L.J., Delgado, M.R., 2012. Effects of direct social experience on  
788 trust decisions and neural reward circuitry. *Frontiers in neuroscience* 6, 148.

789 Fehr, E., Schmidt, K.M., 1999. A theory of fairness, competition, and cooperation. *The*  
790 *quarterly journal of economics* 114, 817-868.

791 Feng, C., Deshpande, G., Liu, C., Gu, R., Luo, Y.J., Krueger, F., 2016. Diffusion of  
792 responsibility attenuates altruistic punishment: a functional magnetic resonance  
793 imaging effective connectivity study. *Human brain mapping* 37, 663-677.

794 Feng, C., Feng, X., Wang, L., Wang, L., Gu, R., Ni, A., Deshpande, G., Li, Z., Luo, Y.-  
795 J., 2018. The neural signatures of egocentric bias in normative decision-making. *Brain*  
796 *imaging and behavior*, 1-14.

797 Feng, C., Luo, Y.J., Krueger, F., 2015. Neural signatures of fairness-related normative  
798 decision making in the ultimatum game: A coordinate-based meta-analysis. *Human*  
799 *Brain Mapping* 36, 591-602.

800 Figuee, M., Vink, M., de Geus, F., Vulink, N., Veltman, D.J., Westenberg, H., Denys, D.,  
801 2011. Dysfunctional reward circuitry in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *Biological*  
802 *psychiatry* 69, 867-874.

803 Flores, A., Münte, T.F., Doñamayor, N., 2015. Event-related EEG responses to  
804 anticipation and delivery of monetary and social reward. *Biological psychology* 109,  
805 10-19.

806 Frank, M.J., Cohen, M.X., Sanfey, A.G., 2009. Multiple systems in decision making: A  
807 neurocomputational perspective. *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 18, 73-

808 77.

809 Friston, K.J., Rotshtein, P., Geng, J.J., Sterzer, P., Henson, R.N., 2006. A critique of  
810 functional localisers. *Neuroimage* 30, 1077-1087.

811 Fukushima, M., Betzel, R.F., He, Y., de Reus, M.A., van den Heuvel, M.P., Zuo, X.-N.,  
812 Sporns, O., 2018. Fluctuations between high-and low-modularity topology in time-  
813 resolved functional connectivity. *NeuroImage* 180, 406-416.

814 Funayama, T., Ikeda, Y., Tateno, A., Takahashi, H., Okubo, Y., Fukayama, H., Suzuki,  
815 H., 2014. Modafinil augments brain activation associated with reward anticipation in  
816 the nucleus accumbens. *Psychopharmacology* 231, 3217-3228.

817 Genon, S., Li, H., Fan, L., Müller, V.I., Cieslik, E.C., Hoffstaedter, F., Reid, A.T.,  
818 Langner, R., Grefkes, C., Fox, P.T., 2017. The right dorsal premotor mosaic:  
819 organization, functions, and connectivity. *Cerebral cortex* 27, 2095-2110.

820 Goerlich, K.S., Votinov, M., Lammertz, S.E., Winkler, L., Spreckelmeyer, K.N., Habel,  
821 U., Gründer, G., Gossen, A., 2017. Effects of alexithymia and empathy on the neural  
822 processing of social and monetary rewards. *Brain Structure and Function* 222, 2235-  
823 2250.

824 Goldberg, G., 1985. Supplementary motor area structure and function: Review and  
825 hypotheses. *Behavioral and brain Sciences* 8, 567-588.

826 Gossen, A., Groppe, S.E., Winkler, L., Kohls, G., Herrington, J., Schultz, R.T., Gründer,  
827 G., Spreckelmeyer, K.N., 2014. Neural evidence for an association between social  
828 proficiency and sensitivity to social reward. *Social Cognitive and Affective*  
829 *Neuroscience* 9, 661.

830 Greimel, E., Bakos, S., Landes, I., Töllner, T., Bartling, J., Kohls, G., Schulte-Körne,  
831 G., 2018. Sex differences in the neural underpinnings of social and monetary incentive  
832 processing during adolescence. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience* 18,  
833 296-312.

834 Gurevitch, J., Koricheva, J., Nakagawa, S., Stewart, G., 2018. Meta-analysis and the  
835 science of research synthesis. *Nature* 555, 175.

836 Haber, S.N., Knutson, B., 2010. The reward circuit: linking primate anatomy and  
837 human imaging. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 35, 4.

838 Hanewald, B., Behrens, F., Gruppe, H., Sammer, G., Gallhofer, B., Krach, S., Iffland,  
839 J., 2017. Anticipation of social and monetary rewards in schizophrenia. *Journal of*  
840 *Psychiatry* 20, 1-7.

841 Haxby, J.V., Gobbini, M.I., Furey, M.L., Ishai, A., Schouten, J.L., Pietrini, P., 2001.  
842 Distributed and overlapping representations of faces and objects in ventral temporal  
843 cortex. *Science* 293, 2425-2430.

844 Herbort, M.C., Soch, J., Wüstenberg, T., Krauel, K., Pujara, M., Koenigs, M., Gallinat,  
845 J., Walter, H., Roepke, S., Schott, B.H., 2016. A negative relationship between ventral  
846 striatal loss anticipation response and impulsivity in borderline personality disorder.  
847 *NeuroImage: Clinical* 12, 724-736.

848 Horn, A., Blankenburg, F., 2016. Toward a standardized structural-functional group  
849 connectome in MNI space. *Neuroimage* 124, 310-322.

850 Hu, S., Tseng, Y.C., Winkler, A.D., Li, C.S.R., 2014. Neural bases of individual  
851 variation in decision time. *Human brain mapping* 35, 2531-2542.



852 Husain, M., Roiser, J.P., 2018. Neuroscience of apathy and anhedonia: a  
853 transdiagnostic approach. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 1.

854 Ikemoto, S., 2010. Brain reward circuitry beyond the mesolimbic dopamine system: a  
855 neurobiological theory. *Neuroscience & biobehavioral reviews* 35, 129-150.

856 Izuma, K., Saito, D.N., Sadato, N., 2008. Processing of social and monetary rewards  
857 in the human striatum. *Neuron* 58, 284-294.

858 Juckel, G., Friedel, E., Koslowski, M., Witthaus, H., Özgürdal, S., Gudlowski, Y.,  
859 Knutson, B., Wrase, J., Brüne, M., Heinz, A., 2012. Ventral striatal activation during  
860 reward processing in subjects with ultra-high risk for schizophrenia.  
861 *Neuropsychobiology* 66, 50-56.

862 Juckel, G., Schlagenhauf, F., Koslowski, M., Wüstenberg, T., Villringer, A., Knutson,  
863 B., Wrase, J., Heinz, A., 2006. Dysfunction of ventral striatal reward prediction in  
864 schizophrenia. *Neuroimage* 29, 409-416.

865 Kalivas, P.W., 1993. Neurotransmitter regulation of dopamine neurons in the ventral  
866 tegmental area. *Brain Research Reviews* 18, 75-113.

867 Kappel, V., Koch, A., Lorenz, R.C., Brühl, R., Renneberg, B., Lehmkuhl, U., Salbach-  
868 Andrae, H., Beck, A., 2013. CID: a valid incentive delay paradigm for children. *Journal*  
869 *of neural transmission* 120, 1259-1270.

870 Kaufmann, C., Beucke, J., Preuß, F., Endrass, T., Schlagenhauf, F., Heinz, A., Juckel,  
871 G., Kathmann, N., 2013. Medial prefrontal brain activation to anticipated reward and  
872 loss in obsessive-compulsive disorder. *NeuroImage: Clinical* 2, 212-220.

873 Kirk, U., Brown, K.W., Downar, J., 2015. Adaptive neural reward processing during

874 anticipation and receipt of monetary rewards in mindfulness meditators. *Social*  
 875 *Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience* 10, 752-759.

876 Kirsch, P., Schienle, A., Stark, R., Sammer, G., Blecker, C., Walter, B., Ott, U., Burkart,  
 877 J., Vaitl, D., 2003. Anticipation of reward in a nonaversive differential conditioning  
 878 paradigm and the brain reward system: an event-related fMRI study. *Neuroimage* 20,  
 879 1086-1095.

880 Klucharev, V., Hytönen, K., Rijpkema, M., Smidts, A., Fernández, G., 2009.  
 881 Reinforcement learning signal predicts social conformity. *Neuron* 61, 140-151.

882 Knutson, B., Adams, C.M., Fong, G.W., Hommer, D., 2001a. Anticipation of increasing  
 883 monetary reward selectively recruits nucleus accumbens. *Journal of Neuroscience* 21,  
 884 RC159-RC159.

885 Knutson, B., Bhanji, J.P., Cooney, R.E., Atlas, L.Y., Gotlib, I.H., 2008. Neural  
 886 responses to monetary incentives in major depression. *Biological psychiatry* 63, 686-  
 887 692.

888 Knutson, B., Cooper, J.C., 2005. Functional magnetic resonance imaging of reward  
 889 prediction. *Current opinion in neurology* 18, 411-417.

890 Knutson, B., Fong, G.W., Adams, C.M., Varner, J.L., Hommer, D., 2001b. Dissociation  
 891 of reward anticipation and outcome with event-related fMRI. *Neuroreport* 12, 3683-  
 892 3687.

893 Knutson, B., Fong, G.W., Bennett, S.M., Adams, C.M., Hommer, D., 2003. A region of  
 894 mesial prefrontal cortex tracks monetarily rewarding outcomes: characterization with  
 895 rapid event-related fMRI. *Neuroimage* 18, 263-272.

- 896 Knutson, B., Heinz, A., 2015. Probing psychiatric symptoms with the monetary  
897 incentive delay task. *Biological psychiatry* 77, 418-420.
- 898 Knutson, B., Westdorp, A., Kaiser, E., Hommer, D., 2000. FMRI visualization of brain  
899 activity during a monetary incentive delay task. *Neuroimage* 12, 20-27.
- 900 Kocsel, N., Szabó, E., Galambos, A., Édes, A., Pap, D., Elliott, R., Kozák, L.R., Bagdy,  
901 G., Juhász, G., Kökönyei, G., 2017. Trait rumination influences neural correlates of the  
902 anticipation but not the consumption phase of reward processing. *Frontiers in*  
903 *behavioral neuroscience* 11, 85.
- 904 Kollmann, B., Scholz, V., Linke, J., Kirsch, P., Wessa, M., 2017. Reward anticipation  
905 revisited-evidence from an fMRI study in euthymic bipolar I patients and healthy first-  
906 degree relatives. *Journal of affective disorders* 219, 178-186.
- 907 Kotov, R., Gamez, W., Schmidt, F., Watson, D., 2010. Linking “big” personality traits  
908 to anxiety, depressive, and substance use disorders: a meta-analysis. *Psychological*  
909 *bulletin* 136, 768.
- 910 Krall, S., Rottschy, C., Oberwelland, E., Bzdok, D., Fox, P.T., Eickhoff, S.B., Fink, G.R.,  
911 Konrad, K., 2015. The role of the right temporoparietal junction in attention and social  
912 interaction as revealed by ALE meta-analysis. *Brain Structure and Function* 220, 587-  
913 604.
- 914 Krueger, F., McCabe, K., Moll, J., Kriegeskorte, N., Zahn, R., Strenziok, M., Heinecke,  
915 A., Grafman, J., 2007. Neural correlates of trust. *Proceedings of the National Academy*  
916 *of Sciences* 104, 20084-20089.
- 917 Laird, A.R., Eickhoff, S.B., Kurth, F., Fox, P.M., Uecker, A.M., Turner, J.A., Robinson,

918 J.L., Lancaster, J.L., Fox, P.T., 2009. ALE meta-analysis workflows via the brainmap  
 919 database: progress towards a probabilistic functional brain atlas. *Frontiers in*  
 920 *neuroinformatics* 3, 23.

921 Laird, A.R., Fox, P.M., Price, C.J., Glahn, D.C., Uecker, A.M., Lancaster, J.L.,  
 922 Turkeltaub, P.E., Kochunov, P., Fox, P.T., 2005. ALE meta-analysis: Controlling the  
 923 false discovery rate and performing statistical contrasts. *Human brain mapping* 25,  
 924 155-164.

925 Lancaster, J.L., Tordesillas-Gutiérrez, D., Martínez, M., Salinas, F., Evans, A., Zilles,  
 926 K., Mazziotta, J.C., Fox, P.T., 2007. Bias between MNI and Talairach coordinates  
 927 analyzed using the ICBM-152 brain template. *Human brain mapping* 28, 1194-1205.

928 Langner, R., Leiberg, S., Hoffstaedter, F., Eickhoff, S.B., 2018. Towards a human self-  
 929 regulation system: Common and distinct neural signatures of emotional and  
 930 behavioural control. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*.

931 Langner, R., Rottschy, C., Laird, A.R., Fox, P.T., Eickhoff, S.B., 2014. Meta-analytic  
 932 connectivity modeling revisited: controlling for activation base rates. *NeuroImage* 99,  
 933 559-570.

934 Lebreton, M., Jorge, S., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Pessiglione, M., 2009. An automatic  
 935 valuation system in the human brain: evidence from functional neuroimaging. *Neuron*  
 936 64, 431-439.

937 Levy, D.J., Glimcher, P.W., 2012. The root of all value: a neural common currency for  
 938 choice. *Current opinion in neurobiology* 22, 1027-1038.

939 Lin, A., Adolphs, R., Rangel, A., 2011. Social and monetary reward learning engage

940 overlapping neural substrates. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience* 7, 274-281.

941 Luo, Y., Eickhoff, S.B., Hétu, S., Feng, C., 2018. Social comparison in the brain: A  
 942 coordinate-based meta-analysis of functional brain imaging studies on the downward  
 943 and upward comparisons. *Human brain mapping* 39, 440-458.

944 Müller, V.I., Cieslik, E.C., Laird, A.R., Fox, P.T., Radua, J., Mataix-Cols, D., Tench,  
 945 C.R., Yarkoni, T., Nichols, T.E., Turkeltaub, P.E., 2017. Ten simple rules for  
 946 neuroimaging meta-analysis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*.

947 Maresh, E.L., Allen, J.P., Coan, J.A., 2014. Increased default mode network activity in  
 948 socially anxious individuals during reward processing. *Biology of mood & anxiety*  
 949 *disorders* 4, 7.

950 Marrelec, G., Krainik, A., Duffau, H., Péligrini-Issac, M., Lehericy, S., Doyon, J., Benali,  
 951 H., 2006. Partial correlation for functional brain interactivity investigation in functional  
 952 MRI. *Neuroimage* 32, 228-237.

953 McNamara, J.M., Houston, A.I., 1986. The common currency for behavioral decisions.  
 954 *The American Naturalist* 127, 358-378.

955 Mucci, A., Dima, D., Soricelli, A., Volpe, U., Bucci, P., Frangou, S., Prinster, A.,  
 956 Salvatore, M., Galderisi, S., Maj, M., 2015. Is avolition in schizophrenia associated with  
 957 a deficit of dorsal caudate activity? A functional magnetic resonance imaging study  
 958 during reward anticipation and feedback. *Psychological medicine* 45, 1765-1778.

959 Murtagh, F., Legendre, P., 2014. Ward's hierarchical agglomerative clustering method:  
 960 which algorithms implement Ward's criterion? *Journal of classification* 31, 274-295.

961 Nawijn, L., van Zuiden, M., Koch, S., Frijling, J., Veltman, D., Olff, M., 2017. Intranasal

962 oxytocin increases neural responses to social reward in post-traumatic stress disorder.  
 963 Social cognitive and affective neuroscience 12, 212-223.

964 Nichols, T., Brett, M., Andersson, J., Wager, T., Poline, J.-B., 2005. Valid conjunction  
 965 inference with the minimum statistic. Neuroimage 25, 653-660.

966 Nooner, K.B., Colcombe, S.J., Tobe, R.H., Mennes, M., Benedict, M.M., Moreno, A.L.,  
 967 Panek, L.J., Brown, S., Zavitz, S.T., Li, Q., 2012. The NKI-Rockland sample: a model  
 968 for accelerating the pace of discovery science in psychiatry. Frontiers in neuroscience  
 969 6.

970 Norman, K.A., Polyn, S.M., Detre, G.J., Haxby, J.V., 2006. Beyond mind-reading:  
 971 multi-voxel pattern analysis of fMRI data. Trends in cognitive sciences 10, 424-430.

972 Oldham, S., Murawski, C., Fornito, A., Youssef, G., Yücel, M., Lorenzetti, V., 2018.  
 973 The anticipation and outcome phases of reward and loss processing: A neuroimaging  
 974 meta-analysis of the monetary incentive delay task. Human brain mapping.

975 Ossewaarde, L., Qin, S., Van Marle, H.J., van Wingen, G.A., Fernández, G., Hermans,  
 976 E.J., 2011. Stress-induced reduction in reward-related prefrontal cortex function.  
 977 Neuroimage 55, 345-352.

978 Peelen, M.V., Downing, P.E., 2007. The neural basis of visual body perception. Nature  
 979 Reviews Neuroscience 8, 636.

980 Pfabigan, D.M., Seidel, E.-M., Sladky, R., Hahn, A., Paul, K., Grahl, A., Küblböck, M.,  
 981 Kraus, C., Hummer, A., Kranz, G.S., 2014. P300 amplitude variation is related to  
 982 ventral striatum BOLD response during gain and loss anticipation: an EEG and fMRI  
 983 experiment. NeuroImage 96, 12-21.

984 Picard, N., Strick, P.L., 1996. Motor areas of the medial wall: a review of their location  
985 and functional activation. *Cerebral cortex* 6, 342-353.

986 Pizzagalli, D.A., Holmes, A.J., Dillon, D.G., Goetz, E.L., Birk, J.L., Bogdan, R.,  
987 Dougherty, D.D., Iosifescu, D.V., Rauch, S.L., Fava, M., 2009. Reduced caudate and  
988 nucleus accumbens response to rewards in unmedicated individuals with major  
989 depressive disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry* 166, 702-710.

990 Plichta, M.M., Scheres, A., 2014. Ventral-striatal responsiveness during reward  
991 anticipation in ADHD and its relation to trait impulsivity in the healthy population: A  
992 meta-analytic review of the fMRI literature. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 38,  
993 125-134.

994 Plichta, M.M., Wolf, I., Hohmann, S., Baumeister, S., Boecker, R., Schwarz, A.J., Zangl,  
995 M., Mier, D., Diener, C., Meyer, P., 2013. Simultaneous EEG and fMRI reveals a  
996 causally connected subcortical-cortical network during reward anticipation. *Journal of*  
997 *Neuroscience* 33, 14526-14533.

998 Pujara, M.S., Philippi, C.L., Motzkin, J.C., Baskaya, M.K., Koenigs, M., 2016.  
999 Ventromedial prefrontal cortex damage is associated with decreased ventral striatum  
1000 volume and response to reward. *Journal of Neuroscience* 36, 5047-5054.

1001 Rademacher, L., Krach, S., Kohls, G., Irmak, A., Gründer, G., Spreckelmeyer, K.N.,  
1002 2010. Dissociation of neural networks for anticipation and consumption of monetary  
1003 and social rewards. *Neuroimage* 49, 3276-3285.

1004 Rademacher, L., Salama, A., Gründer, G., Spreckelmeyer, K.N., 2013. Differential  
1005 patterns of nucleus accumbens activation during anticipation of monetary and social

1006 reward in young and older adults. *Social cognitive and affective neuroscience* 9, 825-  
 1007 831.

1008 Rademacher, L., Salama, A., Gründer, G., Spreckelmeyer, K.N., 2014. Differential  
 1009 patterns of nucleus accumbens activation during anticipation of monetary and social  
 1010 reward in young and older adults. *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience* 9, 825.

1011 Rademacher, L., Schulte-Rüther, M., Hanewald, B., Lammertz, S., 2015. Reward: from  
 1012 basic reinforcers to anticipation of social cues, *Social Behavior from Rodents to*  
 1013 *Humans*. Springer, pp. 207-221.

1014 Rilling, J.K., Gutman, D.A., Zeh, T.R., Pagnoni, G., Berns, G.S., Kilts, C.D., 2002. A  
 1015 neural basis for social cooperation. *Neuron* 35, 395-405.

1016 Romanczuk-Seiferth, N., Koehler, S., Dreesen, C., Wüstenberg, T., Heinz, A., 2015.  
 1017 Pathological gambling and alcohol dependence: neural disturbances in reward and  
 1018 loss avoidance processing. *Addiction biology* 20, 557-569.

1019 Ruff, C.C., Fehr, E., 2014. The neurobiology of rewards and values in social decision  
 1020 making. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 15, 549.

1021 Saji, K., Ikeda, Y., Kim, W., Shingai, Y., Tateno, A., Takahashi, H., Okubo, Y.,  
 1022 Fukayama, H., Suzuki, H., 2013. Acute NK1 receptor antagonist administration affects  
 1023 reward incentive anticipation processing in healthy volunteers. *International Journal of*  
 1024 *Neuropsychopharmacology* 16, 1461-1471.

1025 Samanez-Larkin, G.R., Gibbs, S.E., Khanna, K., Nielsen, L., Carstensen, L.L., Knutson,  
 1026 B., 2007. Anticipation of monetary gain but not loss in healthy older adults. *Nature*  
 1027 *neuroscience* 10, 787.



- 1028 Schlagenhauf, F., Juckel, G., Koslowski, M., Kahnt, T., Knutson, B., Dembler, T.,
- 1029 Kienast, T., Gallinat, J., Wrase, J., Heinz, A., 2008. Reward system activation in
- 1030 schizophrenic patients switched from typical neuroleptics to olanzapine.
- 1031 *Psychopharmacology* 196, 673-684.
- 1032 Schott, B.H., Minuzzi, L., Krebs, R.M., Elmenhorst, D., Lang, M., Winz, O.H.,
- 1033 Seidenbecher, C.I., Coenen, H.H., Heinze, H.-J., Zilles, K., 2008. Mesolimbic
- 1034 functional magnetic resonance imaging activations during reward anticipation correlate
- 1035 with reward-related ventral striatal dopamine release. *Journal of Neuroscience* 28,
- 1036 14311-14319.
- 1037 Schreiter, S., Spengler, S., Willert, A., Mohnke, S., Herold, D., Erk, S., Romanczuk-
- 1038 Seiferth, N., Quinlivan, E., Hindi-Attar, C., Banzhaf, C., 2016. Neural alterations of
- 1039 fronto-striatal circuitry during reward anticipation in euthymic bipolar disorder.
- 1040 *Psychological medicine* 46, 3187-3198.
- 1041 Schultz, W., Dayan, P., Montague, P.R., 1997. A neural substrate of prediction and
- 1042 reward. *Science* 275, 1593-1599.
- 1043 Seeley, W.W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A.F., Keller, J., Glover, G.H., Kenna, H., Reiss,
- 1044 A.L., Greicius, M.D., 2007. Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience
- 1045 processing and executive control. *Journal of Neuroscience* 27, 2349-2356.
- 1046 Sharma, S., Sharma, S., 1996. *Applied multivariate techniques*.
- 1047 Simon, J.J., Walther, S., Fiebach, C.J., Friederich, H.-C., Stippich, C., Weisbrod, M.,
- 1048 Kaiser, S., 2010. Neural reward processing is modulated by approach-and avoidance-
- 1049 related personality traits. *Neuroimage* 49, 1868-1874.

1050 Spreckelmeyer, K.N., Krach, S., Kohls, G., Rademacher, L., Irmak, A., Konrad, K.,  
 1051 Kircher, T., Gründer, G., 2009. Anticipation of monetary and social reward differently  
 1052 activates mesolimbic brain structures in men and women. *Social cognitive and*  
 1053 *affective neuroscience* 4, 158-165.

1054 Spreckelmeyer, K.N., Rademacher, L., Paulus, F.M., Gründer, G., 2013. Neural  
 1055 activation during anticipation of opposite-sex and same-sex faces in heterosexual men  
 1056 and women. *Neuroimage* 66, 223-231.

1057 Stark, R., Bauer, E., Merz, C., Zimmermann, M., Reuter, M., Plichta, M.e., emsp14, al,  
 1058 Kirsch, P., Lesch, K., Fallgatter, A., Vaitl, D., 2011. ADHD related behaviors are  
 1059 associated with brain activation in the reward system. *Neuropsychologia* 49, 426-434.

1060 Staudinger, M.R., Erk, S., Walter, H., 2011. Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex modulates  
 1061 striatal reward encoding during reappraisal of reward anticipation. *Cerebral cortex* 21,  
 1062 2578-2588.

1063 Stoy, M., Schlagenhauf, F., Schlochtermeier, L., Wrase, J., Knutson, B., Lehmkuhl, U.,  
 1064 Huss, M., Heinz, A., Ströhle, A., 2011. Reward processing in male adults with  
 1065 childhood ADHD—a comparison between drug-naïve and methylphenidate-treated  
 1066 subjects. *Psychopharmacology* 215, 467-481.

1067 Stoy, M., Schlagenhauf, F., Sterzer, P., Bermppohl, F., Hägele, C., Suchotzki, K.,  
 1068 Schmack, K., Wrase, J., Ricken, R., Knutson, B., 2012. Hyporeactivity of ventral  
 1069 striatum towards incentive stimuli in unmedicated depressed patients normalizes after  
 1070 treatment with escitalopram. *Journal of psychopharmacology* 26, 677-688.

1071 Ströhle, A., Stoy, M., Wrase, J., Schwarzer, S., Schlagenhauf, F., Huss, M., Hein, J.,

1072 Nedderhut, A., Neumann, B., Gregor, A., 2008. Reward anticipation and outcomes in  
1073 adult males with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Neuroimage* 39, 966-972.

1074 Tabibnia, G., Satpute, A.B., Lieberman, M.D., 2008. The sunny side of fairness:  
1075 preference for fairness activates reward circuitry (and disregarding unfairness  
1076 activates self-control circuitry). *Psychological Science* 19, 339-347.

1077 Timm, H., Kruse, R., 2002. A modification to improve possibilistic fuzzy cluster analysis,  
1078 IEEE Int. Conf. Fuzzy Systems, FUZZ-IEEE.

1079 Treadway, M.T., Buckholz, J.W., Zald, D., 2013. Perceived stress predicts altered  
1080 reward and loss feedback processing in medial prefrontal cortex. *Frontiers in human*  
1081 *neuroscience* 7, 180.

1082 Turkeltaub, P.E., Eden, G.F., Jones, K.M., Zeffiro, T.A., 2002. Meta-analysis of the  
1083 functional neuroanatomy of single-word reading: method and validation. *Neuroimage*  
1084 16, 765-780.

1085 Turkeltaub, P.E., Eickhoff, S.B., Laird, A.R., Fox, M., Wiener, M., Fox, P., 2012.  
1086 Minimizing within-experiment and within-group effects in activation likelihood  
1087 estimation meta-analyses. *Human brain mapping* 33, 1-13.

1088 Turner, J.A., Laird, A.R., 2012. The cognitive paradigm ontology: design and  
1089 application. *Neuroinformatics* 10, 57-66.

1090 Van Duin, E.D., Goossens, L., Hernaus, D., da Silva Alves, F., Schmitz, N., Schruers,  
1091 K., Van Amelsvoort, T., 2016. Neural correlates of reward processing in adults with  
1092 22q11 deletion syndrome. *Journal of neurodevelopmental disorders* 8, 25.

1093 Van Essen, D.C., Smith, S.M., Barch, D.M., Behrens, T.E., Yacoub, E., Ugurbil, K.,

1094 Consortium, W.-M.H., 2013. The WU-Minn human connectome project: an overview.  
1095 Neuroimage 80, 62-79.

1096 Wake, S.J., Izuma, K., 2017. A common neural code for social and monetary rewards  
1097 in the human striatum. Social cognitive and affective neuroscience 12, 1558-1564.

1098 Wang, D., Liu, T., Shi, J., 2017. Development of Monetary and Social Reward  
1099 Processes. Scientific reports 7, 11128.

1100 Ward, J.H., 1963. Hierarchical grouping to optimize an objective function. Journal of  
1101 the American statistical association 58, 236-244.

1102 Weiland, B.J., Heitzeg, M.M., Zald, D., Cummiford, C., Love, T., Zucker, R.A., Zubieta,  
1103 J.-K., 2014. Relationship between impulsivity, prefrontal anticipatory activation, and  
1104 striatal dopamine release during rewarded task performance. Psychiatry Research:  
1105 Neuroimaging 223, 244-252.

1106 Wilson, R.P., Colizzi, M., Bossong, M.G., Allen, P., Kempton, M., Abe, N., Barros-  
1107 Loscertales, A., Bayer, J., Beck, A., Bjork, J., 2018. The Neural Substrate of Reward  
1108 Anticipation in Health: A Meta-Analysis of fMRI Findings in the Monetary Incentive  
1109 Delay Task. Neuropsychology review, 1-11.

1110 Wittmann, B.C., Schott, B.H., Guderian, S., Frey, J.U., Heinze, H.-J., Düzel, E., 2005.  
1111 Reward-related FMRI activation of dopaminergic midbrain is associated with enhanced  
1112 hippocampus-dependent long-term memory formation. Neuron 45, 459-467.

1113 Wong, T.Y., Sid, A., Wensing, T., Eickhoff, S.B., Habel, U., Gur, R.C., Nickl-Jockschat,  
1114 T., 2018. Neural networks of aggression: ALE meta-analyses on trait and elicited  
1115 aggression. Brain Structure and Function, 1-16.

- 1116 Wrase, J., Kahnt, T., Schlagenhauf, F., Beck, A., Cohen, M.X., Knutson, B., Heinz, A.,  
1117 2007a. Different neural systems adjust motor behavior in response to reward and  
1118 punishment. *Neuroimage* 36, 1253-1262.
- 1119 Wrase, J., Schlagenhauf, F., Kienast, T., Wüstenberg, T., Bermpohl, F., Kahnt, T.,  
1120 Beck, A., Ströhle, A., Juckel, G., Knutson, B., 2007b. Dysfunction of reward processing  
1121 correlates with alcohol craving in detoxified alcoholics. *Neuroimage* 35, 787-794.
- 1122 Wu, C.C., Samanez-Larkin, G.R., Katovich, K., Knutson, B., 2014. Affective traits link  
1123 to reliable neural markers of incentive anticipation. *Neuroimage* 84, 279-289.
- 1124 Wu, H., Luo, Y., Feng, C., 2016. Neural signatures of social conformity: A coordinate-  
1125 based activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis of functional brain imaging studies.  
1126 *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 71, 101-111.
- 1127 Xiang, T., Lohrenz, T., Montague, P.R., 2013. Computational substrates of norms and  
1128 their violations during social exchange. *Journal of Neuroscience* 33, 1099-1108.
- 1129 Xu, J., Zhang, S., Calhoun, V.D., Monterosso, J., Li, C.-S.R., Worhunsky, P.D.,  
1130 Stevens, M., Pearlson, G.D., Potenza, M.N., 2013. Task-related concurrent but  
1131 opposite modulations of overlapping functional networks as revealed by spatial ICA.  
1132 *Neuroimage* 79, 62-71.
- 1133 Yan, C., Su, L., Wang, Y., Xu, T., Yin, D.-z., Fan, M.-x., Deng, C.-p., Hu, Y., Wang, Z.-  
1134 x., Cheung, E.F., 2016a. Multivariate neural representations of value during reward  
1135 anticipation and consummation in the human orbitofrontal cortex. *Scientific reports* 6,  
1136 srep29079.
- 1137 Yan, C., Wang, Y., Su, L., Xu, T., Yin, D.-z., Fan, M.-x., Deng, C.-p., Wang, Z.-x., Lui,

1138 S.S., Cheung, E.F., 2016b. Differential mesolimbic and prefrontal alterations during  
1139 reward anticipation and consummation in positive and negative schizotypy. *Psychiatry*  
1140 *Research: Neuroimaging* 254, 127-136.

1141 Yau, W.-Y.W., Zubieta, J.-K., Weiland, B.J., Samudra, P.G., Zucker, R.A., Heitzeg,  
1142 M.M., 2012. Nucleus accumbens response to incentive stimuli anticipation in children  
1143 of alcoholics: relationships with precursive behavioral risk and lifetime alcohol use.  
1144 *Journal of Neuroscience* 32, 2544-2551.

1145 Yu, R., Calder, A.J., Mobbs, D., 2014. Overlapping and distinct representations of  
1146 advantageous and disadvantageous inequality. *Human brain mapping* 35, 3290-3301.

1147 Zajonc, R.B., 1965. Social facilitation. *Science* 149, 269-274.

1148 Zhang, S., Li, C.s.R., 2012. Functional networks for cognitive control in a stop signal  
1149 task: independent component analysis. *Human brain mapping* 33, 89-104.

1150 Zink, C.F., Tong, Y., Chen, Q., Bassett, D.S., Stein, J.L., Meyer-Lindenberg, A., 2008.  
1151 Know your place: neural processing of social hierarchy in humans. *Neuron* 58, 273-  
1152 283.


1153

1154


1155

1156

## Figures Captions




**Figure 1. Flow chart of the study selection process for the meta-analysis.** SID, social incentive delay task; MID, monetary incentive delay task; fMRI, functional magnetic resonance imaging; PET, positron emission tomography.




**Figure 2. Significant clusters from the main coordinate-based activation likelihood estimation meta-analysis (cluster-level family-wise error correction [ $P < 0.05$ ] with a cluster-forming threshold of  $P < 0.001$  using 10,000 permutations) for social reward anticipation, monetary reward anticipation, and their conjunction and contrasts.** Consistent maximum for: (A) social reward anticipation; (B) monetary reward anticipation; (C) the conjunction of social and monetary reward anticipation; (D) the contrasts of social and monetary reward anticipation. Brain regions showing higher activation in the anticipation of social reward were illustrated in red, whereas regions showing higher activation in the anticipation of monetary reward were illustrated in green. L, left; R, right.







**Figure 3. Quantitative forward and reverse inference on each region identified in the conjunction analysis.** Quantitative forward and reverse inference for: (A) left VS; (B) right VS; (C) VTA; (D) left AI; and (E) SMA.



**Figure 4. Results for the task-based connectivity analysis (MACM), task-free connectivity analysis (RSFC), and their conjunctions for the regions commonly involved in the social and monetary reward anticipation. (A) task-based connectivity; (B) task-free connectivity; (C) conjunction across all MACM maps; (D) conjunction across all RSFC maps; (E) conjunction across all MACM and RSFC maps. L, left; R, right; VS, ventral striatum; AI, anterior insula; VTA, ventral tegmental area; SMA, supplementary motor area.**



**Figure 5. Clustering on functional connectivity between regions identified in the contrast analyses.** Full (below the diagonal) and partial (above the diagonal) correlation matrices were illustrated, with warmer colors denoting positive correlations and cooler colors denoting negative correlations. The location of each region was displayed at the top of each column. The background color of region label indicates the contrast of each region (blue = social > monetary; red = monetary > social). The spatial map of each subcluster is illustrated at the bottom. L, left; R, right; AI, anterior insula; SMA, supplementary motor area; dACC, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; Thal, thalamus; Puta, putamen; Caud, caudate; MOG, middle occipital gyrus.



**Figure 6. Quantitative forward and reverse inference on each subcluster identified in the hierarchical clustering.** Quantitative forward and reverse inference for: (A) subcluster 1; (B) subcluster 2; (C) subcluster 3; and (D) subcluster 4.

1224 **Table 1. Summary of studies included for the meta-analysis of social and monetary reward**

Study	Task	Methodology	N(male)	Age(sd)	Software	Tesla
Abler et al. (2005)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	12(6)	21-33	BrainVoyager 4.9	1.5
Adcock et al. (2006)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	12(9)	18-35	SPM2	3
Arrondo et al. (2015)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	21(17)	34.33(10.11)	FSL	3
Balodis et al. (2012)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	14(10)	37.1(11.3)	SPM5	3
Barman et al. (2015)	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	fMRI	63(32)	24.56	SPM8	3
Beck et al. (2009)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	19(19)	41.68(8.97)	SPM5	1.5
Behan et al. (2015)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	20(9)	23.05	AFNI	3
Bjork et al. (2004)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	24(12)	19.27	AFNI	3
Bjork et al. (2008a)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	13(8)	13.8(0.4)	AFNI	3
Bjork et al. (2008b)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	23(12)	32.0(8.0)	AFNI	3
Bjork et al. (2010b)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	48(24)	22.05	AFNI	3
Bjork et al. (2010a)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	12(9)	15.3(1.4)	AFNI	3
Bjork et al. (2012)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	23(12)	30.1(5.9)	AFNI	3

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Bustamante et al. (2014)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	18(18)	37.44(8.15)	SPM8	1.5
Carl et al. (2016)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	31(8.8)	20(6)	FSL 5.0.1	3
Carter et al. (2009)	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	fMRI	17(N)	24	FEAT(FSL)	3
Costumero et al. (2013)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	44(44)	23.4(4.1)	SPM5	1.5
Cremers et al. (2015)	social incentive delay task	fMRI	20(11)	27.7(7.7)	FSL Version 4.1.3	3
Damiano et al. (2014)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	31(14)	23.58(3.15)	FEAT(FSL)	3
Dillon et al. (2010)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	32(18)	21.68(3.35)	FS-FAST and FreeSurfer	1.5
Enzi et al. (2012a)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	19(10)	29.6	SPM5	3
Enzi et al. (2012b)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	15(8)	34.73(8.29)	SPM5	1.5
Figee et al. (2011)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	19(6)	32(6.6)	SPM2	1.5
Funayama et al. (2014)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	20(12)	29.9	SPM8	1.5
Goerlich et al. (2017)	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	fMRI	45(45)	24.1(3.2)	SPM8	3
Gossen et al. (2014)	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	fMRI	35(35)	24.17	SPM8	3

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Herbort et al. (2016)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	23(0)	23.78(5.75)	SPM8	3
Juckel et al. (2006)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	10(10)	31.7(8.4)	SPM2	1.5
Juckel et al. (2012)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	13(11)	25.69(4.84)	SPM5	1.5
Kappel et al. (2013)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	30(28)	20.13	SPM8	3
Kaufmann et al. (2013)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	19(8)	34.9(11.8)	SPM8	1.5
Kirk et al. (2015)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	44(20)	36.5(9.7)	SPM8	3
Kirsch et al. (2003)	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	fMRI	27(3)	23.3	SPM99	1.5
Knutson et al. (2001b)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	9(2)	26.45(5.85)	AFNI	1.5
Knutson et al. (2001a)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	8(4)	31	AFNI	1.5
Knutson et al. (2003)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	12(6)	31	AFNI	1.5
Knutson et al. (2008)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	12(4)	18-48	AFNI	1.5
Kocael et al. (2017)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	37(15)	25.92(4.18)	SPM12	3
Kollmann et al. (2017)	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	fMRI	41(20)	40.2	SPM8	3
Maresh et al. (2014)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	84(42)	24.56(1.17)	FEAT6(FSL)	3

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Mucci et al. (2015)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	22(10)	31.91(8.49)	SPM8	3
Nawijn et al. (2017)	social incentive delay task	fMRI	72(40)	40.12	SPM8	3
Ossewaarde et al. (2011)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	27(0)	20.51	SPM5	3
Pfabigan et al. (2014)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	25(12)	23.8(3.6)	SPM8	3
Plichta et al. (2013)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	14(8)	24(4.24)	SPM8	3
Pujara et al. (2016)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	14(9)	62.6(3.9)	AFNI & FSL	3
Rademacher et al. (2010)	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	fMRI	28(13)	28.26	SPM5	1.5
Rademacher et al. (2014)	social incentive delay task	fMRI	48(24)	23.4 / 66.2	SPM5	1.5
Romanczuk-Seiferth et al. (2015)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	17(17)	37.41(11.76)	SPM8	3
Samanez-Larkin et al. (2007)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	24(12)	23.75(2.05) / 72.92(5.50)	AFNI	1.5
Saji et al. (2013)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	18(10)	29.6(6.94)	SPM8	1.5
Schlagenhauf et al. (2008)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	10(9)	31.8(8.7)	SPM2	1.5
Schreier et al. (2016)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	20(8)	41.45 (7.33)	SPM8	3
Simon et al. (2010)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	24(11)	24.8(3.2)	SPM5	3



## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	fMRI	32(16)	28.9	SPM5	1.5
Spreckelmeyer et al. (2013)	social incentive delay task	fMRI	30(17)	22.72	SPM5	3
Stark et al. (2011)	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	fMRI	31(0)	23(2.9)	SPM8	1.5
Staudinger et al. (2011)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	24(11)	25.05(2.79)	SPM5	3
Stoy et al. (2011)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	12(12)	28.08(6.2)	SPM5	1.5
Stoy et al. (2012)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	15(10)	39.5(11.9)	SPM5	1.5
Ströhle et al. (2008)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	10(10)	31.9(9.9)	SPM2	1.5
Treadway et al. (2013)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	38(20)	22	SPM5	3
Van Duin et al. (2016)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	12(8)	29(9.6)	SPM8	3
Weiland et al. (2014)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	12(0)	30.9(9)	SPM8	3
Wittmann et al. (2005)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	16(8)	22.9(3)	SPM2	1.5
Wrase et al. (2007b)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	16(16)	39.9(8.6)	SPM2	1.5
Wrase et al. (2007a)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	14(14)	39.9(10.3)	SPM2	1.5
Wu et al. (2014)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	52(23)	50(16.5)	AFNI	1.5

Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Yan et al. (2016a)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	23(12)	19.78(0.8)	SPM8	3
Yan et al. (2016b)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	22(11)	19.78(0.8)	SPM8	3
Yau et al. (2012)	monetary incentive delay task	fMRI	20(12)	20.1(1.3)	FSL 4.0	3

1227 **Table 2. ALE meta-analysis results for anticipation of social reward, monetary reward, their**  
 1228 **conjunction and contrasts**

Laterality	Brain Regions	BA (edit)	MNI Coordinates			peak Z score	Cluster Size (mm <sup>3</sup> )
			(mm)				
			x	y	z		
Social reward anticipation							
L	VS	/	-18	12	0	7.43	3672
R	VS	/	16	6	-10	6.14	6872
L/R	dACC/SMA	6	-8	0	64	7.03	2608
L	anterior insula	13/47	-32	26	0	5.47	1208
R	anterior insula	13/47	30	34	0	4.89	768
L	ventral tegmental area	/	-8	-18	-18	5.25	736
R	middle occipital gyrus	18/19	26	-92	8	4.6	960
Monetary reward anticipation							
L/R	VS	/	-12	10	-2	8.5	46296
L/R	dACC	32/24	0	32	26	5.01	872
L/R	dACC/SMA	6/32/24/8	0	-2	54	6.7	7560
L	postcentral gyrus	4/6/3	-40	-22	56	4.74	1824
R	precentral gyrus	6	46	0	46	4.93	1096
R	middle occipital gyrus	18/19	32	-88	-6	5.03	1608
R	cuneus	31/23/17	16	-70	10	5.93	1440
Conjunction							
L	VS	/	-18	12	0	7.43	3664
R	VS	/	16	6	-10	6.14	6136
L/R	SMA	6	-6	-2	60	4.66	616

# Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

L	anterior insula	13/47	-30	24	0	3.97	608
L	ventral tegmental area	/	-6	-16	-16	4.57	480

## *Social reward > Monetary reward*

R	dorsal caudate	/	18	8	12	2.75	96
L/R	SMA	6	-8	0	64	7.03	2344
L	dorsal anterior insula	47/13/45	-36	28	2	3.02	720
R	dorsal anterior insula	/	34	32	4	3.06	416
L	putamen	/	-18	12	4	2.31	320
R	putamen	/	22	6	10	2.62	240
L	thalamus	/	-4	-14	4	2.42	536
R	thalamus	/	8	-10	-2	3.14	592
L	midbrain	/	-6	-18	-22	2.67	368
R	middle occipital gyrus	18	26	-90	8	2.67	840

## *Monetary reward > Social reward*

L	VS	/	-8	12	-10	3.24	4464
R	dorsal caudate	/	12	-2	16	1.93	88
L/R	dACC	6/24/32	0	-4	48	3.2	1872
R	ventral anterior insula	47/13	28	20	-8	1.98	72
L	thalamus	/	-10	-24	12	2.01	112

1229 VS, ventral striatum; dACC, dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; SMA, supplementary motor area.

1230

1231

**Table 3. Average contribution of each experimental contrast for significant clusters identified for the meta-analysis of social reward anticipation**

Cluster Name.	Study	N	Task	Contrast	No. of foci	Average contribution (%)
left VS	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	6	11.6
	Rademacher et al. (2014)	48	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	8	11.32
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	11	11.21
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	9	10.99
	Carter et al. (2009)	17	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	10	9.83
	Goerlich et al. (2017)	45	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	20	8.96
	Rademacher et al. (2010)	28	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	13	7.62
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	3	6.93
	Gossen et al. (2014)	15	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	25	5.99
	Cremers et al. (2015)	40	social incentive delay task	social reward > baseline	4	5.91
	Kollmann et al. (2017)	41	social incentive delay task	social reward > baseline	11	4.83
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	18	4.76
right VS	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2013)	30	social incentive delay task	high social reward > low social reward	20	16.02
	Gossen et al. (2014)	15	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	25	12.59

### Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

	Gossen et al. (2014)	35	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	10	11.34
	Carter et al.(2009)	17	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	10	10.61
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	18	8.51
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	9	8.45
	Goerlich et al. (2017)	45	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	20	7.64
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	11	6.43
	Rademacher et al. (2014)	48	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	8	4.92
	Kollmann et al. (2017)	41	social incentive delay task	social reward > baseline	11	3.22
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2013)	30	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	6	3.2
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	6	1.99
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	3	1.88
	Cremers et al. (2015)	40	social incentive delay task	social reward > baseline	4	1.8
	Rademacher et al. (2010)	28	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	13	1.4
dACC/SMA	Goerlich et al. (2017)	45	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	20	18.46
	Gossen et al. (2014)	35	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	10	17.29
	Gossen et al. (2014)	20	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	11	16.6
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2013)	30	social incentive delay task	high social reward > low social reward	20	15.93

### Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

	Gossen et al. (2014)	15	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	25	14.7
	Kollmann et al. (2017)	41	social incentive delay task	social reward > baseline	11	9.36
	Nawijn et al. (2017)	72	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	5	7.67
anterior insula	Gossen et al. (2014)	35	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	10	31.64
	Gossen et al. (2014)	20	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	11	29.05
	Goerlich et al. (2017)	45	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	20	20.11
	Kollmann et al. (2017)	41	social incentive delay task	social reward > baseline	11	17.73
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	18	1.41
anterior insula	Goerlich et al. (2017)	45	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	20	25.99
	Rademacher et al. (2010)	28	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	13	23.94
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	6	17.6
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	9	17.6
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	18	10.34
	Carter et al.(2009)	17	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	10	4.13
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	11	0.4
ventral tegmental area	Goerlich et al. (2017)	45	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	20	28.92
	Gossen et al. (2014)	35	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	10	27.91
	Gossen et al. (2014)	15	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	25	25.44

### Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2013)	30	social incentive delay task	high social reward > low social reward	20	15.41
	Carter et al.(2009)	17	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	10	1.34
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2013)	30	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	6	0.57
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	18	0.4
middle occipital gyrus	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	11	26.94
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	3	20.83
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	9	19.86
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	social incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated reward	6	18.46
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2013)	30	social incentive delay task	high social reward > low social reward	20	13.14
	Rademacher et al. (2010)	28	social incentive delay task	social reward > no reward	13	0.52
	Goerlich et al. (2017)	45	Monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	social reward > monetary reward	6	0.18



**Table 4. Average contribution of each experimental contrast for significant clusters identified for the meta-analysis of monetary reward anticipation**

Cluster Name.	Study	N	Task	Contrast	No. of foci	Average contribution (%)
VS	Adcock et al. (2006)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	3.25
	Adcock et al. (2006)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	3.14
	Bjork et al. (2004)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	2.9
	Treadway et al. (2013)	38	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	2.55
	Herbort et al. (2016)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	25	2.5
	Samanez-Larkin et al. (2007)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	21	2.38
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	26	2.2
	Enzi et al. (2012a)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	2.17
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	monetary reward > social reward	12	2.13
	Saji et al. (2013)	18	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	2.07
	Wu et al. (2014)	52	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	20	2.05
	Kirk et al. (2015)	44	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	1.91

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Bjork et al. (2012)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	19	1.85
Stark et al. (2011)	31	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	14	1.82
Knutson et al. (2001b)	9	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	1.68
Samanez-Larkin et al. (2007)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	43	1.61
Wittmann et al. (2005)	16	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	1.6
Bjork et al. (2004)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	8	1.56
Knutson et al. (2008)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	8	1.54
Adcock et al. (2006)	12	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	12	1.47
Wrase et al. (2007a)	14	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	18	1.46
Knutson et al. (2001a)	8	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	1.4
Enzi et al. (2012b)	15	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	9	1.39
Beck et al. (2009)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	1.39
Bjork et al. (2010a)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	1.36
Damiano et al. (2014)	31	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	1.35
Costumero et al. (2013)	44	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > monetary punishment	18	1.34

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Juckel et al. (2012)	13	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	18	1.32
Maresh et al. (2014)	84	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	18	1.32
Knutson et al. (2001a)	8	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	12	1.31
Knutson et al. (2003)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	1.29
Bjork et al. (2010b)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	1.28
Juckel et al. (2006)	10	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	9	1.26
Rademacher et al. (2010)	28	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	36	1.23
Bjork et al. (2008b)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	1.22
Kappel et al. (2013)	10	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	1.19
Bjork et al. (2010a)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	1.19
Carl et al. (2016)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	1.17
Arrondo et al. (2015)	21	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	29	1.13
Pfabigan et al. (2014)	25	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	12	1.13
Carter et al. (2009)	17	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	1.12
Ströhle et al. (2008)	10	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	1.04

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Yau et al. (2012)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	1.03
Costumero et al. (2013)	44	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	16	1.02
Yan et al. (2016a)	23	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated monetary reward	15	0.99
Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated monetary reward	12	0.98
Figuee et al. (2011)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	0.97
Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated monetary reward	7	0.96
Staudinger et al. (2011)	24	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	6	0.91
Romanczuk-Seiferth et al. (2015)	17	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	0.89
Simon et al. (2010)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	0.87
Plichta et al. (2013)	14	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	0.87
Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	8	0.87
Stoy et al. (2012)	15	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	6	0.86

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Funayama et al. (2014)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	0.85
Schlagenhauf et al. (2008)	10	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	12	0.84
Kappel et al. (2013)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	0.83
Kollmann et al. (2017)	41	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	17	0.82
Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated monetary reward	8	0.82
Stoy et al.(2011)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	0.82
Kocael et al. (2017)	37	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	0.76
Bjork et al. (2012)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	7	0.75
Abler et al. (2005)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	3	0.74
Schlagenhauf et al. (2008)	10	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	9	0.74
Abler et al. (2005)	12	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	4	0.73
Behan et al. (2014)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	0.71
Dillon et al. (2010)	32	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	0.71
Yan et al. (2016a)	23	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to	6	0.7

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

			increasing anticipated monetary reward		
Kappel et al. (2013)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	0.66
Yan et al. (2016b)	22	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	33	0.63
Ossewaarde et al. (2011)	27	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	12	0.62
Gossen et al. (2014)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	8	0.62
Gossen et al. (2014)	15	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	0.53
Kaufmann et al. (2013)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	0.51
Kaufmann et al. (2013)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > monetary punishment	3	0.48
Stoy et al. (2012)	15	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	3	0.42
Wrase et al. (2007b)	16	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	2	0.4
Schreiter et al. (2016)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	8	0.38
Barman et al. (2015)	63	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	monetary reward > social reward	2	0.37
Pujara et al. (2016)	14	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	0.32
Bustamante et al. (2014)	18	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	3	0.28

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

	Mucci et al. (2015)	22	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	11	0.27
	Weiland et al. (2014)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	4	0.26
	Abler et al. (2005)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	1	0.25
	Bjork et al. (2008a)	13	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	1	0.2
	Costumero et al. (2013)	44	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	2	0.19
	Simon et al. (2010)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	1	0.15
	Balodis et al. (2012)	14	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	4	0.14
dACC	Treadway et al. (2013)	38	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	14.84
	Bjork et al. (2012)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	19	12.71
	Adcock et al. (2006)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	11.91
	Adcock et al. (2006)	12	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	12	11.91
	Adcock et al. (2006)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	11.27
	Yan et al. (2016b)	22	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	33	9.47
	Arrondo et al. (2015)	21	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	29	7.85
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to	12	7.35

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

				increasing anticipated monetary reward		
	Knutson et al. (2001a)	8	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	12	5.06
	Rademacher et al. (2010)	28	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	36	2.85
	Enzi et al. (2012a)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	2.3
	Simon et al. (2010)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	1.29
	Carter et al. (2009)	17	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	monetary reward > social reward	7	0.88
dACC/SMA	Maresh et al. (2014)	84	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	18	7.01
	Goerlich et al. (2017)	45	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	4	5.81
	Costumero et al. (2013)	44	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	16	5.13
	Knutson et al. (2001a)	8	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	4.32
	Funayama et al. (2014)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	3.97
	Knutson et al. (2001b)	9	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	3.96
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	26	3.63
	Plichta et al. (2013)	14	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	2.78



## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Herbort et al. (2016)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	25	2.75
Gossen et al. (2014)	35	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	3	2.74
Ossewaarde et al. (2011)	27	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	12	2.72
Knutson et al. (2001a)	8	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	12	2.67
Kappel et al. (2013)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	2.59
Figee et al. (2011)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	2.57
Bjork et al. (2010a)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	2.49
Bjork et al. (2012)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	19	2.49
Wittmann et al. (2005)	16	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	2.46
Dillon et al. (2010)	32	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	2.38
Kollmann et al. (2017)	41	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	17	2.21
Yan et al. (2016b)	22	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	33	2.21
Bjork et al. (2010a)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	2.2
Kirk et al. (2015)	44	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	2.19
Kappel et al. (2013)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	2.16

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Knutson et al. (2003)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	2.14
Behan et al. (2014)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	2.08
Damiano et al. (2014)	31	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	2.08
Bjork et al. (2008b)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	1.96
Samanez-Larkin et al. (2007)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	43	1.85
Gossen et al. (2014)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	8	1.83
Kaufmann et al. (2013)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > monetary punishment	3	1.8
Staudinger et al. (2011)	24	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	6	1.66
Kaufmann et al. (2013)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	1.57
Stark et al. (2011)	31	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	14	1.5
Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated monetary reward	12	1.5
Saji et al. (2013)	18	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	1.26
Pfäbigan et al. (2014)	25	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	12	1.18
Enzi et al. (2012a)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	1.15

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

			monetary incentive delay			
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	task/social incentive delay task	monetary reward > social reward	26	0.96
	Kappel et al. (2013)	10	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	0.86
	Arrondo et al. (2015)	21	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	29	0.64
	Romanczuk-Seiferth et al. (2014)	17	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	0.32
	Rademacher et al. (2010)	28	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	36	0.04
postcentral gyrus	Costumero et al. (2013)	44	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	16	8.01
	Stark et al. (2011)	31	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	14	7.82
	Knutson et al. (2001b)	9	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	7.61
	Bjork et al. (2012)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	19	7.56
	Samanez-Larkin et al. (2007)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	43	7.42
	Kappel et al. (2013)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	6.95
	Behan et al. (2014)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	6.91
	Kollmann et al. (2017)	41	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	17	6.77
	Bjork et al. (2010a)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	6.38

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

	Pfabigan et al. (2014)	25	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	12	6.25
	Romanczuk-Seiferth et al. (2015)	17	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	5.07
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	26	4.12
	Arrondo et al. (2015)	21	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	29	3.68
	Herbort et al. (2016)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	25	3.65
	Bjork et al. (2010a)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	3.54
	Carl et al. (2016)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	2.59
	Wittmann et al. (2005)	16	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	1.87
	Yan et al. (2016b)	22	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	33	1.63
	Bjork et al. (2008b)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	0.87
	Ossewaarde et al. (2011)	27	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	12	0.79
	Samanez-Larkin et al. (2007)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	43	0.31
precentral gyrus	Herbort et al. (2016)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	25	13.73
	Arrondo et al. (2015)	21	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	29	13.6
	Kollmann et al. (2017)	41	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	17	12.89

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	26	12.54
	Damiano et al. (2014)	31	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	10.78
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	monetary incentive delay task/social incentive delay task	monetary reward > social reward	12	10.04
	Saji et al. (2013)	18	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	9.2
	Kaufmann et al. (2013)	19	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	6.63
	Yan et al. (2016b)	22	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	33	6.6
	Dillon et al. (2010)	32	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	1.45
	Wittmann et al. (2005)	16	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	0.86
	Pfabigan et al. (2014)	25	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	12	0.75
	Bjork et al. (2012)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	19	0.69
	Rademacher et al. (2010)	28	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	36	0.12
middle occipital gyrus	Kocael et al. (2017)	37	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	11.65
	Kirsch et al. (2003)	27	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	26	10.16
	Bjork et al. (2012)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	19	9.41

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

Juckel et al. (2012)	13	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	18	8.04
Funayama et al. (2014)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	7.96
Van Duin et al. (2016)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	7.65
Yan et al. (2016b)	22	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	33	7.65
Pfabigan et al. (2014)	25	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	12	7.11
Samanez-Larkin et al. (2007)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	21	6.88
Maresh et al. (2014)	84	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	18	4.36
Stoy et al. (2011)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	6	3.96
Dillon et al. (2010)	32	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	7	3.63
Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	8	3.23
Weiland et al. (2014)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	4	3.11
Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated monetary reward	12	2.02
Behan et al. (2014)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	0.95
Kollmann et al. (2017)	41	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	17	0.74

### Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

	Damiano et al. (2014)	31	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	0.32
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated monetary reward	8	0.27
	Abler et al. (2005)	12	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	4	0.24
	Carter et al. (2009)	17	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	0.21
	Kappel et al. (2013)	10	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	0.17
cuneus	Damiano et al. (2014)	31	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	10.2
	Romanczuk-Seiferth et al. (2014)	17	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	11	9.89
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to increasing anticipated monetary reward	8	9.08
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	32	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	8	8.69
	Adcock et al. (2006)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	8.57
	Saji et al. (2013)	18	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	22	8.27
	Bjork et al. (2010a)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	8.14
	Spreckelmeyer et al. (2009)	16	monetary incentive delay task	brain regions showing proportional activation to	12	8.04

## Social and Monetary Reward Anticipation in the Brain

			increasing anticipated monetary reward		
Adcock et al. (2006)	12	monetary incentive delay task	high monetary reward > low monetary reward	12	7.42
Enzi et al. (2012b)	15	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	9	6.74
Carl et al. (2016)	20	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	15	6.06
Costumero et al. (2013)	44	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	16	4.28
Bjork et al. (2008b)	23	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	17	2.51
Samanez-Larkin et al. (2007)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	43	0.33
Bjork et al. (2004)	12	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	13	0.32
Stoy et al. (2012)	15	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	6	0.31
Kocsel et al. (2017)	37	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	0.29
Carter et al. (2009)	17	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	0.23
Stoy et al. (2012)	15	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > baseline	3	0.19
Juckel et al. (2012)	13	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	18	0.16
Bjork et al. (2010a)	24	monetary incentive delay task	monetary reward > no reward	10	0.15