

C" # \$%&'()*+,%&.)01%&' 23")4567)

**Perfusion + ei, - .e/ 01 2, in, usin, 3 o1 4ine/ 5 r2/ ien.678in 9: - o 9PQ, <=r2in >u1 our
? 88@2.ions in AB4ri/ C D#P9>**

Running title: Combined gradient/spin echo EPIK

N. Jon Shah^{!*}, PhD; Nuno André da Silva[!], MSc; and Seong Dae Yun[!], PhD

[!]Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine 4, Medical Imaging Physics, and
Institute of Neuroscience 11, Molecular Neuroscience and Neuroimaging,
Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany

^{\$}Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, JARA,
RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

[%]Monash Biomedical Imaging, School of Psychological Sciences,
Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia

Underlined authors contributed equally to this work.

*Corresponding Author:

Professor N. Jon Shah
Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine - 4
Medical Imaging Physics
Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH
52425 Jülich
Germany

Tel: +49 2461 61 6836

Fax: +49 2461 61 8294

Email: n.j.shah@fz-juelich.de

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123")4567)
): FnoG@ , e1 en.s

We thank Dr. Christian Fills, Dr. Gabriele Stoffels, dutz Tellmann, Silke Frensch, Suzanne Schaden, Kornelia Frey for assistance with the MR-PET study. We also thank Dr. diliana Caldeira for fruitful discussions. Claire Rick is thanked for her editorial input and proofreading of the manuscript.

NJS is funded in part by the Helmholtz Alliance ICAMED - Imaging and Curing Environmental Metabolic Diseases, through the Initiative and Network Fund of the Helmholtz Association.

None of the authors have any actual or potential conflicts of interest.

C" # \$%& '()*+ (,%&-.))01%&' 23")4567)
? 4s.r2: .

Advanced perfusion-weighted imaging (PWI) methods that combine gradient echo (GE) and spin echo (SE) data are important tools for the study of brain tumours. In PWI, single-shot, EPI-based methods have been widely used due to their relatively high imaging speed. However, when used with increasing spatial resolution, single-shot EPI methods often show limitations in whole-brain coverage for multi-contrast applications. To overcome this limitation, this work employs a new version of EPI with keyhole (EPIK) to provide five echoes: two with GEs, two with mixed GESE and one with SE; the sequence is termed gGESE-EPIK. The performance of GESE-EPIK is evaluated against its nearest relative, EPI, in terms of the temporal signal-to-noise ratio (tSNR). Here, data from brain tumour patients were acquired using a hybrid 3T MR-BrainPET scanner.

GESE-EPIK resulted in reduced susceptibility artefacts, shorter TEs for the five echoes and increased brain coverage when compared to EPI. Moreover, compared to EPI, EPIK achieved a comparable tSNR for the first and second echoes and significantly higher tSNR for other echoes.

A new method to obtain multi-echo GE and SE data with shorter TEs and increased brain coverage is demonstrated. As proposed here, the workflow can be shortened and the integration of multimodal clinical MR-PET studies can be facilitated.

Keywords: MR-PET; multi-contrast; EPIK; image distortion; gradient echo / spin echo

Dynamic magnetic resonance imaging using a contrast agent is a methodology often used to evaluate perfusion in tumours or stroke and to study blood-brain-barrier disruption in tumours, using dynamic susceptibility contrast (DSC) and dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE) methods. In DSC, the changes in T_2^*/T_2 are tracked and related to cerebral blood flow (CBF) and volume (CBV), whilst in DCE the changes in T_1 are monitored and related to permeability and the extravascular-extracellular space (EES), i.e. to the volume transfer constant K^{tr}_{ep} and the ESS volume fraction v_e . It has been shown that parametric images extracted from both DSC and DCE are relevant for the characterisation of tumours (Artzi et al., 2015). However, current clinical methodology used to acquire these parametric images is based on two independent pulse sequences i.e. one for DSC and one for DCE (Bergamino et al., 2014; Shiroishi et al., 2015; Kstergaard, 2015; Yankeelov & Gore, 2019). These sequences are single-shot, gradient-echo (GE) EPI and spoiled GE, respectively. Importantly for this application, images acquired with the two sequences need to be coregistered and herein lies two of the biggest confounds: geometric distortions and signal dropout are sequence dependent. The use of single-shot pulse sequences for DSC GE-EPI suffers from errors due to contrast agent leakage. This is often addressed with a pre-load injection prior to the actual measurement, which requires increased measurement time and an additional contrast agent injection (Boxerman, Schmainda & Weisskoff, 2016; Paulson & Schmainda, 2018; Boxerman et al., 2012; Knutsson et al., 2014). This approach reduces uncertainties but does not completely eliminate them (Marques, Ward & Schmainda, 2015). Conversely, T_1 -weighted imaging using spoiled GE is used for DCE, which requires balancing a trade-off between temporal resolution and spatial resolution /brain coverage (Bergamino et al., 2014).

In order to overcome the need for two independent sequences and to address some of the associated drawbacks, methods based on double-echo GE-EPI sequences have been proposed (Vonken et al., 1999). By combining both echoes, the T_1 -shortening resulting from contrast agent extravasation can be corrected. This approach is potentially of great importance for avoiding a pre-

C" # \$%&'()*+(-%&'.)/0123'4567)
)

bolus injection, thereby reducing the amount of injected gadolinium (Muarles, Ward & Schmainda, 2005; Vonken et al., 1999f, as well as reducing measurement times. Moreover, the two echoes can also be combined to extrapolate the signal at zero echo time and consequently obtain a DCE time series (Vonken et al., 1999f. The work presented in Muarles et al., 2012f compared the DCE kinetic parameters obtained from double-echo DSC and independent DCE measurement using small animals and found good agreement between the two measurements. Furthermore, the use of a double-echo sequence also showed good agreement in permeability and perfusion measurements in phantom studies (Kim et al., 2014f.

A more thorough and versatile investigation of tumours, including obtaining information about the vasculature, can be carried out by combining GE and spin echo (SE) signals. For this purpose, a method using a refocusing pulse after the first two gradient echoes to obtain an additional image including spin-echo contrast has been proposed (Schmiedeskamp et al., 2012f. The method is the so-called gradient-echo, spin-echo (GESE) DSC method or spin and gradient-echo (SAGE) (Skinner et al, 2014f. GESE DSC has been successfully demonstrated in humans in different organs (Skinner et al., 2014f and small animals (Stokes, Skinner & Muarles, 2014f. Despite the lower signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of the SE when compared to the GE in this sequence, SE imaging is more sensitive to capillary-sized vascular structures than GE imaging because the GE is sensitive to all vessel sizes (Dennie et al., 1998f. Using vessel size imaging (VSI) it has been shown that information can be derived from simultaneously acquired GE and SE data (Donahue et al., 2011; Tropres et al., 2011; Kiselev et al., 2015f, where the calculated vessel sizes have been previously validated with histology (Lemasson et al., 2013; Kellner et al., 2015f. Nevertheless, similar to the GE contrast, it is also necessary to correct T_1 contrast agent leakage effects in SE imaging in order to avoid quantification errors (Schmiedeskamp et al., 2012; Emblem et al., 2013; Stokes & Muarles, 2015f.

The recently proposed multi-echo approaches using GE and SE information to evaluate tumours have clinical potential due to the fact that they inherently provide information on perfusion, permeability and vessel architecture (Schmiedeskamp et al., 2012f. However, this approach is also

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123' 4567)

hindered by limitations such as susceptibility artefacts and geometric distortions resulting from the single-shot EPI readout. In particular, these limitation can significantly hamper the quantification of perfusion measures tumours located near the air cavities, thereby reducing diagnostic applicability. Additionally, with multi-echo approaches employing a higher number of echoes, a trade-off between temporal resolution, brain coverage and spatial resolution needs to be taken into consideration. Moreover, in previous works Schmiedeskamp et al., 2j 12; Stokes & Mueller, 2j 15f, the arterial input function (AIF) was defined manually which is a time consuming procedure and is prone to inter-user variability. Here, an automatic method to obtain an AIF, exploiting multi-echo information, based on thresholds of quantity-of-merit to define the best AIF has been used (Newton et al., 2j 16f in order to reduced unwanted variance.

The work presented here demonstrates a new, alternative method for GESE-DSC using EPI with keyhole (EPIK). Single-contrast, GE EPIK (Shah & Nilles, 2j j 4bf had been previously validated at both 1.5T (Naitsev, Nilles & Shah, 2j j 1f and 3T (Yun et al., 2j 13; Yun & Shah, 2j 10f. Moreover, dual-contrast EPIK has also been demonstrated in a proof-of-concept to study dynamic contrast-enhanced perfusion with application to tumours (Naitsev et al., 2j j 5f. When compared to single-shot EPI, EPIK has been proven to provide a higher temporal resolution, a sharper PSF and enhanced robustness against susceptibility distortions and signal dropout, while maintaining the capability to track dynamic signal changes in fMRI or perfusion MRI. By virtue of the fact that EPIK is a multi-shot technique, it achieves these apparently contradictory goals by employing a keyhole region which is acquired in each shot and a sparse region which under-samples k-space in any given shot. A higher bandwidth in the phase-encode direction is thus ensured leading to robustness against signal dropout as well as an increase in the temporal resolution. Dynamic processes can be followed with high fidelity by virtue of a sliding window which only uses only lines acquired in the last n shots, for example, 3 as employed here.

The original GE-EPIK scheme has been extended to a GESE version to provide five echoes: two with GEs, two with mixed GESE and one with SE i.e. GESE-EPIKf. GESE-EPIK can be

=>

)

C" # \$%&'()*+(-%&.)012' 23")4567)

accelerated using parallel imaging and partial Fourier techniques to achieve an even higher spatial resolution and better brain coverage. Furthermore, the present work also employs an automated analysis pipeline using clustering of multi-echo data to define an AIF. This approach was tested in a cohort of brain tumours patients in a hybrid MR-PET study. The automated analysis pipeline enabled parametric images to be obtained with minimal user interaction, thus improving reproducibility and reducing workload. These attributes are highly desirable features in clinical applications. The automated pipeline, described briefly in the Appendix, includes the processing of PET data, which indicates its applicability for any type of DSC-MRI performed in the hybrid MR-PET system.

C" # \$%&'()*+,%&-'0123)4567)
)
 C 2.eri2@2n/ C e.- o/ s

Acc# \$%&'()*+,-./0

The EPIK scheme combines a more frequent sampling of the central portion of k-space, often termed the **keyhole**, with a continuous, interleaved update of high spatial-frequency information along with a sliding window. Figure 1a shows the schematic representation of the k-space trajectory illustrative for a three-shot EPIK sequence. Each measurement scans the central k-space region **keyhole** completely with $p_k = 1/F_k V$, whilst scanning the peripheral k-space regions **keyhole** sparsely with $p_k = 3/F_k V$. Using the notation M_i/f , where M_i labels shots and i is an index for k-space, the sequence of measurements for peripheral k-space runs as follows: $M_1/f, M_2/f, M_3/f, M_4/f, M_5/f, \dots$. That is, the first line numbers to be sampled in the 1st, 2nd and 3rd scans are 1, 2 and 3, respectively. The line number becomes 1 again at the 4th scan. The missing k-space lines, for any given k-space, **see** Fig. 1a can be completed by sharing the peripheral data from three consecutive scans. Here, the keyhole region was set as 1/4 of the entire k-space. In this way, the total number of phase encoding lines required in EPIK is 1/2 **i.e.** $1/4 + 3/4 \times 3/4$ of that for an otherwise comparable EPI sequence. When a fourth scan is acquired, the data from the first scan are discarded and thus the periphery is updated by the application of this sliding window. This **discard and update** feature of EPIK is extremely important as it ensures that new data are introduced on a short timescale, thereby reducing temporal correlations in the images very significantly; as long as the update is faster than the dynamic changes under investigation, the changes can be tracked with high fidelity.

The original EPIK scheme was further developed here to offer five echoes **GESE-EPIK** as shown in Fig. 1b. A 90° pulse was applied and two gradient echoes TE_1/TE_2 were acquired, followed by a refocusing 180° RF pulse. Three more echoes were then acquired $TE_3/TE_4/TE_5$, whereby the third and fourth are mixed GESE echoes and the last echo is an SE. The GESE-EPIK scheme was accelerated by partial Fourier $6/8$ and parallel imaging techniques R_2 . In this way, the total number of phase encoding lines is reduced to 3/16 of a comparable, full k-space EPI

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./012' 23")4567)

sequence. For this work, the above configuration was deployed on a 3T MR-BrainPET ~~Siemens~~ Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany scanner with an 8-channel phased-array, receive coil from the manufacturer; a 16-rung birdcage coil was used for RF transmission.

/1 &2#)0#345%6c' 734

For the correction of even-odd line phase differences, three non-phase-encoded navigator echoes were acquired in GESE-EPIK. To ensure that the phase increases smoothly when sharing data from the sparse regions, echo time shifting ~~ETS~~f was integrated in the accelerated GESE-EPIK acquisition ~~Butts et al., 1994~~f. The final reconstructed images for accelerated GESE-EPIK were obtained by first performing line-sharing for the sparse region of EPIK, then applying GRAPPA reconstruction ~~Schmiedeskamp et al., 2j 12; Griswold et al., 2j j 2f~~ to the under-sampled k-space lines, and lastly, by computing the partial-Fourier-missing k-space lines with the projection onto a convex sets ~~Pk CS~~f algorithm ~~Haacke, dindskogi l din, 1969~~f.

)

8#1 93%8\$, 74&\$'3-: 375#14&\$55)

In order to evaluate the temporal SNR ~~aSNR~~f of GESE-EPIK, a measurement with 1j j repetitions was acquired with a healthy volunteer using GESE-EPIK and GESE-EPI ~~without~~ administration of contrast agentf. For this measurement, the in-plane resolution of both sequences was fixed to 2.5j x 2.5j mm[&] matrix size: 96x96f and the slice thickness was 3 mm. A TR of 15j j ms was used and the minimum echo time possible in each sequence was employed ~~EPIK~~: TE_l/TE_&/TE₀/TE₁/TE₂ q 11/20/5j /66/8j ms; EPI: TE_l/TE_&/TE₀/TE₁/TE₂ q 12/35/65/88/1j 9 msf. The same acceleration factors i e.g. two-fold parallel imaging and a partial Fourier of 6/8 - were used in both EPIK and EPI. It is noted here that any acceleration technique that is EPI-compatible is also EPIK-compatible therefore the choice of the above parameters is exemplary only. Further, the maximum possible number of slices achievable by each imaging sequence was employed; this was 14 for EPIK and 1j for EPI representing a 4j t increased coverage for EPIK for the same TR. The

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123")4567)

tSNR maps for each measurement were computed by calculating the ratio of the signal average $\bar{S}$ to the signal standard deviation σ per voxel over time i.e. $tSNR = \bar{S}/\sigma$. The tSNR ratios for EPIK and EPI were calculated in order to compare the performance of the two sequences.

<= /)&c>677345

Perfusion weighted images were acquired using the GESE-EPIK and GESE-EPI as described above. The employed imaging parameters were also the same as above. For each subject, Gadoteric acid Dotarem Guerbetf was injected with a dose of 0.1 mmol/kg of body weight and 12 volumes were acquired in order to track dynamic signal changes induced by the contrast agent for each sequence; 0.1 mmol/kg of contrast agent was injected prior to GESE-EPIK and prior to GESE-EPI. Injection was performed automatically with a power injector Injektron 82 MRT Medtron AGf at a flow rate of 5 ml/s . The clinical protocol consisted of T_1 - and T_2 -weighted anatomical imaging pre-contrast , perfusion weighted imaging using both sequences post-contrast and T_1 -weighted imaging post-contrast . During each injection, GESE-EPIK and GESE-EPI data were acquired whereby the EPIK measurements preceded the EPI measurements.

, 6?@'5

For sequence comparison, data were acquired from a young healthy volunteer only; no contrast agent was administered. For the clinical study, eight patients with brain tumours participated. For the healthy volunteer and the patients, following a complete description of the study, written informed consent was obtained prior to scanning. The local institutional review board $\text{IRB: RWTH Aachen University, Germany}$ approved the study protocols, screening questionnaires and consent forms.

. 35-9%3c#5542)&4()71 &2#)&4&\$ 55)

Signal () $n^+, -i$ n

C" # \$%&'()*+,%&-.)/012' 23")4567)

In order to obtain the $pR_{\&}^*$ signal time courses from GESE-EPIK, the first two echoes were combined to account for T_2 effects in accordance with previous work (Vonken et al., 1999f):

$$pR_{\&}^* \approx \frac{1}{TE_2} \log \left(\frac{S_{34\&}^5}{S_{34\&}^{eff}} - \log \frac{S_{34\&}^5}{S_{34\&}^{eff}} \right),$$

where $S_{34\&}^5$ and $S_{34\&}^5$ are the baseline signals and $S_{34\&}^{eff}$ and $S_{34\&}^{eff}$ are the time series of the first and second echoes, respectively. Furthermore, the first two echoes can be also combined to obtain a T_2 -weighted signal by extrapolating the signal to TE_{eff} (Vonken et al., 1999; Mueller et al., 2012f):

$$S_{345\&} \approx S_{34\&}^{eff} \left(\frac{S_{34\&}^{eff}}{S_{34\&}^{eff}} \right)^{TE_{eff}/TE_2}.$$

In the case of $pR_{\&}$, T_2 should be also considered (Schmiedeskamp et al., 2012; Stokes I Mueller, 2015f). In this work, due to its simplicity and fast computation, the analytical solution proposed in (Stokes I Mueller, 2015f) was employed. Thus, to calculate $pR_{\&}$, the extrapolated signal to TE_{eff} was used:

$$pR_{\&} \approx \frac{1}{TE_2} \log \left(\frac{S_{342\&}^5}{S_{342\&}^{eff}} - \log \frac{S_{345\&}^5}{S_{345\&}^{eff}} \right).$$

., /+, ial 0n12/ 32n (li) n 4-/i5 ali) n

To obtain parametric images, an arterial input function (AIF) is required (Calamante, 2013f). To achieve this, the steps and cluster algorithm proposed by Yin et al., (2015f) was adapted to the multi-contrast approach and applied to the T_2 -insensitive $pR_{\&}^*$ data (Bleeker et al., 2011; Murase et al., 2011; Newton et al., 2016; Shi et al., 2016f). A detailed description of how the AIF was estimated is described in the Appendix.

6a, a5 +/, i(5 ag+ 7 al(2lali) n

Seven parametric images were computed from the GESE-EPIK data. After defining the required AIF, CBV was computed for both $pR_{\&}^*$ and $pR_{\&}$ corrected for leakage. CBF was calculated using the maximum of the tissue impulse response function from the circular singular value decomposition (SVD) for each $pR_{\&}^*$ and $pR_{\&}$. Mean transit time (MTT) was derived as the ratio between the CBF and CBV (Willats I Calamante, 2013f). Vessel size weighted images were obtained

)

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123")4567)

based on the ratio between the integrals of $pR_{\&^*}$ and $pR_{\&}$ [Schmiedeskamp et al., 2j 12; Kiselev et al., 2j j 5f. All data analysis was performed using MATLAB [MathWorks, Natick, MA, USf.

. +8)&c>677734

Simultaneously with the MR measurements, a ^{18}F -FET PET scan was carried out in the hybrid instrument. To enable this, an intravenous injection of 3MBq of ^{18}F -FET/kg of body weight was injected [Filss et al., 2j 14f. PET data were reconstructed using kP-k SEM3D software with 4 subsets and 32 iterations, and the images were normalised and corrected for attenuation, scatter, dead time and randoms. The reconstructed images have an isotropic voxel size of 1.25 mm⁰ with a matrix size of 256x256x153. Note that, the BrainPET is a head only high-resolution PET insert with an isotropic centre resolution of 3 mm [Herzog et al., 2j 11f.

861 36% (# \$ % & ' 734)

^{18}F -FET PET was used as clinical reference to delineate the tumour volume-of-interest [V_k I_f in the summed image from 2j to 4j min p.i. by a 3D auto-contouring process using a tumour-to-brain ratio [TBR_f of xq 1.6 [Pauleit et al., 2j j 5f. Based on the tumour V_k I_f, region analysis was performed on the PWI data.

C" # \$%&'()*+(-%&.)01%&' 23")4567)
)
Desu@)

8#1 93%&\$, : =)&4&\$ 55

Figure 2 shows the computed tSNR maps from a healthy subject. A representative slice for both EPI and EPIK is shown for each of the five echo times. For the later echoes, the increased tSNR of EPIK over EPI is more evident due to higher signal levels resulting from the shorter echo times inherent in the EPIK acquisition scheme. In addition, EPIK facilitated acquisition of 4 slices more than EPI for the same TR.

Figure 3 shows the ratio of tSNR between EPIK and EPI for the same slice. For the first echo (see the leftmost figure), the tSNR of EPIK is slightly reduced when compared to EPI, presenting whole brain tSNR ratio histogram at j .8; note that the TE for EPIK/EPI was 11/12 ms. For the second echo, the tSNR of EPIK is also slightly reduced compared to that of EPI, with the histogram peak presenting at j .85. For the other later echoes, the tSNRs of EPIK are significantly higher than those obtained with EPI, with a histogram peak of 1.26 for the last echo; in some regions of the brain the tSNR of EPIK is three times higher than that of EPI, which is a direct consequence of the use of shorter TEs in EPIK. Furthermore, from the first and second echo results, it was observed that the tSNRs of EPIK were much higher than those of EPI, particularly around the frontal regions; for other brain regions, the tSNRs were similar for both methods. This result underscores the fact that signal dropout caused by the susceptibility differences in the frontal regions was much less in EPIK than in EPI.

=#c345%6c'#()/1 &2#5)&4()A34'&5)8%&cB72

Figure 4 af shows the reconstructed images for all five echoes from EPIK at baseline (top row) and at peak contrast passage (bottom row). Figure 4 bf, shows the time course of the signal intensity depicting the arrival of the contrast agent in a single, representative grey matter voxel. For all echo times it was possible to clearly track the dynamic signal changes induced by the contrast agent, even though a higher signal drop was found in earlier echoes when compared to the later echoes. These results are in agreement with the results obtained with the standard EPI technique

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123")4567)

proposed by Schmiedeskamp et al, 2012f. However, the EPIK data presented here have a higher spatial resolution, significantly better brain coverage, fewer susceptibility artefacts and higher signal-to-noise ratios due to the shorter echo times that are achievable with EPIK. A specific slice location was chosen to best demonstrate the reduced susceptibility artefacts in EPIK. Figure 5 depicts the reconstructed slice from EPI and EPIK. A substantial reduction of geometric distortions i.e. signal drop-out due to susceptibility differences can be observed in the EPIK acquisition and is marked by the white arrows.

< 6\$7c34'05)(& &

By using a combination of the echoes, it is possible to obtain several contrasts based on T_2^* - and T_2 -weighted curves corrected for the T_1 effect. Figure 6 left presents the T_2^* -weighted time series for the first echo, where it can be observed that the leakage effect due to T_1 exists; this effect is mitigated following the correction procedure as described above. The same effect is observed in the T_2 -weighted time series but with a lower amplitude. Based on the combination of the first two echoes, DCE contrast can be obtained by interpolating to zero echo time. Figure 6 right presents the zero echo time interpolated signal enhancement for a pixel in healthy tissue and one in the tumour.

< = -. +8)84(. 8081 #07c)/1 82#5

Figure 8 presents tumour delineation based on the PET data as well as on the GESE-EPIK parametric images for a randomly chosen subject (subject 4f). These results were obtained using the AIF determination method described in the Appendix. The values of CBF from the GE data are higher than those from the SE data. The same effect was observed for the CBV data. The hottest voxels from the PET-PET image are not in agreement with the maximum value of the perfusion weighted images either in GE and SE, suggesting that the two contrasts present different information. In addition, vessel size images are also presented where it is possible to observe different vessel organisation in the tumour when compared to contralateral areas.

C" # \$%& (*) + (%&-.) 01%& ' 23") 4567)
His: ussion

The work presented here demonstrates a significantly improved methodology to obtain advanced perfusion measurements using multi-echo, GESE-EPIK. To address the trade-off between the spatial/temporal resolution and brain coverage, the proposed protocol uses multi-echo, GESE-EPIK in order to obtain much better brain coverage than EPI and with shorter TEs for the five echoes under clinical constraints. Furthermore, the multi-echo sequence also allows one to perform leakage correction for both GE and SE, reducing the number of injections and/or amount of contrast agent injected. The method was tested and demonstrated in a healthy subject ~~no~~ contrast agent injected before being used on patients in a 3T hybrid MR-PET scanner using an automatic pipeline to determine the AIF.

In contrast to the original, FdASH-based keyhole imaging technique ~~F~~Feinberg ~~I~~ k shio, 1994f, which only acquires peripheral k-space data once during the measurement, one important feature of EPIK is its continuous update of the periphery of the k-space ~~E~~Yun ~~I~~ Shah, 2j 1of. In EPIK, only small parts of peripheral k-space are shared for a very limited number of scans. This fact implies that the correlation of k-space through the time-series images is not substantial meaning that dynamic contrast changes can be followed with high fidelity. Crucially, the keyhole regions are updated at every scan, which preserves most of the SNR and features for every scan. In our early work ~~E~~Yun et al., 2j 13; Yun ~~I~~ Shah, 2j 1of, the issue of autocorrelation in the data has been thoroughly investigated with an MRI simulator as well as with real fMRI data and was found to be non-significant. Considering the fact that the behaviour of the signal changes in DSC-MRI is also relatively smooth, as with the Bk dD signal changes in our previous work, the impact of autocorrelation was not significant. From the sequence point of view, when compared to conventional multi-echo and single-shot EPI methods used in the literature ~~S~~Schmiedeskamp et al, 2j 12; Skinner et al., 2j 14f, EPIK enabled the acquisition of data with a higher spatial and temporal resolution, shorter echo times and an increased number of slices, which is mainly attributed to its sampling strategy in combination with partial Fourier encoding. If partial Fourier encoding is employed with EPI, the shorter echo time

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123'4567)
)

advantage of EPIK would be partly negated but at the expense of a somewhat lower SNR for EPI; the temporal resolution advantage of EPIK would remain given that, for the example presented here, three EPIK images can be acquired in the time it takes EPI to acquire two. It is possible that the slightly reduced tSNR ratio at earlier echoes in EPIK is due to the fact that EPIK is more sensitive to motion (Naitsev et al., 2011). Nevertheless, the slight reduction of tSNR did not lead to any substantial impact on tracking of dynamic signal changes (see Fig. 4f). In the contrary, at the later echoes (3rd, 4th and 5th echoes) where much shorter TEs were achieved in EPIK than in EPI, significantly higher tSNRs were observed in EPIK. The advantage of the shorter TE in EPIK is more evident in the later echoes, where the tSNR benefit can be, maximally, three times higher for the last echo (Figure 3f). For the sake of completeness, it is noted here that the sparse factor Z in EPIK can also be optimised in relation to the timescale of the dynamic process to be captured; this could, potentially, lead to even shorter TEs. Furthermore, as a consequence of longer readout durations, single-shot EPI images are more prone to severe image distortions. By acquiring a reduced number of lines using EPIK, the readout durations are shorter and the distortions are also concomitantly reduced. This was experimentally verified, once again, for the first and second echoes where EPIK suffers less signal dropout around the frontal lobes, whilst EPI showed significantly lower tSNR (see Figure 3f). An alternative method to reduce the readout time and echo time is to use a multi-shot approach, as already suggested in the multi-echo GE DSC context (Pauleit et al., 2011). The method proposed here differs from the multi-shot approach as it uses the keyhole paradigm to sample the central k-space area in each repetition. Compared to a standard multi-shot approach, GESE-EPIK as proposed here is less sensitive to motion artefacts (the central line of k-space is sampled in each shot) and has a higher SNR / unit time because the fully-sampled keyhole dominates the SNR.

In order to achieve clinical relevance, the proposed GESE-EPIK sequence uses a temporal resolution that is the currently standard in clinics with a TR of 1500 ms and a moderate parallel imaging factor of two; this is to be compared to a longer TR of 1800 ms and parallel imaging factor of three that is used in EPI (Schmiedeskamp et al., 2012; Bjornerud et al., 2011) leading to a higher

C" # \$%&'()*+(-%&'.)/012' 23")4567)

g-factor penalty. Maintaining similar brain coverage at 4 slices; 3mm slice thickness, even though a reduced parallel imaging factor was used by taking advantage of partial Fourier and EPIK schemes, the spatial resolution was increased from $2.85 \times 2.85 \text{ mm}^2$ to $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^2$ with a SAGE matrix size: 86×86 and a parallel imaging acceleration factor of 3f to 2.5j with a GESE-EPIK matrix size: 96×96 and a parallel imaging acceleration factor of 2f. With GESE-EPIK, the advantageous volume coverage, when compared to EPI, can still be improved further in order to obtain full brain coverage. This can be achieved by using multiband excitation and refocusing pulses as suggested in Eichner et al., 2j 14f. It is important to note that any acceleration technique that can be applied to EPI can also be applied to EPIK, and thus the differential advantages of EPIK over EPI will remain.

Parametric images obtained by contrast bolus tracking are influenced by both T_1 effects and definition of the AIF. In order to address the issue of T_1 effects, the method proposed by Stokes & Mueller, 2j 15f was used here. The simplified analytical method is considerably faster than the non-linear fitting approach Schmiedeskamp et al., 2j 12f, making it advantageous for processing data in a clinical setting. It also considers the slice profile, constant over the acquisition Stokes & Mueller, 2j 15; Schmiedeskamp, Straka & Bammer, 2j 12f, for the calculation of pR_{eff}^* and pR_{eff} . In this work, five echoes, with considerably shorter TEs for the latter 3 echoes, were obtained to demonstrate the flexibility of the proposed GESE-EPIK method. In order to address AIF definition, the automated clustering method proposed by Yin et al. 2j 15f was employed due to its relatively fast computation speed and high accuracy Yin et al., 2j 15; Yin, Yang & Guo, 2j 15; Mouridsen et al., 2j j 6f. In the original algorithm, the slice with the middle cerebral artery (MCA) was used to define the AIF. However, with a reduced number of slices, depending on the location of the tumour, it is not guaranteed that the exact MCA plane will be acquired. In order to account for such limitations, not only a single slice, but also a group of slices near the MCA was considered in the constraining steps and the cluster algorithm. This could potentially introduce errors due to the inclusion of more voxels than using just the slice of interest. Nevertheless, the metric used to choose between the AIF clusters takes into account the sharpness, time to peak and peak value of the curves in order to avoid an

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123'4567)
)

erroneous AIF. This methodology was applied in tumour patients assuming a normal vascular structure and needs further validation in case of stroke where proximal arterial occlusion can occur. Methods that avoid using an AIF could also be a possible alternative to the proposed method [Enmi et al., 2012; Eichner et al., 2014]. The investigation thereof is, however, beyond the scope of this work.

In this work, parametric images were calculated in an automated fashion [see Figure S1f, which is crucial for clinical practice as it reduces user variability. By including PET data in the pipeline, multimodal approaches can be fully exploited, which is an important factor given the increasing availability of hybrid MR-PET instrumentation in the clinic. With EPIK, an integer fraction of EPIK: $2.5 \times 2.5 \text{ mm}^2$ of the in-plane voxel size of the BrainPET scanner and PET: $1.25 \times 1.25 \text{ mm}^2$ is obtained whilst, at the same time, achieving better brain coverage than that possible using methods presented in the literature [Schmiedeskamp et al., 2012]. Matching to a multiple of the in-plane pixel size of the PET data will help to facilitate multimodal approaches by employing image combination and avoiding interpolation errors. The 3T BrainPET scanner used in this work is a high-resolution scanner and is not widely available but the methodology presented here is fully compatible with commercially available hybrid MR-PET scanners. Here, the in-plane resolution of EPIK is similar to the desired BrainPET resolution of 3 mm^2 . In general, commercially available MR-PET scanners have lower resolution of 5 mm^2 than the brain-dedicated machine used here of 3 mm^2 ; in such a case EPIK would provide, comparatively, much higher resolution than PET.

Combined MR-PET analysis of brain tumours has been shown to be highly relevant for the characterisation of tumour grade [Sacconi et al., 2016]. In particular, perfusion weighted imaging has been used as a complementary method to PET [Sacconi et al., 2016]. Depending on the tumour type, PWI has been shown to provide different information that is not in agreement with the clinical standard - the ^{18}F -FET PET scan [Shi & Malik, 2011] and at in our Institute [Filss et al., 2014; Cicone et al., 2015]. The introduction of the proposed GESE-EPIK sequence, which provides extra information regarding the microvasculature, will be further explored and compared with ^{18}F -FET

C" # \$%& '()*+,%&-.)/0123' 4567)

PET &Figure 6f, whereby the matched voxel size will help to reduce interpolation errors. In addition, the reduced distortions and signal dropout inherent to EPIK also present advantages, not only in standalone MRI studies, but also in combined MR-PET studies. Reduced distortions at 3T have been demonstrated in the early work &Yun et al., 2j 13; Yun & Shah, 2j 10f. In the present study, this was also verified by the results showing GESE-EPIK has a higher tSNR, particularly for the frontal regions in the first and second echo data. This was in line with our previous work and might yield new directions with which to investigate the efficacy of therapies by means of vessel architecture &Stokes & Mueller, 2j 15f. Furthermore, the multi-echo capability of GESE-EPIK allows computation of a T_1 -corrected time series data that points in the direction of obviating the need for a pre-bolus injection to correct for leakage effects &Figure 6f. This is of great importance, in particular for the region of the tumour where the blood brain barrier is disrupted, and might allow for a reduction in measurement time or the introduction of new sequences in the clinical protocols. In addition to the standard PWI metrics, obtaining DCE time courses opens up the possibility of calculating the permeability characteristics of the tumour &Schmiedeskamp, Straka & Bammer, 2j 12; Calamante, 2j 13; Schmiedeskamp et al., 2j 13; Caldeira et al., 2j 15; Skinner et al., 2j 16f. Combination of GESE-EPIK and MR-PET data, such as combined AIF estimation &Caldeira et al., 2j 15; da Silva et al., 2j 15; Poulin et al., 2j 13f, PET modelling &Erlandsson et al., 2j 15; Sari et al., 2j 15f and angiogenic modelling &Hutterer et al., 2j 14f can be further investigated using GESE-EPIK and the high-resolution, hybrid BrainPET, avoiding co-registration and interpolation errors.

In conclusion, the GESE-EPIK sequence was implemented and validated at 3T in a normal healthy volunteer, in the absence of contrast agent injection, and was subsequently used in a cohort of brain tumour patients, including administration of contrast agent, to exploit the hybrid MR-PET capabilities of the 3T BrainPET scanner. The GESE-EPIK approach outperforms GESE-EPI in terms of achieving shorter echo times for the 5 echoes; the TEs of the last echoes were 8j and 1j 9 ms for EPIK and EPI, respectively. The use of shorter TEs in EPIK led to a shorter yrequired minimum TRz for each slice and hence, resulted in a larger number of slices in EPIK for a given overall TR; the

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123")4567)

number of slices achieved by EPIK and EPI was 14 and 1j , respectively. EPIK also demonstrated reduced susceptibility artefacts around the frontal regions, which is in line with our early work (Maitsev, Nilles I Shah, 2j j 1; Yun et al., 2j 13; Yun I Shah, 2j 10f. For the third, fourth and fifth echo data, the tSNRs of EPIK were significantly higher than from those of EPI, which was a direct consequence of the use of shorter TEs in EPIK. The suggested hybrid imaging approach presents a method that allows one to obtain higher resolution EPIK data in combination with high-resolution BrainPET data. The multi-echo GESE-EPIK sequence opens up possibilities to obtain multimodal permeability, perfusion and metabolic tumour analysis when combined with hybrid MR-PET data.

- Artzi, M., Blumenthal, D. T., Bokstein, F., Nadav, G., diberman, G., Alzenstein, k., l Ben Bashat, D. 2j 15f. Classification of tumor area using combined DCE and DSC MRI in patients with glioblastoma. 8) 2, nal) 9: +2,) ; n() l) g< 121, 349-350.
- Bergamino, M., Bonzano, d., devrero, F., Mancardi, G. d., l Roccatagliata, d. 2j 14f. A review of technical aspects of T1-weighted dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging dDCE-MRI in human brain tumors. 6=<-i(a > +?i(a, 3j , 635-643.
- Bjornerud, A., Sorensen, A. G., Mouridsen, K., l Emblem, K. E. 2j 11f. T1- and T2*-dominant extravasation correction in DSC-MRI: part I--theoretical considerations and implications for assessment of tumor hemodynamic properties. 8) 2, nal) 9 7+, +@al Al)) ? 3l) B an? > +a@li-5, 31, 2j 41-2j 53.
- Bleeker, E. J., van k sch, M. J., Connelly, A., van Buchem, M. A., Webb, A. G., l Calamante, F. 2j 11f. New criterion to aid manual and automatic selection of the arterial input function in dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 65, 448-456.
- Boxerman, J. d., Prah, D. E., Paulson, E. S., Machan, J. T., Bedekar, D., l Schmainda, K. M. 2j 12f. The Role of preload and leakage correction in gadolinium-based cerebral blood volume estimation determined by comparison with MlK N as a criterion standard. . 8: C . 5+, i(an 8) 2, nal) 9: +2,) , a?i) l) g< 33, 1j 81-1j 80.
- Boxerman, J. d., Schmainda, K. M., l Weisskoff, R. M. 2j j 6f. Relative cerebral blood volume maps corrected for contrast agent extravasation significantly correlate with glioma tumor grade, whereas uncorrected maps do not. . 8: C . 5+, i(an 8) 2, nal) 9: +2,) , a?i) l) g< 20, 859-860.
- Butts, K., Riederer, S. J., Ehman, R. d., Thompson, R. M., l Jack, C. R. el994f. Interleaved echo planar imaging on a standard MRI system. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 31, 60-02.
- Calamante, F. 2j 13f. Arterial input function in perfusion MRI: a comprehensive review. 6,) g, +- in : 2(l+a, > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ S1+(l,) -() 1< 04, 1-32.
- Caldeira, d., Yun, S. D., da Silva, N., Filss, C., Scheins, J., Tellmann, d., Herzog, H., l Shah, N. J. 2j 15f. Simultaneous acquisition of dynamic PET-MRI: arterial input function using DSC-MRI and v18FWFET. 42,) 1+an 8) 2, nal) 9: 2(l+a, > +?i(in+ an? >) l+(2la, 6 aging 6=<-i(-, 2, Aoj .
- Cicone, F., Filss, C. P., Minniti, G., Rossi-Espagnet, C., Papa, A., Scaringi, C., Galldiks, N., Bozzao, A., Shah, N. J., Scopinaro, F., l dangen, K. J. 2j 15f. Volumetric assessment of recurrent or progressive gliomas: comparison between F-DkPA PET and perfusion-weighted MRI.

42,) 1+an 8) 2,nal) 9: 2(l+a, > +?i(in+an? >) l+(2la, $\mathfrak{G}$ aging, 42, 9j 5-915.

da Silva, N.A., Caldeira, d., Herzog, H., Tellmann, d., Filss, C., dangen, K. J., l Shah, N. J. $\mathfrak{E}$ j 15f.

Automatic derivation of an MR-PET image-based input function for quantification of 18F-FET. 42,) 1+an 8) 2,nal) 9: 2(l+a, > +?i(in+an? >) l+(2la, $\mathfrak{G}$ aging 6= $\leq$ -i(-, 2, A20.

Dennie, J., Mandeville, J. B., Boxerman, J. d., Packard, S. D., Rosen, B. R., l Weisskoff, R. M.

$\mathfrak{E}$ 1998f. NMR imaging of changes in vascular morphology due to tumor angiogenesis. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 4j , 093-099.

Donahue, K. M., Krouwer, H. G., Rand, S. D., Pathak, A. P., Marszalkowski, C. S., Censky, S. C., l

Prost, R. W. $\mathfrak{E}$ j j j f. Utility of simultaneously acquired gradient-echo and spin-echo cerebral blood volume and morphology maps in brain tumor patients. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 43, 845-853.

Eichner, C., Jafari-Khouzani, K., Cauley, S., Bhat, H., Polaskova, P., Andronesi, k . C., Rapalino, k .,

Turner, R., Wald, d. d., Stufflebeam, S., l Setsompop, K. $\mathfrak{E}$ j 14f. Slice accelerated gradient-echo spin-echo dynamic susceptibility contrast imaging with blipped CAIPI for increased slice coverage. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 02, 00j -008.

Emblem, K. E., Mouridsen, K., Bjornerud, A., Farrar, C. T., Jennings, D., Borra, R. J., Wen, P.Y.,

Ivy, P., Batchelor, T. T., Rosen, B. R., Jain, R. K., l Sorensen, A. G. $\mathfrak{E}$ j 13f. Vessel architectural imaging identifies cancer patient responders to anti-angiogenic therapy. : a/2, + > +?i(in+, 19, 1108-1183.

Enmi, J., Kudomi, N., Hayashi, T., Yamamoto, A., Iguchi, S., Moriguchi, T., Hori, Y., Koshino, K.,

neniya, T., Shah, N. J., Yamada, N., l Iida, H. $\mathfrak{E}$ j 12f. Quantitative assessment of regional cerebral blood flow by dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced MRI, without the need for arterial blood signals. 6= $\leq$ -i(- in > +?i(in+an? Ai) l) g $\leq$ 50, 0803-0892.

Erlandsson, d.M., diljeroth, M., Atkinson, D., Arridge, S., kurselin, S., l Hutton, B. $\mathfrak{E}$ j 15f.

Improved parameterestimation with combined PET-MRI kinetic modelling. 42,) 1+an 8) 2,nal) 9: 2(l+a, > +?i(in+an? >) l+(2la, $\mathfrak{G}$ aging 6= $\leq$ -i(-, 2, A25.

Feinberg, D. A., l k shio, K. $\mathfrak{E}$ 1994f. Phase errors in multi-shot echo planar imaging. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 32, 535-539.

Filss, C. P., Galldiks, N., Stoffels, G., Sabel, M., Wittsack, H. J., Turowski, B., Antoch, G., nhang,

K., Fink, G. R., Coenen, H. H., Shah, N. J., Herzog, H., l dangen, K. J. $\mathfrak{E}$ j 14f. Comparison of 18F-FET PET and perfusion-weighted MR imaging: a PET/MR imaging hybrid study in patients with brain tumors. 8) 2,nal) 9: 2(l+a, > +?i(in+, 55, 54j -545.

Griswold, M. A., Jakob, P. M., Heidemann, R. M., Nittka, M., Jellus, V., Wang, J., Kiefer, B., l

Haase, A. $\mathfrak{E}$ j j 2f. Generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions $\mathfrak{G}$ GRAPPAf. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 40 $\mathfrak{E}$ 6f, 12j 2-121j .

C" # \$%&'()*+(-%&.)012' 23")4567)

Haacke, E. M., dinskogj, E.D., l din, W. el969f. A fast, iterative, partial-fourier technique capable of local phase recovery. 8) 2, nal) 9> agn+hi(C+) nan(+, 92, 126-145.

Herzog, H., dangen, K. J., Weirich, C., Rota Kops, E., Kaffanke, J., Tellmann, d., Scheins, J., Neuner, I., Stoffels, G., Fischer, K., Caldeira, d., Coenen, H.H., l Shah, N. J. elj 11f. High resolution BrainPET combined with simultaneous MRI. : 2D+a, 5 +?iEn, 5j , 04-82.

Hutterer, M., Hattingen, E., Palm, C., Proescholdt, M. A., l Hau, P. elj 15f. Current standards and new concepts in MRI and PET response assessment of antiangiogenic therapies in high-grade glioma patients. : +2,) ; n() l) g< 10, 084-8j j .

Kellner, E., Breyer, T., Gall, P., Müller, K., Trippel, M., Staszewski, k ., Stein, F., Saborowski, k ., Dyakova, k ., Urbach, H., Kiselev, V. G., l Mader, I., elj 15f. MR evaluation of vessel size imaging of human gliomas: Validation by histopathology. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging, 42el, 1110-1125.

Kim, E. J., Kim, D. H., dee, S. H., Huh, Y. M., Song, H. T., l Suh, J. S. elj j 4f. Simultaneous acquisition of perfusion and permeability from corrected relaxation rates with dynamic susceptibility contrast dual gradient echo. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ O aging, 22, 3j 0-314.

Kiselev, V. G., Strecker, R., niyeh, S., Speck, k ., l Hennig, J. elj j 5f. Vessel size imaging in humans. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 53, 553-563.

Knutsson, d., dindgren, E., Ahlgren A, van k sch, M. J., Bloch, K. M., Surova, Y., Stahlberg, F., van Westen, D., l Wirestam, R. elj 14f. Dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI with a prebolus contrast agent administration design for improved absolute quantification of perfusion. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 02, 996-1j j 6.

demasson, B., Valable, S., Farion, R., Krainik, A., Remy, C., l Barbier, E. d. elj 13f. In vivo imaging of vessel diameter, size, and density: a comparative study between MRI and histology. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 69, 18-26.

Mouridsen, K., Christensen, S., Gyldensted, d., l k stergaard, d. elj j 6f. Automatic selection of arterial input function using cluster analysis. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 55, 524-531.

Murase, K., Kikuchi, K., Miki, H., Shimizu, T., l Ikezoe, J. elj j 1f. Determination of arterial input function using fuzzy clustering for quantification of cerebral blood flow with dynamic susceptibility contrast-enhanced MR imaging. 8) 2, nal) 9> agn+hi(C+) nan(+ O aging, 13, 090-8j 6.

Newbould, R. D., Skare, S. T., Jochimsen, T. H., Alley, M. T., Moseley, M. E., Albers, G. W., l Bammer, R. elj j of. Perfusion mapping with multiecho multishot parallel imaging EPI. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 58, 0j -81.

Newton, A. T., Pruthi, S., Stokes, A. M., Skinner, J. T., l mearles, C. C. elj 16f. Improving Perfusion Measurement in DSC-MRI Through the Use of Multi-echo Information for AIF

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123456789

Determination. . 8: C. 5 +, i(an 8) 2, nal) 9: +2,) , a?i) l) g< 30, 123oi 1243.

Shiroishi, M. S., Castellazzi, G., Boxerman, J. d., DiAmore, F., Essig, M., Nguyen, T. B., Provenzale, J. M., Enterline, D. S., Anzalone, N., D|rfler, A., Rovira, } ., Wintermark, M., l d|aw, M. 2j 15f. Principles of T2*-weighted dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI technique in brain tumor imaging. 8) 2, nal) 9> agn+li(C+) nan(+ 5 aging, 41, 296-313.

k stergaard, d. 2j j 5f. Principles of cerebral perfusion imaging by bolus tracking. 8) 2, nal) 9 > agn+li(C+) nan(+ 5 aging, 22, 01j -010.

Pauleit, D., Floeth, F., Hamacher, K., Riemenschneider, M. J., Reifenberger, G., Muller, H. W., nilles, K., Coenen, H.H., l d|angen, K. J. 2j j 5f. k -2-vl8FWfluoroethylf-d-tyrosine PET combined with MRI improves the diagnostic assessment of cerebral gliomas. Brain, 128, 608-680.

Paulson, E. S., l Schmainda, K. M. 2j j 8f. Comparison of dynamic susceptibility-weighted contrast-enhanced MR methods: recommendations for measuring relative cerebral blood volume in brain tumors. Ca?i) l) g< 249, 6j 1-613.

Poulin, E., debel, R., Croteau, E., Blanchette, M., Tremblay, d., decomte, R., Bentourkia, M., l depage, M. 2j 13f. Conversion of arterial input functions for dual pharmacokinetic modeling using Gd-DTPA/MRI and 18F-FDG/PET. > agn+li(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 69, 081-092.

muarles, C. C., Gore, J. C., ~u, d., l Yankeelov T. E. 2j 12f. Comparison of dual-echo DSC-MRI- and DCE-MRI-derived contrast agent kinetic parameters. > agn+li(C+) nan(+ 5 aging, 3j , 944-953.

muarles, C. C., Ward, B. D., l Schmainda, K. M. 2j j 5f. Improving the reliability of obtaining tumor hemodynamic parameters in the presence of contrast agent extravasation. > agn+li(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 53, 13j 0-1316.

Tropres, I., Grimault, S., Vaeth, A., Grillon, E., Julien, C., Payen, J. F., damalle, d., l Decorps, M. 2j j 1f. Vessel size imaging. > agn+li(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 45, 390-4j 8.

Sacconi, B., Raad, R. A., dee, J., Fine, H., Kondziolka, D., Golfinos, J. G., Bagg, J. S., l Jain, R. 2j 16f. Concurrent functional and metabolic assessment of brain tumors using hybrid PET/MR imaging. 8) 2, nal) 9: +2,) ; n() l) g< 120, 280-293.

Sari, H., Erlandsson, K., l Thielemans, K. 2j 15f. Incorporation of MRI-AIF Information For Improved Kinetic Modelling of Dynamic PET Data. 0444 F, an-a(li) n-) n : 2(l+a, S(i+n(+, 62, 612-618.

Schmiedeskamp, H., Andre, J. B., Straka, M., Christen, T., Nagpal, S., Recht, d., Thomas, R. P., naharchuk, G., l Bammer, R. 2j 13f. Simultaneous perfusion and permeability measurements using combined spin- and gradient-echo MRI. 8) 2, nal) 97 +, +@al A)) ? 3l) B an? > +a@li-5, 33, 032-043.

Schmiedeskamp, H., Straka, M., l Bammer, R. 2j 12f. Compensation of slice profile mismatch in 9;)

C" # \$%& '()*+(-%&.)012' 23")4567)

combined spin and gradient-echo echo-planar imaging pulse sequences. > *agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 60, 308-388.*

Schmiedeskamp, H., Straka, M., Newbould, R. D., naharchuk, G., Andre, J. B., k livot, J. M., Moseley, M. E., Albers, G. W., l Bammer R. *2j 12f. Combined Spin-And Gradient-Echo Perfusion-Weighted Imaging. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 68, 3j -4j .*

Shah, N. J., l nilles, K. Magnetic Resonance Imaging Method. U.S. Patent No. 6,0j 0,299 B2. 16 Mar. 2j j 4.

Shah, N. J., l nilles, K. Imaging process in the spatial frequency space and useful for examining the properties of object. U.S. Patent No. 6,081,302. 24 Aug. 2j j 4.

Shi, J., l Malik, J. *2j j j f. Normalized Cuts and Image Segmentation. 0444 F, an-a(li) n-) n 6all+, n . nal<-i- an? > a(=in+ 0n/hlig+n(+, 22, 888-9j 5.*

Skinner, J. T., Moots, P. d., Ayers, G. D., l mearles, C. C. *2j 16f. k n the Use of DSC-MRI for Measuring Vascular Permeability. . 8: C. 5 +, i(an 8) 2, nal) 9: +2,) , a?i) l) g<, 30, 8j -80.*

Skinner, J. T., Robison, R. K., Elder, C. P., Newton, A. T., Damon, B. M., l mearles, C. C. *2j 14f. Evaluation of a multiple spin- and gradient-echo SAGEf EPI acquisition with SENSE acceleration: Applications for perfusion imaging in and outside the brain. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ 05 aging, 32, 1101-118j .*

Stokes, A. M., l mearles, C. C. *2j 16f. A simplified spin and gradient echo approach for brain tumor perfusion imaging. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 05, 356-362.*

Stokes, A. M., Skinner, J. T., l mearles, C. C. *2j 14f. Assessment of a combined spin- and gradient-echo SAGEf DSC-MRI method for preclinical neuroimaging. > agn+hi(C+) nan(+ 05 aging, 32, 1181-119j .*

Vonken, E. J., van k sch, M. J., Bakker, C. J., l Viergever, M. A. *el999f. Measurement of cerebral perfusion with dual-echo multi-slice quantitative dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI. 8) 2, nal) 9> agn+hi(C+) nan(+ 05 aging, 1j , 1j 9-110.*

Willats, d., l Calamante, F. *2j 13f. The 39 steps: evading error and deciphering the secrets for accurate dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI. : > C in Ai) 5 +?i(in+, 26, 913-931.*

Yankeelov, T. E., l Gore, J. C. *2j j 9f. Dynamic Contrast Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging in k ncology: Theory, Data Acquisition, Analysis, and Examples. 72, , +nl > +?i(al 05 aging C+*i+B-, 3, 91-1j o.*

Yin, J., Sun, H., Yang, J., l Guo, m. *2j 15f. Automated detection of the arterial input function using normalized cut clustering to determine cerebral perfusion by dynamic susceptibility contrast-magnetic resonance imaging. 8) 2, nal) 9> agn+hi(C+) nan(+ 05 aging, 41, 1j 01-1j 08.*

Yin, J., Yang, J., l Guo, m. *2j 15f. Automatic determination of the arterial input function in dynamic susceptibility contrast MRI: comparison of different reproducible clustering algorithms.*

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123"4567)
)

: +2,) ,a?i) l) g< 50, 535-543.

Yun, S. D., Reske, M., Vahedipour, K., Warbrick, T., I Shah, N. J. 2j 13f. Parallel imaging acceleration of EPIK for reduced image distortions in fMRI. : +2,) i5 ag+, 03, 135-143.

Yun, S. D., I Shah, N. J. 2j 10f. Whole-brain high in-plane resolution fMRI using accelerated EPIK for enhanced characterisation of functional areas at 3T. 6G) S; n+, 12, ej 184059.

naitsev, M., DrArcy, J., Collins, D. J., deach, M. k ., nilles, K., I Shah, N. J. 2j j 5f. Dual-contrast echo planar imaging with keyhole: application to dynamic contrast-enhanced perfusion studies. 6=<-i(- in > +?i(in+ an? Ai) l) g< 5j , 4491-45j 5.

naitsev, M., nilles, K., I Shah, N. J. 2j j 1f. Shared k-space echo planar imaging with keyhole. > agn+hi(C+-) nan(+ in > +?i(in+, 45, 1j 9-110.

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./:0123'4567)
)
 l i, ure 3 28.ions

Figure 1. **a** A schematic depiction of the 3-shot EPIK k-space trajectory used as basis for further development. The solid and dotted lines indicate the k-space line positions to sample or skip, respectively, at each temporal scan. The lines in the keyhole region are sampled in every measurement. **b** Schematic sequence representation for GESE-EPIK with five echoes: two with GEs, two with mixed GESE and one with SE.

Figure 2. A representative slice illustrating the tSNR of EPIK and EPI for the five different echoes.

Figure 3. Illustration of the tSNR ratio of EPIK and EPI. From left to right: the echo number increases from 1 to 5, whereby the last echo is a spin echo. **k** n the top row, the tSNR ratio for a representative slice is shown and on the bottom row, the tSNR ratio histogram for the whole brain is depicted.

Figure 4. **a** GESE-EPIK images with GE, mixed GESE and SE contrast. The top row shows the five echo images at the baseline and the bottom row shows the images at the peak bolus passage. **b** Signal intensity time course for the different echoes showing the arrival of the contrast agent bolus.

Figure 5. Reconstructed image from **a** EPI and **b** EPIK. The selected slice depicts the performance of EPIK in the reduction of susceptibility artefacts. The differences are marked with the white arrows.

Figure 6. **Left**: the T_2^* - and T_2 -weighted time course data with and without T_2 correction are depicted. **Right**: the T_2 -weighted time course is presented.

Figure 0. Multi-parametric images obtained in this study to evaluate vessel distribution. **a**-**f** CBF for GE and SE data, **a**-**d** CBV for GE and SE data, **e** PET data and **f** vessel size image.

C" # \$%& '()*+ (,%&-/0123' 4567)
)
 ? 88en/ iJ

? u.o1 2.e/ es.i1 2.ion of ? Q

In the present work, AIF estimation was performed with an automated procedure. It consists of six steps as described below and schematically illustrated in Fig. S1.

1f! In order to avoid motion in the time series, the data were motion corrected by applying a rigid transformation using SPM12. Thereafter, only the slices near the middle cerebral artery (MCA) were considered.

2f! In order to avoid the noise floor, the time curves that presented a relatively high Pearson correlation coefficient (higher than 0.95) between the first and the second echo were considered (Newton et al., 2016). This step is only possible when two echoes are available in multi-contrast approaches.

3f! In order to be sensitive to vessels, a threshold based on the area under the curve (AUC) of time signal, $P_{\text{AUC}} < 0.5$, was applied, whereby curves with a high AUC were excluded (Murase et al., 2011).

4f! In order to avoid physiological pulsations, a curve smoothness criterion was considered from the remaining curves. Here, a threshold, $P_{\text{smooth}} > 0.9$ was applied such that curves with larger integral values were no longer considered (Murase et al., 2011). In our approach, the threshold $P_{\text{smooth}} < 0.9$ and $P_{\text{smooth}} > 0.9$ were 0.9 and 0.3, respectively.

5f! In order to account for partial volume effects, a simplified version of the method published by Bleeker et al., (Bleeker et al., 2011) was used. For this purpose, the first passage of the contrast agent was fitted to a gamma function and the AUC of the 1st contrast agent passage, $\text{AUC}^{(1)}$, was compared to the baseline signal level as defined in an early work (Yin et al., 2015). The ratio between the baseline signal level and $\text{AUC}^{(1)}$ was then calculated and the pixels with values 2j t above and below the mean were excluded.

6f! The remaining pixels were used as input in the (2/ algorithm (Shi et al., 2011). Here, the number of clusters was set as 5 and the AIF cluster was chosen from the metric (M) that relates the peak

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./:0123'4567)
)

value θ_{PVf} , time-to-peak θ_{TPf} and full-width-half-maximum θ_{FWHMf} , $M/q_{PV}/\theta_{TP} \times$
 $FWHMf$ (Murase et al., 2011). Figure S2 presents the determined AIF location and shape from a
representative subject.

C" # \$%&'()*+,-./0123'4567)
7u88@1 en.2rB1 2.eri2@

Figure S1. Processing steps proposed to obtain the arterial input function. The 1st step is motion correction to correct for slight motion. The 2nd step is the echo correlation to avoid the noise floor. Then, in the 3rd step to increase vessel sensitivity, an AUC threshold is considered. To correct for pulsation, a curve smoothness criteria is included in the 4th step. Prior to performing the cluster algorithm and defining the AIF, partial volume correction is also considered.

Figure S2. left: the derived AIF is depicted from the selected voxels (see figure on the right). Right: voxel locations are near the MCA and these are recommended for the determination of the AIF.