

1 **Ultrastructural heterogeneity of layer 4 excitatory synaptic boutons**
2 **in the adult human temporal lobe neocortex**

3
4 Rachida Yakoubi¹, Astrid Rollenhagen¹, Marec von Lehe^{2,3}, Dorothea Miller², Bernd Walkenfort⁴, Mike
5 Hasenberg⁴, Kurt Sätzler⁵, Joachim HR Lübke^{1,6,7}

6
7 ¹Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine INM-10, Research Centre Jülich GmbH, Leo-Brandt Str., 52425
8 Jülich, Germany; ²University Hospital/Knappschaftskrankenhaus Bochum, In der Schornau 23-25, 44892
9 Bochum, Germany; ³Department of Neurosurgery, Brandenburg Medical School, Ruppiner Clinics,
10 Fehrbelliner Str. 38, 16816 Neuruppin, Germany; ⁴Medical Research Centre, IMCES Electron Microscopy
11 Unit (EMU), University Hospital Essen, Hufelandstr.11, 45122 Essen, Germany; ⁵School of Biomedical
12 Sciences, University of Ulster, Cromore Rd., BT52 1SA, Londonderry, UK; ⁶Department of Psychiatry,
13 Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics, Medical Faculty/RWTH University Hospital Aachen, Pauwelsstr. 30,
14 52074 Aachen, Germany; ⁷JARA Translational Brain Medicine, Germany

15
16
17
18
19
20
21 **Address correspondence to:** Joachim Lübke

22 Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine INM-10

23 Research Centre Jülich GmbH

24 52425 Jülich

25 Phone: +49-2461-612288

26 Fax: +49-2461-616539

27 E-mail: j.luebke@fz-juelich.de

28 **Abstract**

29 Synapses are fundamental building blocks controlling and modulating the ‘behavior’ of brain networks.
30 How their structural composition, most notably their quantitative morphology underlie their computational
31 properties remains rather unclear, particularly in humans. Here, excitatory synaptic boutons (SBs) in layer
32 4 (L4) of the temporal lobe neocortex (TLN) were quantitatively investigated. Biopsies from epilepsy
33 surgery were used for fine-scale and tomographic electron microscopy (EM) to generate 3D-
34 reconstructions of SBs. Particularly, the size of active zones (AZs) and that of the three functionally
35 defined pools of synaptic vesicles (SVs) were quantified. SBs were comparatively small ($\sim 2.50 \mu\text{m}^2$), with
36 a single AZ ($\sim 0.13 \mu\text{m}^2$); preferentially established on spines. SBs had a total pool of ~ 1800 SVs with
37 strikingly large readily releasable (~ 20), recycling (~ 80) and resting pools (~ 850). Thus, human L4 SBs
38 may act as ‘amplifiers’ of signals from the sensory periphery, integrate, synchronize and modulate intra-
39 and extracortical synaptic activity.

40

41 **Introduction**

42 The neocortex of various animal species including non-human primates (NHPs) and humans is
43 characterized by its six-layered structure, the organization into vertical oriented functional slabs so-called
44 cortical columns and a system of long-range horizontal axonal collaterals that connect neurons in the same
45 (intra-laminar) and different (trans-laminar) cortical layers of a given brain area, but also with trans-
46 regional projections to different brain regions (reviewed by Rockland and De Felipe 2018).

47 The TLN representing ~17% of the total volume of the neocortex (Kiernan 2012) is regarded as a
48 highly specialized associative brain region roughly subdivided into a superior, medial and inferior gyrus
49 that is highly interconnected with the limbic and various sensory systems. In addition, it is well
50 documented that the TLN represents a homotypic granular, six-layered associative neocortex with
51 cytoarchitectonic similarities to primary sensory cortices but different to heterotypic agranular motor
52 cortex (von Economo and Koskinas 1925; Vogt 2009; Zilles et al. 2015, Zilles and Palomero-Gallagher
53 2017). Hence, the TLN is regarded as a higher-order, but not primary, or early sensory neocortex. The
54 growing interest in the TLN is motivated by its importance in superior brain functions as audition, vision,
55 memory, language processing, and various multimodal associations with other brain regions (reviewed by
56 Insausti, 2013). Moreover, the TLN is also involved in several neurological diseases, most importantly, as
57 the area of origin and onset of temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE; reviewed by Allone et al. 2017; Tai et al.
58 2018). TLE is the most common form of refractory epilepsy characterized by recurrent, unprovoked focal
59 seizures that may, with progressing disease, also spread to other areas of the brain. Taken together, the
60 TLN represents an important region in the normal and pathologically altered brain in humans. However,
61 relatively little is known about its neural (but see for example DeFelipe 2011; Mohan et al. 2015; Varga et
62 al. 2015; Molnár et al. 2016), structural and functional synaptic organization (reviewed by Mansvelder et
63 al. 2019).

64 Synapses are highly specialized entities involved in neural communication at any given network of the
65 brain. However, for the most common type of synapses in the CNS, neocortical synapses, rather little data
66 are available, in particular about their quantitative geometry. More recently, neocortical synapses have
67 been quantitatively described in rodents and NHPs (for example: Anderson and Martin 2002; Freese and

68 Amaral 2006; Popov and Stewart 2009; Rollenhagen et al. 2015, 2018; Bopp et al. 2017; Hsu et al. 2017;
69 Rodriguez-Moreno et al. 2018), but less is known about these structures in humans, particularly at the
70 presynaptic site (but see Cragg 1976; Gibson 1983; Kirkpatrick et al. 2006; Alonso-Nanclares et al. 2008;
71 Blazquez- Llorca et al. 2013; Kay et al. 2013; Bernhardt et al. 2013; Liu and Schumann 2014;
72 Domínguez-Álvaro et al. 2019). Such coherent and comprehensive quantitative studies depend on the
73 availability of suitable human brain tissue with highly preserved ultrastructure which can be only
74 guaranteed by access tissue from epilepsy surgery biopsy (Yakoubi et al. 2019) but not post-mortem
75 material.

76 The final goal in investigating SBs and their target structures in humans is to describe the synaptic
77 organization of the cortical column, layer by layer, as exemplified by the TLN. First, L5 SBs were
78 quantitatively analyzed (Yakoubi et al. 2019), because this layer represents the major output layer from
79 the neocortex to the sensory periphery. The next logical step was to investigate SBs in L4 of the TLN. In
80 granular primary sensory cortices, L4 is regarded as the main recipient layer for signals from the sensory
81 periphery and thus represents the first station of intracortical information processing (reviewed by
82 Sherman 2012; Clascá et al. 2016; but see Constantinople and Bruno 2013). Since the TLN is regarded as
83 a higher order, but not primary, or early sensory neocortex, here L4 represents a convergent input layer for
84 both thalamo-cortical and cortico-cortical inputs (reviewed by Inausti, 2013).

85 We took advantage of non-epileptic neocortical access tissue provided from TLE surgery, and used
86 high-resolution fine-scale transmission and tomographic EM and subsequent computer-assisted
87 quantitative 3D-volume reconstructions of synaptic structures to look for structural correlates relevant for
88 synaptic transmission and plasticity.

89 The comparison of L4 (this study) and L5 (Yakoubi et al. 2019) SBs showed marked and highly
90 significant layer-specific differences in almost all structural parameters investigated. Strikingly, although
91 ~2-fold smaller in SB and AZ size, L4 SBs contain a nearly 3- to 4-fold larger readily releasable (RRP), a
92 2-fold larger recycling (RP) and a slightly larger resting pool when compared to L5 SBs suggesting
93 marked differences in synaptic efficacy and strength, but also in the modulation of synaptic plasticity. The
94 structural values obtained by Yakoubi et al. (2019) and the present study are in line and support recent

95 findings of layer-specific differences in synaptic transmission between L4 and L5 in humans (Seeman et
 96 al. 2018) and experimental animals (rodent L4 connections: Feldmeyer et al. 1999, 2002, reviewed by
 97 Lübke and Feldmeyer 2007; rodent L5 connections: Markram et al. 1997 a, b; reviewed by Ramaswamy
 98 and Markram 2015). Thus, it might be speculated that layer-specific differences are also present in the
 99 other cortical layers to be investigated in humans (work in progress). Hence layer-specific differences in
 100 synaptic ‘behavior’ and computational properties of intralaminar cortical networks could be expected, and
 101 may contribute to an ‘orchestrated’ action of synapses in the entire network of the cortical column.
 102 Finally, the quantitative 3D-models of SBs also provide the basis for realistic numerical and/or Monte
 103 Carlo simulations of different parameters of synaptic function, for example, neurotransmitter release and
 104 diffusion at AZs that remain only partially accessible to experiment, at least in humans.

105

106 **Results**

107 **Density of synaptic contacts established by SBs in L4 of the human TLN**

108 First, the density of synaptic contacts was measured since such data are rare for humans particularly for
 109 the TLN (but see Marco and DeFelipe 1997; Alonso-Nanclares et al. 2008; Finnema et al. 2016). These
 110 measurements provide the basis to further gain information regarding the synaptic organization of the
 111 neuropil, rate of connectivity as well as possible inter-individual and gender-specific differences in
 112 humans (Table 1; Table 1-source data 1).

113

114 **Table 1: Density of synaptic contacts in L4 of TLN**

*Patients	Hu_1 ♀	Hu_2 ♀	Hu_3 ♀	Average ± SD ♀	Hu_4 ♂	Hu_5 ♂	Hu_6 ♂	Average ± SD ♂	Total average ± SD
Total density of synaptic contacts/mm³	4.1*10 ⁶	1.3*10 ⁶	6.0*10 ⁶	3.80*10 ⁶ ± 2.36*10 ⁶	0.8*10 ⁶	0.5*10 ⁶	1.5*10 ⁶	0.93*10 ⁶ ± 0.51*10 ⁶	2.37*10 ⁶ ± 2.19*10 ⁶
Excitatory SBs on spines (%)	60	60	73.08	64.36 ± 7.55	100	100	66.66	88.89 ± 19.25	76.62 ± 18.75
Excitatory SBs on shafts (%)	33.33	40	15.38	29.57 ± 12.73	0	0	33.33	11.11 ± 19.24	20.34 ± 17.75
Inhibitory SBs spines (%)	6.66	0	0	2.22 ±	0	0	0	0 ±	1.33 ±

				3.84				0	2.98
Inhibitory SBs on shafts (%)	0	0	7.69	2.56 ± 4.44	0	0	0	0 ± 0	1.54 ± 3.44

115 *Patients identity is anonymous due to the new European protection of data privacy (EU) 2016/679.

116 The overall average was $2.37 \cdot 10^6$ synapses/mm³. The density of synaptic contacts averaged $3.80 \cdot 10^6$
117 synapses/mm³ in women (n=3; ranging from 25-36 years of age) and $0.93 \cdot 10^6$ synapses/mm³ in men (n=3;
118 ranging from 33-63 years in age). Strikingly, a huge inter-individual variability was found: a 1.5 to 4-fold
119 and 2 to 3-fold difference between women and men, respectively.

120 In all patients, the majority of synaptic contacts counted were excitatory and were found predominantly
121 on spines of different types (76.62%) and on shafts (20.34%). The remainder contacts were inhibitory
122 mainly established on dendritic shafts (1.54%). However, GABAergic terminals were also located on
123 spines, but infrequently (1.33%).

124 In summary, the synaptic density in L4 of the temporo-basal lobe was ~4-fold higher in women than in
125 men, suggesting a gender-specific difference (see discussion). But the target structure innervation pattern
126 was relatively similar among patients regardless of their gender.

127

128 **Neural organization of the human TLN**

129 In granular cortices in rodents and higher mammals including NHPs and humans, L4 is composed of two
130 different types of spiny neurons, the majority of which represent spiny stellate cells with a smaller fraction
131 of star pyramidal cells (Ahmed et al. 1994; Lübke et al. 2000; Staiger et al. 2004; Egger et al. 2008; Oishi
132 et al. 2016). In Golgi-stained and semithin sections throughout the human TLN, L4 was distinguishable
133 from the lower part of L2/3 and L5 by the absence of pyramidal-shaped neurons (Figure 1A, B, Child
134 Figure 1) and is further characterized by a zone relatively sparse of neurons with comparatively small,
135 round to ovoid cell bodies organized in a cluster-like fashion (Figure 1B framed area, D). At the EM level,
136 GAP-junctional coupling between dendrites was frequently observed (Figure 1F, G) in L4, as well as tight
137 junctional coupling mainly between astrocytes. Interestingly, L2 was composed of pyramidal cells that
138 were densely packed but randomly distributed throughout the neuropil in semithin sections (Figure 1A, B)
139 whereas L3 contained somewhat larger pyramidal neurons which in contrast to L2 were more loosely

140 distributed (Figure 1A-C). Layers 5a, b and 6a were composed mainly of pyramidal neurons of different
141 shape and size (Figure 1A, Child. Figure 1) whereas in sublayer 6b numerous inverted or horizontally
142 oriented pyramidal neurons are found (Figure 1E) as also described in rodents (Tömböl et al. 1984; Marx
143 and Feldmeyer 2013).

144

145 **Quantitative analysis of excitatory L4 synaptic complexes in the human TLN**

146 The main goal of this study was to quantify several morphological parameters representing structural
147 correlates of synaptic transmission and plasticity in L4 excitatory SBs in the human TLN. For this
148 purpose, 150 SBs and 155 AZs were completely reconstructed out of five series of 70-100 ultrathin
149 sections/series using biopsy material from TLE surgery (see Material and Methods).

150 EM investigation of L4 in the human TLN revealed a dense neuropil containing neuronal cell bodies,
151 astrocytes and their fine processes, dendrites and SBs of different shape and size (Figure 2, 3) and
152 traversing apical dendrites of L5 pyramidal neurons (Figure 1B) which are much thicker in diameter and
153 were thus not sampled.

154 Synaptic complexes in L4 were formed by either presynaptic *en passant* or endterminal boutons
155 (Figure 2), with their prospective postsynaptic target structures, either a cell body of a neuron, or dendritic
156 shafts of different calibers (17.7 %) or spines of distinct sizes and types (thin 58.20%; filopodial 5.70%;
157 mushroom 7.80%; stubby 3.50% and 7.10% were not classifiable). In our samples SBs were
158 predominantly (~82% of the total) found on dendritic spines, ~3% of which are SBs establishing either
159 two or three synaptic contacts on the same spine and numerous contacts with either the same or different
160 dendrites (Figure 2B, C). Interestingly, only ~40 % of the spines in our sample contained a spine
161 apparatus (Figures 2B, 3A, B), a specialized form of the endoplasmic reticulum.

162 L4 SBs were small on average, with a mean surface area of $2.50 \pm 1.78 \mu\text{m}^2$, and a mean volume of
163 $0.16 \pm 0.16 \mu\text{m}^3$, thus ~3-fold smaller in size than those in L5 of the TLN ($p \leq 0.001$; Table 2). SBs were
164 oval to round with a form factor, ranging from 0.27 to 0.77 and a mean of 0.56 ± 0.09 . Beside relatively
165 large SBs ($11.54 \mu\text{m}^2$; $1.09 \mu\text{m}^3$) also very small ones ($0.42 \mu\text{m}^2$; $0.01 \mu\text{m}^3$) were sampled and quantified.
166 Hence, a huge variability was observed with respect to the shape and size of SBs as indicated by the large

167 SD, CV and variance (Table 2) regardless of their target structures. Interestingly, a high correlation
168 between the surface area and volume of SBs was observed as indicated by the coefficient of correlation
169 (R^2 ; Figure 5A-source data 2).

170 In larger SBs, several mitochondria (range 1 to 4) of different shape and size ($0.02 \pm 0.03 \mu\text{m}^3$) were
171 present, occupying ~13% of the total bouton volume (Figures 2A, 3B, 4A-source data 2), similar to that
172 percentage found for mitochondria in L5 of the human TLN (~12%, Table 2; Yakoubi et al. 2019),
173 whereas smaller SBs contained no (Figures 2A, 4A, B-source data 2) or only a single mitochondrion
174 (Figures 2A, C, 3C). Thus a strong correlation was found between the volume of the SBs and the volume
175 of mitochondria (Figure 5B-source data 2), suggesting an important role of these structures in the function
176 of the SB (see Discussion).Worth mentioning is the observation of a few degenerating SBs, characterized
177 by their content of distorted organelles, as well as the presence of several apoptotic neurons identifiable by
178 their dark appearance (Figure 1), severe distortions of their cytoplasm and the presence of microglia and
179 macrophages, indicative of cell death of these neurons in our biopsy samples (not shown), although their
180 frequency of appearance varied between tissue samples.

181

182 **Structural composition of AZs in L4 excitatory SBs in the human TLN**

183 The number, size and shape of the AZs are key structural determinants in synaptic transmission and
184 plasticity. The majority (~97%) of SBs in L4 had only a single (Figures 2A, 3) at most three AZs. Beside
185 very large AZs spanning the entire pre- and postsynaptic apposition zone (Figure 2A), also quite small
186 AZs covering only a fraction of the apposition zone were found (Figures 2, 3). The majority of AZs
187 (~63%) showed either a perforation in the PreAZ and PSD or both (Figure 3B, 4D); the remainder AZs
188 were non-perforated (Figures 2, 3A, C). Interestingly, 38.46% of the perforated AZs were established on
189 spines featuring a spine apparatus.

190 On average, PreAZs were $0.13 \pm 0.07 \mu\text{m}^2$ and PSDs $0.13 \pm 0.07 \mu\text{m}^2$ in surface area, ranging from
191 0.03 to $0.39 \mu\text{m}^2$ (PreAZs), and from 0.03 to $0.41 \mu\text{m}^2$ (PSDs) with numerous AZs that were ~2-fold
192 larger than the mean. Interestingly, the size of PreAZs and PSDs in L5 of the human TLN were nearly 1.5
193 to 2-fold larger (Table 2; see also Yakoubi et al. 2019). However, as also described for other CNS

194 synapses of similar size, a huge variability in both the shape and size of the PreAZs and PSDs was
195 observed as indicated by the SD, CV and variance (Table 2; Table 2-source data 2). Strikingly, only a
196 weak correlation between the mean surface area of SBs and that of PreAZs (Figure. 4C) was observed.
197 Interestingly, the PreAZ and PSD areas forming the AZ overlapped perfectly in size as indicated by the
198 ratio and the correlation factors (1.01 ± 0.14 ; Figure 4D-source data 2). Finally, only a weak correlation
199 existed between the PreAZs surface area and the total pool of SVs (Figure 5F-source data 2) suggesting
200 that both the PreAZ size and the total pool of SVs may be independently regulated from the size of the
201 SBs.

202 Strikingly, the mean surface area of the PreAZs on spines, regardless of the spine type, was almost
203 identical and not significantly different ($p = 0.06$) when compared to those found on dendritic shafts (0.13
204 ± 0.07 vs. $0.11 \pm 0.05 \mu\text{m}^2$).

205 The width of the synaptic cleft was 14.11 ± 0.69 nm for the lateral, and 16.46 ± 1.85 nm for the central
206 region and thus significantly narrower when compared with cleft width measured at L5 AZs of the human
207 TLN (Table 2; Table 2-source data 2). No clear difference, e.g. the typical wide broadening of the synaptic
208 cleft, was observed at AZs in our sample, although there is a high variability as indicated by the variance
209 for both the lateral and central edges, respectively (0.04 vs. 0.11).

210

211

212 **Table 2: Comparative quantitative analysis of various synaptic parameters in L4 and L5 of**
 213 **the TLN**
 214

		Mean ± SD	Median	IQR	CV	Skewness	Variance
Synaptic boutons							
	Layers						
Surface area (µm ²)	L4	2.50 ± 1.78	2.05	1.67	0.72	1.97	3.24
	L5***	6.09 ± 0.92	6.05	0.87	0.15	4.49	23.04
Volume (µm ³)	L4	0.16 ± 0.16	0.11	0.12	1.01	2.89	0.03
	L5***	0.63 ± 0.18	0.63	0.21	0.29	2.05	0.46
Active zones							
PreAZ surface area (µm ²)	L4	0.13 ± 0.07	0.11	0.08	0.54	1.35	0.005
	L5***	0.23 ± 0.05	0.22	0.07	0.22	1.86	0.03
PSD surface area (µm ²)	L4	0.13 ± 0.07	0.11	0.08	0.53	1.44	0.005
	L5***	0.29 ± 0.15	0.23	0.16	0.45	2.77	0.06
Cleft width (nm)							
Lateral	L4	14.11 ± 0.69	14.43	1.19	0.05	0.74	8.86
	L5***	17.25 ± 2.39	17.51	3.74	0.13	1.14	20.73
Central	L4	16.47 ± 1.85	15.72	3.26	0.11	0.80	17.09
	L5***	19.05 ± 2.94	18.85	2.95	0.15	1.82	30.84
Mitochondria							
Volume (µm ³)	L4	0.03 ± 0.04	0.02	0.02	1.04	3.71#	0.001
	L5***	0.12 ± 0.09	0.07	0.16	0.87	8.22	50.30
% to the total volume	L4 n.s.	13.11 ± 6.20	12.78	9.25	0.47	0.17	38.47
	L5	12.04 ± 1.20	11.89	2.18	0.10	0.57	23.04
Synaptic vesicles							
Total number	L4***	1820.64 ± 980.34	1544.5	1119.5	0.54	0.91	961066.59
	L5	1518.52 ± 303.18	1347.21	541.98	0.19	2.39	1655452.24
Diameter (nm)	L4	19.80 ± 5.63	18.00	0.28	3.41	2.10	31.69
	L5***	36.69 ± 1.71	37.02	3.26	0.04	-2.07	153.21
Volume (µm ³)	L4	0.01 ± 0.01	0.01	0.01	1.28	3.95#	0.0002
	L5***	0.05 ± 0.02	0.05	0.03	0.4	3.60#	0.002
Pool size of SVs							
Putative RRP at p10 nm	L4***	20.20 ± 18.58	17	27.25	0.92	1.11	345.04
	L5	5.42 ± 4.09	4.93	6.29	0.75	2.17	39.93
Putative RRP at p20 nm	L4***	48.59 ± 39.02	41	53	0.80	1.17	1523.14
	L5	15.21 ± 9.02	13.55	16.34	0.59	2.06	206.69
Putative RP 60-200 nm	L4***	382.1 ± 248.23	313	376.79	0.65	1.41	61617.55
	L5	181.86 ± 27.05	180.89	47.42	0.15	1.25	11469.97
Putative reserve pool >200 nm	L4	1251.82 ± 471.17	541	471.17	0.38	1.70	87678.29
	L5 n.s.	1264.07 ± 301.77	1150.76	540.39	0.24	0.66	72853.49

215 Summary of various structural parameter measurements provided from the detailed 3D-volume
 216 reconstructions of SBs in L4 (present study) and L5 (Yakoubi et al. 2019) of the human TLN. Mean ± SD,
 217 Median, Interquartile Range, CVs, Skewness and Variance were given for each parameter in all patients
 218 investigated. #: Values with a skew > 3 indicating non-normal distributions. Abbreviations: p10, p20:
 219 perimeter from AZ (see Material and Methods), n.s: not significant, *** p ≤ 0.001.
 220

221 **Organization of the pools of SVs in L4 excitatory SBs of the human TLN**

222 SVs are the key structures in storing and releasing neurotransmitters, and hence play a fundamental role in
223 synaptic transmission and in modulating short- and long term synaptic plasticity. Their distribution in the
224 terminal and organization into three distinct functional defined pools, namely the RRP, the RP and the
225 resting pool, regulate synaptic efficacy, strength and determine the mode and probability of release (P_r ;
226 uni- vs. multi-vesicular; uni- vs. multi-quantal) (Saviane and Silver 2006; Watanabe et al. 2013;
227 Schikorski 2014; reviewed by Schneggenburger et al. 2002; Rizzoli and Betz 2005; Neher 2015;
228 Chamberland and Tóth 2016).

229 In general, SVs were distributed throughout the entire terminal in ~85% of the population of SBs
230 investigated (Figures 2, 3A, C, 4-source data 2). The remainder population of SBs was characterized by
231 rather densely packed or 'clustered' SVs near the PreAZs (Figure 3B).

232 Different types of SVs were found: (1) Small clear SVs with a mean diameter of 19.79 ± 5.62 nm, (2)
233 Large clear SVs with a mean diameter of 69.74 ± 12.26 (3) Mitochondrial-derived vesicles (MDVs) were
234 rarely observed (Figure 4E-source data 2, Figure 7A); their role still remains rather unclear. However,
235 they might be involved in the selective transport of mitochondrial substances to lysosomes for degradation
236 (Sen and Cox 2017; reviewed by Sugiura et al. 2014; Misgeld and Schwarz 2017) and (4) Large dense-
237 core vesicles (DCVs) with an average diameter of 42.98 ± 14.45 nm. DCVs were seen to either fuse with
238 the presynaptic membrane or intermingled with the population of the SVs throughout the SB (Figure 4C-
239 source data 2). Considering these locations one might already deduce the possible functions of the DCVs,
240 namely: their implication in endo- and exocytosis (Watanabe et al. 2013) and build-up of AZs by releasing
241 Piccolo and Bassoon (Schoch and Gundelfinger 2006), or by clustering SVs at the PreAZs (Mukherjee et
242 al. 2010, Watanabe et al. 2013). In addition various co-transmitters, such as neuropeptides, ATP,
243 noradrenalin, and dynorphin were identified in large DCVs (Ghijsen and Leenders 2005; Zhang et al.
244 2011).

245 In our study, the average total pool of SVs was 1820.64 ± 980.34 SVs (ranging from 368 to 5053)
246 occupying ~7% ($0.01 \mu\text{m}^3$) of the total volume of SBs, although the range and the SD indicated a huge
247 variability in total pool size (Table 2; Table 2-source data 2) at individual SBs. Strikingly, the total pool in

248 the human TLN was already ~3-fold larger when compared with L4 and L5 SBs in rats (Rollenhagen et al.
249 2015, 2018), and comparable, but significantly different ($p \leq 0.001$) from L5 SBs in the human TLN
250 (Table 2; Table 2-source data 2; see also Yakoubi et al. 2019), although only a low correlation was found
251 between the total pool of SVs and PreAZ surface area (Figure 5E-source data 2) as well as volume of SBs
252 (Figure 5G-source data 2) implying that the total pool of SVs was independent from the size of the SBs.

253 The distribution pattern of SVs made it impossible to morphologically distinguish the three
254 functionally defined pools of SVs, except for the 'docked' vesicles primed to the PreAZ (reviewed by
255 Rizzoli and Betz 2005; Denker and Rizzoli 2010; Chamberland and Tóth 2016). One method to overcome
256 this problem is to perform a distance (perimeter) analysis that determined the exact location of each SV
257 from the PreAZ. Thus, we assumed that the RRP was located at a distance (perimeter p) of ≤ 10 nm and
258 ≤ 20 nm from the PreAZ representing docked and primed SVs fused to the PreAZ. The second pool, the
259 RP, is constituted by SVs within 60-200 nm, which maintained release on moderate (physiological)
260 stimulation. The resting pool, consisted in all SVs further than ≥ 200 nm, preventing depletion upon strong
261 or repetitive stimulations, but which under normal physiological conditions remains unused.

262 Using the same perimeter criteria as at human L5 SBs (Yakoubi et al. 2019; for criteria see also Rizzoli
263 and Betz 2005), the RRP/AZ was extremely large with an average of 20.20 ± 18.57 at p_{10} nm and
264 increased by nearly 2.5-fold (48.59 ± 39.02) at p_{20} nm and thus ~4-fold (p_{10} nm) and ~3-fold (p_{20} nm)
265 larger when compared to L5 SBs in the human TLN ($p \leq 0.001$, Table 2; Table 2-source data 2). However,
266 both pools were characterized by a large variability as indicated by the SD, CV and variance (Table 2;
267 Table 2-source data 2) similar to values for L5 SBs suggesting differences in P_r , synaptic efficacy,
268 strength and paired-pulse behavior at individual SBs. Interestingly, no correlation was found for the p_{10}
269 nm and p_{20} nm RRP with the surface area of PreAZs (Figure 5H-source data 2) in contrast to CA1
270 synapses (Matz et al. 2010).

271 The RP/AZ was also comparatively large with 382.01 SVs at 60-200 nm but also with a large variability at
272 individual SBs (see the SD and CV) and ~2-fold larger than that in L5 SBs in the human TLN showing a
273 much smaller variability (Table 2; Table 2-source data 2). The resting pool contained on average 1438.63

274 SVs and showed the same variance in pool size as the RRP and RP, but comparable with that estimated in
275 L5 SBs in the human TLN.

276 However, no correlation was found between the RRP at p10 nm (Figure 6A-source data 2) and p20 nm
277 (Figure 6B-source data 2) and the total pool of SVs minus the RRP at p10 nm and p20 nm, respectively. A
278 weak correlation was observed for the RP (p60-p200 nm) and the total pool of SVs (Figure 6C-E-source
279 data 2). Finally, no correlation existed for the resting (p500 nm) and the total pool of SVs (Figure 6F-
280 source data 2), similar to findings in L5 excitatory SBs in the human TLN (Yakoubi et al. 2019).

281 Taken together, although small in surface area and volume, SBs in L4 of the TLN have strikingly large
282 RRP, RPs and resting pools when compared with L5 SBs in the human TLN (Yakoubi et al. 2019) and
283 CNS synapses of comparable size or even much larger terminals (see Discussion). However, all pools
284 were characterized by a huge variability, were not correlated and hence independent from the SB and
285 PreAZ size.

286

287 **EM tomography of L4 excitatory SBs in the human TLN**

288 High-resolution EM tomography was carried out on a sample of small to large SBs (n = 25) with different
289 AZ sizes to look for the organization of SVs, in particular those of the RRP and to test the hypothesis that
290 larger PreAZs display more primed or fused SVs than smaller ones.

291 The results of the tomography were three-fold: First, all SBs analyzed, regardless of their target structures,
292 a dendritic shaft (Figure 7A; Video 1) or spine (Figure 7B; Video 1), contained more than one, the most
293 seven ‘docked’ SVs and/or omega-shaped bodies, already fused (Figure 7C-F) with the PreAZ membrane
294 and opened to release neurotransmitter. On average 5.53 ± 0.84 SVs were found at individual PreAZs
295 which was nearly 4-fold smaller when compared to the results of our quantitative perimeter analysis for
296 the p10 nm criterion (20.20 ± 18.58 SVs; see also Table 1; Table 1-source data 1). Secondly, there was a
297 tendency that larger PreAZs contained more ‘docked’ vesicles (Figure 7A, B); providing a larger
298 ‘docking’ area allowing the recruitment of more SVs. However, in a few cases also SBs with a smaller
299 PreAZ were found that had the same number of ‘docked’ vesicles (Figure 7C, E). Finally, so-called MDVs

300 and clathrin-coated pits were clearly identified at several SBs (Figures 4E-source data 2, 7A; Video 1)
301 thus supporting and substantiating the findings with transmission EM (TEM).

302

303

304 **Cluster analysis of excitatory SBs in L4 of the human TLN**

305 The cluster analysis (CA) revealed two groups of SBs according to their structural parameters analyzed.
306 The principal component analysis (PCA) showed two principal components (PCs) (PC1, PC2) explaining
307 the most variance (Figure 8A-source data 2; source code 1-3); where AZs and SV pools were the main
308 features (parameters) that predominantly contributed to the PCs. The subsequent hierarchical cluster
309 analysis (HCA) based on the AZs and SV pools revealed two stable groups of SBs as shown in the
310 generated dendrograms (Figure 8B, C-source data 2; source code 1-3), where the dissimilarity between the
311 two groups is indicated by the Euclidean height. In Figure 8B-source data 2, the SBs in the first group (red
312 cluster) had large AZs with $0.14 \pm 0.08 \mu\text{m}^2$ for both PreAZ and PSD surface area; whereas the SBs in the
313 larger group (blue cluster) had smaller AZ ($0.12 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{m}^2$) surface areas.

314 The clustering according to SV pools led also to two groups of SBs, namely SBs (blue cluster) with
315 25.38 ± 21.09 SVs at p10 nm; 427.29 ± 288.94 SVs in the RP and 995.88 ± 696.49 SVs in the resting
316 pool, respectively. SBs belonging to the red cluster with a smaller pool size compared to the first group:
317 18.69 ± 17.58 SVs at p10 nm; 368.85 ± 234.72 SVs at RP and 814.45 ± 484.73 SVs at the resting pool,
318 respectively. Although two different clusters existed that further helped to identify subclasses of SBs
319 according the structural parameters, excitatory L4 SBs in the TLN were relatively similar (Figure 8C-
320 source data 2; source code 1-3).

321 Thus, the CA revealed that the AZs and pools of SVs were the structural parameters that best
322 characterized the SBs in L4 of the human TLN, clustering them into two major groups accordingly.

323

324 **Astrocytic coverage of L4 SBs in the human TLN**

325 Astrocytes, by directly interacting with synapses, play an important role in the induction, maintenance and
326 termination of synaptic transmission and plasticity (Krencik et al. 2017; reviewed by Dallérac et al. 2018).
327 In L4 of the human TLN, astrocytes and their fine processes formed a dense network within the neuropil
328 of the TLN intermingled with neurons and synaptic complexes, composed of the SBs and dendritic shafts
329 or spines. The majority of individual or multiple synaptic complexes (~80%) were tightly enwrapped by

330 fine astrocytic processes physically isolating them from the surrounding neuropil and from neighboring
331 synaptic complexes as shown in L5 of the human TLN (Yakoubi et al. 2019).

332 Astrocytic fingers reached as far as the synaptic cleft under the pre- and postsynaptic density (Figure
333 9A). Hence, it is most likely that fine astrocytic processes at human L4 synaptic complexes are involved in
334 the uptake of neurotransmitter molecules in the synaptic cleft, regulating their temporal and spatial
335 concentration and limiting intercellular crosstalk mediated by volume transmission.

336 Strikingly, fine astrocytic processes received direct synaptic input from SBs (Figure 9B), as also
337 described for L5 SBs of the human TLN (Yakoubi et al. 2019), the hippocampus and cerebellar climbing
338 fibers (reviewed by Allen 2014; Papouin et al. 2017). On the other hand they provided direct input to
339 postsynaptic structures as exemplified by a dendrite in Figure 9C. It should be noted that also microglial
340 cells and macrophages were infrequently observed in our tissue samples. However, they can be clearly
341 distinguished from astrocytes and their fine processes by their ultrastructural appearance and the fact that
342 they were never seen to establish direct synaptic contacts.

343

344 **Discussion**

345 The present study is the first comprehensive and coherent structural study of L4 excitatory SBs in the
346 human TLN using high-end, fine-scale TEM and EM tomography. Although SBs in any given region of
347 the brain are composed of nearly the same structural subelements, it is their individual and thus specific
348 composition that makes them unique entities, perfectly adapted to their function in the microcircuit in
349 which they are embedded.

350 Here we demonstrate marked species and layer-specific disparities of SBs of the human TLN between
351 L4 and L5, in particular in the shape and size of PreAZs and PSDs, and even more importantly in the size
352 of the three functionally distinct pools of SVs (see Table 2; Table 2-source data 2). In particular the size of
353 the RRP and RP in L4 SBs of the human TLN are by 2 to 4-fold larger when compared with L5 excitatory
354 SBs in the human TLN and other SBs of comparable or even larger size in various animal species
355 including rodents and NHPs.

356 These findings suggest that L4 SBs are strong, efficient and reliable in synaptic transmission. On the other
357 hand, the large variability in the shape and size of AZs and that of the three pools of SVs at individual L4
358 SBs also suggest a strong modulation of short-term plasticity in the human neocortex (see for example
359 Varga et al. 2015; Molnár et al. 2016; Seeman et al. 2018; reviewed by Mansfelder 2019). Hence L4 SBs
360 could act as ‘amplifiers’ for signals from the sensory periphery, but may also act as a ‘filters’ for incoming
361 information to the TLN.

362

363 **Relevance and implications of the density of synaptic contacts measurements**

364 Synaptic density measurement can be a useful tool to not only describe the synaptic organization of a
365 particular area, nuclei and even layers in different brain regions, but also the degree of connectivity
366 underlying the computational properties of a given brain area or network.

367 Meanwhile several studies in various animal species and brain regions included such an analysis (for
368 example: cat: Keller et al. 1992; monkey: Beaulieu et al. 1992; Anderson and Martin 2002; Freese and
369 Amaral 2006; Peters et al. 2008; mouse: Merchán-Pérez et al. 2009; Bopp et al. 2017; reviewed by
370 DeFelipe 1997; rat: Anton-Sanchez et al. 2014; monkey and mouse: Hsu et al. 2017); but data for synaptic
371 density measurements in humans are comparatively rare (but see Davies et al. 1987; Scheff and Price
372 1993; Blazquez-Illorca et al. 2013; Finnema et al. 2016), in particular for the TLN (Marco and DeFelipe
373 1997; DeFelipe et al. 1999; Tang et al. 2001; DeFelipe et al. 2002; Alonso-Nanclares et al. 2008).
374 Strikingly, a huge difference in the mean density of synaptic contacts was found between our study
375 ($2.37 \cdot 10^6 \pm 2.19 \cdot 10^6$) and existing data published by Alonso-Nanclares et al. 2008: $9.13 \pm 0.63 \cdot 10^8$ and
376 Tang et al. 2001: $164 \cdot 10^{12}$. This disparities may be attributed to the age, gender, layers, regions
377 investigated and methods used as thoroughly discussed by DeFelipe et al. (1999).

378 In line with DeFelipe and co-workers (Alonso-Nanclares et al. 2008) a marked gender-specific
379 difference was found, however with a higher density of synaptic contacts in women in our study, in
380 contrast to the above cited publication that reported higher values in men; while in NHPs, no gender
381 differences existed (monkey, Peters et al. 2008). However, the underlying reasons for gender-specific
382 differences in density of synaptic contacts are difficult to determine, as they may also be influenced by

383 various other parameters, such as hormonal, environmental and emotional factors (for example see Hyer et
384 al. 2018).

385 Our findings may represent the structural correlate to functional gender-specific differences in the
386 brain, for example that women perform better at languages (Joseph 2000; Kimura 2000; Alonso-Nanclares
387 et al. 2008); since the TLN is also involved in the memory and language processing and comprehension.
388 Hence, further density measurements are required to demonstrate similarities or differences between the
389 three temporal gyri in the human TL or in comparison to NHPs (but see Anderson and Martin 2002).

390 In conclusion the TLN exhibited a comparatively high density of synaptic contacts (see also Finnema
391 et al. 2016), which together with the large size of the AZs and the three SV pools as demonstrated in the
392 present study imply a high intrinsic and extrinsic connectivity of the TLN, thus shaping the network
393 properties in which the TL is embedded.

394

395 **Shape and size of AZs at excitatory L4 SBs in the human TLN**

396 One of the most important structural parameter determining for example synaptic efficacy, strength and P_r ,
397 is the shape and size of the AZs (Matz et al. 2010; Südhof 2012; Holderith et al. 2012; Wilhelm et al.
398 2014; Vaden et al. 2019). The majority of L4 SBs in the human TLN had only a single AZ as also
399 demonstrated for human L5 SBs and other cortical SBs of similar size in rodents and NHPs (Marrone et
400 al. 2005; Nava et al. 2014; Rollenhagen et al. 2015, 2018; Bopp et al. 2017; Hsu et al. 2017). Strikingly,
401 the surface area of AZs in L4 SBs was on average $0.13 \mu\text{m}^2$ and thus 2-fold smaller when compared with
402 L5 SBs in humans (Yakoubi et al. 2019), but L4 SBs are among the smallest in the neocortex. However,
403 they were comparable in AZ size with L4 and L5 SBs in rats (Rollenhagen et al. 2015, 2018), but ~2 to 3-
404 fold larger than those in mouse and NHP visual, motor and somatosensory neocortex (Bopp et al 2017;
405 Hsu et al. 2017). Surprisingly, AZs were somewhat larger than in much bigger CNS terminals such as the
406 Calyx of Held (Spirou et al. 1998; Sätzler et al. 2002; Wimmer et al. 2006) the cerebellar (Xu-Friedman
407 and Regehr 2003); and hippocampal mossy fiber boutons (MFBs; Rollenhagen et al. 2007). It has to be
408 noted though that a large variability in both shape and size was observed in all SBs where quantitative
409 data are available.

410 This variability in AZ size may partially contribute to differences in the mode of release (uni- or
411 multivesicular; uni- or multiquantal release) and quantal size, the size of the RRP and P_r as shown for
412 other CNS synapses (Matz et al. 2010; Freche et al. 2011; Holderith et al. 2012; Vaden et al. 2019;
413 reviewed by Xu-Friedman and Regehr 2004). In addition, ~63% of AZs showed perforations in their
414 PreAZ and/or PSD, which is higher than reported for L4 (~35%) and comparable with values in L5 SBs
415 (~60%) in rats. A strong correlation between AZs surface area, perforated PSDs and the number of
416 ‘docked’ and resting pool SVs was reported for rat neocortex (Nava et al. 2014; Rollenhagen et al. 2015,
417 2018) and is also supported by the findings in this study. It has to be noted that a large proportion of the
418 AZs in L4 SBs established on spines nearly occupied two-third or even the entire pre- and postsynaptic
419 apposition zone, suggesting that excitatory synaptic transmission is highly efficient at these structures by
420 increasing the docking area for primed and ‘docked’ SVs which is further supported by our EM
421 tomography experiments (see Fig.7). Interestingly, only a weak correlation between the PreAZ surface
422 area with that of the bouton was found in human and rat cortical L4 and L5 excitatory SBs (Rollenhagen
423 et al. 2015, 2018; Yakoubi et al. 2019; this study), implying that the size of the AZs is an independent
424 structural parameter and may be regulated in an activity-dependent manner as shown for hippocampal SBs
425 in the CA1 subregion (Matz et al. 2010; Holderith et al. 2012).

426 Only a weak correlation was found between the surface area of the PreAZ and the total pool of SVs,
427 with no correlation for the p10 nm and p20 nm RRP, respectively. A slight tendency was found that SBs
428 with larger PreAZs contained more ‘docked’ vesicles which is in contrast to our quantitative analysis
429 concerning the RRP, but in good agreement with our tomography experiments and studies in the
430 hippocampal CA1 region where a direct correlation between the size of the AZ and the number of SVs in
431 the RRP together with an increase in P_r was demonstrated (Matz et al. 2010 Holderith et al. 2012).
432 However, our sample size with EM tomography was too small to substantiate this correlation for PreAZs
433 in L4 SBs of the human TLN.

434 Altogether, the relatively large size, perfect overlap and high number of perforated PreAZs and PSDs
435 at L4 SBs in the human TLN may partially contribute to a high P_r , and thus reliable synaptic transmission

436 (see Seeman et al. 2018). On the other hand, the large variability in AZ size at individual SBs may play a
437 role in the modulation of synaptic plasticity and paired-pulse behavior at individual SBs.

438 **Size of the three pools of SVs**

439 During prolonged and intense activity, synaptic transmission could be modulated in various ways
440 depending on the availability of SVs and on their recycling rates. Hence, the size of the RRP critically
441 determines synaptic efficacy, strength and plasticity as described for various CNS synapses (Rosenmund
442 and Stevens 1996; Schikorski and Stevens 2001; Rizzoli and Betz 2004; Schikorski 2014; Watanabe et al.
443 2014; Rollenhagen et al. 2018; Vaden et al. 2019; reviewed by Rizzoli and Betz 2005; Neher 2015;
444 Chamberland and Tóth 2016).

445 Although smallest in size around neocortical SBs, L4 SBs in the human TLN had a total pool size
446 of ~1800 SVs/AZ; comparable but significantly larger than in L5 terminals in the human TLN (Table 2;
447 Table 2-source data 2), but nearly more than 3-fold (~550 SVs/AZ; Rollenhagen et al. 2015), and ~2-fold
448 (~750 SVs/AZ; Rollenhagen et al. 2018) larger than their counterparts in L4 and L5 in rats. Comparison
449 with even giant CNS terminals, for example adult MFBs (~20-fold larger in size), revealed a total pool
450 size of ~850 SVs/AZ (Rollenhagen et al. 2007), ~600 SVs/AZ at cerebellar MFBs (Saviane and Silver
451 2006), and even a nearly 12-fold larger total pool (~125 SVs/AZ) when compared to one of the largest
452 CNS terminals, the Calyx of Held (Sätzler et al. 2002).

453 The already large size of the total pool of SVs in L4 SBs in the human TLN also predicts
454 comparatively large RRP and RPs. Indeed, the putative RRP was on average 20.20 ± 18.57 (p10 nm) and
455 doubled to 48.59 ± 39.02 (p20 nm) SVs/AZ, ~ 3 to 4- fold-larger than in L5 SBs of the human TLN, ~5-
456 fold larger than those in L5 SBs (p10 nm 3.9 ± 3.4 , p20 nm 11.56 ± 4.2 ; Rollenhagen et al. 2018), and 8-
457 10-fold larger than that in L4 SBs (p10 nm 2.0 ± 2.6 , p20 nm 6.3 ± 6.4 ; Rollenhagen et al. 2015) in rats,
458 respectively. Comparison with even larger CNS synaptic terminals revealed a more than 12-fold and 8-
459 fold difference (hippocampal MFBs p10 nm 1.6 ± 1.5 , p20 nm 6.2 ± 4.1 ; Rollenhagen et al. 2007) and
460 Calyx of Held (p10 nm 1.9 ± 2.0 , p20 nm 4.8 ± 3.8 ; Sätzler et al. 2002). Our estimates of the size of the
461 RRP is even more substantiated and supported by our EM tomography. Although the number of 'docked'
462 SVs and omega-shaped bodies was ~4-fold smaller than the average size of the p10 nm RRP this can be

463 explained by the inclusion of SVs that are not docked but within 10 nm distance from the PreAZ, whereas
464 only ‘docked’ SVs were counted using EM tomography.

465 Hence SVs in the RRP are rapidly available to sensory stimulation and execution of complex behaviors as
466 well as up-states (Zhou and Fuster 1996; Sanchez-Vives and McCormick 2000; Sakata and Harris 2009).

467 This notion is even more supported by the size of the putative RP/AZ which was ~380 SVs at human
468 L4 SBs, ~ 2-fold larger as in L5 SBs of the human TLN, ~130 and ~200 SVs in rat L4 and L5 SBs, ~3700
469 SVs for adult rat MFBs, but nearly 4-fold larger than that reported for the rat Calyx of Held (~60 vesicles).
470 Finally, the resting pool of SVs in L4 SBs is large enough to rapidly replenish the RRP and RP and thus
471 guarantee only a partial depletion even at repetitive high-frequency stimulation.

472 Taken together, the comparatively large size of AZs and that of the three pools of SVs determines and
473 provide the basis for high reliability in synaptic transmission, efficacy and strength in L4 SBs of the
474 human TLN. The marked differences in AZ and SV pool sizes between individual SBs may underlie rapid
475 changes in the computational properties of single neurons or networks during Up- and Down states in
476 behavior.

477

478 **Other important structural components of synaptic complexes in L4 of the human TLN**

479 It has to be noted that in contrast to rat and mouse neocortex, the majority of excitatory L4 SBs (~85%) in
480 the human TLN was established on spines of different types. In addition, not all shaft synapses were
481 GABAergic and in turn not all SBs terminating on spines were glutamatergic, although such contacts were
482 only rarely observed (see also Kwon et al. 2018).

483

484 **Role of spine apparatus in synaptic plasticity**

485 Approximately half of all spines in L4 of the human TLN contained a spine apparatus, a highly
486 specialized derivative of the endoplasmic reticulum, involved in spine motility and the stabilization of the
487 SB and its target structure at the pre- and postsynaptic apposition zone. The high abundance of a spine
488 apparatus confirms and supports findings in rat L4 and L5 (Rollenhagen et al. 2015, 2018) and human L5
489 synaptic complexes in the TLN (~65%; Yakoubi et al. 2019), but differs substantially to hippocampal

490 CA1 synapses where only a smaller fraction (~20%) of spines contained these structural subelements
491 (Martone et al. 1996; Špaček and Harris 1997; Deller et al. 2003). It has been demonstrated that the
492 abundance of a spine apparatus partially contribute in modulating short- and long-term potentiation by
493 stabilizing the axo-spinous complex during the initial high-frequency stimulation (Holtmaat et al. 2005;
494 Umeda et al. 2005).

495

496 **Importance of mitochondria in synaptic transmission**

497 Mitochondria are important structural components present in all CNS nerve terminals but with marked
498 difference in their numbers. At L4 SBs in the human TLN they are often organized in clusters associated
499 with the pool of SVs, in line with observations at human L5 excitatory SBs in the TLN (Yakoubi et al.
500 2019) and several other CNS synapses in various animal species (for example see Rowland et al. 2000;
501 Wimmer et al. 2006; Rollenhagen et al. 2007, 2015; Smith et al. 2016). Mitochondria are reported to be
502 highly mobile (Mironov 2006; Mironov and Symonchuk 2006), act as internal calcium stores (Pozzan et
503 al. 2000; Rizzuto et al. 2000) and hence regulate internal Ca^{2+} levels in nerve terminals (Perkins et al.
504 2010). More importantly, they are involved in the mobilization of SVs from the resting pool (Verstreken
505 et al. 2005; Perkins et al. 2010; Smith et al. 2016). In excitatory L4 SBs of the human TLN mitochondria
506 contribute to ~13% of the total volume of the boutons suggesting a strong contribution in the induction of
507 several signal cascades, for example in the priming and docking process, relying on the rapid availability
508 of Ca^{2+} in the SB.

509

510 **Astrocytic coverage**

511 Finally, the majority of synaptic complexes (~85%) in L4 (this study) and L5 (Yakoubi et al. 2019) of the
512 human TLN were tightly enwrapped by fine astrocytic processes reaching as far as the synaptic cleft. This
513 is in line with findings at other small-sized CNS synapses (Xu-Friedman et al. 2001; Rollenhagen et al.
514 2015, 2018), but in marked contrast to the hippocampal MFB (Rollenhagen et al. 2007), the calyx of Held-
515 principal neuron synapse (Müller et al. 2009) and hippocampal CA1 synapses (Ventura and Harris 1999).
516 There, only ~50% were directly found at the synaptic interface (Ventura and Harris 1999) suggesting that

517 hippocampal astrocytes do not uniformly sample glutamate at the synaptic cleft. At hippocampal MFBs
518 and the calyx of Held fine astrocytic processes were never seen to reach as far as the synaptic cleft. It has
519 been demonstrated that at large CNS synapses glutamate spillover, synaptic cross talk and a switch from
520 asynchronous to synchronous release upon repetitive stimulation occurred at these synapses (von
521 Gersdorff and Borst 2002; Hallermann et al. 2003). Astrocytes at L4 synaptic complexes thus act as
522 physical barriers to neurotransmitter diffusion thereby preventing spillover of released glutamate by active
523 take-up and removal of glutamate in the cleft. In addition they terminate synaptic transmission and may
524 thus, speed-up the recovery from receptor desensitization (Danbolt 2001; Oliet et al. 2004). Both
525 mechanisms allow the precise spatial and temporal regulation of the neurotransmitter concentration in the
526 synaptic cleft (Anderson and Swanson 2000).

527 Furthermore, astrocytes release glutamate or GABA (Le Meur et al. 2012) through vesicular
528 exocytosis, which can also regulate synaptic transmission through activation of pre- and postsynaptic
529 receptors (Haydon and Carmignoto 2006). Finally, astrocytes are crucial for the induction and control of
530 spike-time dependent depression (t-LTD) at neocortical synapses by gradually increasing their Ca^{2+}
531 signaling during the induction of t-LTD (Min and Nevejan 2012).

532 Thus it is most likely that astrocytes at L4 excitatory SBs in human TLN govern synaptic transmission
533 and plasticity by the temporal and spatial modulation of the glutamate concentration profile thereby
534 shaping the EPSP amplitude.

535

536 **Functional relevance**

537 In general, L4 in primary sensory cortices is regarded as the main input layer for thalamo-cortical afferents
538 from the respective thalamic relay nuclei (Ahmed et al. 1994; Rodriguez-Moreno et al. 2018, reviewed by
539 Clascà et al. 2016, but see Constantinople and Bruno 2013). Thus, L4 represents the first station of
540 intracortical information processing from peripheral sensory signals. From L4, these signals are then
541 transferred via translaminar connections within a cortical column and via transcolumnar axons to adjacent
542 columns or even transregional to other cortical regions (reviewed by Rockland and De Felipe 2018). It has
543 to be noted though that the TLN is regarded as a higher-order, but not primary, or early sensory neocortex.

544 Thus, L4 of the TLN represents a convergent input layer for both thalamocortical and corticocortical
545 synaptic inputs.

546 In rat and mouse neocortex, and recently in humans it has been elegantly shown by paired recordings that
547 L4-L4 excitatory synaptic connections are characterized by a comparatively high synaptic efficacy and
548 strength as indicated by their high average EPSP amplitudes (rat barrel cortex 1.0 to 1.6 mV, mouse
549 primary visual cortex 0.54 mV, human L4 0.95 mV), low coefficient of variations (<0.4) and low failure
550 rates ($<5\%$) indicative for highly reliable synaptic transmission (rat: Feldmeyer et al. 1999; mouse and
551 human: Seeman et al. 2018) when compared with excitatory connections in other cortical layers (reviewed
552 by Lübke and Feldmeyer, 2007). Some of these L4-L4 connections in rat somatosensory cortex are so
553 strong that high-frequency trains of postsynaptic action potentials can be evoked causally related to the
554 high abundance of NMDA-receptors contributing with $\sim 40\%$ to the overall EPSP amplitude (Feldmeyer et
555 al. 1999; Rollenhagen et al. 2012). In addition, L4-L4 excitatory synaptic connections show a high degree
556 of bidirectional coupling ($\sim 30\%$) resulting in recurrent excitation or feed-back inhibition.

557 Finally, rat L4 spiny neurons are highly interconnected with ~ 200 other excitatory spiny neurons
558 within a ‘barrel’ column: in turn ~ 300 - 400 L4 spiny neurons converge onto a single L2/3 and L5
559 pyramidal neuron (Feldmeyer et al. 2002, Lübke et al. 2003). This intra-columnar connectivity is not only
560 a major determinant for reliable signal transduction in L4, acting as ‘feed-back amplifiers’ even for weak
561 signals from the sensory periphery, but also for the safe and reliable distribution of signals to other
562 neurons located in different layers within the cortical column with which L4 neurons are interconnected
563 (canonical microcircuit of the cortical column).

564 Assuming such a scenario described above exists in L4 of the human TLN, several structural
565 parameters contribute to its function as an important associational area involved in the induction,
566 maintenance and regulation of various computations underlying perception, executive control, learning
567 and memory in which the TLN plays an important role. Hence several structural subelements may
568 contribute to reliable signal transduction: The shape and size of AZs and the large number of SVs in the
569 RRP and RP implying a high P_r underlying high synaptic efficacy and strength that contribute to feed-
570 back amplification of even weak sensory signals, and in addition may also enhance TL intracortical

571 information processing. On the other hand L4 may, in addition, act as a ‘filter’ for incoming signals from
572 the sensory periphery or from other cortical and subcortical areas with which the TLN is interconnected.
573 The astrocytic coverage preventing glutamate spillover further guarantees a direct control and sharpening
574 of the transmitted signals. On the other hand, the large variability in AZ size and the three pools of SVs
575 may be involved in the sorting, modulation, and further discrimination of intrinsic and extrinsic signals by
576 neurons in the TLN. Together, all these characteristics ensure the proper wiring and firing of neurons in
577 L4 of the human TLN (see Seeman et al. 2018), to accomplish its function as a recipient layer for both
578 thalamocortical and corticocortical inputs and help to explain information processing from incoming
579 signals of the sensory periphery, within the TLN and from brain regions with which the TLN is
580 interconnected.

581

582 **Perspectives to work on the TLN**

583 As already mentioned earlier, the TLN represents ~17% of the total volume of the neocortex in humans and
584 is regarded as a multimodal associational granular neocortex with a wide range of reciprocal connections
585 with other cortical and subcortical sensory and associational areas. To date it is still rather unknown
586 whether the TLN has a columnar organization and ‘canonical’ circuitry of neurons and how this influence
587 its synaptic organization. Hence our first aim was and is to describe the synaptic organization and
588 quantitative geometry of SBs in the TLN layer by layer using high-end fine scale TEM and EM
589 tomography.

590 Secondly, the TLN is the area for the onset of TLE, the most common type of epilepsy, which has not
591 been investigated at the subcellular synaptic level, but we will address this question in future studies. Our
592 recent investigations provide the basis to directly compare ‘healthy’ neocortical access tissue with that
593 from patients that suffer from epilepsy throughout their life and did not undergo epilepsy surgery until
594 recently (work in progress).

595 Preliminary results show a complete loss in cortical and laminar architecture and thus synaptic
596 organization of an epileptically infiltrated TLN. The superficial part of the neocortex is characterized by a
597 complete lack of neurons, absence of dendritic structures and a marked damage of SBs in the neuropil in

598 two patients. Interestingly, this situation changes gradually when moving to the more infragranular part of
 599 the TLN. Here, more and more SBs become ‘normally’ structured, neurons and dendritic structures start to
 600 reappear in the neocortex, and down to the white matter the neocortex appears ‘normally structured.
 601 However, how such a severely damage neocortex can compensate the complete ‘loss’ of its supragranular
 602 layers that are connected and interact with their counterparts on the opposite hemisphere remains
 603 unanswered. How that would influence the synaptic organization and its possible re-arrangement is a
 604 challenging task to accomplish.

605

606 **Material and Methods**

607 **Human neocortical tissue processing for EM**

608 Biopsy material was obtained from three male and three female patients (25-63 years in age, see Table 3)
 609 who suffered from drug-resistant TLE und underwent surgery to control the seizures. The consent of the
 610 patients was obtained and all experimental procedures were approved by the Ethical Committees of the
 611 Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-University/University Hospital Bonn (ethic votum of the Medical Faculty
 612 to Prof. Dr. med. Johannes Schramm and Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Joachim Lübke, Nr. 146/11), and the
 613 University of Bochum (ethic votum of the Medical Faculty to PD Dr. med. Marec von Lehe and Prof. Dr.
 614 rer. nat. Joachim Lübke, Reg. No. 5190-14-15; ethic votum of the Medical Faculty to Dr. med. Dorothea
 615 Miller and Prof. Dr. rer. nat. Joachim Lübke, Reg. No. 17-6199-BR), and the EU directive (2015/565/EC
 616 and 2015/566/EC) concerning working with human tissue.

617 **Table 3: Summary of patient data**

Patient identity	Gender	Age (years)	Age at epilepsy onset (years)	Histopathological result	Antiepileptic drugs (pre-op)	Reconstructed SBs
Hu_1	Female	36	4	GGL	LTG, LEV	53
Hu_2	Female	25	12	AHS	LTG	25
Hu_3	Female	25	23	GGL	Zebinix, LEV	25
Hu_4	Male	33	5	Gliososis	LEV, CBZ	25
Hu_5	Male	63	24	AHS	LEV, LTG, CBZ	22
Hu_6	Male	49	36	AHS	Vimpat, ZNS	-

618 AHS: Ammon's horn sclerosis; GGL: Ganglioglioma; LEV: Levetiracetam; LTG: Lamotrigine; ZNS:
 619 Zonisamide; CBZ: Carbamazepine

620

621 During surgery, blocks of neocortical access tissue from the temporo-basal regions of the inferior temporal
622 gyrus (Figure 10) were taken far from the epileptic focus and may thus be regarded as non-affected (non-
623 epileptic) tissue as routinely monitored by preoperative electrophysiology and magnetic resonance
624 imaging (MRI). Other evidence that confirms the ‘normality’ of biopsies and rules out the effect of disease
625 and treatment is the homogeneity of synaptic parameters analyzed among patients as shown by the
626 boxplots (Figure 11-source data 2). This has also been demonstrated by other recent structural and
627 functional studies using the same experimental approach (Testa-Silva et al. 2014; Mohan et al. 2015;
628 Molnár et al. 2016; Seeman et al. 2018; Yakoubi et al. 2019).

629 After their removal, biopsy samples of the TLN were immediately immersion-fixed in ice-cold 4%
630 paraformaldehyde (PFA) and 2.5% glutaraldehyde (GA) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB, pH 7.4) for 24 -
631 48 hours (hrs) at 4°C. Vibratome sections (150-200 µm in thickness, VT1000S, Leica Microsystems
632 GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) were cut in the frontal (coronal) plane through the human temporo-basal
633 neocortex and post-fixed for 30 to 60 min in 0.5 or 1% osmium tetroxide (Sigma, Munich, Germany)
634 diluted in PB-buffered sucrose (300 mOsm, pH 7.4) at room temperature in the dark. After visual
635 inspection and thorough washing in PB they were dehydrated in a series of ethanol starting at 20% (15
636 min for each step) to absolute ethanol (twice 30 min) followed by a brief incubation in propylene oxide
637 (twice 2 min; Fluka, Neu-Ulm, Germany). Sections were then transferred into a mixture of propylene
638 oxide and DurcupanTM resin (2:1, 1:1 for 1hr each; Fluka, Neu-Ulm, Germany) and stored overnight in
639 pure resin. The next day, sections were flat-embedded on coated glass slides in fresh DurcupanTM,
640 coverslipped and polymerized at 60°C for 2 days.

641 Tissue blocks were examined under the light microscope (LM) to determine the region of interest (ROI).
642 Semithin sections were cut with a Leica UltracutS ultramicrotome (Leica Microsystems, Vienna, Austria),
643 with a Histo-Diamond knife (Fa. Diatome, Nidau, Switzerland) stained with methylene-blue to identify
644 the cortical layers, examined and documented with LM using a motorized Olympus BX61 microscope
645 equipped with the Olympus CellSense analysis software (Olympus GmbH, Hamburg, Germany). Then,
646 serial (70-100) ultrathin sections (50 ± 5 nm thickness) were cut through L4. ROIs within a series,
647 containing well-preserved structures, were photographed at 8000x with a Zeiss Libra 120 (Fa. Zeiss,

648 Oberkochen, Germany) equipped with a Proscan 2K digital camera (Fa. Tröndle, Moorenweis, Germany)
649 using the SIS Multi Images Acquisition software (Olympus Soft Imaging System, Münster, Germany).
650 EM images were then edited using Adobe Photoshop™ and Adobe Illustrator™ software for publication.
651

652 **Golgi-Cox impregnation of biopsy material**

653 Four tissue blocks were processed with the Golgi-Cox impregnation technique using the commercially
654 available Histo Golgi-Cox OptimStain™ kit (Hitobiotec Corp, Kingsport, TE, USA). After removal of the
655 biopsy samples, tissue were briefly rinsed twice in double distilled water (dd H₂O), and then transferred
656 into the impregnation solution overnight at room temperature. The next day, samples were incubated in a
657 fresh impregnation solution and stored for 14 days in the dark at room temperature. Sections were then
658 transferred in solution 3 in the dark at room temperature for one day. Thereafter, sections were placed into
659 a fresh solution 3 in the dark at room temperature for 6 additional days. Then, solution 3 was exchanged
660 and samples were stored at 4° in the dark overnight. Tissue blocks were embedded in 5% Agarose (Carl
661 Roth, Karlsruhe, Germany) diluted in dd H₂O, and sectioned with a vibratome in the coronal plane at 100-
662 250 µm thickness and then transferred to dd H₂O. After careful removal of the agarose, free-floating
663 sections were incubated into solution 3 for 2-3 min in the dark at room temperature, and right after placed
664 into dd H₂O, washed several times and stored overnight. The next day, sections were put into a mixture of
665 solutions 4 and 5 for 10 min at room temperature. Afterwards, they were rinsed twice in dd H₂O for 4 min
666 each, and dehydrated in 50%, 70% and 95% ethanol for 5 min each, then transferred into absolute ethanol
667 (3x5 min), defatted in xylene and finally embedded in Eukitt™, (Sigma-Aldrich, Taufkirchen, Germany)
668 coverslipped and air-dried. Finally, sections were examined and imaged with an Olympus BX 61 light
669 microscope equipped with the CellSense software package (Olympus, Hamburg, Germany).

670

671 **Stereological estimation of the density of synaptic contacts**

672 The density of synaptic contacts in a given volume is a valuable parameter to assess the structural and
673 functional changes in the brain, which are linked to the age, pathological or experimental conditions
674 (Rakic et al. 1994; DeFelipe et al. 1999). The density of synaptic contacts was unbiasedly estimated in six
675 patients (see Table 3) using the physical dissector technique (Mayhew 1996; Fiala and Harris 2001) by
676 counting the synaptic complexes in a virtual volume generated by two adjacent ultrathin sections i.e. the
677 dissector: the reference section and the look-up section (Sterio 1984; Kaplan et al. 2012). Here, counting
678 was performed using FIJI (Schindelin et al. 2012; <https://fiji.sc>) on a stack of 20 aligned serial electron

679 micrographs for each patient taken from the series of ultrathin sections used for 3D-volume
680 reconstructions of SBs. An unbiased counting frame was first set and synaptic contacts to be considered
681 (counted) are the one present in the reference section only, and meeting the following criteria: presence of
682 PreAZ and a prominent or thin PSD separated by a synaptic cleft and SVs in the presynaptic terminal.
683 Care was taken to distinguish between excitatory and inhibitory synaptic contacts, as well as the
684 postsynaptic target structures (dendritic spines or shafts). Finally the density of synaptic contacts (N_v) per
685 1 mm^3 (see Table 1; Table 1-source data 1) was calculated using the formula below:

$$N_v = \frac{\sum d Q_d}{\sum d V_d}$$

686 where Q_d is the number of synaptic contacts per dissector and V_d is the volume of the dissector given by:
687 Number of dissectors x frame area x section thickness.

688

689 **3D-volume reconstructions and quantitative analysis of excitatory L4 SBs**

690 All electron micrographs composing each series were imported, stacked, and aligned in the reconstruction
691 software OpenCAR (Contour Alignment Reconstruction; for details see Sätzler et al. 2002). Synaptic
692 structures of interest were outlined on the outer edge of their membranes throughout the series. 3D-
693 volume reconstructions were then generated and the following structural parameters were analyzed: 1)
694 surface area and volume of SBs; 2) volume of mitochondria; 3) surface area of the PreAZs and PSDs; 4)
695 number and diameter of clear synaptic and DCVs, and 5) total pool of SVs and the RRP, RP and resting
696 pool.

697 Excitatory SBs were characterized by large round SVs and prominent pre- and postsynaptic densities in
698 contrast to putative GABAergic terminals that have smaller, more oval-shaped SVs and thin PSDs. A SB
699 was considered completely captured, when it was possible to follow the axon in both directions through
700 the entire series (*en passant* bouton) or the enlargement of the axon leading to an endterminal bouton. The
701 start of a bouton was defined by the typical widening of the axon and the abrupt occurrence of SVs.

702 The PreAZs and PSDs were regarded as complete when their perimeters were entirely reconstructed in
703 a series of ultrathin sections. The PreAZ surface area was measured by extraction from that of the

704 presynaptic terminal membrane. The size of the PSD was the perimeter ratio between the outlines of the
705 PSD to that of the synaptic contact. The synaptic cleft diameter was measured because of its importance
706 for the transient increase of glutamate concentration, reversible binding of glutamate to appropriate
707 glutamate receptors and eventual uptake and diffusion of glutamate out of the cleft. To a large extent,
708 these processes are governed by the geometry of the synaptic cleft and the shape and size of the PreAZs
709 and PSDs. Synaptic cleft width measurement was performed only on synaptic contacts cut perpendicular
710 to the AZ. The distance between the outer edge of the pre- and postsynaptic membranes at the center of
711 the synaptic contact and at the two lateral edges was measured and averaged for each synaptic contact. All
712 SVs were marked throughout each SB and their diameters were individually measured. To determine the
713 distribution profile of the SVs, the minimal distance between each SV membrane to the contour lines of
714 the PreAZ was measured throughout the SB in every single image of the series. Large DCVs were only
715 counted in the image where they appeared largest (for details see Yakoubi et al. 2019).

716 In this study aldehyde fixation was used that is thought to induce tissue shrinkage thereby biasing
717 structural quantification (but see Eyre et al. 2007; Korogod et al. 2015). A direct comparison of structural
718 parameters obtained from either aldehyde or cryo-fixed and substituted tissue samples (Korogod et al.
719 2015), had shown differences in cortical thickness (~16% larger in cryo-fixed material), volume of
720 extracellular space (~6-fold larger in cryo-fixed material), a slight increase in glial volume and overall
721 density of synaptic contacts (~14% in cryo-fixed material), but no significant differences in neuronal
722 structures such as axons, dendrites and vesicle length.

723 Concerning synaptic parameters as estimated here no significant difference was found for SB size and
724 other synaptic subelements such as mitochondria, AZs and SVs (Zhao et al. 2012a, b). Therefore no
725 corrections for shrinkage were applied and we are thus convinced that the synaptic parameters reported
726 here are accurate and can be directly used for detailed computational models. In addition large-scale
727 preservation for ultrastructural analysis will therefore continue to rely on chemical fixation approaches,
728 due to the limited preservation of the ultrastructure in cryo-fixed material as stated in Korogod et al.
729 (2015).

730

731 **Focused ion beam scanning electron microscopy**

732 In this study FIB-SEM was used on L4 of the human TLN to investigate the dynamic changes of the
733 neuropil through a large z-dimension (Video 2).

734 Immediately after explantation, neocortical access tissue samples (n=2) of the Gyrus temporalis
735 inferior were immersion-fixed in an ice-cold mixture of phosphate-buffered 4% PFA and 2.5% GA for
736 4hr. Subsequently, the samples were post-fixed overnight in 0.15M cacodylate buffer (CB) + 2% PFA,
737 2.5% GA and 2mM CaCl₂ before they were embedded in 4% Agar-Agar dissolved in water. After
738 removing access Agar-Agar, vibratome sections of 150 μm thickness were cut (VT1000S, Leica
739 Microsystems GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) in the frontal (coronal) plane through the human TLN. Sections
740 were collected in multi-well plates in 0.3M CB + 4 mM CaCl₂ and thoroughly washed (5x 3 min) with
741 0.15M CB + 2mM CaCl₂. Thereafter, sections were incubated in 0.15M CB + 1.5% potassium
742 hexocyanoferrate (II), 2% osmium tetroxide and 2mM CaCl₂ for 1hr on ice, in the dark. After washing (5x
743 3 min) with deionized water ("MilliQ", Merck Millipore, Burlington, Massachusetts, USA), sections were
744 placed in an aqueous 1% thiocarbohydrazide solution for 20 min followed by another washing step with
745 deionized water (5x 3 min). This was followed by another treatment with an aqueous 2% osmium
746 tetroxide solution for additional 30 min at room temperature, in the dark and washing with deionized
747 water (5x 3 min). Block contrasting was conducted with a filtered, aqueous 1% uranyl acetate solution,
748 overnight at 4°C, in the dark. On the next day, samples were washed with deionized water (5x 3 min) and
749 stained with lead aspartate (20mmol lead nitrate in a 30mmol L-aspartic acid solution, pH 5.5) for 30 min
750 at 60°C. After thorough washing with deionized water (3x 5 min), sections were dehydrated through an
751 ascending series of ice-cold, aqueous ethanol dilutions (30%, 50%, 70%, 90%, 100%, each 5 min, 2x
752 100%, anhydrous, each 10 min) before they were transferred into propylene oxide (2x 10 min). Finally,
753 the samples were infiltrated with an ascending series of Durcupan ACM (Sigma-Aldrich) in propylene
754 oxide (2:1; 3:1, each for 1hr and pure Durcupan ACM, overnight) before the sections were flat-embedded
755 between 2 overhead projector foils, which in turn were placed between 2 microscopic glass slides and
756 polymerized at 60°C for two days.

757 For the quantitative analysis of L4 SBs 3D-volume reconstructions were made based on z-stacks
758 obtained using focused ion beam (FIB) scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Based on the overall
759 appearance of the sample, an area of interest was trimmed out of a flat-embedded section, using a 4 mm
760 biopsy puncher, which was then glued onto a pre-polymerized resin block. Excess resin was removed
761 around the tissue using a histology diamond knife on an ultramicrotome (UC7, Leica Microsystems
762 GmbH). The tissue sample was removed from the resin block with a razor blade and was then glued onto a
763 SEM aluminum specimen stub using colloidal silver paste. The sample was dried in a vacuum chamber
764 overnight, then sputter-coated with platinum/palladium for 15 s and finally placed into the FIB-SEM
765 (Crossbeam© 540, Carl Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany) for 3D analysis.

766 A trench was milled with the FIB at 30 kV/30 nA, polishing of the surface was performed at 30kV/3nA
767 and fine milling for data acquisition was performed at 30kV/7nA. The cross-section surface was imaged
768 with an electron energy of 2keV and an electron beam current of 500pA using an in-column energy-
769 selective backscatter electron detector. The dwell time was 10 μ s with line average 1. The pixel size in the
770 XY-plane was 10 nm and the slice thickness (Z-direction) was 50 nm yielding a voxel size of 10 nm x 10
771 nm x 50 nm. The image acquisition software Atlas 3D (Ver. 5.2.0.125, ZEISS, Oberkochen, Germany)
772 allowed the automated collection of 3D SEM datasets using automated correction algorithms for drift,
773 focus and astigmatism (Video 2). The advantage in using the FIB-SEM technique is three-fold: 1) a much
774 higher throughput of different tissue samples at once; 2) definition of a much larger region of interest per
775 sample and 3) increase of the z-dimensions of the individual samples. However, the disadvantage of this
776 method is still the weaker resolution of single SVs compared to TEM. This approach, together with TEM,
777 will be used in future studies for further image processing, 3D-volume reconstructions and subsequent
778 data analysis.

779

780 **EM tomography of L4 SBs in the TLN**

781 EM tomography was carried out on 200-300 nm thick sections cut from blocks prepared for ultrathin
782 sectioning as described above. Sections were mounted on either pioloform-coated line or slot copper grids
783 (Plano, Wetzlar, Germany) and were counterstained with uranyl acetate and lead citrate following a

784 slightly modified staining protocol as described by Reynolds (1963). Subsequently, sections were
785 examined with a JEOL JEM 1400Plus, operating at 120 kV and equipped with a 4096x4096 pixels CMOS
786 camera (TemCam-F416, TVIPS, Gauting, Germany). Tilt series were acquired automatically over an
787 angular range of -60° to $+60^{\circ}$ at 1° degree increments using Serial EM (Ver. 3.58; Mastronarde 2005).
788 Stack alignment and reconstruction by filtered backprojection were carried out using the software package
789 iMOD (Ver. 4.9.7; Kremer et al. 1996). Final reconstructions were ultimately filtered using a median filter
790 with a window size of 3 pixels.

791

792 **CA of excitatory SBs in L4 of the TLN**

793 CA was performed based on the structural parameters investigated (see Table 2; Table 2-source data 2), to
794 further identify different groups i.e. types of SBs by running a CA using MATLAB and Statistics Toolbox
795 Release 2016b (The MathWorks, Inc., Natick, MA, USA; for details see Yakoubi et al. 2019). Then a
796 zero-mean normalization was performed as the parameters had different units. This was followed by a
797 PCA to reduce our large dataset to a smaller set of uncorrelated variables called PCs, but still containing
798 most of the information in the original dataset. Subsequently, we performed a HCA on the simplified
799 dataset composed of the PCs, as the original data were not labeled (Figure 8-source data 2; source code 1-
800 3, see also Yakoubi et al. 2019).

801

802 **Statistical analysis**

803 The mean value \pm SD, the median with the 1st and 3rd quartile, the R^2 , the coefficient of variation (CV),
804 skewness and variance were given for each parameter. The p-value was considered significant only if
805 $p < 0.05$. Boxplots were generated to investigate inter-individual differences for each structural parameter
806 (Figure 11-source data 2). The non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis H-test analysis was computed, using InStat
807 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA), as some of the analyzed parameters were not normally
808 distributed as indicated by the skewness. Correlation graphs between several structural parameters were

809 generated. To test the differences between L4 and L5 synaptic parameters, a Mann-Whitney u-test
810 (unpaired; two-tailed) was performed using InStat (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA).

811

812 **Acknowledgments**

813 We would like to thank our technicians Brigitte Marshallsay and Tayfun Palaz for their excellent technical
814 assistance. Many thanks to Prof. Holger Jastrow for critically reading a prefinal version of the manuscript
815 and helpful discussions and suggestions. The funding of Rachida Yakoubi by the DAAD and Joachim
816 Lübke by the Helmholtz society is very much acknowledged. The authors declare no financial and other
817 conflict of interest.

818

819 **References**

- 820 Anderson JC, Martin KA. 2002. Connection from cortical area V2 to MT in macaque monkey. *J Comp*
821 *Neurol* 443:56-70.
- 822 Ahmed B, Anderson JC, Douglas RJ, Martin KA, Nelson JC. 1994. Polyneuronal innervation of spiny
823 stellate neurons in cat visual cortex. *J Comp Neurol* 341:39-49.
- 824 Allen NJ. 2014. Astrocyte regulation of synaptic behavior. *Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol* 30:439-463.
- 825 Allone C, Lo Buono V, Corallo F, Pisani LR, Pollicino P, Bramanti P, Marino S. 2017. Neuroimaging and
826 cognitive functions in temporal lobe epilepsy: A review of the literature. *J Neurol Sci* 381:7-15.
- 827 Alonso-Nanclares L, Gonzalez-Soriano J, Rodriguez JR, DeFelipe J. 2008. Gender differences in human
828 cortical synaptic density. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:14615-14619.
- 829 Anderson CM, Swanson RA. 2000. Astrocyte glutamate transport: review of properties, regulation, and
830 physiological functions. *Glia* 32:1-14.
- 831 Anton-Sanchez L, Bielza C, Merchán-Peréz A, Rodriguez JR, DeFelipe J, Larranaga P. 2014. Three-
832 dimensional distribution of cortical synapses: a replicated point pattern-based analysis. *Front*
833 *Neuroanat* 8:85. DOI: 10.3389/fnana.2014.00085. eCollection 2014.
- 834 Beaulieu C, Kisvarday Z, Somogyi P, Cynader M, Cowey A. 1992. Quantitative distribution of GABA-
835 immunopositive and -immunonegative neurons and synapses in the monkey striate cortex (area 17).
836 *Cereb Cortex* 2:295-309.
- 837 Bernhardt BC, Hong S, Bernasconi A, Bernasconi N. 2013. Imaging structural and functional brain
838 networks in temporal lobe epilepsy. *Front Hum Neurosci* 7:624. DOI: 10.3389/fnhum.2013.00624.

839 Blazquez-Llorca L, Merchán-Peréz A, Rodríguez JR, Gascon J, DeFelipe J. 2013. FIB/SEM technology
840 and Alzheimer's disease: three-dimensional analysis of human cortical synapses. *J Alzheimers Dis*
841 34:995-1013.

842 Bopp R, Holler-Rickauer S, Martin KA, Schuhknecht GF. 2017. An Ultrastructural Study of the Thalamic
843 Input to Layer 4 of Primary Motor and Primary Somatosensory Cortex in the Mouse. *J Neurosci*
844 37:2435-2448.

845 Chamberland S, Tóth K. 2016. Functionally heterogeneous synaptic vesicle pools support diverse synaptic
846 signalling. *J Physiol* 594:825-835.

847 Clascá F, Porrero C, Galazo MJ, Rubio-Garrido P, Evangelio M. 2016. Anatomy and development of
848 multispecific thalamo-cortical axons: implications for cortical dynamic and evolution. In: Rockland
849 KS, editor. *Axons Brain Archit*. Oxford: Academic Press:69-92.

850 Constantinople CM, Bruno RM. 2013. Deep cortical layers are activated directly by thalamus. *Science*
851 340:1591-1594.

852 Cragg BG. 1976. Ultrastructural features of human cerebral cortex. *J Anat* 121:331-362.

853 Dallérac G, Zapata J, Rouach N. 2018. Versatile control of synaptic circuits by astrocytes: where, when
854 and how? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 19:729-743.

855 Danbolt NC. 2001. Glutamate uptake. *Prog Neurobiol* 65:1-105.

856 Davies CA, Mann DM, Sumpter PQ, Yates PO. 1987. A quantitative morphometric analysis of the
857 neuronal and synaptic content of the frontal and temporal cortex in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *J*
858 *Neurol Sci* 78:151-164.

859 DeFelipe J. 1997. Types of neurons, synaptic connections and chemical characteristics of cells
860 immunoreactive for calbindin-D28K, parvalbumin and calretinin in the neocortex. *J Chem Neuroanat*
861 14:1-19.

862 DeFelipe J, Marco P, Busturia I, Merchán-Peréz A. 1999. Estimation of the number of synapses in the
863 cerebral cortex: methodological considerations. *Cereb Cortex* 9:722-732.

864 DeFelipe J, Alonso-Nanclares L, Arellano JI. 2002. Microstructure of the neocortex: comparative aspects.
865 *J Neurocytol* 31:299-316.

866 DeFelipe J. 2011. The evolution of the brain, the human nature of cortical circuits, and intellectual
867 creativity. *Front Neuroanat* 5:29. DOI: 10.3389/fnana.2011.00029. eCollection 2011.

868 Deller T, Korte M, Chabanis S, Drakew A, Schwegler H, Stefani GG, Zuniga A, Schwarz K, Bonhoeffer
869 T, Zeller R, Frotscher M, Mundel P. 2003. Synaptopodin-deficient mice lack a spine apparatus and
870 show deficits in synaptic plasticity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:10494-10499.

871 Denker A, Rizzoli SO. 2010. Synaptic vesicle pools: an update. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* 2:135.

872 Dominguez-Alvaro M, Montero-Crespo M, Blazquez-Llorca L, DeFelipe J, Alonso-Nanclares L. 2019.
873 3D Electron Microscopy Study of Synaptic Organization of the Normal Human Transentorhinal Cortex
874 and Its Possible Alterations in Alzheimer's Disease. *eNeuro* 6.

875 Egger V, Nevian T, Bruno RM. 2008. Subcolumnar dendritic and axonal organization of spiny stellate and
876 star pyramid neurons within a barrel in rat somatosensory cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 18:876-889.

877 Feldmeyer D, Egger V, Lübke J, Sakmann B. 1999. Reliable synaptic connections between pairs of
878 excitatory layer 4 neurones within a single 'barrel' of developing rat somatosensory cortex. *J Physiol*
879 521:169-190.

880 Feldmeyer D, Lübke J, Silver RA, Sakmann B. 2002. Synaptic connections between layer 4 spiny
881 neurone-layer 2/3 pyramidal cell pairs in juvenile rat barrel cortex: physiology and anatomy of
882 interlaminar signalling within a cortical column. *J Physiol* 538: 803-822.

883 Fiala JC, Harris KM. 2001. Extending unbiased stereology of brain ultrastructure to three-dimensional
884 volumes. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 8:1-16.

885 Finnema SJ, Nabulsi NB, Eid T, Detyniecki K, Lin SF, Chen MK, Dhaher R, Matuskey D, Baum E,
886 Holden D, Spencer DD, Mercier J, Hannestad J, Huang Y, Carson RE. 2016. Imaging synaptic density
887 in the living human brain. *Sci Transl Med* 8:348-396.

888 Freche D, Pannasch U, Rouach N, Holcman D. 2011. Synapse geometry and receptor dynamics modulate
889 synaptic strength. *PLoS One* 6:e25122.

890 Freese JL, Amaral DG. 2006. Synaptic organization of projections from the amygdala to visual cortical
891 areas TE and V1 in the macaque monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 496:655-667.

892 Ghijssen WE, Leenders AG. 2005. Differential signaling in presynaptic neurotransmitter release. *Cell Mol*
893 *Life Sci* 62:937-954.

894 Gibson PH. 1983. EM study of the numbers of cortical synapses in the brains of ageing people and people
895 with Alzheimer-type dementia. *Acta Neuropathol* 62:127-133.

896 Hallermann S, Pawlu C, Jonas P, Heckmann M. 2003. A large pool of releasable vesicles in a cortical
897 glutamatergic synapse. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100:8975-8980.

898 Haydon PG, Carmignoto G. 2006. Astrocyte control of synaptic transmission and neurovascular coupling.
899 *Physiol Rev* 86:1009-1031.

900 Holderith N, Lorincz A, Katona G, Rozsa B, Kulik A, Watanabe M, Nusser Z. 2012. Release probability
901 of hippocampal glutamatergic terminals scales with the size of the active zone. *Nat Neurosci* 15:988-
902 997.

903 Holtmaat AJ, Trachtenberg JT, Wilbrecht L, Shepherd GM, Zhang X, Knott GW, Svoboda K. 2005.
904 Transient and persistent dendritic spines in the neocortex in vivo. *Neuron* 45:279-291.

905 Hsu A, Luebke JI, Medalla M. 2017. Comparative ultrastructural features of excitatory synapses in the
906 visual and frontal cortices of the adult mouse and monkey. *J Comp Neurol* 525:2175-2191.

907 Hyer MM, Phillips LL, Neigh GN. 2018. Sex Differences in Synaptic Plasticity: Hormones and Beyond.
908 *Front Mol Neurosci* 11:266.

909 Insausti, R. 2013. Comparative neuroanatomical parcellation of the human and nonhuman primate
910 temporal pole. *J Comp Neurol* 521:4163-4176.

911 Joseph R. 2000. The evolution of sex differences in language, sexuality, and visual-spatial skills. *Arch Sex*
912 *Behav* 29:35-66.

913 Kaplan S, Odaci E, Canan S, Onger ME, Aslan H, Unal B. The Disector Counting Technique.
914 *NeuroQuantology*. DOI: 10.14704/nq.2012.10.1.425. Kay KR, Smith C, Wright AK, Serrano-Pozo A,
915 Pooler AM, Koffie R, Bastin ME, Bak TH, Abrahams S, Kopeikina KJ, McGuone D, Frosch MP,
916 Gillingwater TH, Hyman BT, Spires-Jones TL. 2013. Studying synapses in human brain with array
917 tomography and electron microscopy. *Nat Protoc* 8:1366-1380.

918 Keller A, Arissian K, Asanuma H. 1992. Synaptic proliferation in the motor cortex of adult cats after long-
919 term thalamic stimulation. *J Neurophysiol* 68:295-308.

920 Kiernan JA. 2012. Anatomy of the temporal lobe. *Epilepsy Res Treat*. DOI:10.1155/2012/176157.

921 Kimura D. 2000. *Sex and Cognition*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.

922 Kirkpatrick B, Xu L, Cascella N, Ozeki Y, Sawa A, Roberts RC. 2006. DISC1 immunoreactivity at the
923 light and ultrastructural level in the human neocortex. *J Comp Neurol* 497:436-450.

924 Korogod N, Petersen CC, Knott GW. 2015. Ultrastructural analysis of adult mouse neocortex comparing
925 aldehyde perfusion with cryo fixation. *Elife* 4. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.05793.

926 Krencik R, van Asperen JV, Ullian EM. 2017. Human astrocytes are distinct contributors to the
927 complexity of synaptic function. *Brain Res Bull* 129:66-73.

928 Kremer, JR, Mastronarde DN, McIntosh JR. 1996. Computer visualization of three-dimensional image
929 data using IMOD. *J Struct Biol* 116: 71-76.

930 Kwon T, Merchán-Peréz A, Rial Verde EM, Rodriguez JR, DeFelipe J, Yuste R. 2018. Ultrastructural,
931 Molecular and Functional Mapping of GABAergic Synapses on Dendritic Spines and Shafts of
932 Neocortical Pyramidal Neurons. *Cereb Cortex*. DOI: 10.1093/cercor/bhy143.

933 Le Meur K, Mendizabal-Zubiaga J, Grandes P, Audinat E. 2012. GABA release by hippocampal
934 astrocytes. *Front Comput Neurosci* 6:59.

935 Liu XB, Schumann CM. 2014. Optimization of electron microscopy for human brains with long-term
936 fixation and fixed-frozen sections. *Acta Neuropathol Com* 2:42.

937 Lübke J, Egger V, Sakmann B, Feldmeyer D. 2000. Columnar organization of dendrites and axons of
938 single and synaptically coupled excitatory spiny neurons in layer 4 of the rat barrel cortex. *J Neurosci*
939 20:5300-5311.

940 Lübke J, Roth A, Feldmeyer D, Sakmann B. 2003. Morphometric analysis of the columnar innervation
941 domain of neurons connecting layer 4 and layer 2/3 of juvenile rat barrel cortex. *Cereb Cortex*
942 13:1051-1063.

943 Lübke J, Feldmeyer D. 2007. Excitatory signal flow and connectivity in a cortical column: focus on barrel
944 cortex. *Brain Struct Funct* 212:3-17.

945 Mansvelder HD, Verhoog MB, Goriounova NA. 2019. Synaptic plasticity in human cortical circuits:
946 cellular mechanisms of learning and memory in the human brain? *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 54:186-193.

947 Marco P, DeFelipe J. 1997. Altered synaptic circuitry in the human temporal neocortex removed from
948 epileptic patients. *Exp Brain Res* 114:1-10.

949 Markram H, Lübke J, Frotscher M, Roth A, Sakmann B. 1997a. Physiology and anatomy of synaptic
950 connections between thick tufted pyramidal neurones in the developing rat neocortex. *J Physiol* 500:
951 409-440.

952 Markram H, Lübke, J, Frotscher M, Sakmann B. 1997b. Regulation of synaptic efficacy by coincidence of
953 postsynaptic APs and EPSPs. *Science* 275: 213-215.

954 Ramaswamy S, Markram H. 2015. Anatomy and physiology of the thick-tufted layer 5 pyramidal neuron.
955 *Front Cell Neurosci* 9: 233.

956 Marrone DF, LeBoutillier JC, Petit TL. 2005. Modeling behavioral recovery following lesion induction in
957 the rat dentate gyrus. *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 83:196-205.

958 Martone ME, Pollock JA, Jones YZ, Ellisman MH. 1996. Ultrastructural localization of dendritic
959 messenger RNA in adult rat hippocampus. *J Neurosci* 16:7437–7446.

960 Marx M, Feldmeyer D. 2013. Morphology and physiology of excitatory neurons in layer 6b of the
961 somatosensory rat barrel cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 23:2803-2817.

962 Mastronarde, DN.2005. Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen
963 movements. *J Struct Biol* 152: 36-51.

964 Matz J, Gilyan A, Kolar A, McCarvill T, Krueger SR. 2010. Rapid structural alterations of the active zone
965 lead to sustained changes in neurotransmitter release. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:8836-8841.

966 Mayhew TM. 1996. How to count synapses unbiasedly and efficiently at the ultrastructural level: proposal
967 for a standard sampling and counting protocol. *J Neurocytol* 25:793–804.

968 Merchán-Peréz A, Rodríguez JR, Alonso-Nanclares L, Schertel A, DeFelipe J. 2009. Counting Synapses
969 Using FIB/SEM Microscopy: A True Revolution for Ultrastructural Volume Reconstruction. *Front*
970 *Neuroanat* 3:18. DOI: 10.3389/neuro.05.018.2009. eCollection 2009.

971 Min R, Nevian T. 2012. Astrocyte signaling controls spike timing-dependent depression at neocortical
972 synapses. *Nat Neurosci* 15:746-753.

973 Mironov SL. 2006. Spontaneous and evoked neuronal activities regulate movements of single neuronal
974 mitochondria. *Synapse* 59:403-411.

975 Mironov SL, Symonchuk N. 2006. ER vesicles and mitochondria move and communicate at synapses. *J*
976 *Cell Sci* 119:4926-4934.

977 Misgeld T, Schwarz TL. 2017. Mitostasis in Neurons: Maintaining Mitochondria in an Extended Cellular
978 Architecture. *Neuron* 96:651-666.

979 Mohan H, Verhoog MB, Doreswamy KK, Eyal G, Aardse R, Lodder BN, Goriounova NA, Asamoah B,
980 Groot C, van der Sluis S, Testa-Silva G, Obermayer J, Boudewijns ZS, Narayanan RT, Baayen JC,
981 Segev I, Mansvelder HD, de Kock CP. 2015. Dendritic and Axonal Architecture of Individual
982 Pyramidal Neurons across Layers of Adult Human Neocortex. *Cereb Cortex* 25:4839-4853.

983 Molnár G, Rozsa M, Baka J, Holderith N, Barzo P, Nusser Z, Tamas G. 2016. Human pyramidal to
984 interneuron synapses are mediated by multi-vesicular release and multiple docked vesicles. *Elife* 5.
985 DOI: 10.7554/eLife.18167.

986 Mukherjee K, Yang X, Gerber SH, Kwon HB, Ho A, Castillo PE, Liu X, Südhof TC. 2010. Piccolo and
987 bassoon maintain synaptic vesicle clustering without directly participating in vesicle exocytosis. *Proc*
988 *Natl Acad Sci USA* 107:6504-6509.

989 Müller J, Reyes-Haro D, Pivneva T, Nolte C, Schaette R, Lübke J, Kettenmann H. 2009. The principal
990 neurons of the medial nucleus of the trapezoid body and NG2 (+) glial cells receive coordinated
991 excitatory synaptic input. *J Gen Physiol* 134:115-127.

992 Nava N, Chen F, Wegener G, Popoli M, Nyengaard JR. 2014. A new efficient method for synaptic vesicle
993 quantification reveals differences between medial prefrontal cortex perforated and nonperforated
994 synapses. *J Comp Neurol* 522:284-297.

995 Neher E. 2015. Merits and Limitations of Vesicle Pool Models in View of Heterogeneous Populations of
996 Synaptic Vesicles. *Neuron* 87:1131-1142.

997 Oishi K, Nakagawa N, Tachikawa K, Sasaki S, Aramaki M, Hirano S, Yamamoto N, Yoshimura Y,
998 Nakajima K. 2016. Identity of neocortical layer 4 neurons is specified through correct positioning into
999 the cortex. *Elife* 5. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.10907.

1000 Olier SH, Piet R, Poulain DA, Theodosis DT. 2004. Glial modulation of synaptic transmission: Insights
1001 from the supraoptic nucleus of the hypothalamus. *Glia* 47:258-267.

1002 Papouin T, Dunphy J, Tolman M, Foley JC, Haydon PG. 2017. Astrocytic control of synaptic function.
1003 *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 372.

1004 Perkins GA, Tjong J, Brown JM, Poquiz PH, Scott RT, Kolson DR, Ellisman MH, Spirou GA. 2010. The
1005 micro-architecture of mitochondria at active zones: electron tomography reveals novel anchoring
1006 scaffolds and cristae structured for high-rate metabolism. *J Neurosci* 30:1015-1026.

1007 Peters A, Sethares C, Luebke JI. 2008. Synapses are lost during aging in the primate prefrontal cortex.
1008 *Neuroscience* 152:970–981.

1009 Popov VI, Stewart MG. 2009. Complexity of contacts between synaptic boutons and dendritic spines in
1010 adult rat hippocampus: three-dimensional reconstructions from serial ultrathin sections in vivo.
1011 *Synapse* 63:369-377.

1012 Pozzan T, Magalhaes P, Rizzuto R. 2000. The comeback of mitochondria to calcium signalling. *Cell*
1013 *Calcium* 28:279-283.

1014 Rakic P, Bourgeois JP, Goldman-Rakic PS. 1994. Synaptic development of the cerebral cortex:
1015 implications for learning, memory, and mental illness. *Prog Brain Res* 102:227-243.

1016 Rizzoli SO, Betz WJ. 2004. The structural organization of the readily releasable pool of synaptic vesicles.
1017 *Science* 303:2037-2039.

1018 Rizzoli SO, Betz WJ. 2005. Synaptic vesicle pools. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6:57-69.

1019 Rizzuto R, Bernardi P, Pozzan T. 2000. Mitochondria as all-round players of the calcium game. *J Physiol*
1020 529:37-47.

1021 Rockland KS, DeFelipe J. 2018. Editorial: Why Have Cortical Layers? What Is the Function of Layering?
1022 Do Neurons in Cortex Integrate Information Across Different Layers? *Front Neuroanat* 12:78.

1023 Rodriguez-Moreno J, Rollenhagen A, Arlandis J, Santuy A, Merchán-Peréz A, DeFelipe J, Lübke JHR,
1024 Clascà F. 2018. Quantitative 3D Ultrastructure of Thalamocortical Synapses from the "Lemniscal"
1025 Ventral Posteromedial Nucleus in Mouse Barrel Cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 28:3159-3175.

1026 Rollenhagen A, Sätzler K, Rodriguez EP, Jonas P, Frotscher M, Lübke JHR. 2007. Structural determinants
1027 of transmission at large hippocampal mossy fiber synapses. *J Neurosci* 27:10434-10444.

1028 Rollenhagen A, Klook K, Suchmann C, Kasugai Y, Ferraguti F, Shigemoto R, Lübke JHR. 2012.
1029 Differential expression and distribution patterns of AMPA and NMDA receptors and their subunits at
1030 layer 4 and layer 5 synapses in the rat somatosensory cortex. 43.12. 2012 Neuroscience Meeting
1031 Planner. New Orleans, LA: Soc Neurosci. Online.

1032 Rollenhagen A, Klook K, Sätzler K, Qi G, Anstötz M, Feldmeyer D, Lübke JHR. 2015. Structural
1033 determinants underlying the high efficacy of synaptic transmission and plasticity at synaptic boutons in
1034 layer 4 of the adult rat 'barrel cortex'. *Brain Struct Funct* 220:3185-3209.

1035 Rollenhagen A, Ohana O, Sätzler K, Hilgetag CC, Kuhl D, Lübke JHR. 2018. Structural Properties of
1036 Synaptic Transmission and Temporal Dynamics at Excitatory Layer 5B Synapses in the Adult Rat
1037 Somatosensory Cortex. *Front Synaptic Neurosci* 10:24. DOI: 10.3389/fnsyn.2018.00024. eCollection
1038 2018.

1039 Rosenmund C, Stevens CF. 1996. Definition of the readily releasable pool of vesicles at hippocampal
1040 synapses. *Neuron* 16:1197-1207.

1041 Rowland KC, Irby NK, Spirou GA. 2000. Specialized synapse-associated structures within the calyx of
1042 Held. *J Neurosci* 20:9135-9144.

1043 Sätzler K, Söhl LF, Bollmann JH, Borst JG, Frotscher M, Sakmann B, Lübke JH. 2002. Three-
1044 dimensional reconstruction of a calyx of Held and its postsynaptic principal neuron in the medial
1045 nucleus of the trapezoid body. *J Neurosci* 22:10567-10579.

1046 Sakata S, Harris KD. 2009. Laminar structure of spontaneous and sensory-evoked population activity in
1047 auditory cortex. *Neuron* 64:404-418.

1048 Sanchez-Vives MV, McCormick DA. 2000. Cellular and network mechanisms of rhythmic recurrent
1049 activity in neocortex. *Nat Neurosci* 3:1027-1034.

1050 Saviane C, Silver RA. 2006. Fast vesicle reloading and a large pool sustain high bandwidth transmission
1051 at a central synapse. *Nature* 439:983-987.

1052 Scheff SW, Price DA. 1993. Synapse loss in the temporal lobe in Alzheimer's disease. *Ann Neurol*
1053 33:190-199.

1054 Schikorski T. 2014. Readily releasable vesicles recycle at the active zone of hippocampal synapses. *Proc*
1055 *Natl Acad Sci USA* 111:5415-5420.

1056 Schikorski T, Stevens CF. 2001. Morphological correlates of functionally defined synaptic vesicle
1057 populations. *Nat Neurosci* 4:391-395.

1058 Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, Preibisch S, Rueden C,
1059 Saalfeld S, Schmid B, Tinevez JY, White DJ, Hartenstein V, Eliceiri K, Tomancak P, Cardona A.
1060 2012. "Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis", *Nat Methods* 9:676-682.

1061 Schneggenburger R, Sakaba T, Neher E. 2002. Vesicle pools and short-term synaptic depression: lessons
1062 from a large synapse. *Trends Neurosci* 25:206-212.

1063 Schoch S, Gundelfinger ED. 2006. Molecular organization of the presynaptic active zone. *Cell Tissue Res*
1064 326:379-391.

1065 Seeman SC, Campagnola L, Davoudian PA, Hoggarth A, Hage TA, Bosma-Moody A, Baker CA, Lee JH,
1066 Mihalas S, Teeter C, Ko AL, Ojemann JG, Gwinn RP, Silbergeld DL, Cobbs C, Phillips J, Lein E,
1067 Murphy G, Koch C, Zeng H, Jarsky T. 2018. Sparse recurrent excitatory connectivity in the
1068 microcircuit of the adult mouse and human cortex. *Elife* 7. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.37349.

1069 Sen A, Cox RT. 2017. Fly Models of Human Diseases: *Drosophila* as a Model for Understanding Human
1070 Mitochondrial Mutations and Disease. *Curr Top Dev Biol* 121:1-27.

1071 Sherman SM. 2012. Thalamocortical interactions. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 22:575-579.

1072 Smith HL, Bourne JN, Cao G, Chirillo MA, Ostroff LE, Watson DJ, Harris KM. 2016. Mitochondrial
1073 support of persistent presynaptic vesicle mobilization with age-dependent synaptic growth after LTP.
1074 *Elife* 5. DOI: 10.7554/eLife.15275.

1075 Špaček J, Harris KM. 1997. Three-dimensional organization of smooth endoplasmic reticulum in
1076 hippocampal CA1 dendrites and dendritic spines of the immature and mature rat. *J Neurosci* 17:190-
1077 203.

1078 Spirou GA, Rowland KC, Berrebi AS. 1998. Ultrastructure of neurons and large synaptic terminals in the
1079 lateral nucleus of the trapezoid body of the cat. *J Comp Neurol* 398:257-272.

1080 Staiger JF, Flagmeyer I, Schubert D, Zilles K, Kötter R, Luhmann HJ. 2004. Functional diversity of layer
1081 IV spiny neurons in rat somatosensory cortex: quantitative morphology of electrophysiologically
1082 characterized and biocytin labeled cells. *Cereb Cortex* 14:690-701.

1083 Sterio DC. 1984. The unbiased estimation of number and sizes of arbitrary particles using the disector. *J*
1084 *Microsc* 134:127-136.

1085 Sugiura A, McLelland GL, Fon EA, McBride HM. 2014. A new pathway for mitochondrial quality
1086 control: mitochondrial-derived vesicles. *EMBO J* 33:2142-2156.

1087 Südhof TC. 2012. The presynaptic active zone. *Neuron* 75:11-25.

1088 Tai XY, Bernhardt B, Thom M, Thompson P, Baxendale S, Koeppe M, Bernasconi N. 2018. Review:
1089 Neurodegenerative processes in temporal lobe epilepsy with hippocampal sclerosis: Clinical,
1090 pathological and neuroimaging evidence. *Neuropathol Appl Neurobiol* 44:70-90.

1091 Tang Y, Nyengaard JR, De Groot DM, Gundersen HJ. 2001. Total regional and global number of
1092 synapses in the human brain neocortex. *Synapse* 41:258-273.

1093 Testa-Silva G, Verhoog MB, Linaro D, de Kock CP, Baayen JC, Meredith RM, De Zeeuw CI, Giugliano
1094 M, Mansvelter HD. 2014. High bandwidth synaptic communication and frequency tracking in human
1095 neocortex. *PLoS Biol* 12:e1002007.

1096 Tömböl T. 1984. Layer VI cells. In: Peters A, Jones EG, editors. *Cerebral Cortex*. Vol. 1. London (NY):
1097 Plenum Press; pp. 479–510.

1098 Umeda T, Ebihara T, Okabe S. 2005. Simultaneous observation of stably associated presynaptic
1099 varicosities and postsynaptic spines: morphological alterations of CA3-CA1 synapses in hippocampal
1100 slice cultures. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 28:264-274.

1101 Vaden JH, Banumurthy G, Gusarevich ES, Overstreet-Wadiche L, Wadiche JI. 2019. The readily-
1102 releasable pool dynamically regulates multivesicular release. *Elife*. 31 pii: e47434. doi:
1103 10.7554/eLife.47434.

1104 Varga C, Tamas G, Barzo P, Olah S, Somogyi P. 2015. Molecular and Electrophysiological
1105 Characterization of GABAergic Interneurons Expressing the Transcription Factor COUP-TFII in the
1106 Adult Human Temporal Cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 25:4430-4449.

1107 Ventura R, Harris KM. 1999. Three-dimensional relationships between hippocampal synapses and
1108 astrocytes. *J Neurosci* 19:6897-6906.

1109 Verstreken P, Ly CV, Venken KJ, Koh TW, Zhou Y, Bellen HJ. 2005. Synaptic mitochondria are critical
1110 for mobilization of reserve pool vesicles at *Drosophila* neuromuscular junctions. *Neuron* 47:365-378.

1111 Vogt BA. 2009. *Cingulate neurobiology and disease*. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.

1112 von Economo C, Koskinas GN. 1925. *Die Cytoarchitektonik der Hirnrinde des erwachsenen Menschen*.
1113 Wien und Berlin, J. Springer.

1114 von Gersdorff H, Borst JG. 2002. Short-term plasticity at the calyx of Held. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 3:53-56.

1115 Watanabe S, Rost BR, Camacho-Perez M, Davis MW, Sohl-Kielczynski B, Rosenmund C, Jorgensen EM.
1116 2013. Ultrafast endocytosis at mouse hippocampal synapses. *Nature* 504:242-247.

1117 Watanabe S, Trimbuch T, Camacho-Perez M, Rost BR, Brokowski B, Sohl-Kielczynski B, Felies A,
1118 Davis MW, Rosenmund C, Jorgensen EM. 2014. Clathrin regenerates synaptic vesicles from
1119 endosomes. *Nature* 515:228-233.

1120 Wilhelm BG, Mandad S, Truckenbrodt S, Krohnert K, Schafer C, Rammner B, Koo SJ, Classen GA, Krauss
1121 M, Haucke V, Urlaub H, Rizzoli SO. 2014. Composition of isolated synaptic boutons reveals the
1122 amounts of vesicle trafficking proteins. *Science* 344:1023-1028.

1123 Wimmer VC, Horstmann H, Groh A, Kuner T. 2006. Donut-like topology of synaptic vesicles with a
1124 central cluster of mitochondria wrapped into membrane protrusions: a novel structure-function module
1125 of the adult calyx of Held. *J Neurosci* 26:109-116.

1126 Xu-Friedman MA, Harris KM, Regehr WG. 2001. Three-dimensional comparison of ultrastructural
1127 characteristics at depressing and facilitating synapses onto cerebellar Purkinje cells. *J Neurosci*
1128 21:6666-6672.

1129 Xu-Friedman MA, Regehr WG. 2003. Ultrastructural contributions to desensitization at cerebellar mossy
1130 fiber to granule cell synapses. *J Neurosci* 23:2182-2192.

1131 Xu-Friedman MA, Regehr WG. 2004. Structural contributions to short-term synaptic plasticity. *Physiol*
1132 *Rev* 84:69-85.

1133 Yakoubi R, Rollenhagen A, von Lehe M, Shao Y, Sätzler K, Lübke JHR. 2019. Quantitative three-
1134 dimensional reconstructions of excitatory synaptic boutons in layer 5 of the adult human temporal lobe
1135 neocortex: A fine-scale electron microscopic analysis. *Cereb Cortex*. 29:2797-2814

1136 Zhang Z, Wu Y, Wang Z, Dunning FM, Rehfuss J, Ramanan D, Chapman ER, Jackson MB. 2011.
1137 Release mode of large and small dense-core vesicles specified by different synaptotagmin isoforms in
1138 PC12 cells. *Mol Biol Cell* 22:2324-2336.

1139 Zhao S, Studer D, Chai X, Graber W, Brose N, Nestel S, Young C, Rodriguez EP, Saetzler K, Frotscher
1140 M. 2012. Structural plasticity of hippocampal mossy fiber synapses as revealed by high-pressure
1141 freezing. *J Comp Neurol* 520:2340-2351.

1142 Zhao S, Studer D, Chai X, Graber W, Brose N, Nestel S, Young C, Rodriguez EP, Saetzler K, Frotscher
1143 M. 2012. Structural plasticity of spines at giant mossy fiber synapses. *Front Neural Circuits* 6:103.

1144 Zhou YD, Fuster JM. 1996. Mnemonic neuronal activity in somatosensory cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
1145 *USA* 93:10533-10537.

1146 Zilles K, Bacha-Trams M, Palomero-Gallagher N, Amunts K, Friederici AD. 2015. Common molecular
1147 basis of the sentence comprehension network revealed by neurotransmitter receptor fingerprints.
1148 *Cortex* 63:79-89.

1149 Zilles K, Palomero-Gallagher N. 2017. Multiple Transmitter Receptors in Regions and Layers of the
1150 Human Cerebral Cortex. *Front Neuroanat* 11:78. DOI: 10.3389/fnana.2017.00078. eCollection 2017.
1151
1152

1153

1154

1155

1156

1157

1158 **Figure legends**

1159 **Figure 1: The cytoarchitecture of the human TLN**

1160 **A**, Low power light micrograph of a methylene blue stained semithin section through the gyrus temporalis
1161 inferior (baso-lateral region) in humans, showing the typical six-layered organization of a granular
1162 neocortex. Dashed line indicates the grey/white matter border. **B**, High power light micrograph through
1163 the same section shown in **A**. Note the different density of neurons in L2 and L3. Red frame: region of
1164 interest in L4. **C**, High power light micrograph through layers 2 and 3. **D**, Cluster-like arrangement of
1165 neurons in L4. Note the large L5 pyramidal cell marked by asterisk. **E**, Neuronal organization of L6b
1166 containing several inverted pyramidal cells marked by asterisks. Scale bars **A-E** 100 μm . **F**, **G**, Two
1167 examples of GAP-junctions between dendrites (de1, de2) (red frame in **F**) and **G** at higher magnification.
1168 Scale bars 0.5 μm in **F**, 0.2 μm in **G**.

1169

1170 **Child figure 1: Golgi-Cox impregnation of the TLN**

1171 **A**, Overview through the six layers of the TLN. Scale bar 500 μm . **B**, High power micrograph of the
1172 framed area in **A**. Asterisks indicate spiny stellate cells and star pyramidal neurons characteristic of L4.
1173 Scale bar 100 μm . Inset: Representative example of a spiny stellate cell in L4.

1174

1175 **Figure 2: Innervation patterns of excitatory L4 SBs and target specificity**

1176 **A**, Dense innervation of *endterminal* SBs (b1-b8; transparent yellow) terminating on a dendrite (de;
1177 transparent blue) of ~ 5 μm length. Note the presence of a cluster of unmyelinated axons (asterisks)
1178 isolating SB b5 from SB b6. Scale bar 1 μm . **B**, *En passant* SB (b) innervating a dendritic shaft (sh) and a
1179 spine (sp) identified by a spine apparatus (framed area). **C**, Large *endterminal* SB (b) innervating two

1180 small spines (sp1, sp2). Scale bars in **B** and **C** 0.5 μm . Note the presence of multivesicular bodies (mvb) in
1181 **A** and **B**. In all images the AZs are marked by red arrowheads. In **B** and **C** same color code as in **A**.

1182
1183
1184

1185 **Figure 3: Excitatory L4 SBs innervate different types of spines**

1186 **A**, Two SBs (b1, b2; yellow contour) terminating on two stubby spines (sp1, sp2; blue contour). Scale bar
1187 0.5 μm . **B**, SB (b; yellow contour) on the head of a large mushroom spine (sp; blue contour). Note the
1188 presence of two mitochondria occupying a large fraction of the total volume of the SB. Here, SVs were
1189 found in closer proximity to the PreAZ. Scale bar 0.25 μm . **C**, SB (b; yellow contour) terminating on the
1190 head of an elongated spine (sp; blue contour) emerging from a relatively large dendritic segment (de; blue
1191 contour) containing a multivesicular body (mvb). Scale bar 0.5 μm . Note the presence of a spine apparatus
1192 (framed area) in **A** and **B**. All AZs are highlighted in transparent red.

1193

1194 **Figure 4: 3D-volume reconstructions showing the high variability in the size of the total pool of SVs**

1195 **A**, 3D-volume reconstruction of a SB (yellow outline) innervating a dendritic shaft (sh; blue) and two
1196 spines (sp1, sp2; blue). Note the relatively large total pool of SVs (green dots); the size of the PreAZs
1197 (red) and the mitochondria (white) always associated to the pool of the SVs. **B-D**, 3D-volume
1198 reconstructions of individual total pools of SVs (green dots) at either non-perforated (**B**, **C**) or perforated
1199 (**D**) AZs. Large DCVs (magenta) were frequently observed. **E**, Example of a mitochondrial-derived
1200 vesicle (framed area) in the process of separation from the mitochondrion. Scale bar 0.1 μm .

1201

1202 **Figure 5: Correlations between various structural parameters of L4 SBs**

1203 **A**, The surface area vs. the volume of the SBs. **B**, The volume of SBs vs. the volume of mitochondria. **C**,
1204 The surface area of SBs vs. the surface area of the PreAZs. **D**, The surface area of PSDs vs. the surface
1205 area of the PreAZs. **E**, The surface area of SBs vs. the total pool of SVs. **F**, The surface area of the PreAZs
1206 vs. the total pool of SVs. **G**, The volume of SBs vs. the total pool of SVs. **H**, The surface area of the

1207 PreAZs vs. p10 nm (blue dots) and p20 nm (red dots) RRP, respectively. *Data points were fitted by
1208 linear regression and the R^2 is given for each correlation.

1209

1210

1211

1212 **Figure 6: Correlations between various structural parameters of L4 SBs and SVs**

1213 **A**, The total pool of SVs vs. the RRP at p10 nm. **B**, The total pool of SVs vs. the RRP at p20 nm. **C**, The
1214 total pool of SVs vs. the RP at p60 nm. **D**, The total pool of SVs vs. the RP at p100 nm. **E**, The total pool
1215 of SVs vs. the RP at p200 nm. **F**, The total pool of SVs vs. the resting pool at p500 nm.

1216

1217 **Figure 7: EM tomography of L4 SBs in the TLN**

1218 **A**, Example of a SB (sb) terminating on a dendritic shaft (sh) with a large, perforated AZ marked by
1219 arrowheads. Asterisks indicate ‘docked’ SVs. The two frames point to the MDVs and the circle, the
1220 clathrin-coated pit. Scale bar 0.25 μm . **B**, Axo-spinous synapse (sb, sp) with a large non-perforated AZ
1221 (arrowheads) and an omega-shaped body (asterisk). Scale bar 0.25 μm . **C, D, E, F**, Four examples of
1222 high-power images of AZs where ‘docked’ SVs or omega-shaped bodies were marked by asterisks. Scale
1223 bar 0.1 μm .

1224

1225 **Figure 8: CA of PreAZs/PSDs surface area and SVs pools in excitatory L4 SBs in the human TLN**

1226 **A**, Bar histogram showing the PCs of all structural parameters analyzed. **B, C**, Two dendrograms
1227 generated from the CA, identifying two groups (clusters) of L4 SBs according to the PreAZs/PSDs surface
1228 area (**B**) and the size of SV pools (**C**). The Euclidian height indicates the difference or dissimilarities
1229 between the clusters.

1230

1231 **Figure 9: Astrocytic interactions**

1232 **A**, Fine astrocytic process (as) reaching as far as the synaptic cleft at the synaptic apposition zone between
1233 a spine (sp) and a SB (b). **B, C**, Direct synaptic contact established between an astrocytic finger (as) with a

1234 SB (b) in **B**, and a putative dendrite (de) in **C**. Note the presence of SVs in the dendrite in **C**. Scale bars in
1235 **A-C** 0.5 μm . In all images the AZs are indicated by red arrowheads in **A-C**.

1236
1237 **Figure 10: Identification of the region of interest in the human TLN**
1238 **A**, Lateral view of the human right cerebral hemisphere. The areas highlighted in transparent green
1239 represent the TL. The depth of the sulci are colored in red. The arrowheads indicate the region of interest
1240 (ROI) in the inferior temporal gyrus. **B**, Midsagittal and oblique view of the human right cerebral
1241 hemisphere. Color code as in **A**. Brown represents the occipital lobe and purple the parahippocampal
1242 region respectively. The red circle indicates the ROI. Figures **A** and **B** were retrieved and modified from
1243 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Inferior_temporal_gyrus#/media/File:TempCaps.png under the following
1244 license: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Creative_Commons. **C**, Postoperative fMRI after the corresponding
1245 epilepsy surgery (selective amygdalohippocampectomy). The sampling site of the biopsy material is
1246 circled in red, representing the region between the inferior temporal gyrus and the fusiform gyrus.
1247 Abbreviations: FG: fusiform gyrus, Hippo: hippocampus, ITG: inferior temporal gyrus, LV: lateral
1248 ventricle, MTG: middle temporal gyrus, STG: superior temporal gyrus.

1249
1250 **Figure 11: Boxplots of various structural parameters**
1251 Data distributions for each patient are indicated by the medians (horizontal bars), IQRs (framed areas),
1252 minimum and maximum (vertical lines) for the distribution of: **A**, Surface area of SBs; **B**, Volume of SBs;
1253 **C**, PreAZ surface area; **D**, PSD surface area; **E**, Volume of mitochondria; **F**, total pool of SVs. Note that
1254 most structural parameters are not significantly different.

1255
1256 **Video legends**
1257 **Video 1: EM tomography of L4 SBs in the human TLN**
1258 Two SBs terminating on dendritic spines, both with a large non-perforated AZ occupying half of the pre-
1259 and postsynaptic apposition zone with a relatively large pool of SVs, one of which is clustered around the

1260 AZ (lower right corner). Note, also the SB establishing a synaptic contact with a dendritic shaft (upper left
1261 corner). All three SBs contain a single but large mitochondrion. Scale bar 0.25 μm .

1262

1263 **Video 2: FIB-SEM sequential video through L4 of the human TLN**

1264 Note the dynamic changes in the shape and size of dendritic and synaptic structures through the z-stack
1265 (250 single images)

1266

1267 **Source data titles**

1268 Source data 1: original data for synaptic density measurements

1269 Source data 2: original data of all synaptic parameters analyzed




1270



1271 **Source code titles**




1272 Source code 1: Matlab code for CA of all synaptic parameters investigated.




1273 Source code 2: Matlab code for CA of PreAZs and PSDs.

1274 Source code 3: Matlab code for CA of pools of SVs.











A




B




Number of SVs: 368

C



Number of SVs: 1579









D














Number of SVs: 5053

E




A**B****C****D****E****F****G****H**

A**B****C****D****E****F**




A


Percentage of variance explained
by each PC




**B**



HCA of PreAZs/PSDs surface area

**C**


HCA of SVs pools












C



A**B****C****D****E****F**