

ARTICLE


<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28032-1>

OPEN

Globally elevated chemical weathering rates beneath glaciers

Xiangying Li ^{1,2,3,17}✉, Ninglian Wang ^{1,2,4,17}✉, Yongjian Ding ^{5,6,7,17}✉, Jon R. Hawkings ⁸, Jacob C. Yde⁹, Robert Raiswell¹⁰, Jintao Liu ¹¹, Shiqiang Zhang ^{1,2}, Shichang Kang ^{5,6}, Rongjun Wang⁵, Qiao Liu ¹², Shiyin Liu ¹³, Roland Bol ^{14,15}, Xiaoni You¹⁶ & Guoyu Li ^{3,6}

Physical erosion and chemical weathering rates beneath glaciers are expected to increase in a warming climate with enhanced melting but are poorly constrained. We present a global dataset of cations in meltwaters of 77 glaciers, including new data from 19 Asian glaciers. Our study shows that contemporary cation denudation rates (CDRs) beneath glaciers ($2174 \pm 977 \Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$) are ~3 times higher than two decades ago, up to 10 times higher than ice sheet catchments ($\sim 150\text{--}2000 \Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$), up to 50 times higher than whole ice sheet means ($\sim 30\text{--}45 \Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$) and ~4 times higher than major non-glacial riverine means ($\sim 500 \Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$). Glacial CDRs are positively correlated with air temperature, suggesting glacial chemical weathering yields are likely to increase in future. Our findings highlight that chemical weathering beneath glaciers is more intense than many other terrestrial systems and may become increasingly important for regional biogeochemical cycles.

¹ Shaanxi Key Laboratory of Earth Surface System and Environmental Carrying Capacity, Northwest University, Xi'an, China. ² College of Urban and Environmental Sciences, Northwest University, Xi'an, China. ³ State Key Laboratory of Frozen Soil Engineering, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China. ⁴ CAS Center for Excellence in Tibetan Plateau Earth Sciences, Beijing, China. ⁵ State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science, Northwest Institute of Eco-Environment and Resources, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou, China. ⁶ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. ⁷ China-Pakistan Joint Research Center on Earth Sciences, CAS-HEC, Islamabad, Pakistan. ⁸ Department of Earth and Environmental Science, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA. ⁹ Department of Environmental Sciences, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Sogndal, Norway. ¹⁰ Cohen Biogeochemistry Laboratory, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK. ¹¹ College of Hydrology and Water Resources, Hohai University, Nanjing, China. ¹² Institute of Mountain Hazards and Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chengdu, China. ¹³ Institute of International Rivers and Eco-security, Yunnan University, Kunming, China. ¹⁴ Forschungszentrum Jülich IBG-3, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, Jülich, Germany. ¹⁵ School of Natural Sciences, Environment Centre Wales, Bangor University, Bangor, UK. ¹⁶ College of Resources and Environmental Engineering, Tianshui Normal University, Tianshui, China. ¹⁷ These authors contributed equally: Xiangying Li, Ninglian Wang, Yongjian Ding. ✉email: shaanxilxy@163.com; nlwang@nwu.edu.cn; dyl@lzb.ac.cn

Glaciers (here defined to include all glaciers but exclude ice sheets) and ice sheets play a substantial role in the global cycles of water^{1–3}, sediment^{4–6}, and elements^{7–12}. The highly efficient physical erosion beneath glaciers creates an abundance of reactive mineral surfaces^{13,14}, and the resultant glacier flour is susceptible to rapid chemical weathering because of very high microparticle surface areas¹⁵ and amorphous mineral coatings^{16–18}. Chemical weathering associated with glaciers (and ice sheets) may also be a CO₂ sink or source via dissolution of bedrock minerals (e.g., silicate, carbonate, and sulfide), thereby influencing carbon cycles and global climate^{16,19–23}.

Chemical weathering rates can be estimated using cation yields derived from mineral weathering reactions (referred to as crustal cation denudation rate or CDR)^{16,21,24–27} because major cations (K⁺, Na⁺, Mg²⁺, and Ca²⁺) in meltwaters are predominantly derived from crustal mineral weathering (with the proportion of ~70–99% being of total four cations)^{21,24,27–32}. To date, glacial CDRs have been quantified at almost thirty basins with published values ranging from ~36 to 56 $\Sigma^*\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ (Watson River in Greenland³³) to ~4160 $\Sigma^*\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ (Dokriani Glacier in the Himalayas³⁴). Global mean CDR was estimated at $740 \pm 830 \Sigma^*\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ for glaciers before 2000 (calculated from published CDRs at glacial basins in Asia, Alaska, Arctic Canada, Europe, Iceland, Svalbard, and Western Canada and USA sampled during 1963–1999)^{16,21,24,27,28,34–40}, which is almost two times higher than global continental mean CDR (~380–390 $\Sigma^*\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$)^{16,41–43}. However, previous estimates of CDRs in glacial catchments are outdated and incomplete^{16,44–46}. This study will update these estimates and identify the chemical and physical sampling needed for future progress.

Here we present concentrations of major cations in meltwaters of the 19 glaciers within Asia. The new data is combined with a global analysis of CDRs in glacial environments to help elucidate the role of future climate-driven glacier retreat and meltwater export in regional chemical weathering elemental cycles. We propose that the subglacial chemical weathering rates are directly correlated to changes in climate and glacial runoff, and subglacial chemical weathering will become increasingly important for regional elemental cycles and weathering-climate feedbacks in response to accelerated global glacier (and ice sheet) mass loss in a warming environment^{47–51}.

Results and discussion

Glacial cation concentrations. We developed a global database of major cation concentrations from 77 glaciers (63 mountain/valley glaciers and 14 ice sheet outlet glaciers) containing 5465 samples generated in this study and published literature (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Tables 1–3). For our 19 Asian glaciers, the dominant cation is Ca²⁺ for 14 of the 19 glaciers, followed by Mg²⁺, Na⁺, and K⁺ (Fig. 1B). This is consistent with published cation data from glaciers in Asia (Supplementary Fig. 1). However, at SG2, SG3, and SG4 in the Qilian and at MKG and YZG in the Kunlun, meltwater compositions are dominated by Mg²⁺ (Fig. 1B), suggesting the contribution of magnesium-rich minerals^{52–54}. Globally, Ca²⁺ is also the dominant cation in most glacial basins (Supplementary Fig. 1b). However, the dominant cation is Mg²⁺ in some basins within Asia, and Na⁺ and K⁺ in Scandinavia and Svalbard. The basin-mean cation concentrations range between one and two orders of magnitude, with arithmetic mean of $159 \pm 341 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Na⁺, $42.7 \pm 46.0 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for K⁺, $393 \pm 655 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Mg²⁺, and $581 \pm 225 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Ca²⁺ for the 19 Asian glaciers (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Table 4).

The range of cation concentrations for the 19 Asian glaciers (where data from 4 glaciers extended existing records) overlaps

the majority of previously published data from 28 glaciers in Asia and from other glaciers worldwide (Supplementary Fig. 2). However, mean cation concentrations for the 19 Asian glaciers are generally higher than previously published cation means for Asian glaciers and worldwide glaciers. For the 77 glaciers in the current global data set mean cation concentrations vary over two to three orders of magnitude (3.38 to $1323 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Na⁺, 2.31 to $177 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for K⁺, 6.50 to $2605 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Mg²⁺, and 11.5 to $1701 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Ca²⁺; Fig. 2b). Mean concentrations from glaciers ($93.3 \pm 177 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Na⁺, $34.6 \pm 29.0 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for K⁺, $191 \pm 321 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Mg²⁺, and $472 \pm 366 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Ca²⁺; Supplementary Table 5) are comparable to those from global non-glacial rivers ($265 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Na⁺, $59.0 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for K⁺, $342 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Mg²⁺, and $900 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ for Ca²⁺) after accounting for the uncertainty^{55,56}.

Diurnal variations in cation concentration. For the 19 Asian glaciers, hourly cation concentrations differ between one to three orders of magnitude (Fig. 3a, d, g, j) and display strong diurnal trends, with higher values in the morning hours (22:00–12:00 h the following day) and lower values in the afternoon and evening hours (13:00–21:00 h; Fig. 3b, e, h, k). Compared with seasonal change of glacial cations^{30,45,46,57–59}, the diurnal changes reflect the interaction time between water and rock/sediment coupled with meltwater dilution^{26,60,61}. Field observation showed that during the morning hours lower air temperature reduces the supraglacial melt, leading to less meltwater, lower proglacial runoff, and higher cation concentrations^{26,60–62}. Conversely, cation concentrations are diluted by increasing meltwater discharge and reduced transit times in the subglacial hydrological system later in the day (Fig. 3b, e, h, k). Our data are consistent with the diurnal changes for glacial runoff cations and/or electrical conductivity from Haut Glacier d’Arolla in Central Europe⁶¹, and from Qiyi Glacier and Dongkemadi Glacier in Asia^{26,60,62}. To identify the “most representative” daily sampling time, the ratios of hourly to daily mean cation concentrations were calculated (Fig. 3c, f, i, l). The hourly ratios range from 0.88 to 1.44 (with an average of 1.11) for Na⁺, 0.91 to 1.37 (1.08) for K⁺, 0.90 to 1.42 (1.11) for Mg²⁺, and 0.94 to 1.32 (1.07) for Ca²⁺ during the morning hours with high cation concentration and low runoff, in comparison to from 0.73 to 0.94 (0.85) for Na⁺, 0.83 to 0.98 (0.90) for K⁺, 0.73 to 0.98 (0.86) for Mg²⁺, and 0.85 to 1.04 (0.93) for Ca²⁺ during the afternoon and evening hours with low cation concentration and high runoff. The daily amplitude of these ratios provides a template that sampling at ~13:00 h or ~21:00 h can capture the “most representative” meltwater (Fig. 3c, f, i, l). This can be used to help estimate the daily mean concentrations and therefore the fluxes of glacial cations in future work on similar glacial systems.

Glacial chemical weathering and cation sources. Glacier meltwater chemistry is related to the principle weathering reactions, rock mineralogy, and drainage system configuration^{19,21,41,57,63}. The Gibbs plots and elemental stoichiometry were used to identify the sources of cations^{13,63,64}. Cation weathering products from glacial basins and regions confirm the dominance of rock weathering as the major source (Fig. 4a, b). Notably samples from MKG and YZG plot away from the other glaciers, which may be related to differences in the underlying geology. Na-normalized ratios for Ca²⁺ and Mg²⁺ are a useful tool for exploring the relative importance of different weathering processes^{26,33,65}. Clusters from the 19 Asian glaciers are widely distributed between silicate and carbonate end-members, but MKG and YZG are close to the silicate end-member (Fig. 4c). This suggests that glacial chemical weathering in the Kunlun has a higher relative




Fig. 1 Location of the 77 glaciers (red and yellow dots) and the percentage of mean cation concentration from eleven glacial regions (pie) globally. **a** 43 glaciers in eight mountain ranges within Asia, including the Altai (ATG; **A**), the Tianshan (TSG), the Qilian (QLG), the Kunlun (KLG), the Tanggula (TGG), the Pamir (PAG), the Hengduan (HDG), and the Himalayan (HMG) mountain ranges (**B**). Note that the mean cation concentrations from the 19 glaciers (yellow dots and bar plots; the horizontal lines above the bar plots indicate the standard deviations) in the Asian glacial region (ASG) were sampled as part of this study (**B**; Supplementary Tables 1–4). **b–k** 34 glaciers in other ten glacial regions outside of Asia (**A**; Supplementary Tables 1, 3 and 5), including Scandinavia (SCG; **b**), Svalbard and Jan Mayen (SJG; **c**), Central Europe (CEG; **d**), Iceland (ICG; **e**), Greenland Periphery (GPG; **f**), Arctic Canada (ACG; **g**), Western Canada and USA (CUG; **h**), Alaska (ALG; **i**), low Latitudes (LLG; **j**), and Antarctic and Subantarctic (ANG; **k**). Note that glaciers are shown in blue, and the numbers of glaciers used in this study are marked in each glacial region.

proportion of silicate to carbonate weathering than in other mountain ranges within Asia. In detail, carbonate weathering dominates the weathering regime for glaciers in Asia, Low Latitudes, Arctic Canada and Europe; however, in Svalbard, Iceland, Scandinavia, Greenland, and Antarctic and Subantarctic silicate weathering appears to be comparatively more important (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Table 6).

The chemical composition of meltwaters has been shown to be typically controlled by the presence of carbonate weathering in most glacial environments^{6,13,26,27,32,34,41,45,46,60,66}. Carbonate minerals (e.g., calcite, dolomite, and siderite) are preferentially weathered from glacial flour even if bulk bedrock is dominated by silicate minerals (e.g., potash feldspar, plagioclase, and illite)¹³. Previous studies also indicate that Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} are primarily derived from carbonate weathering and Na^+ and K^+ from silicate weathering in glacial environments^{19,27,67–69}. Our data set is consistent with this, reflected by higher contributions of Ca^{2+}

and Mg^{2+} to total cation load from the 19 Asian glaciers (71–97%) and from previously published glaciers (51–98%; except for Scott Turnerbeen with 49%; Supplementary Tables 3–5)³¹. In detail, dolomite may be a source of Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} at SG2, SG3, and SG4 which is supported by their similar concentrations (Supplementary Table 3 and Table 7). However, at MKG and YZG silicate and evaporite may be a key source of Mg^{2+} and Na^+ which fits with higher concentrations of Mg^{2+} and Na^+ than Ca^{2+} in glacial meltwaters (Fig. 4c, d).

Export of cations from glaciers. Estimates of cation fluxes should ideally be based on the discharge-weighted or mid-summer normalized cation data (to correct for variable flow and associated concentration effects; Figs. 2 and 3)^{26,45,46,57,61}. However, our global compilation of glacial cations (Supplementary Table 3) shows that discharge data are sparse and available for only a few glaciers. Future studies need to address this




Fig. 2 Mean cation concentrations in meltwaters for glaciers globally. **a** 19 glaciers in Asia generated in this study (Supplementary Table 4); **b** 77 glaciers in eleven glacial regions (Supplementary Tables 3 and 5). The boxes and horizon lines above indicate the mean cation concentration and standard deviations, respectively.

omission which will seriously hamper attempts to compare the regional weathering rates and generate accurate flux (and CDRs) estimates. Here we proceed to use our mean cation concentration data in combination with modeled meltwater flux data to produce a first-order estimate of regional cation fluxes from glaciers in Asia and other glacial regions and then generate a global estimate (Table 1). This approach is tested against estimates based on the methods of regional discharge-weighted cation concentration and regional discharge extrapolation using all data in nine non-ice sheet glacial regions (apart from Greenland Periphery, and Antarctic and Subantarctic) and the mid-summer data in six non-ice sheet glacial regions (apart from Alaska, Arctic Canada, and Svalbard), respectively, in the current global data set (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Table 8).

Within Asia, the highest cation flux of 337 Gg year^{-1} is found in the Kunlun, which has the largest glacier cover ($11,524 \text{ km}^2$) and mean cation concentrations (apart from K^+), despite the lowest specific discharge (0.43 m year^{-1}) of mountain ranges (Fig. 5a and Table 1). The other two mountain ranges with large glacier cover, the Tianshan (7180 km^2) and Himalayas (6821 km^2), also have high cation fluxes of 156 Gg year^{-1} and 271 Gg year^{-1} , respectively, compared to all other Asian glaciers. The smaller glacier-covered mountain ranges of Hengduan (1395 km^2) and Altai (179 km^2) have the lowest cation fluxes

of $14.9 \text{ Gg year}^{-1}$ and $11.8 \text{ Gg year}^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 5a). For the Asian glacial region ($121,694 \text{ km}^2$) we estimate a regional cation flux of $6305 \pm 5843 \text{ Gg year}^{-1}$ from Asian glaciers (Fig. 5b and Table 1). The glacial region with the highest glacier cover, Arctic Canada ($145,767 \text{ km}^2$), is also the region with the highest cation flux ($7796 \pm 321 \text{ Gg year}^{-1}$). The lowest cation flux of $37.0 \pm 36.4 \text{ Gg year}^{-1}$ is found in Scandinavia, one of glacial regions with least glacier cover (2851 km^2 ; Fig. 5b and Table 1).

For eight mountain ranges in Asia, glacial cation fluxes are linearly related to glacial area ($R^2 = 0.90$, $p < 0.01$; Supplementary Fig. 3a). This indicates a relationship between glacial area and runoff for mountain ranges ($R^2 = 0.65$, $p < 0.02$; Supplementary Fig. 3b). There are some exceptions to these trends. The Kunlun has a higher cation flux (337 Gg year^{-1}) than the Himalayas (271 Gg year^{-1}) even though glacial runoff is smaller (by around $5 \text{ km}^3 \text{ year}^{-1}$; Table 1). For ten glacial regions (including Greenland Periphery), cation fluxes are also closely related to glacial area ($R^2 = 0.74$, $p < 0.01$; Supplementary Fig. 3c), suggesting a close relationship between glacial area and melt ($R^2 = 0.95$, $p < 0.01$; Supplementary Fig. 3d). Notably, cation export from Asia only accounts for 25% of that from nine non-ice sheet glacial regions (see above) despite its relatively large percentage (32%) of total runoff (Table 1). Conversely, glacial runoff from Arctic Canada accounts for 19% of total runoff yet appears to account




Fig. 3 Hourly variations in cation concentration as well as the anomalies and ratios for the 19 Asian glaciers generated in this study over a three-day period. **a, d, g, j** Hourly concentrations; **b, e, h, k** Anomalies of hourly concentration (i.e., the difference between hourly and mean concentrations divided by mean concentrations); **c, f, i, l** Ratios of hourly to mean concentrations. Note that the diurnal changes were indicated by gray shadows (M and AE indicate the morning and afternoon/evening, respectively), the mean anomalies and ratios were indicated by green solid lines, and the pink dots indicate the time at 13:00 and 21:00 when hourly concentrations equal to the daily mean.

for 31% of cation export. This indicates that using a single glacier or even a few glaciers to represent a whole glacial region may introduce large uncertainties into the calculations of cation export owing to large spatial variations of cation yield and/or weathering processes.

Given that glacial runoff from these nine non-ice sheet glacial regions ($1130 \text{ km}^3 \text{ year}^{-1}$; Table 1) accounts for 79.0% of total glacial runoff globally (excluding ice sheets)⁷⁰, we can crudely estimate worldwide glacial cation export as $32,035 \pm 14,446 \text{ Gg year}^{-1}$ based on the discharge extrapolation (Table 1). This equals that calculated by the regional discharge-weighted mean cation concentrations from all glaciers in these nine non-ice sheet glacial regions multiplied by total glacial runoff (Supplementary Table 8). Based on mean cation concentrations from nine outlet glaciers in the Greenland Periphery^{22,54,71–73} (Supplementary Table 5) multiplied by total runoff ($542\text{--}652 \text{ km}^3 \text{ year}^{-1}$)^{9,74,75}, total cation export from the Greenland Ice Sheet (GIS) was estimated as ranging from 2158 to 2595 Gg year^{-1} (Table 1), consistent with another recent estimate of cation release from

the GIS ($2386 \text{ Gg year}^{-1}$)⁷⁶. Cation release from the Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS) was estimated as 1209 to 5584 Gg year^{-1} based on mean cation concentrations from five outlet glaciers in the Antarctic and Subantarctic multiplied by total runoff ($55\text{--}254 \text{ km}^3 \text{ year}^{-1}$)^{77,78} (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 5).

Cation export from glaciers worldwide is ~3% of that from global non-glacial rivers ($1,172,786 \text{ Gg year}^{-1}$; Table 1). However, we estimate it is currently higher (3.9–9.5 times) than the combined GIS and AIS, even though total runoff⁷⁰ is only 1.6 to 2.4 times higher (Fig. 5c and Table 1).

Our estimates contain substantial uncertainties, especially in the absence of catchment discharge-weighted mean cation concentration data from glaciers worldwide.

- (i) The largest source of uncertainty is the scarcity of data on cation concentration from non-ice sheet glaciers outside of Asia (43 glaciers and 3561 samples in Asia versus 20 glaciers and 1080 samples in the rest of the world; Fig. 1A and Table 1).




Fig. 4 Chemical weathering and cation sources for glaciers globally. **a, b** Gibbs plots [total dissolved solids (TDS) versus molar $\text{Na}/(\text{Na}+\text{Ca})$], and **c, d** Mixing diagrams of Na-normalized molar ratios for the 19 Asian glaciers generated in this study and for 77 glaciers in eleven glacial regions (Supplementary Table 6). Note that data within the blue dashed circles denote Meikuang Glacier (MKG) and Yuzhufeng Glacier (YZG) in the Kunlun (Fig. 1B), and cations are not corrected for the sea-salt and aerosol contributions.

- (ii) There is considerable regional variability and the data assembled here do not allow for any statistically valid interpretation about how glacier size, sampling location/season/duration, and sample size at basins with similar bedrock as well as diverse geology spatially influence the cation concentration. The timing and duration of sampling may result in large errors owing to the high fluctuation of seasonal cation concentrations and/or meltwater runoff^{26,45,46,57,61}. For example, daily mean Mg^{2+} concentration during 7–8 June is 9.4 times higher than during 8–9 August of 2013 at Dongkemadi Glacier in the Tanggula²⁶. Monthly mean concentration of Mg^{2+} during July is 0.8 times lower than during an entire melt season (June to September) of 2013 at Urumqi Glacier No.1 in the Tianshan⁴⁵.
- (iii) Proglacial chemical weathering occurs rapidly and may play an important role in the cation concentration and export^{26,79–81}, but the distance of 80% of sampling sites is below 2 km from ice margin in the current global data set (Supplementary Fig. 4) suggesting that the proglacial weathering will have relatively minor effects on cation exports in most cases.
- (iv) If only the mid-summer data ($n = 593$) is used from 24 non-ice sheet glaciers worldwide, the estimated glacier cation flux is ~64% of that using all data ($n = 4641$) from all non-ice sheet glaciers, which is lower but does not significantly change the conclusions of this study (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Tables 8, 9).
- (v) Geochemical data is currently not available for the large glaciers in Patagonia and Southern Andes (Fig. 1A). Although total runoff is comparatively small compared to global glacier runoff, glaciers in these regions are among the most rapidly retreating on Earth, and are likely to be regionally important in cation denudation.

Despite these reservations, our findings provide evidence that glaciers play a key role in catchment geochemical weathering and associated elemental mobilization.

Glacial chemical weathering rates. Chemical weathering rates for glaciers within Asia appear to be controlled by several variables. Glacial weathering in the Altai is impacted by a very high discharge (3.63 m year^{-1})^{82,83}, and the high observed Ca^{2+} concentration ($750 \mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$) is the main component of the very high CDR ($3425 \Sigma^*\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$) (Fig. 5d and Table 1). The lowest CDR of $486 \pm 105 \Sigma^*\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ is found in the Hengduan, where the specific discharge (0.65 m year^{-1}) and cation concentration are relatively low. Higher CDR from the Himalayas ($2000 \pm 1586 \Sigma^*\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$; Fig. 5d) is also consistent with a positive relationship between CDRs and specific discharge (Table 1). Lower CDRs and specific discharge along with slow glacier mass loss for mountain ranges on the Tibetan Plateau^{84,85} is further evidence of a link between meltwater generation and chemical weathering rates. On a regional scale, glacial CDR for Asia ($2655 \pm 2565 \Sigma^*\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$) is similar to Arctic Canada, Svalbard and Jan Mayen, Alaska,

Table 1 Cation flux ($\pm$ std; Gg year⁻¹) and crustal-derived cation denudation rate (CDR; $\pm$ std; Σ^+ meq⁺ m⁻² year⁻¹) for glaciers in eight mountain ranges within Asia, for glaciers in ten glacial regions (excluding ANG) globally, and for glaciers and ice sheets over the world, in comparison to the latitude (LAT; °), glacial area (ARE; km²), glacial runoff (RUN; km³ year⁻¹), specific discharge (SQ; m year⁻¹), mean annual air temperature (MAT; °C), and mean annual precipitation (MAP; mm).

	LAT ^a	ARE	RUN	SQ ^b	MAT ^c	MAP ^c	Cation flux Na ⁺	K ⁺	Mg ²⁺	Ca ²⁺	Total	CDR ^d	N
Regions													
ATG	48	179 ^e	0.65 ^f	3.657	-2.95	516	0.30 $\pm$ N/A	0.52 $\pm$ N/A	1.20 $\pm$ N/A	9.82 $\pm$ N/A	11.8 $\pm$ N/A	3425 $\pm$ N/A	1
TSG	42	7180 ^e	8.44 ^f	1.175	0.77	687	171 $\pm$ 19.0	141 $\pm$ 11.5	16.0 $\pm$ 13.8	109 $\pm$ 47.5	156 $\pm$ 91.8	1076 $\pm$ 622	942
QLG	38	1598 ^e	1.22 ^f	0.761	-2.26	486	142 $\pm$ 10.6	0.66 $\pm$ 0.21	6.06 $\pm$ 2.44	16.9 $\pm$ 9.46	25.0 $\pm$ 13.2	881 $\pm$ 448	260
KLG	37	11,524 ^e	5.00 ^f	0.434	-6.43	412	126 $\pm$ 36.6	5.12 $\pm$ 0.82	129 $\pm$ 38.3	76.9 $\pm$ 4.60	337 $\pm$ 80.3	1646 $\pm$ 405	48
TGG	33	1844 ^e	1.16 ^f	0.629	-6.56	753	144 $\pm$ 0.62	1.15 $\pm$ 0.81	130 $\pm$ 0.18	14.4 $\pm$ 1.74	18.3 $\pm$ 3.35	491 $\pm$ 78.2	71
PAG	39	2160 ^e	4.68 ^f	2.169	-2.42	877	6.65 $\pm$ 6.04	14.2 $\pm$ 13.2	4.39 $\pm$ 2.51	64.6 $\pm$ 30.6	89.8 $\pm$ 52.4	1936 $\pm$ 1057	88
HGG	29	1395 ^e	0.90 ^f	0.646	2.24	1650	0.59 $\pm$ 0.21	3.21 $\pm$ 0.76	0.67 $\pm$ 0.09	10.4 $\pm$ 3.31	14.9 $\pm$ 3.31	486 $\pm$ 105	623
HMG	31	6821 ^e	19.4 ^f	2.841	4.89	1889	24.8 $\pm$ 18.7	36.2 $\pm$ 15.3	43.0 $\pm$ 50.7	167 $\pm$ 112	271 $\pm$ 197	2000 $\pm$ 1586	1528
Global													
ASG	52	121,694 ^g	359 ^h	2.950	-2.66	550	723 $\pm$ 1528	634 $\pm$ 440	1074 $\pm$ 1680	3873 $\pm$ 2195	6305 $\pm$ 5843	2655 $\pm$ 2565	3561
ALG	61	86,715 ^g	338 ^h	3.898	-4.68	800	453 $\pm$ 243	404 $\pm$ 347	503 $\pm$ 311	5597 $\pm$ 1645	6958 $\pm$ 2545	3925 $\pm$ 1399	158
ACG	71	145,767 ^g	212 ^h	1.454	-14.1	353	241 $\pm$ 31.0	89.3 $\pm$ 15.0	446 $\pm$ 180	7019 $\pm$ 273	7796 $\pm$ 321	2696 $\pm$ 103	46
CEG	45	2063 ^g	9 ^h	4.363	10.2	948	2.83 $\pm$ 0.72	4.63 $\pm$ 1.22	8.88 $\pm$ 8.42	67.0 $\pm$ 55.2	83.4 $\pm$ 65.5	2088 $\pm$ 1701	363
ICG	63	11,060 ^g	51 ^h	4.611	0.12	1416	212 $\pm$ 161	15.1 $\pm$ 5.78	69.5 $\pm$ 54.0	579 $\pm$ 468	876 $\pm$ 689	3682 $\pm$ 2918	16
LLG	3	2346 ^g	15 ^h	6.394	24.4	1378	16.4 $\pm$ N/A	8.72 $\pm$ N/A	89.1 $\pm$ N/A	305 $\pm$ N/A	419 $\pm$ N/A	9683 $\pm$ N/A	19
SCG	66	2851 ^g	11 ^h	3.858	218	866	12.6 $\pm$ 10.1	4.48 $\pm$ 3.45	316 $\pm$ 161	16.8 $\pm$ 21.3	37.0 $\pm$ 36.4	545 $\pm$ 547	N/A
SIG	77	33,922 ^g	73 ^h	2.152	-9.27	564	850 $\pm$ 471	57.1 $\pm$ 22.0	417 $\pm$ 278	1018 $\pm$ 496	2342 $\pm$ 1267	2699 $\pm$ 1483	454
CUG	55	14,559 ^g	62 ^h	4.259	-0.24	574	25.2 $\pm$ 21.8	25.2 $\pm$ 26.9	68.4 $\pm$ 106	379 $\pm$ 342	498 $\pm$ 497	1749 $\pm$ 1817	>24
GPG	72	89,721 ^g	149 ^h	1.661	-19.7	360	129 $\pm$ 118	118 $\pm$ 79.4	56.6 $\pm$ 70.4	290 $\pm$ 197	593 $\pm$ 465	285 $\pm$ 233	807
Glaciers													
Global	N/A	726,792 ^g	1430 ^h	1.968	N/A	N/A	3212 $\pm$ 3164	1573 $\pm$ 1104	3391 $\pm$ 3131	23,859 $\pm$ 7047	32,035 $\pm$ 14,446	2174 $\pm$ 977	>4641
Ice sheets													
GIS	N/A	1,711,279 ^g	542 ⁱ	0.317	N/A	N/A	470 $\pm$ 428	428 $\pm$ 289	206 $\pm$ 256	1053 $\pm$ 717	2158 $\pm$ 1691	54.4 $\pm$ 44.5	807
AIS	N/A	12,295,000 ^g	652 ^k	0.381	N/A	N/A	566 $\pm$ 515	515 $\pm$ 348	248 $\pm$ 308	1267 $\pm$ 863	2595 $\pm$ 2034	65.5 $\pm$ 53.5	807
Global	N/A	14,006,279 ^{g,l}	55 ^m	0.004	N/A	N/A	328 $\pm$ 223	112 $\pm$ 52.4	519 $\pm$ 242	673 $\pm$ 360	1209 $\pm$ 681	4.51 $\pm$ 2.49	17
Rivers													
Global	N/A	14,006,279 ^{g,l}	254 ^m	0.021	N/A	N/A	1515 $\pm$ 1028	441 $\pm$ 211	519 $\pm$ 242	3109 $\pm$ 1664	5584 $\pm$ 3144	20.8 $\pm$ 11.5	824
Global	N/A	14,006,279 ^{g,l}	5971 ^m	0.321	N/A	N/A	798 $\pm$ 651	524 $\pm$ 335	318 $\pm$ 308	1726 $\pm$ 1078	3367 $\pm$ 2371	29.5 $\pm$ 23.5	824
Global	N/A	14,006,279 ^{g,l}	906 ^{k,m}	0.402	N/A	N/A	2080 $\pm$ 1543	956 $\pm$ 558	766 $\pm$ 550	4376 $\pm$ 2527	8179 $\pm$ 5178	43.1 $\pm$ 32.5	824
Global	N/A	N/A	38,452 ⁿ	N/A	N/A	N/A	234,557 ^o	88,440 ^o	157,653 ^o	692,136 ^o	1172,786	N/A	N/A

The eight mountain ranges within Asia include the Altai (ATG), the Tianshan (TSG), the Kunlun (KLG), the Tanggula (TGG), the Pamir (PAG), the Hengduan (HDG), and the Himalayan (HMG) mountain ranges. The ten glacial regions globally include Asia (ASG), Alaska (ALG), Arctic Canada (ACG), Central Europe (CEG), Iceland (ICG), Low Latitudes (LLG), Scandinavia (SCG), Svalbard, and Jan Mayen (SIG), Greenland Periphery (GPG), and Greenland Ice Sheet. Note that GIS and AIS denote Greenland Ice Sheet and Antarctic Ice Sheet, respectively. N denotes sample size.

Note: Cation fluxes were not corrected for the sea-salt and aerosol contributions, and N/A denotes no available data.

^aValue denotes the middle latitudes of the geographical range in which the mountain ranges or glacial regions are located.

^bValue from the ERA-Interim (ERA-I) datasets, which can be downloaded from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF)⁹⁶. The spatial resolution of the data is ~ 20 km $\times$ 20 km on 60 vertical levels. Data on '2 m temperature' and 'total precipitation' were downloaded in netcdf format for the period January 1981 to December 2019, which overlaps, at least in part, with the time period over which most of cation data were collected. These were binned into ArcGIS 10.5 using the 'Conversion Tools' and 'Spatial Analysis Tools'.

^cValue was corrected by the mean percentages of crustal-derived "Na⁺", "K⁺", "Mg²⁺", and "Ca²⁺" fluxes to the Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, and Ca²⁺ fluxes, respectively, from 2 glaciers^{27,32} for Asian mountain ranges and ASG (79.9% for Na⁺, 99.7% for K⁺, 98.6% for Mg²⁺, and 99.9% for Ca²⁺), from >8 glaciers^{24,28-30} for SIG (33.9%, 85.1%, 79.0%, and 98.9%), from 1 glacier²¹ for CEG (87.0%, 99.6%, 98.8%, and 100%), and from 1 glacier³¹ for GIS and AIS (67.0%, 94.8%, 92.2%, and 99.6%).

^dValue from Liu et al.⁸³.

^eValue from Wang⁸².

^fValue from Pfeiffer et al.⁹⁷.

^gValue from Bliss et al.¹⁰.

^hValue from Pfeiffer et al.⁹⁷.

ⁱValue from Pfeiffer et al.⁹⁷.

^jValue from Pfeiffer et al.⁹⁷.

^kValue from Kargel et al.⁹⁸.

^lValue from Kargel et al.⁹⁸.

^mValue from the average melt estimates for surface runoff during 1991-2000⁷⁷ and the theoretical model predictions for basal melt rate of 65 km³ a⁻¹ with a standard deviation of $\pm 50\%$ for the minimum and maximum estimates⁷⁸.

ⁿValue from a literature with a global long-term mean annual runoff of 38,452 km³ year⁻¹⁹⁹.

^oValue was calculated by mean concentrations (265 μ eq L⁻¹ for Na⁺, 59.0 μ eq L⁻¹ for K⁺, 342 μ eq L⁻¹ for Mg²⁺, and 900 μ eq L⁻¹ for Ca²⁺)^{55,56} multiplied by discharge⁹⁹.




Fig. 5 Cation flux and cation denudation rate for glaciers and ice sheets globally. a, d Glaciers in eight mountain ranges within Asia; **b, e** Glaciers in ten glacial regions (excluding ANG; Fig. 1); **c, f** Glaciers (GLA), Greenland Ice Sheet (GIS) and Antarctic Ice Sheet (AIS; Table 1). Note that L and H in the bottom plots (c, and f) denote the high- and low-end estimates, respectively, and the horizontal lines above the bars indicate the standard deviations.

Western Canada and USA, Central Europe and Iceland, and in the intermediate range of regional CDRs (285 to 9683 $\Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$; Fig. 5e and Table 1).

Our data indicate that regional variability in glacial chemical weathering rates is related to the hydrological regime. We find a positive linear relationship between glacial CDRs and specific discharge on both mountain range (for eight mountain ranges in Asia; $R^2 = 0.77$, $p < 0.01$) and regional (for ten glacial regions worldwide; $R^2 = 0.46$, $p < 0.04$) scales (Fig. 6a, b). The highest CDR of 9683 $\Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ is estimated for glaciers in the low latitude region, where the specific discharge is very high (6.39 m year^{-1}). The lowest regional glacial CDR of 285 ± 233 $\Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ we estimated occurs in Greenland Periphery (with a specific discharge of 1.66 m year^{-1} ; Fig. 5e and Table 1). The disproportionately high denudation rates from low latitude glaciers reflect high rates of mass loss^{50,70,86} as well as potentially higher rates of physical erosion compared to glaciers at high latitudes^{14,87}, creating an abundance of reactive mineral surfaces.

Glacial cation denudation rates in glacial catchments appear to be globally elevated. Current CDR from glaciers globally (2174 ± 977 $\Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$) is ~3 times higher than previous mean glacial CDR (740 ± 830 $\Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$, see above). Furthermore, glacial CDR is ~3 times higher than the mean cation yield [~ 800 $\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ ($380\text{--}1233$ $\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$), which is estimated by the discharge-weighted cation yield of 14.8 tons $\text{km}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$] for Greenland Ice Sheet catchments (~ 150 to 2000 $\text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$, which is estimated

by 2.6–37.6 tons $\text{km}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ from Leverett Glacier, Watson River, Proglacial zone of Watson River, Kiattuut Sermiat and “N” Glacier²⁵, and is approaching two orders of magnitude higher than CDR when compared to the whole ice sheet ($29.5\text{--}43.1$ $\Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$; Fig. 5f and Table 1). Glacial CDR is also ~4 times higher than the average CDR (~ 500 $\Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$) for the major world’s rivers (~ 200 to 1000 $\Sigma^* \text{meq}^+ \text{m}^{-2} \text{year}^{-1}$ from Kolyma, Mackenzie, Ob, Nile, and Mississippi rivers)⁸⁸ and ~6 times higher than the global river mean CDR (see above)^{16,41–43}.

CDRs from glacial basins worldwide also show a linear relationship to specific discharge on a basin scale (for >29 glacial basins globally; $R^2 = 0.25$, $p < 0.01$; Fig. 6c and Supplementary Table 10). Glacial CDRs are positively related to mean annual temperature ($0.18 < R^2 < 0.51$, $p < 0.03$) and mean annual precipitation ($0.26 < R^2 < 0.42$, $p < 0.05$) on both basin and regional scales (Fig. 6e, f, h, i). This indicates that climate warming driven meltwater runoff increase^{50,51} is a key control of glacier chemical denudation. The inverse CDR response to increasing latitude on both basin and regional scales ($0.40 < R^2 < 0.69$; $p < 0.01$; Fig. 6k, l and Supplementary Table 10) is further evidence of the link between climatic warming, meltwater generation (specific discharge), and chemical weathering rates. This suggests that cation export will increase as glacier and ice sheet melt accelerates, while it will decrease as glaciers and/or ice sheets are removed from the landscape (or reaches a critical threshold). Geographically widespread studies are needed to further constrain estimates




Fig. 6 Relationships between cation denudation rate (CDR) and specific discharge (SQ), mean annual air temperature (MAT), mean annual precipitation (MAP), and the latitude (LAT) for glaciers globally. a, d, g, j Glaciers in eight mountain ranges within Asia; **b, e, h, k** Glaciers in ten glacial regions (excluding ANG; Table 1); **c, f, i, l** Glaciers at more than 29 glacial basins worldwide (Supplementary Table 10). Note that the horizontal lines above/below the symbols indicate the standard deviation.

and help further address these relationships. Over the next few decades, non-ice sheet glaciers are likely to become increasingly important in chemical weathering budgets and related regional elemental cycles. This study highlights the role of glaciers on the catchment scale chemical weathering rates and emphasizes the poorly understood biogeochemical consequences of waning glacier cover with predicted warming of the Earth's climate.

Methods

Study area. Fieldwork was conducted at the 19 glaciers in Asia, including four glaciers (KOG, DGG, BGG, and HGG) in the Tianshan, six glaciers (SG2, SG3, SG4, YG1, YG5, and LHG) in the Qilian, two glaciers (MKG, and YZG) in the

Kunlun, one glacier (DKG) in the Tanggula, three glaciers (GG1, GG2, and GG3) in the Pamir, two glaciers (HLG, and HG2) in the Hengduan, and one glacier (RBG) in the Himalayan mountain ranges (Figs. 1B, and Supplementary Fig. 5 and Tables 1, 2). In this area, the regional climate is influenced by Indian monsoon and westerlies, with limited influence from East Asian monsoon on the Tibetan Plateau. In addition, mineral compositions of proglacial deposits at KOG are dominated by potash feldspar, quartz, and plagioclase (93.4%), followed by illite, calcite, dolomite, kaolinite, and siderite (6.4%), and chlorite, pyrite, and gypsum (0.2%) (Supplementary Table 6). This is similar to the dominance of mineral compositions at DKG in the Tanggula²⁶, at HLG in the Hengduan⁴⁶, at Urumqi Glacier No.1 in the Tianshan⁴⁵, and at Qiye Glacier in the Qilian⁶⁰ within Asia.

Sampling and laboratory analysis. Glacier outflows from the 19 Asian glaciers were sampled manually at locations as close to ice margin as possible during

fieldwork that is supported by the PRC Ministry of Science and Technology (Supplementary Fig. 5). The distance of sampling sites from ice margin ranged from 10 to 100 s of meters, depending on the confluence conditions and the accessibility of meltwater river channels. Bulk meltwater samples ($n = 591$) were taken every hour over a 1–4 day period in July–October of 2007 or April–August of 2008 to observe the diurnal process of cation concentrations and relevant drainage system in glacial environments (Supplementary Tables 2 and 3). Before sampling, pre-cleaned bottles were rinsed three times in situ by river water. In 2013, glacial deposits ($n = 10$; diameter is below 5 mm) were sampled as close to the ice margin and river channels as possible in the proglacial area at KOG to characterize their mineral compositions (Supplementary Table 7). After collection samples were immediately frozen and transported to the State Key Laboratory of Cryospheric Science of the Chinese Academy of Sciences for chemical analysis. Samples were immediately filtered through 0.45 μm cellulose nitrate membranes after melting at room temperature (Supplementary Table 1). Concentrations of total dissolved solids were determined with a precision of $\pm 1\%$ using a CON410 meter. Major dissolved cations (Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+}) were determined by ion chromatography using a Dionex-600 fitted with an IonPac CS-12A-HC column, using 20 mM MSA eluent and a CSRS-ULTRA-II suppressor. The detection limits for all ions were below 0.85 $\mu\text{eq L}^{-1}$ and the precision was better than $\pm 1\%$ for all measured ions. The comparative experiments on some samples indicated that the influence of the frozen-melt process after sampling on cation concentrations is negligible. The mineral compositions were determined by X-ray diffraction (D/Max-IIIB) using a copper butt, step-continuous scanning with $4^\circ/\text{min}$ of speed, and tube 40 kV and 25 mA^{26,60}.

Compiled data set for cations and glacial runoff. Published cation concentrations for meltwater runoff ($n = 4874$) were compiled from 62 glaciers in eleven glacial regions (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Table 3). This data set includes 28 glaciers located in Asia (ASG), 2 glaciers in Alaska (ALG), 1 glacier in Arctic Canada (ACG), 5 glaciers in Central Europe (CEG), 2 glaciers in Iceland (ICG), 1 glacier in Low Latitudes (LLG), 1 glacier in Scandinavia (SCG), 4 glaciers in Svalbard and Jan Mayen (SJG), 4 glaciers in Western Canada and USA (CUG), 9 outlet glaciers in Greenland Periphery (GPG), and 5 outlet glaciers in Antarctic and Subantarctic (ANG; Supplementary Tables 1 and 3). This data set is combined with new data from the 19 glaciers (see above) in eight mountain ranges (ATG, TSG, QLG, KLG, TGG, PAG, HDG, and HMG) within Asia generated in this study to produce a global data set (where cations were not corrected for the sea-salt and aerosol contributions) containing 5465 samples from 77 glaciers (including 14 ice sheet outlet glaciers) worldwide (Fig. 1A and Supplementary Table 3).

Modeled annual glacial runoff for eight mountain ranges within Asia during 2000–2014 was taken from Wang⁸², and the modeled runoff for other ten glacial regions (excluding ANG; see above) and global glaciers (Table 1) during 2003–2022 were taken from Bliss and others⁷⁰. In brief, annual glacial runoff from Asian mountain ranges was calculated based on a glacier mass balance model and a glacier runoff model. The mass balance model was run on a monthly timescale^{89,90}, driven by monthly precipitation and air temperature. For the debris-free glaciers, ice surface ablation was calculated based on a degree-day model. But for the debris-covered glaciers, an ablation influencing factor was introduced to the degree-day model based on a relationship between the debris thickness and sub-ice ice melt rates⁹¹. The runoff model was proposed by Bliss and others⁷⁰, in which the evaporation, sublimation, and any storage changes (groundwater, englacial water, and subglacial water) were assumed to be negligible⁹². Notably, glacier mass balance is the basis of the runoff model, and all variables involved are integrated over an entire glacier-covered area. The same input data, calibrated parameters for the mass balance model were utilized to drive the glacier runoff model, and the observations from 45 monitored glaciers were used to calibrate related parameters⁹³, following the method of Radic and Hock^{89,90}. To improve the accuracy of modeling results, the ASTER DEMs-derived geodetic glacier mass balance from Brun and others⁹⁴ were used to further constrain the modeled results. In detail, the glacier mass balance and glacier runoff models are briefly illustrated below.

Glacier mass balance model. The monthly-scale mass balance model^{89,90} was used in this study to model glacier mass balance for mountain ranges in High Mountain Asia during 1952–2014. In detail, the primary input data involved in this model includes monthly precipitation and air temperature. Glacier area-weighted specific mass balance (B) for the whole glacier in each mountain range was computed as a sum of specific mass balance of each elevation band on a glacier.

$$B = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i \times S_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n S_i} \quad (1)$$

where b_i and S_i denote specific mass balance and glacier area, respectively, and subscripts (i) represent the number of elevation band on a glacier ($i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$).

Monthly specific mass balance (b_i) of each elevation band on a glacier (with an interval of 50 m) was calculated as

$$b_i = a_i + c_i + R_i \quad (2)$$

where a_i denotes glacier surface ablation (negative), c_i represents glacier mass accumulation (positive), and R_i refers to snowmelt refreezing (positive) at each elevation band.

For debris-free glaciers, the glacier surface ablation (a_i) was calculated based on a degree-day model, in which snow/ice melt is considered as a linear correlation to monthly air temperature. Here, monthly a_i (mm w.e.) was expressed as

$$a_i = f_{\text{snow/ice}} \times \int \max(T_i, 0) dt \quad (3)$$

where $f_{\text{snow/ice}}$ denotes degree-day factor for snow/ice (mm w.e. $\text{d}^{-1} \text{ } ^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$), and T_i denotes monthly air temperature ($^\circ\text{C}$) above the glacier surface.

For debris-covered glaciers, the relationship between debris thickness and glacier surface ablation⁹¹ was used to calculate the effect of debris cover on glacier surface ablation. In detail, an averaged curve for debris thickness and surface ablation was used in the mass balance model to calculate the reduction of ice ablation due to debris cover. To calculate the ablation of debris-covered glaciers, the ablation factor k_i was introduced to quantify the effect of debris on glacier surface ablation. Thus, monthly glacier surface ablation due to debris cover ($a_{i,\text{debris}}$) was calculated as

$$a_{i,\text{debris}} = k_i \times f_{\text{snow/ice}} \times \int \max(T_i, 0) dt \quad (4)$$

where k_i denotes the scale factor of each elevation band (i) which depends on the debris thickness.

Monthly glacier mass accumulation for each elevation band c_i (mm w.e.) was calculated as

$$c_i = \delta_m \times P_i \begin{cases} \delta_m = 1, T_i < T_{\text{snow}} \\ \delta_m = 0, T_i \geq T_{\text{snow}} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

where δ_m is a constant, T_i denotes air temperature at each elevation band, and T_{snow} represents the threshold temperature. If T_i is below T_{snow} , P_i is assumed to be snow; if not, P_i is regarded as liquid precipitation.

Based on the relationship between annual potential refreezing $R_{i,\text{pot}}$ (cm) and air temperature T_a ($^\circ\text{C}$) at each elevation band⁹⁵, $R_{i,\text{pot}}$ was calculated as

$$R_{i,\text{pot}} = -0.69 \times T_a + 0.0096 \quad (6)$$

where the lower boundary of annual snowmelt refreezing over the whole glacier is zero, while an upper boundary is applied in the ablation zone and assumed equal to the accumulated snow. Monthly snow meltwater frozen on glacier surface (R_i) does not flow away until accumulated melt in a mass balance year exceeds the annual potential refreezing ($R_{i,\text{pot}}$).

To interpolate the Climatic Research Unit Time-Series (CRU TS) monthly temperature at each elevation band, two temperature lapse rates (T_{lap} , and G_{lap}) were utilized. T_{lap} is a parameter similar to a 'statistical lapse rate' between the CRU TS altitude of grid cell with glacier location (h_{CRU}) and the highest elevation of glacier (h_{max}), while G_{lap} denotes glacier surface temperature mainly taking into account glacier surface difference, such as orientation and glacier surface climate environment. Monthly air temperature at each elevation band (T_i) was calculated as

$$T_i = T_{\text{CRU}} + T_{\text{lap}} \times (h_{\text{max}} - h_{\text{CRU}}) + G_{\text{lap}} \times (h - h_{\text{max}}) \quad (7)$$

where T_{CRU} denotes the CRU TS monthly air temperature during the period of 1952–2014, and h represents the altitude of glacier elevation band.

To interpolate the CRU TS monthly precipitation to h_{max} , a precipitation correction factor (k_p) was assigned, while a precipitation gradient (d_{pre}) was used to interpolate precipitation to each elevation band (the percentage of precipitation decreases with every 50 m decreases in elevation) from the highest to lowest elevation of the glacier. Thus, monthly precipitation at each elevation band was calculated as

$$P_i = k_p \times P_{\text{CRU}} \times [1 + d_{\text{pre}} \times (h - h_{\text{max}})] \quad (8)$$

where P_{CRU} denotes CRU TS monthly grid precipitation for 1952–2014 at the cell of the glacier located.

Glacier runoff model. According to the method proposed by Bliss and others⁷⁰, glacier runoff was calculated using a water balance approach. For individual glaciers in mountain range within High Mountain Asia during 1952–2014, annual glacier runoff (Q_i) at each elevation band on a glacier was calculated as:

$$Q_i = \sum_{j=1}^n S_j \times (a_j + P_{\text{liq},j} - R_j) \quad (9)$$

where a_i denotes the melt of ice, firn and snow, as in Eq. (3), which is meltwater from glacier net mass loss. $P_{\text{liq},j}$ represents liquid precipitation as in Eq. (8). Notably, if T_m is above the threshold temperature (T_{snow}), P_i is regarded as liquid precipitation. R_i refers to potential refreezing at each elevation band as in Eq. (6). S_j indicates glacier area of each elevation band j .

Cation flux estimates. To calculate the annual cation fluxes from eight mountain ranges and nine non-ice sheet glacial regions (Fig. 1) we used mean annual runoff^{70,82} multiplied by data set mean Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} concentrations to produce annual Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 5). These were used to generate cation fluxes for each mountain range or

glacial region (Table 1). Annual cation flux from all glaciers worldwide was estimated by two methods: (i) the discharge extrapolation of annual Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes from nine non-ice sheet glacial regions based on the percentage of annual glacial runoff from these nine glacial regions to annual glacial runoff globally⁷⁰ and then the extrapolated annual Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes were summed, and (ii) the multiplication of regional discharge-weighted mean Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} concentrations (as the mean cation concentrations for glaciers worldwide) by annual glacial runoff globally⁷⁰ and then annual Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes were summed (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 8). In order to evaluate the effect of discharge-concentration seasonality on estimated cation flux from global glaciers, annual cation flux from global glaciers was also estimated by the discharge-weighted method as described above based on the mid-summer (July–August) data ($n = 593$) from 24 glaciers worldwide in six non-ice sheet glacial regions (ASG, CEG, ICG, LLG, SCG, and CUG; Supplementary Tables 8 and 9). Similar extrapolations have been adopted in recent estimates of element flux from glaciers and/or ice sheets^{10–12,26,66}. The approaches are simplistic but provide a first-order estimate of cation fluxes on the mountain range and regional scales. The approaches taken assume that glaciers with available data are representative of entire mountain ranges or glacial regions, which is unlikely given the differences in geology, glacial hydrology, and temporal variation in sampling. Error estimates of cation fluxes were calculated from the standard deviation of data set mean cation concentrations multiplied by annual glacial runoff (Table 1).

Cation denudation rate estimates. To calculate the crustal CDRs we use the annual Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes divided by glacial area^{11,12} (asterisks indicate crustal-derived solutes; Table 1). The annual Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} and Ca^{2+} fluxes were estimated by the annual Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes as described above multiplied by the mean contribution (%) of the annual Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes to the annual total Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes which is calculated based on published data from 2 glaciers in Asia, more than 8 glaciers in Svalbard, 1 glacier in Central Europe and 1 outlet glacier in Greenland for each mountain range or glacial region (Table 1). In detail, for eight mountain ranges in Asia and the Asian glacial region, the mean contributions of the annual Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes to the annual total Na^+ , K^+ , Mg^{2+} , and Ca^{2+} fluxes were calculated based on the contributions of crustal-derived cations to total cations at Batura Glacier²⁷ and Hailuoguo Glacier³². For the SJG, the mean contributions were calculated based on the crustal-derived cation contributions at Scott Turnerbrene²⁸, Austre Brøggerbrene²⁴, Erdmannbrene²⁴, Midre Løvenbrene²⁴, Hannabreene²⁴, Erikbrene²⁴, Longyearbrene³⁰, and Bayelva River²⁹ in Svalbard. For the CEG, the mean contributions were calculated based on the crustal-derived cation contributions at Haut Glacier d'Arolla²¹ in Central Europe. For the GIS and AIS, the mean contributions were calculated based on the crustal-derived cation contributions at Kuannersuit Glacier³¹ in the Greenland. For the other six glacial regions (ALG, ACG, ICG, LLG, SCG, and CUG) and global glaciers, the mean contributions were calculated based on the crustal-derived cation contributions at all non-ice sheet glaciers (apart from Kuannersuit Glacier) because data are not available in these six regions (Table 1).

Data availability

All data used in this study are present in supplementary materials and have been deposited in the National Tibetan Plateau/Third Pole Environment Data Center (<http://data.tpdc.ac.cn/en/disallow/5a1eb9ee-462a-4840-a81-98caf3738665/>).

Received: 1 February 2021; Accepted: 3 January 2022;

Published online: 20 January 2022

References

- Butz, D. The agricultural use of melt water in Hopar settlement, Pakistan. *Ann. Glaciol.* **13**, 35–39 (1989).
- Jansson, P., Hock, R. & Schneider, T. The concept of glacier storage: a review. *J. Hydrol.* **282**, 116–129 (2003).
- Immerzeel, W. W., van Beek, L. P. & Bierkens, M. F. Climate change will affect the Asian water towers. *Science* **328**, 1382–1385 (2010).
- Haritashya, U. K., Singh, P., Kumar, N. & Gupta, R. P. Suspended sediment from the Gangotri Glacier: Quantification, variability and associations with discharge and air temperature. *J. Hydrol.* **321**, 116–130 (2006).
- Overeem, I. et al. Substantial export of suspended sediment to the global oceans from glacial erosion in Greenland. *Nat. Geosci.* **10**, 859–863 (2017).
- Singh, V. B., Ramanathan, A. L., Pottakkal, J. G. & Kumar, M. Seasonal variation of the solute and suspended sediment load in Gangotri Glacier meltwater, central Himalaya, India. *J. Asian Earth Sci.* **79**, 224–234 (2014).
- Hawkins, J. R. et al. The Greenland ice sheet as a hot spot of phosphorus weathering and export in the Arctic. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **30**, 191–210 (2016).
- Hawkins, J. R. et al. Ice sheets as a missing source of silica to the polar oceans. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14198 (2017).
- Hawkins, J. R. et al. Ice sheets as a significant source of highly reactive nanoparticulate iron to the oceans. *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3929 (2014).
- Hood, E., Battin, T. J., Fellman, J., O'Neel, S. & Spencer, R. G. M. Storage and release of organic carbon from glaciers and ice sheets. *Nat. Geosci.* **8**, 91–96 (2015).
- Li, X. et al. Dissolved iron supply from Asian glaciers: local controls and a regional perspective. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **33**, 1223–1237 (2019).
- Li, X. et al. Importance of mountain glaciers as a source of dissolved organic carbon. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* **123**, 2123–2134 (2018).
- Tranter, M. & Wadham, J. L. in *Treatise on Geochemistry* 5 (eds Holland, H. D. & Turekian, K. K.) 189–205 (2014).
- Hallet, B., Hunter, L. & Bogen, J. Rates of erosion and sediment evacuation by glaciers: A review of field data and their implications. *Glob. Planet. Change.* **12**, 213–235 (1996).
- Telling, J. et al. Rock comminution as a source of hydrogen for subglacial ecosystems. *Nat. Geosci.* **8**, 851–855 (2015).
- Anderson, S., Drever, J. & Humphrey, N. Chemical weathering in glacial environments. *Geology* **25**, 399–402 (1997).
- Blackburn, T. et al. Composition and formation age of amorphous silica coating glacially polished surfaces. *Geology* **47**, 347–350 (2019).
- Petrovich, R. Kinetics of dissolution of mechanically comminuted rock-forming oxides and silicates—I. Deformation and dissolution of quartz under laboratory conditions. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **45**, 1665–1674 (1981).
- Hatton, J. E. et al. Investigation of subglacial weathering under the Greenland Ice Sheet using silicon isotopes. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **247**, 191–206 (2019).
- Raymo, M. & Ruddiman, W. F. Tectonic forcing of Late Cenozoic climate. *Nature* **359**, 117–122 (1992).
- Sharp, M., Tranter, M., Brown, G. H. & Skidmore, M. Rates of chemical denudation and CO_2 drawdown in a glacier-covered alpine catchment. *Geology* **23**, 61–64 (1995).
- Graly, J., Harrington, J. & Humphrey, N. Combined diurnal variations of discharge and hydrochemistry of the Isunnguata Sermia outlet, Greenland Ice Sheet. *Cryosphere* **11**, 1131–1140 (2017).
- Hilton, R. G. & West, A. J. Mountains, erosion and the carbon cycle. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* **1**, 284–299 (2020).
- Hodson, A., Tranter, M. & Vatne, G. Contemporary rates of chemical denudation and atmospheric CO_2 sequestration in glacier basins: an Arctic perspective. *Earth Surf. Process. Landf.* **25**, 1447–1471 (2000).
- Urra, A. et al. Weathering dynamics under contrasting Greenland ice sheet catchments. *Front. Earth Sci.* **7**, 299 (2019).
- Li, X. et al. Diurnal dynamics of minor and trace elements in stream water draining Dongkemadi Glacier on the Tibetan Plateau and its environmental implications. *J. Hydrol.* **541**, 1104–1118 (2016).
- Hodson, A., Porter, P., Lowe, A. & Mumford, P. Chemical denudation and silicate weathering in Himalayan glacier basins: Batura Glacier, Pakistan. *J. Hydrol.* **262**, 193–208 (2002).
- Hodgkins, R., Tranter, M. & Dowdeswell, J. A. Solute provenance, transport and denudation in a high arctic glacierized catchment. *Hydrol. Process.* **11**, 1813–1832 (1997).
- Krawczyk, W. E., Lefauconnier, B. & Pettersson, L.-E. Chemical denudation rates in the Bayelva Catchment, Svalbard, in the Fall of 2000. *Phys. Chem. Earth* **28**, 1257–1271 (2003).
- Yde, J. C., Riger-Kusk, M., Christiansen, H., Knudsen, N. & Humlum, O. Hydrochemical characteristics of bulk meltwater from an entire ablation season, Longyearbrene, Svalbard. *J. Glaciol.* **54**, 259–272 (2008).
- Yde, J. C., Tvis Knudsen, N. & Nielsen, O. B. Glacier hydrochemistry, solute provenance, and chemical denudation at a surge-type glacier in Kuannersuit Kuussuat, Disko Island, West Greenland. *J. Hydrol.* **300**, 172–187 (2005).
- Li, X. *Hydrochemical Characteristics of Meltwater from Glaciers at the Typical Catchments in Western China*. Doctor Thesis, Univ. Chinese Academy of Sciences (2009).
- Yde, J. C., Knudsen, N. T., Hasholt, B. & Mikkelsen, A. B. Meltwater chemistry and solute export from a Greenland Ice Sheet catchment, Watson River, West Greenland. *J. Hydrol.* **519**, 2165–2179 (2014).
- Hasnain, S. I. & Thayyen, R. Controls on the major-ion chemistry of the Dokriani Glacier meltwaters, Ganga basin, Garhwal Himalaya, India. *J. Glaciol.* **45**, 87–92 (1999).
- Collins, D. N. Solute yield from a glacierized high mountain basin (Gornera, Gornergratscher, Switzerland). *Dissolved Loads Rivers Surf. Water Quant./Qual. Relatsh. Proc. Hambg. Symp.* **1983**, 41–49 (1983).
- Souchez, R. A. & Lemmens, M. M. Solute. In *Glacio-fluvial Sediment Transfer: An Alpine Perspective* 285–303 (Wiley, 1987).
- Gislason, S. R., Arnorsson, S. & Armannsson, H. Chemical weathering of basalt in Southwest Iceland: Effects of runoff, age of rocks and vegetative/glacial cover. *Am. J. Sci.* **296**, 837–907 (1996).

38. Wadham, J. L., Hodson, A. J., Tranter, M. & Dowdeswell, J. A. The rate of chemical weathering beneath a quiescent, surge-type, polythermal-based glacier, southern Spitsbergen, Svalbard. *Ann. Glaciol.* **24**, 27–31 (1997).
39. Axtmann, E. & Stallard, R. in *Proc. Biogeochemistry of Seasonally Snow-covered Catchments* (eds Tonnessen, K., Williams, M.W., & Tranter, M.) Vol. 228 (1995).
40. Eyles, N., Sasseville, D. R., Slatt, R. M. & Rogerson, R. J. Geochemical denudation rates and solute transport mechanisms. *Can. J. Earth Sci.* **19**, 1570–1581 (1982).
41. Brown, G. H. Glacier meltwater hydrochemistry. *Appl. Geochem.* **17**, 855–883 (2002).
42. Livingstone, D. A. Chemical Composition of Rivers and Lakes: Professional Paper 440-G (United States Geological Survey, Washington, 1963).
43. Meybeck, M. *Concentrations des eaux fluviales en éléments majeurs et apports en solution aux océans: Revue Géologie Dynamique et Géographie Physique*. Vol. 21, 215–246 (1979).
44. Hodson, A., Heaton, T., Langford, H. & Newsham, K. Chemical weathering and solute export by meltwater in a maritime Antarctic glacier basin. *Biogeochemistry* **98**, 9–27 (2010).
45. Li, X. et al. Seasonal controls of meltwater runoff chemistry and chemical weathering at Urumqi Glacier No.1 in central Asia. *Hydrol. Process.* **33**, 3258–3281 (2019).
46. Li, X. et al. Intense chemical weathering at glacial meltwater-dominated Hailuoguo basin in the southeastern Tibetan Plateau. *Water* **11**, 1209 (2019).
47. Hugonnet, R. et al. Accelerated global glacier mass loss in the early twenty-first century. *Nature* **592**, 726–731 (2021).
48. Ciraci, E., Velicogna, I. & Swenson, S. Continuity of the mass loss of the world's glaciers and ice caps from the GRACE and GRACE Follow-On missions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **47**, e2019GL086926 (2020).
49. Huss, M. & Hock, R. Global-scale hydrological response to future glacier mass loss. *Nat. Clim. Change* **8**, 135–140 (2018).
50. IPCC. in *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate* (eds Pörtner, H.-O. et al.) (Cambridge University Press, 2019).
51. Hock, R. et al. in *IPCC Special Report on the Ocean and Cryosphere in a Changing Climate* (eds Pörtner, H.-O. et al.) (Cambridge University Press, 2019).
52. Kumar, K. et al. Solute dynamics of meltwater of Gangotri Glacier, Garhwal Himalaya, India. *Environ. Geol.* **58**, 1151–1159 (2009).
53. Stumpf, A. R. et al. Glacier meltwater stream chemistry in Wright and Taylor Valleys, Antarctica: Significant roles of drift, dust and biological processes in chemical weathering in a polar climate. *Chem. Geol.* **322–323**, 79–90 (2012).
54. Hindshaw, R. S., Rickli, J., Leuthold, J., Wadham, J. & Bourdon, B. Identifying weathering sources and processes in an outlet glacier of the Greenland Ice Sheet using Ca and Sr isotope ratios. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **145**, 50–71 (2014).
55. Langmuir, D. *Aqueous Environmental Geochemistry* 600 (Prentice-Hall, New Jersey, 1997).
56. Reimann, C. & de Caritat, P. *Chemical Elements in the Environment-Factsheets for the Geochemist and Environmental Scientist* (Springer, 1998).
57. Mitchell, A. C., Brown, G. H. & Fuge, R. Minor and trace element export from a glacierized Alpine headwater catchment (Haut Glacier d'Arolla, Switzerland). *Hydrol. Process.* **15**, 3499–3524 (2001).
58. Tranter, M., Brown, G., Raiswell, R., Sharp, M. & Gurnell, A. A conceptual model of solute acquisition by Alpine glacial meltwaters. *J. Glaciol.* **39**, 573–581 (1993).
59. Linhoff, B. S., Charette, M. A. & Wadham, J. Rapid mineral surface weathering beneath the Greenland Ice Sheet shown by radium and uranium isotopes. *Chem. Geol.* **547**, 119663 (2020).
60. Li, X., Qin, D., Jing, Z., Li, Y. & Wang, N. Diurnal hydrological controls and non-filtration effects on minor and trace elements in stream water draining the Qiyi Glacier, Qilian Mountain. *Sci. China Earth Sci.* **56**, 81–92 (2013).
61. Mitchell, A. C. & Brown, G. H. Diurnal hydrological-physicochemical controls and sampling methods for minor and trace elements in an Alpine glacial hydrological system. *J. Hydrol.* **332**, 123–143 (2007).
62. Wu, X. Diurnal and seasonal variation of glacier meltwater hydrochemistry in Qiyi glacierized catchment in Qilian Mountains, northwest China: Implication for chemical weathering. *J. Mt. Sci.* **15**, 1035–1045 (2018).
63. Deuerling, K. M., Martin, J. B., Martin, E. E. & Scribner, C. A. Hydrologic exchange and chemical weathering in a proglacial watershed near Kangerlussuaq, west Greenland. *J. Hydrol.* **556**, 220–232 (2018).
64. Scribner, C. A. et al. Exposure age and climate controls on weathering in deglaciated watersheds of western Greenland. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **170**, 157–172 (2015).
65. Gaillardet, J., Dupré, B., Louvat, P. & Allège, C. J. Global silicate weathering and CO₂ consumption rates deduced from the chemistry of large rivers. *Chem. Geol.* **159**, 3–30 (1999).
66. Bhatia, M. P. et al. Greenland meltwater as a significant and potentially bioavailable source of iron to the ocean. *Nat. Geosci.* **6**, 274–278 (2013).
67. Wadham, J. L. et al. Biogeochemical weathering under ice: Size matters. *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **24**, GB3025 (2010).
68. Dalai, T., Krishnaswami, S. & Sarin, M. Major ion chemistry in the headwaters of the Yamuna river system: Chemical weathering, its temperature dependence and CO₂ consumption in the Himalaya. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **66**, 3397–3416 (2002).
69. Wu, W., Xu, S., Yang, J. & Yin, H. Silicate weathering and CO₂ consumption deduced from the seven Chinese rivers originating in the Qinghai-Tibet Plateau. *Chem. Geol.* **249**, 307–320 (2008).
70. Bliss, A., Hock, R. & Radić, V. Global response of glacier runoff to twenty-first century climate change. *J. Geophys. Res.* **119**, 717–730 (2014).
71. Aciego, S. M., Stevenson, E. I. & Arendt, C. A. Climate versus geological controls on glacial meltwater micronutrient production in southern Greenland. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **424**, 51–58 (2015).
72. Wimpenny, J. et al. Glacial effects on weathering processes: New insights from the elemental and lithium isotopic composition of West Greenland rivers. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **290**, 427–437 (2010).
73. Yde, J. C. & Knudsen, N. The importance of oxygen isotope provenance in relation to solute content of bulk meltwaters at Imersuaq Glacier, West Greenland. *Hydrol. Process.* **18**, 125–139 (2004).
74. Lenaerts, J. T. M. et al. Representing Greenland ice sheet freshwater fluxes in climate models. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **42**, 6373–6381 (2015).
75. Tedesco, M. et al. Evidence and analysis of 2012 Greenland records from spaceborne observations, a regional climate model and reanalysis data. *Cryosphere* **7**, 615–630 (2013).
76. Hasholt, B., van As, D., Mikkelsen, A. B., Mernild, S. H. & Yde, J. C. Observed sediment and solute transport from the Kangerlussuaq sector of the Greenland Ice Sheet (2006–2016). *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.* **50**, e1433789 (2018).
77. Liston, G. & Winther, J.-G. Antarctic surface and subsurface snow and ice melt fluxes. *J. Clim.* **18**, 1469–1481 (2005).
78. Pattyn, F. Antarctic subglacial conditions inferred from a hybrid ice sheet/ice stream model. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **295**, 451–461 (2010).
79. Wadham, J. L., Cooper, R. J., Tranter, M. & Hodgkins, R. Enhancement of glacial solute fluxes in the proglacial zone of a polythermal glacier. *J. Glaciol.* **47**, 378–386 (2001).
80. Gibbs, M. T. & Kump, L. R. Global chemical erosion during the Last Glacial Maximum and the present: Sensitivity to changes in lithology and hydrology. *Paleoceanography* **9**, 529–543 (1994).
81. Fairchild, I. J. et al. Solute generation and transfer from a chemically reactive alpine glacial-proglacial system. *Earth Surf. Process. Landf.* **24**, 1189–1211 (1999).
82. Wang, R. *Reconstruction of Glacier Mass Balance in High Mountain Asia and Its Impact on Water Resource*. Doctor thesis, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences (2019).
83. Liu, S. et al. The contemporary glaciers in China based on the Second Chinese Glacier Inventory. *Acta Geograph. Sin.* **70**, 3–16 (2015).
84. Farinotti, D. et al. Substantial glacier mass loss in the Tien Shan over the past 50 years. *Nat. Geosci.* **8**, 716–722 (2015).
85. Yao, T. et al. Different glacier status with atmospheric circulations in Tibetan Plateau and surroundings. *Nat. Clim. Change* **2**, 663–667 (2012).
86. Meier, M. F. et al. Glaciers dominate eustatic sea-level rise in the 21st century. *Science* **317**, 1064–1067 (2007).
87. Koppes, M. et al. Observed latitudinal variations in erosion as a function of glacier dynamics. *Nature* **526**, 100–103 (2015).
88. Meybeck, M. & Ragu, A. *GEMS-GLORI World River Discharge Database* (2012).
89. Radić, V. & Hock, R. Regionally differentiated contribution of mountain glaciers and ice caps to future sea-level rise. *Nat. Geosci.* **4**, 91–94 (2011).
90. Radić, V. et al. Regional and global projections of twenty-first century glacier mass changes in response to climate scenarios from global climate models. *Clim. Dyn.* **42**, 37–58 (2013).
91. Kraaijenbrink, P. D. A., Bierkens, M. F. P., Lutz, A. F. & Immerzeel, W. W. Impact of a global temperature rise of 1.5 degrees Celsius on Asia's glaciers. *Nature* **549**, 257–260 (2017).
92. Huss, M., Farinotti, D., Bauder, A. & Funk, M. Modelling runoff from highly glacierized alpine drainage basins in a changing climate. *Hydrol. Process.* **22**, 3888–3902 (2008).
93. Wang, R., Liu, S., Shanguan, D., Radić, V. & Zhang, Y. Spatial heterogeneity in glacier mass-balance sensitivity across High Mountain Asia. *Water* **11**, 776 (2019).
94. Brun, F., Berthier, E., Wagnon, P., Kääb, A. & Treichler, D. A spatially resolved estimate of high Mountain Asia glacier mass balances from 2000 to 2016. *Nat. Geosci.* **10**, 668–673 (2017).
95. Woodward, J., Sharp, M. & Arendt, A. The influence of superimposed-ice formation on the sensitivity of glacier mass balance to climate change. *Ann. Glaciol.* **24**, 186–190 (1997).
96. Dee, D. P. et al. The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system. *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* **137**, 553–597 (2011).

97. Pfeffer, W. T. et al. The Randolph Glacier Inventory: a globally complete inventory of glaciers. *J. Glaciol.* **60**, 537–552 (2014).
98. Fretwell, P. et al. Bedmap2: improved ice bed, surface and thickness datasets for Antarctica. *Cryosphere* **7**, 375–393 (2013).
99. Ghiggi, G., Humphrey, V., Seneviratne, S. I. & Gudmundsson, L. GRUN: an observation-based global gridded runoff dataset from 1902 to 2014. *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* **11**, 1655–1674 (2019).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Second Tibetan Plateau Scientific Expedition and Research Program (2019QZKK0207, 2019QZKK020102), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (42171053, 41671053, 41761017, 42171124, 41871069), the Open Foundation of State Key Laboratory of Frozen Soil Engineering (SKLFSE201901), and the Research Start-up Foundation of Northwest University. We thank S. Liu, C. Yang and Y. Wang for help in collecting published glacial cation data, Alejandra Urrea and J. Wang for sharing their raw data, D. Zhang and Z. Li for help in the process of drawing Fig. 1, and Q. Yang for drawing Supplementary Fig. 1.

Author contributions

X.L. designed the research and wrote the paper, and X.L., N.W. and Y.D. performed the research with substantial input from J.R.H., J.C.Y. and R.R. Research ideas were contributed by X.L., J.L., S.Z., Q.L., S.K., S.L., R.B., X.Y. and G.L. X.L. developed a global data set of glacial runoff cations, and R.W. provided glacial runoff data for the Asian mountain ranges. All authors commented on and edited the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-28032-1>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Xiangying Li, Ninglian Wang or Yongjian Ding.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks Benjamin Linoff, Virendra Singh and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permission information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022