

Sedimentation of large particles in a suspension of colloidal rods

Cite as: Phys. Fluids **32**, 053105 (2020); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0006076>

Submitted: 02 March 2020 . Accepted: 17 April 2020 . Published Online: 13 May 2020

B. Barabé , S. Abakumov, D. Z. Gunes, and M. P. Lettinga 

COLLECTIONS

 This paper was selected as Featured



View Online



Export Citation



CrossMark

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Gravel packing: How does it work?](#)


Physics of Fluids **32**, 053308 (2020); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0001607>

[Asymmetric flows of complex fluids past confined cylinders: A comprehensive numerical study with experimental validation](#)

Physics of Fluids **32**, 053103 (2020); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0008783>

[Shape induced segregation and anomalous particle transport under spherical confinement](#)

Physics of Fluids **32**, 053307 (2020); <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0002906>



Sedimentation of large particles in a suspension of colloidal rods

Cite as: Phys. Fluids 32, 053105 (2020); doi: 10.1063/5.0006076

Submitted: 2 March 2020 • Accepted: 17 April 2020 •

Published Online: 13 May 2020



B. Barabé,^{1,2,3,a)}  S. Abakumov,⁴ D. Z. Gunes,¹ and M. P. Lettinga^{2,3,b)} 

AFFILIATIONS

¹Nestec Ltd, Société des Produits Nestlé SA, P.O. Box 44, CH-1000 Lausanne 26, Switzerland

²IBI-4, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Wilhelm Jonen Strasse, 52428 Jülich, Germany

³Laboratory for Soft Matter and Biophysics, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200D, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

⁴Laboratory for Molecular Imaging and Photonics, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

^{a)}Electronic mail: Blandine.Barabe@kuleuven.be

^{b)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: p.lettinga@fz-juelich.de

ABSTRACT

The sedimentation at low Reynolds numbers of large, non-interacting spherical inclusions in networks of model monodisperse, slender colloidal rods is investigated. The influence of rod concentration, rod length, and inclusion stress on the inclusion's creeping motion is investigated. The decrease in sedimentation speeds as a function of rod concentration is compared to the Stokes law, using the zero-shear viscosity from the Doi-Edwards theory for semi-dilute colloidal rod solutions. The experimental speeds display the same concentration dependence as the zero-shear viscosity and are, thus, strongly dependent on the rod length. The speed is, however, a fraction of 2 and 4 lower than expected for rods of 0.88 μm and 2.1 μm , respectively. The results for both rod lengths superimpose when plotted against the overlap concentration, hinting at an extra dependence on the entanglement.

© 2020 Author(s). All article content, except where otherwise noted, is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0006076>

I. INTRODUCTION

Suspensions of non-colloidal inclusions in a flowable continuous phase are omnipresent in industrial applications, ranging from consumer products^{1,2} to building materials,^{3–5} and geological materials such as lava and mud.^{6,7} The viscosity profile of the continuous phase enables them to bear the mass of fillers for long shelf life.⁸ In the case of structural materials such as cement, fillers are added to tune the visco-elastic properties.⁵ As for food products, fat droplets of targeted size stabilized in high viscosity water based matrices enable the obtention and tuning of specific mouthfeel.¹

Over long shelf life, unwanted heterogeneities may appear in the products as gravity induces sedimentation or creaming of solid fillers, bubbles, or droplets,⁹ resulting in altered end properties.¹⁰ Prediction of particle sedimentation in weak gels is needed to predict product stability over long shelf life. Assessing the stability of systems with solid inclusions in high viscosity fluids is an issue of both industrial applications^{1–5,8} and fundamental relevance.^{8,11,12} The

ability of a matrix to stabilize inclusions over a long period of time, indeed, relates to the existence of a real or apparent yield stress.^{12,13}

Most of the theoretical and experimental work performed on hard spherical inclusions in yield stress fluids was dedicated to the following purpose: establishing a macroscopic stability criterion to predict stability and flow. In finite element numerical simulations, Beris and co-workers¹³ studied the creeping motion of inclusions through unbounded Bingham fluids. They showed that inclusion stability can be predicted, using macroscopic quantities such as the inclusion diameter, density mismatch, and matrix yield stress. A dimensionless yield number is obtained, that is, a descriptor of the system's stability vs sedimentation taking the ratio of the buoyancy force exerted to the inclusion to the yield force. Below a critical value, the inclusion is heavy enough to yield a region of fluid around it such that creeping through the fluid is thus possible.¹³ The validity of this criterion in the presence of wall effects was assessed for cylindrically bounded Bingham¹⁴ and Herschel-Bulkley fluids,¹⁵ both using the Papanastasiou¹⁶ constitutive equation to solve discontinuity

between the solid and liquid regions. Experimental assessment of the stability of spherical inclusions in Carbopol suspensions, modeled as Herschel–Bulkley fluids, confirmed the value of the dimensionless yield number.^{10,17,18}

As the process of yielding is an interplay between microscopic changes in the material surrounding the inclusion¹⁹ and the sedimentation force exerted by the inclusion,^{12,13} it is of interest to have a full understanding of this process. In this paper, we report an experimental study of sedimentation speeds of large non-interacting spherical inclusions in a suspension composed of colloidal rods, considered as ideal. We show that using ideal colloidal rods as a host medium facilitates comparison between theory and experiments as the rheological behavior of rods' suspensions is by now well described by theory.²⁰ In principle, these systems do not show a yielding behavior, while the zero-shear viscosity hugely depends on the length and concentration of the rods.

However, a yielding-like behavior of inclusions in highly concentrated dispersions of anisotropic particles cannot be excluded. Sedimentation studies of inclusions in yield stress fluids composed of anisotropic particles were performed on cellulose suspensions,^{21,22} castor oil colloidal fibers in a surfactant suspension,²³ laponite suspensions,^{24,25} viscoelastic polysaccharide solutions,^{26,27} and wormlike micellar fluids.^{11,28,29} The latter do not possess true yield stresses, but only an apparent yield stress when excited above the characteristic relaxation time of the matrix. Characteristic features of inclusions falling in shear-thinning fluids were evidenced. For several of those shear-thinning fluids, negative wake was reported,^{11,24} which is a local flow in the direction opposite to sedimentation in the inclusions' wake. It is associated with a fluid's increase in viscoelasticity²⁵ and has an increased geometrical span for high extensional Deborah and Reynolds numbers.^{11,26} For high extensional Deborah numbers, spheres and bubbles sedimenting in wormlike micellar fluids are found never to reach a terminal speed and oscillate in the direction along the gravity axis, among other reported effects.¹¹ Additionally, inclusion chaining was reported for shear-thinning viscoelastic fluids, such as xanthan, below a critical distance between closest neighbors.^{26,30}

The scope of our study is to stay in the creeping motion domain for non-interacting inclusions at Reynolds numbers lower than 10^{-6} . For the model systems considered, only a classical monotonic sedimentation behavior is expected, excluding negative wake, oscillatory settling, and particles' chaining effects. We consider a model composed of the simplest anisotropic particles: suspensions of slender colloidal rods. Fd and pf1 virus bacteriophages are the best suited^{32,33} for this study because they are very slender and monodisperse by nature, differing about a factor of 2 in length. They provide an exact interbatch reproducibility, while their rheological behavior has been well characterized.²⁰ These colloidal rods are density matched with their dispersing solvent and can be produced in high quantities. The rods' electrostatic interactions are tunable,³¹ and they display an isotropic to nematic transition at well characterized concentrations. Fd virus has been used for studying the diffusion of spherical inclusions through isotropic rod networks^{34,35} and the phase behavior of rod–sphere mixtures;^{31,34–36} the size of the inclusions was of the order of the length of fd or smaller so that the fd matrix could not be considered as a continuum with regard to the inclusion. The ideal character of the system allows us to study the effect of concentration. Concentration effects have been reported

earlier;^{23,27} however, the used systems are not straightforward to model.

In this paper, the dependence of the sedimentation speed on inclusion stress is investigated in the semi-dilute regime for two different rod lengths at least five times smaller than the inclusions. We address the question whether this system possesses an apparent yield stress behavior, which would arrest sedimentation at low inclusion stress and whether the sedimentation speed can be understood on the base of the known rheological behavior of the host system.

II. THEORY

In this section, we first introduce the characteristic numbers and equations associated with the sedimentation of a rigid, spherical inclusion in an unbounded, unknown matrix. Then, the equation for the sedimentation of an inclusion in creeping flow conditions will be specified for a matrix that is a colloidal suspension of rods.

The buoyancy force of the inclusion is non-zero when there is a density mismatch with the suspending fluid. The inclusion falls down or rises in the matrix with an average sedimentation speed V_S and induces an average shear rate $\dot{\gamma}$. The latter is usually expressed^{11,24} as the ratio of the average sedimentation speed by the diameter of the inclusion,

$$\dot{\gamma} = \frac{V_S}{2R}, \quad (1)$$

where V_S is the terminal speed that is reached after establishment of a steady-state of sedimentation dynamics, averaged over all inclusions, of diameter R .

The stress τ_I exerted by the inclusion on the surrounding matrix is then expressed as

$$\tau_I = \frac{F_I}{S} = \frac{2}{3} R g \Delta\rho, \quad (2)$$

where F_I is the inclusion buoyancy force exerted on the surface S . $\Delta\rho$ is the density mismatch, and g is the gravitational constant.

The terminal speed of a spherical inclusion in an unbounded viscous fluid is expressed using the Stokes law,

$$V_t = \frac{2}{9} \frac{\Delta\rho}{\eta_m(\dot{\gamma})} g R^2, \quad (3)$$

where $\eta_m(\dot{\gamma})$ is the shear rate dependent viscosity of the matrix. Using Eq. (2), the terminal speed can be expressed as a function of the inclusion stress,

$$V_t = \frac{1}{3} \tau_I \frac{R}{\eta_m(\dot{\gamma})}. \quad (4)$$

In Newtonian fluids, $\eta_m(\dot{\gamma})$ is a constant. However, in non-Newtonian fluids, $\eta_m(\dot{\gamma})$ depends on the shear rate. In the case of semi-dilute colloidal rods, for example, the viscosity strongly decreases with an increase in the shear rate. Moreover, for suspensions of colloidal rods, viscosity increases with rod concentration. In the particular case of our system, we assess the shear-rate and concentration dependence of viscosity. For the stresses used in this work, the resulting sedimentation rates will be very low that we only need to take the zero-shear viscosity into consideration, as we will show later in this paper. The zero-shear viscosity strongly depends on concentration and length, as has been recently shown for fd and

pf1.²⁰ Based on the Doi-Edwards theory, the zero-shear viscosity is given by Ref. 20 as

$$\eta_m = \eta_s \left[1 + \nu \frac{\pi L^3}{90 \ln\left(\frac{L}{2d_{bare}}\right)} + \nu^3 \frac{\pi L^9}{30 K \ln\left(\frac{L}{2d_{bare}}\right)} \right]. \quad (5)$$

L and d are the length and effective diameter of a colloidal rod, respectively. ν is the colloidal rods' number density, and η_s is the solvent viscosity. K is a proportionality constant that is best determined by rheology.²⁰ For stiff rods, we use the computed and experimentally validated Teraoka value, $K = 1300$. The experimental value of $K = 2300$ accounts for the relatively higher flexibility of pf1. In the zero-shear viscosity equation, the first term corresponds to the contribution of the solvent viscosity. The second term is the contribution of rotational diffusion of non-interacting rods to the viscosity. The third term takes into account the constrained rotational diffusion of a colloidal rod in the semi-dilute case. As one can see, a strong dependence of the zero-shear viscosity with the number density and thus, with rod concentration, and even more pronounced dependence on the rod length are observed.

Using the zero-shear viscosity from the Doi-Edwards theory in the Stokes terminal speed expression, a predictive expression for the inclusion's terminal speed can be derived. This will be further referred to as the Stokes Doi Edwards (SDE) prediction,

$$V_t(\nu) = \frac{1}{3} \tau_I \frac{R}{\eta_s \left[1 + \nu \frac{\pi L^3}{90 \ln\left(\frac{L}{2d_{bare}}\right)} + \nu^3 \frac{\pi L^9}{30 K \ln\left(\frac{L}{2d_{bare}}\right)} \right]}. \quad (6)$$

III. MATERIALS

A. Rods suspension

Fd and pf1 are bacteriophages composed of a DNA single strand coated with a protein layer. They are negatively charged in the dispersing solvent at a pH of 8.15 and an ionic strength of 10 mM. Fd virus has a molecular weight of $M_W = 1.64 \times 10^7$ g/mol, a contour length of $L = 880$ nm, a persistence length of $L_p = 2200$ nm, and a diameter of $d_{bare} = 6.6$ nm. Fd was grown at the Forschungszentrum Jülich following a protocol, as described elsewhere.³⁴ Pf1 has a molecular weight of $M_W = 3.75 \times 10^7$ g/mol, a contour length of $deff = 10.5$, $L_p = 2.2$ μ m, and a diameter of $d_{bare} = 6.6$ nm. Pf1 phage was bought from Asla Biotech, Latvia. Viruses were dispersed in a 20 mM tris-HCl buffer at a pH of 8.15, which corresponds to an ionic strength of 10 mM. In this paper, we use mass concentration, which is linked to number densities by $c = \nu M_W / N_A$, and also scaling with the overlap concentration $c^* = 3M_W / 4\pi N_A (\frac{L}{2})^3$, which is 0.076 mg/ml for fd and 0.013 mg/ml for pf1. We focus on semi-dilute suspensions of rods between $10 \times c^*$ and the isotropic-nematic transition: from 1 mg/ml to 9 mg/ml for fd virus and from 0.1 mg/ml to 3 mg/ml for pf1. Virus concentrations were determined by UV-visible spectrometry using a Varian Cary® 50 UV-Vis Spectrophotometer and NanoDrop 2000/2000c Spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific.

B. Inclusions

Polystyrene spherical inclusions were purchased from Polysciences Inc., Polybead® Microspheres. They have a mean diameter

of 10.0 μ m, with a variance coefficient of 10%. We determined the density of the beads by tracking their sedimentation speeds V_s in buffers of varying densities. The density is estimated at 1.0494 g/ml, performing an extrapolation to $V_s \rightarrow 0$. In order to tune the stress applied by the inclusion on the surrounding matrix, the inclusion's buoyancy was tuned. Buffers of densities ranging from 1.000 g/ml to 1.049 g/ml were prepared by mixing 20 mM Tris-HCl buffer with deuterated water (Acros Organics), giving an ionic strength of $I = 10$ mM. This corresponds to buoyancy forces ranging between $F = 0.25 \times 10^{-12}$ N for the least matched inclusion and 2.05×10^{-15} N for the closest matching in the case of the $I = 10$ mM water buffer. This corresponds to stresses ranging from 0.0013 mPa to 1.6 mPa, using $\tau = F/S$. The final sample was prepared by vortexing the stock fd virus suspensions, the spherical inclusion suspensions, and buffer for approximately one minute. This ensures a random distribution of the inclusions in the sample at $t = 0$, when the rectangular capillaries of dimensions 2.0×0.2 mm² were loaded. The volume fraction of beads in the final samples is $\phi_I = 0.07\%$ so that we can neglect interactions between the beads.

The mixtures of virus and inclusion are considered to be mixtures of rigid bodies, as used earlier in the study of the phase diagram of rod-sphere mixtures.³⁶ Flexibility of the rods will, however, affect the results to some extent, as will be discussed below.

C. Imaging

Sedimentation of inclusions in bacteriophage suspensions was imaged with two setups: a home-build horizontal microscope based on Olympus components (BX-KMA-ESD imaging revolver), equipped with a Hamamatsu ImagEM X2 EM-CCD camera, and a Keyence digital microscope, VHX-6000, equipped with the VHX-S650 free angle observation system, operating with Keyence software. Both microscopes used a 10 $\times$ objective and recorded with a frame rate of 1 image per minute. Figure 1 displays an image of




FIG. 1. Birefringence image of sedimenting 10 μ m polystyrene spherical inclusions in a pf1 virus solution at $c(\text{pf1}) = 2.8$ mg/ml, an inclusion stress of $\tau_I = 1.6$ mPa. Although the contrast is enhanced and light intensity maximized in crossed polarized configuration, no birefringence around the falling inclusions is observed.




FIG. 2. The sedimentation height h_s of the inclusions as a function of time. Blue lines: trajectories in the sedimentation direction extracted from the timelapse images of polystyrene inclusions in fd virus suspensions, post filtering using a speed histogram; scatter: average trace; red line: linear regression of the average trace. The sample characteristics are $c = 7$ mg/ml, and $\tau_l = 1.6$ mPa.

the inclusions taken between crossed polarizers in order to probe possible birefringence during sedimentation.

The inclusion positions were tracked using the particle-tracking package Trackpy, which is the Python adaptation of the Weeks and Crocker image analysis IDL code.³⁷ The downward trajectories h_s of the inclusions are plotted in Fig. 2 as a function of the experimental time, t . The trajectories evolve linearly with time as is the case for classical convective sedimentation. Spurious features and trajectories are filtered out. Traces are selected using speed histograms. Outlying lower speeds are filtered out as they correspond to inclusions stuck to the wall or interacting inclusions.³⁸ The filtered traces are averaged, and a linear regression is carried out to extract the average sedimentation speed. The standard deviation of the slope is a measure of the experimental error.




FIG. 3. Rotational and translational diffusion constants for fd and pf1 virus as a function of virus number density time length power 3. The diffusion constants stem from fluorescent imaging experiments.

Translational and rotational diffusion coefficients of virus particles in virus suspensions were obtained from mean square displacements and mean square angular displacements. These are obtained from fluorescence microscopy on tracer amounts of fluorescently labeled tracer viruses (Alexa Fluor from ThermoFischer).³⁹ A Zeiss Axiovert equipped with a 100 $\times$ NA oil immersion objective, a Prizmatix LED lamp, and an Andor sCMOS camera was used for the imaging at frame rates between 10 and 100 fps depending on the concentration. In order to properly extract the angular diffusion information, a length filter was applied to the traces so that only particles which diffuse parallel in the plane are tracked. The rotational diffusion rates approach the Doi prediction, where D_r is proportional to the scaled number density vL^3 (see Fig. 3). The pf1 data deviate more as the rod is effectively more flexible.

IV. RESULTS

We assessed how inclusion stress, rod concentration, and length influence the sedimentation speed. Figure 4(a) displays an overlay of absolute sedimentation speeds as a function of inclusion stress for the different considered fd rod concentrations. We performed a linear fit of the increasing sedimentation speed with an increase in the inclusion stress and compared the resulting slopes $\langle V_s/\tau \rangle$ with the SDE prediction [Eq. (6)]. The results are plotted in Fig. 4. This prediction gives the correct functional dependence of $\langle V_s/\tau \rangle$ on the rod concentration. Nevertheless, there is a remarkable and unexpected shift of a factor of 2.5 between the experimental data and the SDE prediction over the studied concentration range. Note that for the pure solvent, the slope corresponds with the Stokes–Einstein prediction.

Figure 5(a) displays the reduced sedimentation speed vs the concentration for fd and pf1 at a fixed inclusion stress of 1.6 mPa. As expected, a deviation from the SDE prediction for stiff rods is also observed in this representation. It is similar for both rods, but more pronounced for the longer pf1 system than for the shorter fd. Furthermore, the deviation for pf1 is even more pronounced when using the SDE prediction accounting for pf1 flexibility. Again, we can fit the data by correcting the SDE prediction for fd with a factor of 2 and the SDE prediction for pf1 with a factor of 4 when the rod is considered stiff. The SDE prediction for pf1 accounting for flexibility can be scaled with a factor of 7. This scaling is obviously only valid for the high concentrations. The more pronounced slowing down for pf1 is in accordance with Eq. (6), which states that the longer rod length will result in an overall higher viscosity for an undisturbed isotropic network of rods and therefore in slower sedimentation speeds for pf1. In order to take into account the very different overlap concentrations of both systems, we scale the concentration with c^* [see Fig. 5(b)]. Remarkably, the experimental values for pf1 and fd collapse on one master plot.

V. DISCUSSION

The first conclusion we can draw from our experiments is that the existence of an apparent yield stress for dispersions of semidilute ideal slender rods cannot be claimed. Indeed, the data shown in Fig. 4(a), in principle, all extrapolate to zero. The absence of convective motion for the lowest stress is attributed to the very low




FIG. 4. For fd virus, (a) raw sedimentation speed as a function of inclusion stress, with linear regression. The 0 concentration sedimentation speeds are in the inset. (b) Slopes obtained from (a) as a function of concentration. The solid line is the SDE prediction. The dashed lined is the SDE prediction divided by a factor of 2.5.

density mismatch between the inclusions and the medium. We do observe a substantial slowing down of the sedimentation, which displays the same concentration dependence as predicted by the SDE prediction based on the rotational relaxation of the rods. However, the SDE underestimates the slowing down by a factor that seems to depend on the rod length.

To interpret the results, we start by validating the assumption that the relevant viscosity is the zero-shear viscosity [see Eq. (6)]. To this end, we need to compare the sedimentation-induced shear rate with the relevant relaxation times per system, which is the rotational diffusion in the case of rods. The characteristic sedimentation time is defined as the time needed for an inclusion to fall over a distance of one diameter, $2R/V_s = 1/\dot{\gamma}$. For the suspension of colloidal rods, we define a rotational network relaxation time, $1/D_r$, where D_r is an experimentally determined rotational diffusion coefficient associated with rods in the semi-dilute regime.⁴⁰




FIG. 5. (a) Reduced sedimentation speed for fd and pf1 at an inclusion stress of $\tau_l = 1.6$ mPa with the SDE prediction in the case of pf1 for two values of K . (b) Reduced sedimentation speed as a function of reduced rod concentration c/c^* , for both systems fd wild type and pf1.

The rotational Peclet number for a spherical inclusion is expressed as follows:⁴⁰

$$Pe_r = \lambda \dot{\gamma} = \frac{V_s}{2R D_r}. \quad (7)$$

Figure 6(a) displays the experimental rotational Peclet number for fd and pf1 as a function of rod concentration rescaled by c^* . For both rods, the rotational Peclet number is always much smaller than 1, so the sedimentation is slower than network relaxation, and no shear thinning takes place for the considered systems, as experimentally confirmed by the absence of birefringence during sedimentation (see Fig. 1).²⁵ This validates the use of the zero-shear viscosity.

The fact that the experimental speeds are smaller than computed from the macroscopic SDE relation rather implies that the inclusions sense a higher viscosity than the zero-shear viscosity obtained from the bulk rheology. Hence, we need to understand whether a local flow-induced shear thickening could develop in front of the inclusion, as opposed to the shear thinning discussed above.




FIG. 6. Rotational (a) and translational (b) Peclet number for fd and pf1 as a function of rod concentration rescaled by c^* . The Peclet numbers are calculated using sedimentation rates from experiments. The characteristic diffusion times at the corresponding rod concentrations are extrapolated from Eq. (3).

In bulk rheology, a homogeneous shear flow is exerted on all the probed fluid. In contrast, a falling inclusion exerts an inhomogeneous, localized stress on the medium so that local microscopic effects around the inclusions need to be considered. Indeed, complex velocity patterns around inclusions were evidenced by particle tracking velocimetry in the vicinity of large inclusions falling in yield stress fluids^{17,25} and for shear-thinning fluids composed of anisotropic-like particles^{11,26} in the creeping flow regime. For small inclusions diffusing in fd virus networks, Kang^{34,35} assumed a local density variation below (higher rod density in the sedimentation front) and above (lower rod density in the sedimentation wake) inclusions. This may explain discrepancies between the viscosity in the vicinity of the inclusion and the one measured with macroscopic rheometry.

We showed above that in our experiments, the sedimentation of the inclusion does not affect the local orientational order. However, it could indeed be that there is a densification in front of the

sedimenting inclusion, which would lead to a higher local viscosity. Such a mechanism has been mentioned for inclusions sedimenting or creaming in cellulose suspensions.^{21,22} As the relevant relaxation mechanism for concentration gradients is translational diffusion, we introduce the experimentally defined translational relaxation time. It is defined as the time needed for a rod to translationally diffuse over the diameter of the inclusion, equilibrating local concentration gradients $(2R)^2/D_t$, where D_t is the parallel diffusion coefficient associated with rods. The corresponding translational Peclet number is now defined as

$$Pe_t = \lambda \gamma = 2R \frac{V_s}{D_t}. \quad (8)$$

Figure 6(b) displays the translational Peclet number for fd and pf1 as a function of the rescaled rod concentration. Over the full concentration range, Pe_t is about two orders of magnitude higher than the rotational Pe_r . This does indicate that the translational reorganization is not instantaneous, as is the case for the rotational reorganization. We hypothesize that a crowding effect in front of the inclusion is at the origin of the slower sedimentation speed observed, in comparison with the SDE prediction. However, the continuous decrease in Pe_t contradicts the observed constant deviation from SDE, especially at high concentrations. Moreover, at fixed concentrations, Pe_t is lower for pf1 than for fd, which cannot explain the larger discrepancy between experimental and theoretical speeds for pf1.

For a deeper understanding, we need to consider the fact that the data for fd and pf1 superimpose when plotted against the concentration scaled with the overlap concentration. This suggests that the sedimentation speed depends on the number of entanglements per rod, which is not the same as the tube diameter that is the base of Doi's theory, as reflected in Eq. (6). Although the relaxation of entanglements is still correctly described by Doi, given the correct concentration dependence of V_s and results from rheology,²⁰ there is apparently an extra contribution to the viscosity when dragging an inclusion through an entangled medium. Assuming that there is a densification in front of the falling inclusion, there will be an imbalance in the osmotic pressure between the wake and the front of the inclusion. This imbalance would drive the inclusion in the direction opposite to the sedimentation. This extra contribution would be concentration and length dependent. The overlap concentration is also key to explain the increased discrepancy for pf1 between experimental speeds and the SDE prediction when accounting for flexibility. Flexibility causes on the one side a lower macroscopic zero shear viscosity²⁰ due to the extra relaxation mode but hardly affects the overlap concentration. On the other side, the sedimenting inclusion is not sensitive to this relaxation as it does not affect the overlap concentration. This is an important notion, considering the many semi-flexible systems used in applications. When flexibility increases further, the overlap concentration will at some point be affected, as for linear polymers, for instance.

VI. CONCLUSION

Sedimenting, inert spherical inclusions suspended in semi-dilute dispersions of ideal monodisperse rod-like particles of lengths

0.88 μm and 2.1 μm were tracked. The influence of rod concentration, rod length, and inclusion stress on the sedimentation speed was investigated. We report a strong decrease in the reduced sedimentation speed as a function of rod concentration, and no apparent yield stress could be identified for the system. Using a theoretical prediction for the zero-shear viscosity of these systems, we find the correct concentration dependence of the sedimentation speed. Hence, the effect of the rod length is very pronounced so that a two-fold increase in the length of the rod slows down the sedimentation speeds by two orders of magnitude at a fixed concentration. The results for both rod lengths superimpose, however, when scaling the concentration with the overlap concentration, and there is a constant difference between experiment and theory of a factor of 2 and 4 for the shorter and longer rods, respectively. When flexibility is taken into account, the difference with theory is even larger. We infer that crowding in front of the inclusions, causing an increased viscosity, is not sufficiently equilibrated by translational diffusion of the rods. This phenomenon still needs a theoretical underpinning.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

See the [supplementary material](#) for the rotational and translational mean square displacements of virus particles at varying concentration.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant, Grant Agreement 641839. The JCNS-PGI workshop, Forschungszentrum Jülich, is acknowledged for assistance in design and realization of the capillary holder and other items on the home-build horizontal microscopic setup. Harmut Kriegs is acknowledged for general discussions on the setup. Karin Sellinghof is acknowledged for the preparation of fd virus suspensions.

There are no conflicts to declare.

REFERENCES

- C. Chung and D. J. McClements, "Structure-function relationships in food emulsions: Improving food quality and sensory perception," *Food Struct.* **1**, 106–126 (2014).
- M. Mehta, N. Shah, and S. Shah, "Gellified emulsion: A review of state of art and recent advances," *J. Pharm. Sci. Bioscientific Res.* **6**, 420–426 (2016).
- B. L. Damineli, V. M. John, B. Lagerblad, and R. G. Pileggi, "Viscosity prediction of cement-filler suspensions using interference model: A route for binder efficiency enhancement," *Cem. Concr. Res.* **84**, 8–19 (2016).
- W. She, Y. Du, C. Miao, J. Liu, G. Zhao, and J. Jiang, "Application of organic and nanoparticle-modified foams in foamed concrete: Reinforcement and stabilization mechanisms," *Cem. Concr. Res.* **106**, 12–22 (2018).
- L. Du and K. J. Folliard, "Mechanisms of air entrainment in concrete," *Cem. Concr. Res.* **35**, 1463–1471 (2005).
- A. Tran, M. L. Rudolph, and M. Manga, "Bubble mobility in mud and magmatic volcanoes," *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **294**, 11–24 (2015).
- N. Bagdassarov and H. Pinkerton, "Transient phenomena in vesicular lava flows based on laboratory experiments with analogue materials," *J. Volcanol. Geotherm. Res.* **132**, 115–136 (2004).
- R. P. Chhabra, *Bubbles, Drops, and Particles in Non-newtonian Fluids* (Taylor & Francis Group, 2007).
- N. Neiryneck, P. Van Der Meeren, S. Bayarri Gorbe, S. Dierckx, and K. Dewettinck, "Improved emulsion stabilizing properties of whey protein isolate by conjugation with pectins," *Food Hydrocolloids* **18**, 949 (2004).
- G. Ovarlez, F. Bertrand, P. Coussot, and X. Chateau, "Shear-induced sedimentation in yield stress fluids," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **177–178**, 19–28 (2012); [arXiv:1206.1505](#).
- H. Mohammadigoushki and S. J. Muller, "Sedimentation of a sphere in wormlike micellar fluids," *J. Rheol.* **60**, 587–601 (2016).
- Y. Holenberg, O. M. Lavrenteva, U. Shavit, and A. Nir, "Particle tracking velocimetry and particle image velocimetry study of the slow motion of rough and smooth solid spheres in a yield-stress fluid," *Phys. Rev. E* **86**, 066301 (2012).
- A. N. Beris, J. A. Tsamopoulos, R. C. Armstrong, and R. A. Brown, "Creeping motion of a sphere through a Bingham plastic," *J. Fluid Mech.* **158**, 219–244 (1985).
- J. Blackery and E. Mitsoulis, "Creeping motion of a sphere in tubes filled with a Bingham plastic material," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **70**, 59–77 (1997).
- M. Beaulne and E. Mitsoulis, "Creeping motion of a sphere in tubes filled with Herschel-Bulkley fluids," *J. Non-Newtonian Fluid Mech.* **72**, 55–71 (1997).
- E. Mitsoulis, "Flows of viscoplastic materials: Models and computations," *Rheol. Rev.* **135**, 135–178 (2007).
- A. M. Putz, T. I. Burghleale, I. A. Frigaard, and D. M. Martinez, "Settling of an isolated spherical particle in a yield stress shear thinning fluid," *Phys. Fluids* **20**, 033102 (2008).
- H. Tabuteau, P. Coussot, and J. R. de Bruyn, "Drag force on a sphere in steady motion through a yield-stress fluid," *J. Rheol.* **51**, 125–137 (2007).
- N. Koumakis, P. Ballesta, R. Besseling, W. C. K. Poon, and J. F. Brady, "Colloidal gels under shear: Strain rate effects," *AIP Conf. Proc.* **1518**, 365 (2013).
- C. Lang, J. Kohlbrecher, L. Porcar, A. Radulescu, K. Sellinghoff, J. K. G. Dhont, and M. P. Lettinga, "Microstructural understanding of the length- and stiffness-dependent shear thinning in semidilute colloidal rods," *Macromolecules* **52**(24), 9604–9612 (2019).
- H. Emady, M. Caggioni, and P. Spicer, "Colloidal microstructure effects on particle sedimentation in yield stress fluids," *J. Rheol.* **57**, 1761–1772 (2013).
- J. Song, M. Caggioni, T. M. Squires, J. F. Gilchrist, S. W. Prescott, and P. T. Spicer, "Heterogeneity, suspension, and yielding in sparse microfibrillar cellulose gels 1. bubble rheometer studies," *Rheol. Acta* **58**, 217–229 (2019).
- S. Mirzaagha, R. Pasquino, E. Iuliano, G. D'Avino, F. Zonfrilli, V. Guida, and N. Grizzuti, "The rising motion of spheres in structured fluids with yield stress," *Phys. Fluids* **29**, 093101 (2019).
- B. Gueslin, L. Talini, B. Herzhaft, Y. Peysson, and C. Allain, "Flow induced by a sphere settling in an aging yield-stress fluid," *Phys. Fluids* **18**, 103101 (2006).
- B. Gueslin, L. Talini, and Y. Peysson, "Sphere settling in an aging yield stress fluid: Link between the induced flows and the rheological behavior," *Rheol. Acta* **48**, 961–970 (2009).
- E. Verneuil, R. J. Phillips, and L. Talini, "Axisymmetric two-sphere sedimentation in a shear thinning viscoelastic fluid: Particle interactions and induced fluid velocity fields," *J. Rheol.* **51**, 1343–1359 (2007).
- M. M. Mrokowska and A. Krztoń-Maziopa, "Viscoelastic and shear-thinning effects of aqueous exopolymer solution on disk and sphere settling," *Langmuir* **9**, 2104–2107 (2019).
- S. Wu and H. Mohammadigoushki, "Sphere sedimentation in wormlike micelles: Effect of micellar relaxation spectrum and gradients in micellar extensions," *J. Rheol.* **62**, 1061–1069 (2018).
- Z. Wang, S. Wang, L. Xu, Y. Dou, and X. Su, "Extremely slow settling behavior of particles in dilute wormlike micellar fluid with broad spectrum of relaxation times," *J. Dispersion Sci. Technol.* **41**, 639–647 (2020).
- S. Daughan, L. Talini, B. Herzhaft, and Allain C. Aggregation of particles settling in shear-thinning fluids," *Eur. Phys. J. E* **7**, 73–81 (2002).
- Z. Dogic, J. Zhang, A. W. Lau, H. Aranda-Espinoza, P. Dalhaimer, D. E. Discher, P. A. Janmey, R. D. Kamien, T. C. Lubensky, and A. G. Yodh, "Elongation and fluctuations of semiflexible polymers in a nematic solvent," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **92**, 125503 (2004).

- ³²R. Oldenbourg, X. Wen, R. B. Meyer, and D. L. D. Caspar, "Orientational distribution function in nematic tobacco-mosaic-virus liquid crystals measured by x-ray diffraction," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61**, 1851–1854 (1988).
- ³³A. Zöttl, K. E. Klop, A. Balin, Y. Gao, J. M. Yeomans, and D. G. A. L. Aarts, "Dynamics of individual brownian rods in a microchannel flow," *Soft Matter* **15**, 5810–5814 (2019).
- ³⁴K. Kang, J. Gapinski, M. P. Lettinga, J. Buitenhuis, G. Meier, M. Ratajczyk, J. K. Dhont, and A. Patkowski, "Diffusion of spheres in crowded suspensions of rods," *J. Chem. Phys.* **122**, 044905 (2005).
- ³⁵K. Kang, A. Wilk, J. Buitenhuis, A. Patkowski, and J. K. Dhont, "Diffusion of spheres in isotropic and nematic suspensions of rods," *J. Chem. Phys.* **124**, 044907 (2006).
- ³⁶D. M. Guu, "Rod-sphere mixture in equilibrium and under shear flow," Ph.D. thesis, Heinrich Heine Universität, Düsseldorf, 2014.
- ³⁷J. C. Crocker and D. G. Grier, "Methods of digital video microscopy for colloidal studies," *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **179**, 298–310 (1996).
- ³⁸É. Guazzelli, "Sedimentation of small particles: How can such a simple problem be so difficult?," *C. R. Mec.* **334**, 539–544 (2006), observation, analysis and modelling in complex fluid media.
- ³⁹M. P. Lettinga, J. K. Dhont, Z. Zhang, S. Messlinger, and G. Gompper, "Hydrodynamic interactions in rod suspensions with orientational ordering," *Soft Matter* **6**, 4556–4562 (2010).
- ⁴⁰G. Kumar and G. Natale, "Settling dynamics of two spheres in a suspension of Brownian rods," *Phys. Fluids* **31**, 073104 (2019).