General super-exchange Hamiltonians for magnetic and orbital physics in e_g and t_{2g} systems

Xue-Jing Zhang,[1] Erik Koch,[1,2] and Eva Pavarini[1,2]

[1] *Institute for Advanced Simulation, Forschungszentrum Jülich, 52425 Jülich, Germany*
[2] *JARA High-Performance Computing, 52062, Aachen, Germany.*

(Dated: February 14, 2022)

Materials-specific super-exchange Hamiltonians are the key to studying spin and orbital physics in strongly-correlated materials. Recently, via an irreducible-tensor operator representation, we derived the orbital super-exchange Hamiltonian for t_{2g}^1 perovskites and successfully used it, in combination with many-body approaches, to explain orbital physics in these systems. Here we generalize our method to e_g^n and t_{2g}^n systems at arbitrary integer filling n, including both spin and orbital interactions. The approach is suitable for numerical implementations based on *ab-initio* hopping parameters and realistic screened Coulomb interactions and allows for a systematic exploration of super-exchange energy-surfaces in a realistic context.

I. INTRODUCTION

In strongly-correlated transition-metal oxides, spin- and orbital-ordering or spin- and orbital-liquid phenomena are often studied with low-energy super-exchange Hamiltonians, derived from multi-band Hubbard models in highly symmetric cases and in a basis of pseudo-spin operators [1–4]. This captures the essence of the Kugel-Khomskii [1] super-exchange mechanism, but misses the important materials dependencies. An alternative approach starts from materials-specific Hubbard models constructed from *ab-initio* calculations, solving them using many-body techniques, e.g., via the dynamical mean-field theory [5, 6]. This method is very powerful and has allowed us to study super-exchange-driven phase transitions [7–10] for the actual materials, identify their mechanisms, calculate the associated energy gains [11] and response functions [12]. For exploring entire energy surfaces, identifying possible unusual symmetry-breaking ordering, or calculating spin-wave and orbital-wave spectra, the systematic solution of realistic multi-orbital Hubbard models is however computationally very costly.

Recently we have shown that integrating the two approaches can lead both to further insights and efficiency increases, providing guidance for limiting heavy many-body calculations only to targeted cases. This made it possible to clarify the origin of orbital ordering in the t_{2g}^1 perovskites [14]. In the present paper we generalize the approach to e_g^n and t_{2g}^n systems with arbitrary n, including the spin-dependent terms of the super-exchange Hamiltonian. In addition to giving analytical expressions, our method enables light-weight numerical implementations for realistic Coulomb interactions in combination with *ab-initio* Wannier functions, and it is thus the ideal tool for the study of strongly correlated materials of any symmetry in a realistic setting.

The manuscript is organized as follows. In Section II we introduce the general formalism by applying it to a well known case, the single-band Hubbard model. In section III we derive the general analytic formulas of super-exchange couplings for e_g^n systems. In section IV we do the same for t_{2g}^n systems. Comprehensive tables summarizing the main results are provided in each case. In section V we discuss energy surfaces. Finally, in section VI we present our conclusions.

II. FORMALISM

The super-exchange Hamiltonian has the form

$$\hat{H}_{\rm SE} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} \hat{H}_{\rm SE}^{ij}, \tag{1}$$

where i and j are neighboring sites coupled via hopping integrals. This Hamiltonian acts in the subspace of states with $|n_i, n_j\rangle$, where n_i and n_j are the site occupations with the constraint $n_i{+}n_j = N = 2n$, where n is the number of electrons per site. From strong-coupling second-order perturbation theory, (1) can be written as

$$\hat{H}_{\rm SE} = -\,\hat{H}_{\rm T}(\hat{H}_U - E_0)^{-1}\hat{H}_{\rm T}$$

so that

$$\hat{H}_{\rm SE}^{ij} = -\,\hat{H}_{\rm T}(\hat{P}_{ij} + \hat{P}_{ji})\hat{H}_{\rm T}.$$

Here $\hat{P}_{ij}$ is an operator which projects, with a energy denominator, to atomic excited states of type $|n_i{+}1, n_j{-}1\rangle$, and $\hat{H}_{\rm T}$ is the hopping part of the Hubbard Hamiltonian from which the super-exchange interaction is derived, while $\hat{H}_U$ is the electron-electron repulsion.

Let us start from the well-known case of magnetic exchange for the single-band Hubbard model

$$\hat{H} = \underbrace{-\sum_{\sigma}\sum_{i,j} t^{i,j} c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{j\sigma}}_{\hat{H}_T} + \underbrace{U\sum_i \hat{n}_{i\uparrow}\hat{n}_{i\downarrow}}_{\hat{H}_U}, \tag{2}$$

where $\hat{n}_{i\sigma} = c_{i\sigma}^\dagger c_{i\sigma}$, $t^{i,j}$ is the hopping integral and U the screened Coulomb parameter. Since the atomic limit

of the half-filled Hubbard Hamiltonian has only spin degrees of freedom, one can write the associated exchange Hamiltonian in an irreducible tensor basis as

$$\hat{H}^{ij}_{\rm SE} = \sum_{q\nu,q'\nu'} \hat{s}^{q,\nu}_i D^{ij}_{q\nu,q'\nu'} \hat{s}^{q',\nu'}_j, \tag{3}$$

where q=0, 1 is the rank of the operators and ν the associated components. For q=0 the only component is ν=s while for q=1 we have instead ν=x, y, z in the real harmonics representation. For convenience we normalize the tensors such that

$$\sum_\sigma \langle 0|c_{i\sigma}\left(\hat{s}^{q,\nu}_i\right)^2 c^\dagger_{i\sigma}|0\rangle = 1. \tag{4}$$

With this convention the irreducible tensors are

$$\hat{s}^{0,s}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_\sigma c^\dagger_{i\sigma}c_{i\sigma}, \tag{5}$$

$$\hat{s}^{1,\nu}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'} c^\dagger_{i\sigma}\langle\sigma|\hat{\sigma}^\nu|\sigma'\rangle c_{i\sigma'}, \tag{6}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_\nu$ is the ν=x, y, z Pauli matrix. At half-filling (n_i=n_j=1) we define the projectors as

$$\hat{P}_{ij} = \sum_{\alpha_+\alpha_-} \frac{|\alpha_+\rangle_i|\alpha_-\rangle_j\, {}_j\langle\alpha_-|\, {}_i\langle\alpha_+|}{E_{\alpha_+}+E_{\alpha_-}-2E_0} \tag{7}$$

where $|\alpha_\pm\rangle_i$ are atomic (site i) multiplets with $n_i\pm1$ electrons, quantum number $\alpha_\pm$ and energy $E_{\alpha_\pm}$. In the case of the single-band Hubbard model, $|\alpha_+\rangle_i$ and $|\alpha_-\rangle_i$ are, respectively, the doubly occupied and the vacuum state; in general, however, α_+ and α_- will label several excited states with different energies. E_0 is the energy of the ground state with N=n_i+n_j=2 electrons in the atomic limit, here $E_0 = 0$. The tensor elements in (3) are obtained using the orthogonality properties of irreducible tensors. To this end we multiply by a pair of irreducible operators, one for site i and one for site j, and trace over all states in the atomic ground multiplet. This yields

$$D^{ij}_{0s,0s} = -\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\hat{s}^{0,s}_i\hat{s}^{0,s}_j\hat{H}_{\rm T}(\hat{P}_{ij}+\hat{P}_{ji})\hat{H}_{\rm T}\Big)}{\mathrm{Tr}\Big((\hat{s}^{0,s}_i)^2\ (\hat{s}^{0,s}_j)^2\Big)} = -2\frac{|t^{i,j}|^2}{U}$$

and

$$D^{ij}_{1\nu,1\nu'} = -\frac{\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\hat{s}^{1,\nu}_i\hat{s}^{1,\nu'}_j\hat{H}_{\rm T}(\hat{P}_{ij}+\hat{P}_{ji})\hat{H}_{\rm T}\Big)}{\mathrm{Tr}\Big((\hat{s}^{1,\nu}_i)^2\ (\hat{s}^{1,\nu'}_j)^2\Big)} = 2\frac{|t^{i,j}|^2}{U}\delta_{\nu,\nu'}.$$

All crossed terms involving a tensor with q=0 and one with q=1 vanish due to the spin-rotational invariance of the Hubbard model. This gives the expected result

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}^{ij}_{\rm SE} =& 2\frac{|t^{i,j}|^2}{U}\Big(\sum_\nu \hat{s}^{1,\nu}_i\hat{s}^{1,\nu}_j - \hat{s}^{0,s}_i\hat{s}^{0,s}_j\Big)\\ =& 4\frac{|t^{i,j}|^2}{U}\Big(\mathbf{S}_i\cdot\mathbf{S}_j - \frac{n_in_j}{4}\Big),\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where $\mathbf{S}_i$ is the usual spin operator.

III. TWO-BAND HUBBARD MODEL

We now generalize to the case of the two-band e_g Hubbard model

$$\hat{H} = \underbrace{-\sum_\sigma\sum_{ij} t^{i,j}_{m,m'}c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}c_{j,m'\sigma}}_{\hat{H}_T} + \hat{H}_U, \tag{9}$$

where m=x^2−y^2 and $3z^2$−r^2. The $t^{i,j}_{m,m'}$ are effective hopping integrals, obtained by downfolding the high-energy degrees of freedom. In transition-metal systems, these include, for example, p bands of oxygen or fluorine ions which build the bridge between two d transition metal atoms. We adopt the Kanamori form of the Coulomb interaction $\hat{H}_U$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_U =& U\sum_i\sum_m \hat{n}_{im\uparrow}\hat{n}_{im\downarrow}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_i\sum_{m\neq m'}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}(U-2J-J\delta_{\sigma,\sigma'})\hat{n}_{im\sigma}\hat{n}_{im'\sigma'}\\ &-J\sum_i\Big(c^\dagger_{im\uparrow}c^\dagger_{im\downarrow}c_{im'\uparrow}c_{im'\downarrow} + c^\dagger_{im\uparrow}c_{im\downarrow}c^\dagger_{im'\downarrow}c_{im'\uparrow}\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

For e_g electrons this is the exact atomic limit Coulomb tensor. A detailed derivation can be found in Ref. [13].

As observed already above, terms with different spin ranks are decoupled due to the spin rotational-invariance of the Hamiltonian, so that we can perform the calculation in two steps. Like in the single-band Hubbard model, the half-filled case has no orbital degeneracy, since the Hund's rule ground multiplet is the state with S=1, and therefore is not relevant in the context of orbital physics. Thus here we focus on n=1 and n=3. First we consider the pure orbital super-exchange, describing the paramagnetic phase (spin rank q=0 terms). In the magnetic phase additional super-exchange couplings (spin rank q=1 terms) are present, which influence both the magnetic and the orbital state.

A. Paramagnetic case, $n = 1$

The super-exchange terms with spin rank q=0 can be expressed as

$$\hat{H}^{ij}_{\rm SE} = \sum_{\mu\mu'}\sum_{r,r'} \hat{\tau}^{r,\mu}_i D^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}\hat{\tau}^{r',\mu'}_j, \tag{11}$$

where operator $\hat{\tau}^{r,\mu}_i$ is the μ component of the tensor with orbital rank r. In the e^1_g configuration it is convenient to define the orbital pseudo-spin states as

$$|\nearrow\rangle = |3z^2{-}r^2\rangle, \quad |\searrow\rangle = |x^2{-}y^2\rangle. \tag{12}$$

An atomic state with a single electron (n=1) is then given by $|m,\sigma\rangle = c^\dagger_{m,\sigma}|0\rangle$, where $m = |\nearrow\rangle, |\searrow\rangle$ is the orbital

$r\ \mu$	$r'\ \mu'$	$D^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}\times U/2$		
		e_g^1	e_g^3	
$0\ s$	$0\ s$	$-\mathcal{V}_0$	$-\mathcal{V}_0$	$(t^2_{3z^2-r^2,3z^2-r^2}+t^2_{x^2-y^2,x^2-y^2}+t^2_{3z^2-r^2,x^2-y^2}+t^2_{x^2-y^2,3z^2-r^2})$
$0\ s$	$1\ z$	$-\mathcal{V}_1$	$+\mathcal{V}_1$	$(t^2_{3z^2-r^2,3z^2-r^2}-t^2_{x^2-y^2,x^2-y^2}+t^2_{x^2-y^2,3z^2-r^2}-t^2_{3z^2-r^2,x^2-y^2})$
$0\ s$	$1\ x$	$-\mathcal{V}_1$	$+\mathcal{V}_1$	$2(t_{3z^2-r^2,3z^2-r^2}t_{3z^2-r^2,x^2-y^2}+t_{x^2-y^2,x^2-y^2}t_{x^2-y^2,3z^2-r^2})$
$1\ z$	$1\ z$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$(t^2_{3z^2-r^2,3z^2-r^2}+t^2_{x^2-y^2,x^2-y^2}-t^2_{3z^2-r^2,x^2-y^2}-t^2_{x^2-y^2,3z^2-r^2})$
$1\ x$	$1\ x$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$2(t_{3z^2-r^2,3z^2-r^2}t_{x^2-y^2,x^2-y^2}+t_{3z^2-r^2,x^2-y^2}t_{x^2-y^2,3z^2-r^2})$
$1\ z$	$1\ x$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$2(t_{3z^2-r^2,3z^2-r^2}t_{3z^2-r^2,x^2-y^2}-t_{x^2-y^2,x^2-y^2}t_{x^2-y^2,3z^2-r^2})$
$1\ y$	$1\ y$	$+\mathcal{V}_3$	$+\mathcal{V}_3$	$2(t_{3z^2-r^2,3z^2-r^2}t_{x^2-y^2,x^2-y^2}-t_{3z^2-r^2,x^2-y^2}t_{x^2-y^2,3z^2-r^2})$
q=0		$\mathcal{V}_0=\frac{v_1+2v_2}{2}=\frac{f_1+2f_{-1}+3f_{-3}}{4}$, $\mathcal{V}_1=\frac{v_1}{2}=\frac{f_1+f_{-1}}{4}$, $\mathcal{V}_2=\frac{2v_2-v_1}{2}=\frac{3f_{-3}-f_1}{4}$, $\mathcal{V}_3=\frac{v_0+v_3}{2}=\frac{3f_{-3}-2f_{-1}+f_1}{4}$		
q=1		$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0=-\frac{f_1+2f_{-1}-f_{-3}}{4}$ $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1=-\mathcal{V}_1$, $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2=\frac{f_1+f_{-3}}{4}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_3=\frac{f_{-3}+2f_{-1}-f_1}{4}$		

TABLE I. Key tensor elements for the e_g^1 and e_g^3 configuration and spin ranks q=0 and q=1. The elements for the e_g^3 configuration are obtained setting a minus in front of all linear terms, i.e., those for which r=0, $r'\neq 0$ or $r'=0, r\neq 0$. The matrix elements for imaginary tensors have to be multiplied by i (linear terms, involving a single operator) or $i\times i$ (for products of two operators). The prefactors are obtained from the weights: $v_0=\frac{1}{2}(f_1-f_{-1})$, $v_1=\frac{1}{2}(f_1+f_{-1})$, $v_2=\frac{1}{4}(3f_{-3}+f_{-1})$ and $v_3=\frac{1}{2}(3f_{-3}-f_{-1})$. The rest of the matrix elements are given by symmetry: $D^{ij}_{r'\mu',r\mu}=s_\mu s_{\mu'}\overline{D^{ji}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}}$, where $s_\mu=1$ is for real operators and $s_\mu=-1$ for imaginary ones. Since the model is rotationally invariant for spins, q=1, ν=x,y elements are identical. They can be obtained from the table for q=0, replacing $\mathcal{V}_0\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0$, $\mathcal{V}_1\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1$,$\mathcal{V}_2\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2$, and $\mathcal{V}_3\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_3$. All hopping integrals are defined as $t^{i,j}_{m,m'}$ and are assumed to be real, as typically is the case in the absence of spin-orbit interaction.

and σ the spin component. We normalize the tensors such that

$$\sum_{m\sigma}\langle 0|c_{im\sigma}\left(\hat{\tau}_i^{r,\mu}\right)^2 c^\dagger_{im\sigma}|0\rangle=1. \tag{13}$$

This leads to the expressions

$$\hat{\tau}_i^{0,s}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\sigma}\hat{n}_{i,m\sigma} \tag{14}$$

$$\hat{\tau}_i^{1,\mu}=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{mm'\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}\hat{\sigma}^\mu_{m,m'}c^\dagger_{im'\sigma} \tag{15}$$

where n_i is the number of electrons per site while $\hat{\sigma}^\mu_{m,m'}$ are the elements of the Pauli matrices. We now split the tensor elements appearing in Eq. (11) in contributions from excited multiplets with doubly ($B^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}$) and singly ($C^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}$) occupied orbitals

$$D^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}=B^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}+C^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}. \tag{16}$$

The doubly-occupied-orbital multiplets for site i, are

$$|0,0\rangle_a=\frac{c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\downarrow}+c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\downarrow}}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle \tag{17}$$

$$|0,0\rangle_b=\frac{c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\downarrow}-c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\downarrow}}{\sqrt{2}}|0\rangle\,, \tag{18}$$

and have Coulomb energies equal, respectively, $U+J$ and $U-J$. Summing up all terms of this kind we find

$$B^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}=-2\sum_{a_1b_1c_1d_1}\tau^{ir\mu}_{a_1c_1}\tau^{jr'\mu'}_{b_1d_1}\frac{t^{i,j}_{c_1,d_1}\overline{t^{i,j}_{a_1,b_1}}}{U}\xi^B_\mu +(ir\mu)\leftrightarrow(jr'\mu'). \tag{19}$$

The labels a_1,b_1,c_1,d_1 indicate orbital quantum numbers and

$$\tau^{ir\mu}_{ac}=\frac{\langle a,\sigma|\hat{\tau}_i^{r,\mu}|c,\sigma\rangle}{\sum_{m\sigma}\langle 0|c_{i,m\sigma}\left(\hat{\tau}_i^{r,\mu}\right)^2 c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}|0\rangle}, \tag{20}$$

where σ=σ_a=σ_c. Since the operator $\hat{\tau}_i^{r,\mu}$ traces over spin, the matrix element (20) is spin-independent. Finally, the energy denominators are collected in

$$\xi^B_\mu=v_1\delta_{\mu,0}+v_0(1-\delta_{\mu,0}), \tag{21}$$

with

$$v_0 = \frac{1}{2}(f_1 - f_{-1}), \quad v_1 = \frac{1}{2}(f_1 + f_{-1}) \tag{22}$$

and

$$f_\alpha = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha J/U}. \tag{23}$$

Using the definition (20) to treat the operators as matrices, we can rewrite the result in a compact form

$$B^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} = -\frac{2}{U}\mathrm{tr}\Big(t^{j,i}\tau^{ir\mu}t^{i,j}\overline{\tau^{jr'\mu'}}\Big)\xi^B_\mu + (ir\mu)\leftrightarrow(jr'\mu'), \tag{24}$$

where the (lower-case) trace is over orbital indices only. The second term in Eq. (16) arises from the remaining four excited multiplets,

$$|1,\sigma\rangle = c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\sigma}\,|0\rangle\,, \tag{25}$$

$$|1,0\rangle = \frac{c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\downarrow} + c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\downarrow}c^\dagger_{ix^2-y^2\uparrow}}{\sqrt{2}}\,|0\rangle\,, \tag{26}$$

$$|0,0\rangle = \frac{c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\downarrow} - c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\downarrow}c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\uparrow}}{\sqrt{2}}\,|0\rangle\,, \tag{27}$$

They correspond to the triplet and singlet states, which have Coulomb energies equal to $U-3J$, and $U-J$. In matrix form it is given by

$$C^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} = -\frac{4}{U}\Big(\mathrm{tr}(\tau^{ir\mu}t^{i,j}\sigma^x\overline{\tau^{jr'\mu'}}\sigma^x t^{j,i})\Big)\xi^C_{\mu'} + (ir\mu)\leftrightarrow(jr'\mu') \tag{28}$$

where σ^x is a Pauli matrix and

$$\xi^C_\mu = v_2\delta_{\mu,0} - \frac{v_3}{2}(1-\delta_{\mu,0}), \tag{29}$$

with the energy denominators

$$v_2 = \frac{1}{4}(3f_{-3} + f_{-1}) \quad v_3 = \frac{1}{2}(3f_{-3} - f_{-1}). \tag{30}$$

The irreducible elements of the orbital super-exchange tensor $\hat{D}^{ij}$ are collected in Table I.

In the simple limit of a cubic perovskite, along the quantization axis $\hat{z}$ the only relevant effective hopping integral is the $dd\sigma$ hopping integral t between two $3z^2-r^2$ orbitals. This approximation is often used for describing the low-energy bands of $LaMnO_3$ or $KCuF_3$. Simplifying further by setting $J = 0$ yields

$$B^{ij}_{r\mu,r\mu'} = -\frac{4t^2}{U}\sum_{a_1}\tau^{ir\mu}_{a_1a_1}\tau^{jr'\mu'}_{a_1a_1}\,\delta_{a_1,3z^2-r^2}\,\delta_{\mu,0}\delta_{\mu',0}, \tag{31}$$

$$C^{ij}_{r\mu,r\mu'} = -\frac{4t^2}{U}\sum_{a_1a_2}\tau^{ir\mu}_{a_1a_1}\sigma^x_{a_1,a_2}\tau^{jr'\mu'}_{a_2a_2}\delta_{\mu,0}\delta_{\mu',0}. \tag{32}$$

It follows that the only non-zero terms are

$$D^{ij}_{1z,1z} = \frac{\Gamma}{4}, \qquad D^{ij}_{0s,0s} = -\frac{3\Gamma}{4}, \qquad D^{ij}_{0s,1z} = -\frac{\Gamma}{4}$$

where $\Gamma = 4t^2/U$. This gives, of course, the usual Kugel-Khomskii [1, 15] Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}^{i,j}_{\mathrm{SE}} = \frac{\Gamma}{4}\Big(\hat{O}^i_z\hat{O}^j_z - 3\frac{\hat{n}^i\hat{n}^j}{4n_in_j} - \frac{\hat{n}^i}{2n_i}\hat{O}^j_z - \hat{O}^i_z\frac{\hat{n}^j}{2n_i}\Big), \tag{33}$$

where $\hat{O}^i_z = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_z$ is the $\hat{z}$ component of the conventional orbital pseudospin operator and n_i=1. The expression along the other directions is obtained by rotating the quantization axes.

B. Magnetic terms, $n = 1$

Spin rank $q = 1$ tensor elements can be obtained in an analogous way. The irreducible tensors here are

$$\hat{\tau}^{0,s;1,\nu}_i = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{m\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}\hat{\sigma}^\nu_{\sigma,\sigma'}c_{i,m\sigma'} \tag{34}$$

$$\hat{\tau}^{1,\mu;1,\nu}_i = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{mm'}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}\hat{\sigma}^\mu_{m,m'}\hat{\sigma}^\nu_{\sigma,\sigma'}c_{i,m'\sigma'}, \tag{35}$$

and are normalized as rank q=0 operators. The results obtained are given in Tab. I. One may notice that the only change with respect to q=0 are the (U, J)-dependent denominators in the table, which yield the transformation $\mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}$.

C. The $n = 3$ case

The super-exchange Hamiltonian for the e_g^3 configuration can be obtained from the n=1 case by using the electron-hole transformation of the atomic-limit Hamiltonian. The pseudo-spin states are, in this case, defined as

$$|\nearrow\rangle = c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\downarrow}|0\rangle, \tag{36}$$

$$|\searrow\rangle = c^\dagger_{i,3z^2-r^2\sigma}\,c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\uparrow}\,c^\dagger_{i,x^2-y^2\downarrow}|0\rangle \tag{37}$$

and can be viewed as hole orbitals. Going to the hole representation, the final change in the tensor elements amounts to an extra minus in front of terms with either $r = 0, r' \neq 0$ or $r' = 0, r \neq 0$, as explained in Tab. I.

IV. THREE-BAND t_{2g} MODEL

The family of t^n_{2g} materials includes for example titanates, vandates, ruthenates and iridates, compounds in the $n = 1, 2, 4$ and 5 electronic configuration, respectively. Also in the t_{2g} case half-filled systems (n=3) have no orbital degrees of freedom, since the Hund's rule

R	$r\,\mu$	α^r_μ	$\langle m\vert\hat{o}^r_\mu\vert m'\rangle$
0	0 s	$\frac{1}{n_i\sqrt{6}}$	$\delta_{m,m'}$
2	1 z	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\delta_{m,m'}(\delta_{m,xz}-\delta_{m,yz})$,
2	1 x	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$	$((\delta_{m,xz}+\delta_{m,yz})\delta_{m',xy}$ $+(\delta_{m',xz}+\delta_{m',yz})\delta_{m,xy})$
2	2 $3z^2-r^2$	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$	$\delta_{m,m'}(\delta_{m,xz}+\delta_{m,yz}-2\delta_{m,xy})$,
2	2 x^2-y^2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$(\delta_{m,xz}\delta_{m',yz}+\delta_{m,yz}\delta_{m',xz})$,
2	2 xz	$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$	$((\delta_{m,xz\sigma}-\delta_{m,yz})\delta_{m',xy}$ $+(\delta_{m',xz}-\delta_{m',yz\sigma})\delta_{m,xy})$
1	1 y	$\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}$	$((\delta_{m,xz}\delta_{m',xy}-\delta_{m,yz}\delta_{m',xy})$ $-(\delta_{m',xz}\delta_{m,xy}-\delta_{m',yz}\delta_{m,xy}))$,
1	2 yz	$\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}$	$((\delta_{m,xz}\delta_{m',xy}+\delta_{m,yz}\delta_{m',xy})$ $-(\delta_{m',xz}\delta_{m,xy}+\delta_{m',yz}\delta_{m,xy}))$
1	2 xy	$\frac{i}{2}$	$(\delta_{m,xz}\delta_{m',yz}-\delta_{m,yz}\delta_{m',xz})$.

TABLE II. Prefactors for the irreducible tensors with q=0, t^n_{2g} case. The small r denotes the orbital quantum number. The rank for second-order classical tensors is R; it splits the original reducible tensor of dimension 9 into a scalar, a five component symmetric and traceless tensor, and a three component asymmetric tensor.

ground multiplet is the S=3/2 state, and therefore are orbitally trivial. For n=2 and n=4 the ground multiplet is usually the high-spin state S=1 with orbital degeneracy three. The orbital degeneracy is three also for the n=1 and n=5 atomic ground states. In all cases the maximum orbital rank is thus r=2. The starting Hubbard model is the t_{2g} three-band Hubbard Hamiltonian. The latter has the same form given in Eqs. (9) and (10) except that the orbital index m takes now the values xz, xy, yz and the screened Coulomb integrals U and J in Eq. (10) differ in value from those for the e_g orbitals. This is discussed in detail in Ref. [13], where the integrals in each case are derived starting from atomic functions. The effective hopping integrals in this case are typically the $dd\pi$ terms between Wannier functions into which oxygen p states or other high-energy states have been downfolded. As in the e_g case, we calculate first the paramagnetic (q=0) and then the magnetic (q=1) terms of the super-exchange Hamiltonian.

A. Paramagnetic terms, $n = 1, 2$

We define the q=0 tensorial operators as follows

$$\hat{\tau}^{r,\mu}_i = \alpha^r_\mu \sum_{mm'}\sum_\sigma c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}\langle m|\hat{o}^r_\mu|m'\rangle c_{i,m'\sigma}.$$

The matrix elements $\langle m|\hat{o}^r_\mu|m'\rangle$ and prefactors α^r_μ are listed in Tab. II. In analogy with the e_g case, we normalize the tensors such that

$$\sum_{m\sigma}\langle 0|c_{im\sigma}\left(\hat{\tau}^{r,\mu}_i\right)^2 c^\dagger_{im\sigma}|0\rangle = 1. \tag{38}$$

For n=1 the formula for q=0 can already be found in Ref. 14; the tensors elements are reported in Tab. III and Tab. IV with the notation adopted in the present manuscript.

Here we thus present the derivation for the more complicated n=2 case, with total spin S=1. We define the orbital pseudo-spin states as $|m_3\rangle = |m_1 m_2\rangle$ where m_1 and m_2 are the occupied orbitals and m_3 the empty one. The n=2 triplet states can then be written as $|m_3, \sigma_3\rangle$, with

$$|-1,\sigma_3\rangle = c^\dagger_{i,xy\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\sigma}|0\rangle\delta_{\sigma_3,2\sigma}+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c^\dagger_{i,xy\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,yz\downarrow}+c^\dagger_{i,xy\downarrow}c^\dagger_{i,yz\uparrow})|0\rangle\delta_{\sigma_3,0} \tag{39}$$

$$|\ 0,\sigma_3\rangle = c^\dagger_{i,yz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,xz\sigma}|0\rangle\delta_{\sigma_3,2\sigma}+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c^\dagger_{i,yz\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,xz\downarrow}+c^\dagger_{i,yz\downarrow}c^\dagger_{i,xz\uparrow})|0\rangle\delta_{\sigma_3,0} \tag{40}$$

$$|+1,\sigma_3\rangle = c^\dagger_{i\,xz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i\,xy\sigma}|0\rangle\delta_{\sigma_3,2\sigma}+ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(c^\dagger_{i\,xz\uparrow}c^\dagger_{xy\downarrow}+c^\dagger_{i\,xz\downarrow}c^\dagger_{i\,xy\uparrow})|0\rangle\delta_{\sigma_3,0}, \tag{41}$$

where σ_3 is the spin component of orbital state $|m_3\rangle$. In this basis the norm of the irreducible tensor operators is

$$\sum_{m_3,\sigma_3}\langle m_3,\sigma_3|\left(\hat{\tau}^{r,\mu}_i\right)^2|m_3,\sigma_3\rangle = \frac{2S+1}{2}. \tag{42}$$

We now proceed to calculate the first term in Eq. (16), the one arising from 3-electron states with a doubly-occupied orbital. These can be split into the three S=1/2 states

$$\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{\sigma}{2},m\right\rangle_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c^\dagger_{i,m'\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,m'\downarrow}+c^\dagger_{i,m''\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,m''\downarrow}\right)c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}|0\rangle \tag{43}$$

with Coulomb energy $3U-4J$ and the additional three $S = 1/2$ states

$$\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{\sigma}{2},m\right\rangle_b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c^\dagger_{i,m'\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,m'\downarrow}-c^\dagger_{i,m''\uparrow}c^\dagger_{i,m''\downarrow}\right)c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}|0\rangle, \tag{44}$$

with Coulomb energy $3U-6J$. It is convenient to introduce the shortcuts

$$\tau^{ir\mu}_{a_3c_3} = \frac{\langle a_3,\sigma_3|\hat{\tau}^{r\mu}_i|c_3,\sigma_3\rangle}{\mathrm{Tr}(\hat{\tau}^{r\mu}_i)^2}\frac{2S+1}{2}, \tag{45}$$

and

$$v_0 = \frac{1}{2}(f_2-f_0), \quad v_1 = \frac{1}{2}(f_2+f_0). \tag{46}$$

$r\ \mu$	$r'\ \mu'$	$D^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}\times U/2$			
		t^1_{2g}	t^2_{2g}		
$0\ s$	$0\ s$	$-\mathcal{W}_0$	$-\mathcal{V}_0$		$(t^2_{xz,xz}+t^2_{yz,yz}+t^2_{xy,xy})$
$0\ s$	$1\ z$	$-\mathcal{W}_1$	$-\mathcal{V}_1$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$	$(t^2_{xz,xz}-t^2_{yz,yz})$
$0\ s$	$2\ z^2$	$-\mathcal{W}_1$	$-\mathcal{V}_1$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$	$(t^2_{xz,xz}+t^2_{yz,yz}-2t^2_{xy,xy})$
$1\ z$	$1\ z$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$		$(t^2_{xz,xz}+t^2_{yz,yz})$
$1\ z$	$2\ z^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$	$(t^2_{xz,xz}-t^2_{yz,yz})$
$2\ z^2$	$2\ z^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$(t^2_{xz,xz}+t^2_{yz,yz}+4t^2_{xy,xy})$
$1\ x$	$1\ x$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$		$(t_{xz,xz}+t_{yz,yz})t_{xy,xy}$
$2\ xz$	$2\ xz$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$		$(t_{xz,xz}+t_{yz,yz})t_{xy,xy}$
$1\ x$	$2\ xz$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$		$(t_{xz,xz}-t_{yz,yz})t_{xy,xy}$
$2\ x^2-y^2$	$2\ x^2-y^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$		$2t_{xz,xz}t_{yz,yz}$
$1\ y$	$1\ y$	$+\mathcal{W}_3$	$+\mathcal{V}_3$		$(t_{xz,xz}+t_{yz,yz})t_{xy,xy}$
$2\ yz$	$2\ yz$	$+\mathcal{W}_3$	$+\mathcal{V}_3$		$(t_{xz,xz}+t_{yz,yz})t_{xy,xy}$
$2\ xy$	$2\ xy$	$+\mathcal{W}_3$	$+\mathcal{V}_3$		$2t_{xz,xz}t_{yz,yz}$
$1\ y$	$2\ yz$	$+\mathcal{W}_3$	$+\mathcal{V}_3$		$(t_{xz,xz}-t_{yz,yz})t_{xy,xy}$

$$\mathcal{W}_0 = f_{-3} + \tfrac{5}{9}f_{-1} + \tfrac{1}{9}f_2 = \tfrac{w_1+4w_2}{3}, \qquad \mathcal{V}_0 = \tfrac{8}{9}f_{-3} + \tfrac{10}{9}f_0 + \tfrac{2}{3}f_2 = \tfrac{4(v_1+v_2)}{3},$$
$$\mathcal{W}_1 = \tfrac{3}{8}f_{-3} + \tfrac{11}{24}f_{-1} + \tfrac{1}{6}f_2 = \tfrac{w_1+w_2}{2} \qquad \mathcal{V}_1 = -\tfrac{1}{3}f_{-3} + \tfrac{1}{3}f_0 + \tfrac{1}{2}f_2 = \tfrac{2v_1-v_2}{2},$$
$$\mathcal{W}_2 = \tfrac{3}{4}f_{-3} - \tfrac{1}{12}f_{-1} - \tfrac{1}{6}f_2 = \tfrac{2w_2-w_1}{2}, \quad \mathcal{V}_2 = \tfrac{2}{3}f_{-3} + \tfrac{1}{12}f_0 - \tfrac{1}{4}f_2 = \tfrac{2v_2-v_1}{2},$$
$$\mathcal{W}_3 = \tfrac{3}{4}f_{-3} - \tfrac{5}{12}f_{-1} + \tfrac{1}{6}f_2 = \tfrac{w_0+w_3}{2} \qquad \mathcal{V}_3 = \tfrac{2}{3}f_{-3} - \tfrac{5}{12}f_0 + \tfrac{1}{4}f_2 = \tfrac{v_0+v_3}{2}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_0 = \tfrac{1}{3}f_{-3} - \tfrac{5}{9}f_{-1} - \tfrac{1}{9}f_2, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_0 = \tfrac{4}{9}f_{-3} - \tfrac{10}{9}f_0 - \tfrac{2}{3}f_2,$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_1 = \tfrac{1}{8}f_{-3} - \tfrac{11}{24}f_{-1} - \tfrac{1}{6}f_2 \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_1 = -\tfrac{1}{6}f_{-3} - \tfrac{1}{3}f_0 - \tfrac{1}{2}f_2,$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_2 = \tfrac{1}{4}f_{-3} + \tfrac{1}{12}f_{-1} + \tfrac{1}{6}f_2, \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_2 = \tfrac{1}{3}f_{-3} - \tfrac{1}{12}f_0 + \tfrac{1}{4}f_2,$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{W}}_3 = \tfrac{1}{4}f_{-3} + \tfrac{5}{12}f_{-1} - \tfrac{1}{6}f_2 \qquad \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_3 = \tfrac{1}{3}f_{-3} + \tfrac{5}{12}f_0 - \tfrac{1}{4}f_2$$

TABLE III. Non-zero tensor elements (spin rank q=0) for diagonal hopping integrals, t^2_{2g} and t^1_{2g} configuration. The matrix elements for imaginary tensors have to be multiplied by i (linear terms, involving a single operator) or $i\times i$ (for products of two operators). For the t^2_{2g} configuration, v_0=$\frac{1}{2}(f_2-f_0)$ and v_1=$\frac{1}{2}(f_2+f_0)$, v_2=$\frac{1}{3}(2f_{-3}+f_0)$ and v_3=$\frac{1}{3}(4f_{-3}-f_0)$. For the t^1_{2g} configuration, w_0=$\frac{1}{3}(f_2-f_{-1})$, w_1=$\frac{1}{3}(f_2+2f_{-1})$, w_2=$\frac{1}{4}(3f_{-3}+f_{-1})$ and w_3=$\frac{1}{2}(3f_{-3}-f_{-1})$). The rest of the matrix can be obtained by symmetry: $D^{ij}_{r'\mu',r\mu} = s_\mu s_{\mu'}\overline{D^{ji}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}}$, where s_μ=1 is for real operators and s_μ= −1 for imaginary ones. The tensors for spin rank q=1 can be obtained by replacing $\mathcal{W}_i \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_i$ and $\mathcal{V}_i \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_i$. The couplings $\mathcal{W}_i$ or $\mathcal{V}_i$ are identical for tensors with the same R value. All hopping integrals are defined as $t^{i,j}_{m,m'}$ and are real.

The matrix element $\tau^{ir\mu}_{a_3c_3}$ does not depend on the spin, since the operator traces over the spins; it is also the same for site i and j, but we leave the site index for clarity. We thus obtain

$r\ \mu$	$r'\ \mu'$	$D^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}\times U/2$			
		t^1_{2g}	t^2_{2g}		
$0\ s$	$0\ s$	$-\mathcal{W}_0$	$-\mathcal{V}_0$		$(t^2_{xz,xy}+t^2_{xz,yz}+t^2_{xy,xz}+t^2_{xy,yz}+t^2_{yz,xz}+t^2_{yz,xy})$
$0\ s$	$1\ x$	$-\mathcal{W}_1$	$-\mathcal{V}_1$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$	$((t_{xz,xz}+t_{xz,yz})t_{xz,xy}+(t_{yz,xz}+t_{yz,yz})t_{yz,xy}+(t_{xy,xz}+t_{xy,yz})t_{xy,xy})$
$0\ s$	$1\ z$	$-\mathcal{W}_1$	$-\mathcal{V}_1$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$	$(t^2_{yz,xz}+t^2_{xy,xz}-t^2_{xz,yz}-t^2_{xy,yz})$
$0\ s$	$2\ xz$	$-\mathcal{W}_1$	$-\mathcal{V}_1$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$	$((t_{xz,xz}-t_{xz,yz})t_{xz,xy}+(t_{yz,xz}-t_{yz,yz})t_{yz,xy}+(t_{xy,xz}-t_{xy,yz})t_{xy,xy})$
$0\ s$	$2\ x^2{-}y^2$	$-\mathcal{W}_1$	$-\mathcal{V}_1$	$\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$	$(t_{xz,xz}t_{xz,yz}+t_{yz,xz}t_{yz,yz}+t_{xy,xz}t_{xy,yz})$
$0\ s$	$2\ z^2$	$-\mathcal{W}_1$	$-\mathcal{V}_1$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}$	$(t^2_{yz,xz}+t^2_{xy,xz}+t^2_{xz,yz}+t^2_{xy,yz}-2t^2_{xz,xy}-2t^2_{yz,xy})$
$1\ z$	$1\ z$	$-\mathcal{W}_2$	$-\mathcal{V}_2$		$(t^2_{xz,yz}+t^2_{yz,xz})$
$1\ z$	$2\ z^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$	$(t^2_{xz,yz}-t^2_{yz,xz}-2(t^2_{xz,xy}-t^2_{yz,xy}))$
$2\ z^2$	$2\ z^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$(t^2_{xz,yz}+t^2_{yz,xz}-2(t^2_{xz,xy}+t^2_{xy,xz}+t^2_{yz,xy}+t^2_{xy,yz}))$
$1\ z$	$1\ x$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\sqrt{2}$	$((t_{xz,xz}+t_{xz,yz})t_{xz,xy}-(t_{yz,xz}+t_{yz,yz})t_{yz,xy})$
$1\ z$	$2\ xz$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\sqrt{2}$	$((t_{xz,xz}-t_{xz,yz})t_{xz,xy}-(t_{yz,xz}-t_{yz,yz})t_{yz,xy})$
$1\ z$	$2\ x^2{-}y^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$		$2(t_{xz,xz}t_{xz,yz}-t_{yz,xz}t_{yz,yz})$
$2\ z^2$	$1\ x$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$	$((t_{xz,xz}+t_{xz,yz})t_{xz,xy}+(t_{yz,xz}+t_{yz,yz})t_{yz,xy}-2(t_{xy,xz}+t_{xy,yz})t_{xy,xy})$
$2\ z^2$	$2\ xz$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}$	$((t_{xz,xz}-t_{xz,yz})t_{xz,xy}+(t_{yz,xz}-t_{yz,yz})t_{yz,xy}-2(t_{xy,xz}-t_{xy,yz})t_{xy,xy})$
$2\ z^2$	$2\ x^2{-}y^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}$	$(t_{xz,xz}t_{xz,yz}+t_{yz,xz}t_{yz,yz}-2t_{xy,xz}t_{xy,yz})$
$1\ x$	$1\ x$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$		$((t_{xz,yz}+t_{yz,xz})t_{xy,xy}+(t_{xz,xy}+t_{yz,xy})(t_{xy,xz}+t_{xy,yz}))$
$1\ x$	$2\ xz$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$		$((-t_{xz,yz}+t_{yz,xz})t_{xy,xy}+(t_{xz,xy}+t_{yz,xy})(t_{xy,xz}-t_{xy,yz}))$
$2\ xz$	$2\ xz$	$-\mathcal{W}_2$	$-\mathcal{V}_2$		$((t_{xz,yz}+t_{yz,xz})t_{xy,xy}-(t_{xz,xy}-t_{yz,xy})(t_{xy,xz}-t_{xy,yz}))$
$2\ x^2-y^2$	$2\ x^2{-}y^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$		$2t_{xz,yz}t_{yz,xz}$
$1\ x$	$2\ x^2{-}y^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\sqrt{2}$	$((t_{xz,xz}+t_{yz,xz})t_{xy,yz}+(t_{xz,yz}+t_{yz,yz})t_{xy,xz})$
$2\ xz$	$2\ x^2{-}y^2$	$+\mathcal{W}_2$	$+\mathcal{V}_2$	$\sqrt{2}$	$((t_{xz,xz}-t_{yz,xz})t_{xy,yz}+(t_{xz,yz}-t_{yz,yz})t_{xy,xz})$

TABLE IV. Additional relevant quadratic ($r\neq 0, r'\neq 0$) and linear terms ($r{=}0, r'\neq 0$) for t^1_{2g} and t^2_{2g} configuration, spin rank $q{=}0$. The tensors for spin rank $q{=}1$ can be obtained by replacing $\mathcal{W}_i \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{W}}_i$ and $\mathcal{V}_i \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_i$. Prefactors and hopping integrals are defined in the caption of Tab. III.

$$B^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} = -\frac{2}{U}\Bigg((1-\delta_\mu\delta_{\mu'})\mathrm{tr}(t^{j,i}\tau^{ir\mu}t^{i,j}\overline{\tau^{jr'\mu'}}) + \mathrm{tr}(t^{i,j}t^{j,i})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^{ir\mu})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^{jr'\mu'}) \\ - \mathrm{tr}(t^{j,i}\tau^{ir\mu}t^{i,j})\mathrm{tr}(\tau^{jr'\mu'}) - \mathrm{tr}(\tau^{ir\mu})\mathrm{tr}(t^{i,j}\overline{\tau^{jr'\mu'}}t^{j,i})\Bigg)\xi^B_\mu + (ir\mu)\leftrightarrow(jr'\mu'), \tag{47}$$

where $\xi^B_\mu = v_1\delta_{\mu,0} + v_0(1-\delta_{\mu,0})$. The second term in Eq. (16) arises from 3-electron states with one electron per orbital. These are the $S=3/2$ quartet

$$\left|\frac{3}{2},\frac{3\sigma}{2}\right\rangle = c^\dagger_{i,xz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,xy\sigma}|0\rangle \tag{48}$$

$$\left|\frac{3}{2},\frac{\sigma}{2}\right\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\Big(c^\dagger_{i,xz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\bar\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,xy\sigma}|0\rangle + c^\dagger_{i,xz\bar\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,xy\sigma}|0\rangle \\ + c^\dagger_{i,xz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,xy\bar\sigma}|0\rangle\Big)$$

with energy $3U-9J$ and the two doublets

$$\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{\sigma}{2}\right\rangle_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\Big(c^\dagger_{i,xz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\bar\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,xy\sigma}|0\rangle + c^\dagger_{i,xz\bar\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,xy\sigma}|0\rangle - 2c^\dagger_{i,xz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,xy\bar\sigma}|0\rangle\Big)$$
$$\left|\frac{1}{2},\frac{\sigma}{2}\right\rangle_b = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(c^\dagger_{i,xz\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\bar\sigma} - c^\dagger_{i,xz\bar\sigma}c^\dagger_{i,yz\sigma}\right)c^\dagger_{i,xy\sigma}|0\rangle \quad (49)$$

with energy $3U-6J$; here $\bar\sigma=-\sigma$. Collecting all contributions we arrive at the final result

$$C^{ij}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} = -\frac{4}{U}\Big(\mathrm{tr}((\mathrm{tr}(\tau^{r\mu})-\tau^{r\mu})(\overline{t^{i,j}}\tau^{r'\mu'}\overline{t^{j,i}}))\Big)\gamma_{\mu'} + (ir\mu)\leftrightarrow(jr'\mu') \quad (50)$$

where $\gamma_\mu = v_2\delta_{\mu,0} + \frac{v_3}{2}(1-\delta_{\mu,0})$ with

$$v_2 = \frac{1}{3}(2f_{-3}+f_0), \quad v_3 = \frac{1}{3}(4f_{-3}-f_0). \quad (51)$$

The elements of the total super-exchange tensor are listed in Tables III and IV.

Let us consider now the simple limit of cubic perovskites, and the approximation in which the only effective hopping integrals along a crystal axes direction are the $dd\pi$ intra-orbital ones. This approximation is often adopted for the description of t_{2g} perovskites in simple models. If we define $\hat z$ as the quantization axis, these are $t_{xz,xz}=t_{yz,yz}=t$. Setting in addition $J=0$, we obtain the Hamiltonian

$$\frac{H^{i,j}_{\rm SE}}{\Gamma} = -\frac{8\delta_{n,2}+5\delta_{n,1}}{3}\hat\tau^{0,s}_i\hat\tau^{0,s}_j + \frac{1}{6}\hat\tau^{2,3z^2-r^2}_i\hat\tau^{2,3z^2-r^2}_j + \frac{1}{2}\hat\tau^{1,z}_i\hat\tau^{1,z}_j + \frac{1}{2}\hat\tau^{2,x^2-y^2}_i\hat\tau^{2,x^2-y^2}_j + \frac{1}{2}\hat\tau^{xy}_i\hat\tau^{xy}_j - \frac{\delta_{n,2}+2\delta_{n,1}}{3\sqrt{2}}\big(\hat\tau^{2,3z^2-r^2}_i\hat\tau^{0s}_j + \hat\tau^{0s}_i\hat\tau^{2,3z^2-r^2}_j\big) \quad (52)$$

The first term, proportional to the product of two r=0 operators, does not contribute in determining the ground state; it just gives an energy shift. With the constraint $n_{xy}=n-n_{xz}-n_{yz}$, for a bond along $\hat z$, the Hamiltonian reduces to the expected [2, 3] limit

$$\frac{H^{i,j=i+\hat z}_{\rm SE}}{\Gamma} = -\frac{1}{4}(\hat n^i_{xz}+\hat n^i_{yz}+\hat n^j_{xz}+\hat n^j_{yz}) + \frac{1}{4}\big(\hat n^i_{xz}\hat n^j_{xz}+\hat n^i_{yz}\hat n^j_{yz}\big) + \frac{1}{4}\big(c^\dagger_{i,xz}c_{i,yz}c^\dagger_{j,yz}c_{j,xz}+c^\dagger_{i,yz}c_{i,xz}c^\dagger_{j,xz}c_{j,yz}\big). \quad (53)$$

This Hamiltonian is often adopted for studying spin-orbital physics in titanates and vanadates. In this simplified case only two orbitals play a role for a given bond, while in the full super-exchange Hamiltonian all three orbitals are active.

B. Magnetic case, $n=1,2$.

The operators of spin rank q=1 are defined as

$$\hat\tau^{r,\mu;1\nu}_i = \alpha^r_\mu\sum_{mm'}\sum_{\sigma\sigma'}c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}\langle m|\hat o^r_\mu|m'\rangle\hat\sigma^\nu_{\sigma,\sigma'}c_{i,m'\sigma'},$$

with the same α^r_μ introduced for rank q=0, so that

$$\sum_{m\sigma}\langle 0|c_{i,m\sigma}\left(\hat\tau^{r,\mu;1,\nu}_i\right)^2c^\dagger_{i,m\sigma}|0\rangle = 1, \quad (54)$$

and in addition

$$\sum_{m_3\sigma_3}\langle m_3,\sigma_3|\big(\hat\tau^{r,\mu;1,\nu}_i\big)^2|m_3,\sigma_3\rangle = 1. \quad (55)$$

In calculating the norm above, since we restricted the lower-energy space to the S=1 multiplet, S=0 intermediate states are discarded. The resulting tensor elements can be found in Tables III and IV for the t^1_{2g} and t^2_{2g} case. As in the e_g case, the Coulomb denominators are modified when the spin rank changes from zero to one, leading to the transformation $\mathcal{V}\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{V}}$ for $n=2$ and $\mathcal{W}\longrightarrow\tilde{\mathcal{W}}$ for $n=1$, as explained in Tab. III.

C. The $n=4$ and $n=5$ case

For n=5 and n=4 electron-hole transformation of the atomic states yields the corresponding changes. To obtain the same prefactors (aside from a sign) for spin operators with rank zero one has to then also replace $n_i\longrightarrow 6-n_i$, yielding the number of holes, in the definition. As in the e_g case, in the hole representation the only modification with respect to the analogous electron case is the change of sign in the terms which mix operators with orbital rank zero and higher.

V. ENERGY SURFACES

It is now easy to use the super-exchange Hamiltonians to calculate energy surfaces in the static mean field approximation. We use as example the case of t^1_{2g} perovskites in the $GdFeO_3$-type structure, for which we performed extensive many-body calculations based on dynamical mean-field theory [14]. For the calculations we use hopping integrals for t_{2g} Wannier functions, obtained *ab-initio* via the linearized augmented plane-waves method, as implemented in the WIEN2K code [18]. We define the occupied orbital at a Ti site as

$$|\theta,\phi\rangle = -|\pi-\theta,\phi\pm\pi\rangle = \sin\theta\cos\phi|xz\rangle + \cos\theta|xy\rangle + \sin\theta\sin\phi|yz\rangle. \quad (56)$$

The most occupied orbitals for equivalent Ti sites in the unit cell are related via space-group symmetries; in the $GdFeO_3$-type structure, with four atoms per unit cell, if at site Ti_1 the most occupied orbital is $|\theta,\phi\rangle_1$, the



FIG. 1. Total super-exchange energy gain for $YTiO_3$ (top panel), $LaTiO_3$ (middle panel) and an hypothetical cubic perovskite with only $dd\pi$ hopping integrals (bottom panel). The different lines correspond to ϕ values between 0 and π. The orange line is the ϕ yielding the absolute minimum. Hopping integrals obtained *ab-initio*, downfolding oxygen and other high-energy states. PM: paramagnetic. AFM: antiferromagnetic. FM: ferromagnetic.

corresponding state at sites 2, 3 and 4, where site 3 is on top of site 1 and site 4 on top of 2, are given by, respectively, $|\theta,\phi\rangle_2 = |\theta,\frac{\pi}{2}-\phi\rangle_1$, $|\theta,\phi\rangle_3 = |-\theta,\phi\rangle_1$ and $|\theta,\phi\rangle_4 = |-\theta,\frac{\pi}{2}-\phi\rangle_1$. Thus the super-exchange energy gain for orbital ordering in the paramagnetic phase is

$$\Delta E(\theta,\phi) = \sum_{r\mu,r'\mu'}^{>} \left(8\overline{D}^{ab}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}\tau_2^{r'\mu'}\tau_1^{r\mu} + 4\overline{D}^{c}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}\tau_3^{r'\mu'}\tau_1^{r\mu} \right)$$

where $\tau_i^{r\mu} = {}_i\langle\theta,\phi|\hat{\tau}^{r,\mu}|\theta,\phi\rangle_i$ and the sum is for $r{+}r'{>}0$. Furthermore

$$\overline{D}^{ab}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} = \frac{1}{8}\sum_{i=j,j+\hat{z}} (D^{ii+\hat{x}}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} + D^{ii-\hat{x}}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} + D^{ii+\hat{y}}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} + D^{ii-\hat{y}}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}),$$

$$\overline{D}^{c}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} = \frac{1}{4}\sum_{i=j,j+\hat{x}} (D^{ii+\hat{z}}_{r\mu,r'\mu'} + D^{ii-\hat{z}}_{r\mu,r'\mu'}).$$

Analogous expressions can be written for the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic phases. In Fig. 1 we show the resulting energy surfaces as a function of θ and ϕ, in the paramagnetic, antiferromagnetic, and ferromagnetic case, using the same hopping parameters entering in Ref. [14] dynamical mean-field theory (DMFT) calculations. Paramagnetic DMFT results show that orbital ordering occurs at angles determined by the crystal-field splitting [14]. For $LaTiO_3$ these angles are $\theta_{\rm CF} \sim 52^\circ$ and $\phi_{\rm CF} \sim 54^\circ$ and while for $YTiO_3$ we found $\theta_{\rm CF} \sim 55^\circ$ and $\phi_{\rm CF} \sim 97^\circ$. They differ from those that minimize the energy in Fig. 1, as can be seen from the left panels, because in the figure only super-exchange interactions are considered. Fig. 1 explains, however, why the ground state of $YTiO_3$ is ferromagnetic and that of $LaTiO_3$ ferromagnetic. In the case of $YTiO_3$, the angles $\theta_{\rm CF}, \phi_{\rm CF}$ are close to those yielding the super-exchange minimum for ferromagnetism (right top panel, orange line). For the orbital $|\theta_{\rm CF}, \phi_{\rm CF}\rangle$, the energy gains for ferromagnetism is thus smaller than the energy gain for antiferromagnetism. For $LaTiO_3$, instead, at the angles $\phi_{\rm CF}, \theta_{\rm CF}$ ferromagnetism is strongly suppressed, since the associated energy gain is basically zero, while the energy gain for antiferromagnetism remains small but finite. This is in line with our previous conclusions based on extensive DMFT studies [10, 16, 17]. This behavior is hard to understand in term of a simple cubic model (bottom row of the figure), for which paramagnetic, ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic structures have minima at the same angles.

VI. CONCLUSION

We have shown how general super-exchange Hamiltonians for correlated materials can be obtained, exploiting the properties of irreducible tensors. We give the analytical formulas for the e_g^n and t_{2g}^n cases and provide ready-to-use tables with the final results. The representation of the super-exchange interaction presented can be obtained numerically for materials in a straight-forward way. Exact diagonalization provides the atomic states for realistic Coulomb tensors. This allows us to calculate the super-exchange Hamiltonian by projection, calculating simple traces, for hopping integrals from *ab-initio* Wannier functions, and without approximations on the Coulomb tensor. Using these Hamiltonians it is possible to calculate, in mean-field theory, energy surfaces comparing different types of orbital ordering, and determine the energy gain resulting from such ordering, i.e., the stability of the ordered phase.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to acknowledge computational time on JURECA and the RWTH-Aachen cluster via JARA, as well as computational time on JUWELS, which was used for code development.

[1] K. I. Kugel' and D. I. Khomskii, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **64**, 1429 (1973) [Sov. Phys. JETP 37, 725 (1973)].
[2] G. Khaliullin and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 3950 (2000).
[3] G. Khaliullin, P. Horsch, and A. M. Oleś, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 3879 (2001).
[4] M.V. Mostovoy and D. I. Khomskii, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 167201 (2004).
[5] V. I. Anisimov, A. I. Poteryaev, M. A. Korotin, A. O. Anokhin, and G. Kotliar, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **9**, 7359 (1997); A. I. Lichtenstein and M. I. Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 6884 (1998).
[6] For a review of the approach see E. Pavarini, E. Koch, A. Lichtenstein, and D. Vollhardt (eds.), *DMFT: From Infinite Dimensions to Real Materials*, Modeling and Simulation, Vol. **8** Verlag des Forschungszentrum Jülich, 2018 ISBN 978-3-95806-313-6
[7] E. Pavarini, E. Koch, and A. I. Lichtenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 266405 (2008).
[8] E. Pavarini and E. Koch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 086402 (2010).
[9] C. Autieri, E. Koch, and E. Pavarini, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 155109 (2014).
[10] A. Flesch, E. Gorelov, E. Koch, and E. Pavarini, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 195141 (2013).
[11] A. Flesch, G. Zhang, E. Koch, and E. Pavarini, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 035124 (2012).
[12] J. Musshoff, G. R. Zhang, E. Koch, and E. Pavarini, Phys. Rev. B **100**, 045116 (2019).
[13] E. Pavarini in Eva Pavarini, Erik Koch, Dieter Vollhardt, and Alexander Lichtenstein The LDA+DMFT approach to strongly correlated materials Verlag des Forschungszentrum Jülich, 2011 Reihe Modeling and Simulation, Vol. **1** ISBN 978-3-89336-734-4
[14] X.-J. Zhang, E. Koch, and E. Pavarini, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 035113 (2020). Here we adopted a different convention, normalizing tensorial operators only for orbital degrees of freedom.
[15] For a pedagogical derivation of the super-exchange Hamiltonian in this simple case see E. Pavarini in E. Pavarini, E. Koch, J. van den Brink, and G. Sawatzky (eds.), *Quantum Materials: Experiments and Theory* Modeling and Simulation, Vol. **6**, Verlag des Forschungszentrum Jülich, 2016 ISBN 978-3-95806-159-0
[16] E. Pavarini, S. Biermann, A. Poteryaev, A. I. Lichtenstein, A. Georges, and O. K. Andersen, Phys. Rev. Lett.

92, 176403 (2004).
[17] E. Pavarini, A. Yamasaki, J. Nuss, and O. K. Andersen, New J. Phys. 7, **188** (2005).
[18] P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, P. Sorantin, and S. Trickey, Comp. Phys. Comm. **59**, 399 (1990).